

Key takeaways from analyst meeting


Highlights

- โททประจักษ์วิเคราะห์เป็นกลาง – ไม่มีประเด็นใหม่ ที่ประชุมส่วนใหญ่เน้นซักถามเกี่ยวกับประเด็นของแผน PDP ฉบับใหม่
- ผู้บริหารมองการปรับปรุงประมาณการความต้องการใช้ไฟฟ้าเพิ่มขึ้นกว่าเดิม และกำลังผลิตใหม่ใน PDP ฉบับใหม่ อาจทำให้เกิดความล่าช้าในการประกาศใช้ออกไปอีก เพราะร่าง PDP ปัจจุบันได้ทำประชาพิจารณ์ไปแล้วเมื่อปีที่ผ่านมา จะได้ไม่ต้องเริ่มต้นใหม่
- RATCH ยังเน้นการลงทุนในไทยเป็นหลัก หากภาครัฐมีการเปิดประมูล ตามแผน PDP ฉบับใหม่ แต่หากไม่มีความชัดเจนด้านนโยบายไม่ว่าจะเป็นประเด็นเรื่องของ PDP ฉบับใหม่ การรับซื้อไฟฟ้าแบบ Direct PPA สำหรับ Data Center บริษัทก็พร้อมลงทุนในต่างประเทศที่มีความชัดเจนกว่าไทยเพื่อจะเพิ่มโอกาสการลงทุนขยายธุรกิจไฟฟ้าและธุรกิจที่เกี่ยวข้องในอนาคต
- สามปัจจัยสำคัญที่จะช่วยหนุนการเติบโตในอนาคต ได้แก่
 - 1) โครงการโซลาร์ชุมชน 1,500 MW ซึ่งบริษัทคาดว่าจะสามารถเข้าร่วมลงทุนในโครงการเหล่านี้ได้ราว 20MW
 - 2) โครงการ Direct PPA ซึ่งเป็นสัญญาซื้อขายไฟฟ้าผ่านสายส่งกับการไฟฟ้าฝ่ายผลิตจำนวน 2GW สำหรับ Data Centre เท่านั้น คาดว่าจะอนุมัติตามแผน PDP ใหม่ โดยปัจจุบัน RATCH อยู่ระหว่างการศึกษารูปแบบการใช้ประโยชน์ที่ดินของโรงไฟฟ้าราชบุรี (กำลังผลิต 2,100 MW) ที่จะหมดอายุปีหน้า เพื่อรองรับผู้สนใจทำ Data Centre ซึ่งมีหลายรายแสดงความสนใจ เนื่องจาก RATCH มีความพร้อมและได้เปรียบคู่แข่ง
 - 3) PDP ฉบับใหม่มีแนวโน้มบรรจุการรับซื้อไฟฟ้าเพิ่มในภาคตะวันตกและภาคใต้ เนื่องจากปริมาณผลิตไฟฟ้าไม่เพียงพอกับความต้องการ ซึ่งอาจเป็นโอกาสของโรงไฟฟ้าราชบุรีที่กำลังหมดอายุในการต่ออายุหรือเปิดประมูลใหม่ โดยเราเชื่อว่า RATCH เป็นตัวเต็งในทั้งสองพื้นที่ เนื่องจากมีความพร้อมเหนือคู่แข่ง ทั้งนี้ปัจจัยบวกดังกล่าวยังไม่รวมอยู่ในประมาณการของเรา
- แนวโน้มกำไร 2Q26 คาดว่าจะดีขึ้น q-q และ y-y จากหลายโรงไฟฟ้าหยุดซ่อมบำรุงน้อยลง และส่วนขยายกำลังผลิตโรงไฟฟ้านวนคร เฟส 3 เริ่ม COD (12MW) เม.ย. 2026 และยังมีโรงไฟฟ้า NPSI (71.5MW) Song Giang 1 (5.5MW) จะ COD เดือนมิ.ย. 2026 ซึ่งจะช่วยหนุนกำไรใน 2H26
- คงค่างกำไรสุทธิปี 2026 +12% y-y ราคาหุ้นปัจจุบันซื้อขายที่ P/BV เพียง 0.7x และคาด Div. Yield ราว 5.7% ต่อปี คงคำแนะนำ "ซื้อ"

| | |
|-----------------|----------|
| TARGET PRICE | THB34.80 |
| CLOSE | THB30.75 |
| UP/DOWNSIDE | +13.2% |
| TP vs CONSENSUS | +6.1% |

KEY STOCK DATA

| YE Dec (THB m) | 2025 | 2026E | 2027E | 2028E |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Revenue | 27,416 | 29,198 | 32,117 | 35,329 |
| Net profit | 6,220 | 6,976 | 7,412 | 7,879 |
| EPS (THB) | 2.86 | 3.21 | 3.41 | 3.62 |
| vs Consensus (%) | - | 3.2 | 6.0 | 18.7 |
| EBITDA | 6,095 | 6,488 | 7,822 | 8,231 |
| Recurring net profit | 6,220 | 6,976 | 7,412 | 7,879 |
| Core EPS (THB) | 2.86 | 3.21 | 3.41 | 3.62 |
| EPS growth (%) | 1.5 | 12.1 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Core P/E (x) | 10.8 | 9.6 | 9.0 | 8.5 |
| Dividend yield (%) | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 25.7 | 25.0 | 20.7 | 19.5 |
| Price/book (x) | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Net debt/Equity (%) | 70.3 | 72.6 | 69.4 | 65.6 |
| ROE (%) | 6.5 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.7 |



| Share price performance | 1 Month | 3 Month | 12 Month |
|--------------------------------|-------------|---------|----------|
| Absolute (%) | 5.1 | (3.9) | 17.1 |
| Relative to country (%) | (1.3) | (6.0) | (11.1) |
| Mkt cap (USD m) | 2,059 | | |
| 3m avg. daily turnover (USD m) | 3.0 | | |
| Free float (%) | 43 | | |
| Major shareholder | EGAT (45%) | | |
| 12m high/low (THB) | 32.50/24.00 | | |
| Issued shares (m) | 2,175.00 | | |


Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Songklod Wongchai

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 018086
songklod.won@fssia.com, +66 2646 9970

Exhibit 1: Total operating capacity: 9,484 MW



Source: RATCH

Exhibit 2: Key 2026 projects remain on track, with the completion above 83%



Source: RATCH

Exhibit 3: Revenues: 1Q26 & 1Q25

| Unit : Million Baht | 1Q26 | 1Q25 | Diff | % |
|---|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Sales | 9,491.89 | 4,859.15 | 4,632.74 | 95.34 |
| RG - AP | 427.72 | 984.65 | (556.93) | (56.56) |
| RG - EP (Fuel) | 125.19 | 526.89 | (401.70) | (76.24) |
| HKP | 5,566.51 | - | 5,566.51 | - |
| RATCH Cogen (RCO) | 626.91 | 605.68 | 21.23 | 3.51 |
| RAC | 1,442.09 | 1,329.75 | 112.34 | 8.45 |
| CS | 35.25 | 41.96 | (6.71) | (15.99) |
| RER | 499.84 | 549.64 | (49.80) | (9.06) |
| RPE | 682.16 | 776.92 | (94.76) | (12.20) |
| FRD | 57.17 | 43.66 | 13.51 | 30.94 |
| AIDC (Ecowin) | 29.05 | - | 29.05 | - |
| Revenue from leases - RG/KHP/FRD/RPE | 1,201.56 | 483.56 | 718.00 | n.a. |
| Revenue from rendering of services | 24.18 | 24.00 | 0.18 | 0.74 |
| Management service income | 63.26 | 98.73 | (35.46) | (35.92) |
| Interest income | 225.26 | 220.31 | 4.95 | 2.24 |
| Other incomes | 31.77 | 69.26 | (37.49) | (54.13) |
| Share of profit from JVs | 1,283.54 | 1,232.39 | 51.15 | 4.15 |
| Total Revenues | 12,321.47 | 6,987.40 | 5,334.07 | 76.34 |

Source: RATCH

Exhibit 4: Power sector - peer comparison as of 25 May 2026

| Company | BBG | Share Price (LCY) | Market Cap (USD m) | PE | | ROE | | PBV | | EV/EBITDA | | DivYld | |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | 26E (x) | 27E (x) | 26E (%) | 27E (%) | 26E (x) | 27E (x) | 26E (x) | 27E (x) | 26E (x) | 27E (x) |
| Thailand | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gulf Development* | GULF TB | 62.00 | 28,522 | 27.7 | 24.6 | 10.2 | 11.3 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 43.2 | 37.1 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| Global Power Synergy* | GPSC TB | 41.75 | 3,625 | 17.7 | 16.1 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 12.2 | 12.1 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Ratch Group* | RATCH TB | 30.75 | 2,059 | 9.6 | 9.0 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 25.0 | 20.7 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Electricity Generating* | EGCO TB | 116.50 | 1,889 | 14.4 | 14.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 18.0 | 17.7 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| B Grimm Power* | BGRIM TB | 13.90 | 1,116 | 17.5 | 15.8 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 10.0 | 9.8 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| BCPG* | BCPG TB | 6.75 | 623 | 8.4 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 15.1 | 13.8 | 4.8 | 5.7 |
| CK Power* | CKP TB | 2.34 | 586 | 11.1 | 9.5 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 11.5 | 11.1 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| WHA Utilities and Power* | WHAUP TB | 5.60 | 660 | 13.9 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 13.2 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 18.1 | 15.5 | 4.8 | 5.0 |
| Energy Absolute | EA TB | 3.22 | 737 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Banpu Power | BPP TB | 12.00 | 1,127 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gunkul Engineering | GUNKUL TB | 3.60 | 954 | 17.6 | 16.4 | 12.8 | 13.4 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 13 | 2.9 | 3.5 |
| Absolute Clean Energy | ACE TB | 1.29 | 388 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Thailand avg | | | 42,283 | 15.3 | 13.7 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 18.5 | 16.7 | 4.0 | 4.3 |

Sources: Bloomberg, *FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Ratch Group

| Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec | 2024 | 2025 | 2026E | 2027E | 2028E |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenue | 33,458 | 27,416 | 29,198 | 32,117 | 35,329 |
| Cost of goods sold | (27,298) | (21,746) | (24,325) | (25,709) | (27,801) |
| Gross profit | 6,160 | 5,669 | 4,873 | 6,409 | 7,528 |
| Other operating income | - | - | - | - | - |
| Operating costs | (3,253) | (3,282) | (2,628) | (2,891) | (3,533) |
| Operating EBITDA | 6,934 | 6,095 | 6,488 | 7,822 | 8,231 |
| Depreciation | (4,028) | (3,708) | (4,243) | (4,304) | (4,236) |
| Goodwill amortisation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operating EBIT | 2,906 | 2,387 | 2,245 | 3,518 | 3,995 |
| Net financing costs | (3,348) | (2,937) | (4,291) | (4,541) | (4,500) |
| Associates | 6,798 | 6,897 | 8,883 | 9,063 | 9,052 |
| Recurring non-operating income | 7,670 | 7,993 | 10,191 | 9,603 | 9,552 |
| Non-recurring items | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Profit before tax | 7,228 | 7,443 | 8,145 | 8,581 | 9,046 |
| Tax | (907) | (575) | (820) | (819) | (818) |
| Profit after tax | 6,321 | 6,868 | 7,325 | 7,762 | 8,228 |
| Minority interests | (195) | (647) | (349) | (349) | (349) |
| Preferred dividends | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other items | - | - | - | - | - |
| Reported net profit | 6,127 | 6,220 | 6,976 | 7,412 | 7,879 |
| Non-recurring items & goodwill (net) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recurring net profit | 6,127 | 6,220 | 6,976 | 7,412 | 7,879 |
| Per share (THB) | | | | | |
| Recurring EPS * | 2.82 | 2.86 | 3.21 | 3.41 | 3.62 |
| Reported EPS | 2.82 | 2.86 | 3.21 | 3.41 | 3.62 |
| DPS | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 |
| Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data) | 2,175 | 2,175 | 2,175 | 2,175 | 2,175 |
| Growth | | | | | |
| Revenue (%) | (23.9) | (18.1) | 6.5 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Operating EBITDA (%) | (4.3) | (12.1) | 6.5 | 20.6 | 5.2 |
| Operating EBIT (%) | (8.7) | (17.9) | (6.0) | 56.7 | 13.6 |
| Recurring EPS (%) | 18.6 | 1.5 | 12.1 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Reported EPS (%) | 18.6 | 1.5 | 12.1 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Operating performance | | | | | |
| Gross margin inc. depreciation (%) | 18.4 | 20.7 | 16.7 | 20.0 | 21.3 |
| Gross margin exc. depreciation (%) | 30.4 | 34.2 | 31.2 | 33.4 | 33.3 |
| Operating EBITDA margin (%) | 20.7 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 24.4 | 23.3 |
| Operating EBIT margin (%) | 8.7 | 8.7 | 7.7 | 11.0 | 11.3 |
| Net margin (%) | 18.3 | 22.7 | 23.9 | 23.1 | 22.3 |
| Effective tax rate (%) | 210.7 | 105.3 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 16.0 |
| Dividend payout on recurring profit (%) | 56.8 | 55.9 | 53.0 | 49.9 | 46.9 |
| Interest cover (X) | 3.2 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| Inventory days | 38.9 | 51.6 | 50.9 | 52.0 | 51.2 |
| Debtor days | 52.2 | 75.7 | 87.4 | 76.0 | 63.8 |
| Creditor days | 81.2 | 97.0 | 108.2 | 110.4 | 108.7 |
| Operating ROIC (%) | 3.7 | 2.9 | 2.7 | (1.1) | (7.6) |
| ROIC (%) | 4.4 | 3.9 | 4.4 | (1.2) | (7.0) |
| ROE (%) | 6.3 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.7 |
| ROA (%) | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 1.1 |
| * Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted | | | | | |
| Revenue by Division (THB m) | | | | | |
| Domestic electricity generating | 27,482 | 19,205 | 20,010 | 21,785 | 23,362 |
| International power projects | 3,505 | 5,698 | 6,553 | 7,536 | 8,666 |
| Revenue from finance lease contracts | 1,464 | 1,610 | 1,771 | 1,948 | 2,143 |
| Others | 1,007 | 903 | 864 | 849 | 1,158 |

Sources: Ratch Group; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Ratch Group

| Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec | 2024 | 2025 | 2026E | 2027E | 2028E |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Recurring net profit | 6,127 | 6,220 | 6,976 | 7,412 | 7,879 |
| Depreciation | 4,028 | 3,708 | 4,243 | 4,304 | 4,236 |
| Associates & minorities | 6,798 | 6,897 | 8,883 | 9,063 | 9,052 |
| Other non-cash items | - | - | - | - | - |
| Change in working capital | (660) | (4,012) | (119) | (687) | (286) |
| Cash flow from operations | 16,293 | 12,812 | 19,984 | 20,093 | 20,881 |
| Capex - maintenance | (4,028) | (3,708) | (4,243) | (4,304) | (4,236) |
| Capex - new investment | (4,907) | (112) | (3,625) | (2,304) | (2,236) |
| Net acquisitions & disposals | (23,184) | 10,256 | (5,000) | (4,999) | (4,998) |
| Other investments (net) | 8,303 | (20,639) | 8,883 | 9,063 | 9,052 |
| Cash flow from investing | (23,817) | (14,203) | (3,985) | (2,544) | (2,419) |
| Dividends paid | (3,250) | (3,249) | (3,697) | (3,697) | (3,697) |
| Equity finance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Debt finance | 3,328 | 25,000 | (1,000) | (1,000) | (1,000) |
| Other financing cash flows | (14,716) | (12,460) | (10,673) | (10,639) | (10,648) |
| Cash flow from financing | (14,638) | 9,292 | (15,371) | (15,337) | (15,346) |
| Non-recurring cash flows | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other adjustments | 7,528 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net other adjustments | 7,528 | (2,578) | (6,744) | (2,530) | (2,633) |
| Movement in cash | (14,634) | 5,323 | (6,117) | (318) | 483 |
| Free cash flow to firm (FCFF) | (3,077.10) | 2,941.21 | 21,001.53 | 22,496.20 | 23,353.60 |
| Free cash flow to equity (FCFE) | (11,384.18) | 8,572.00 | (2,419.00) | 3,379.75 | 4,180.75 |

Per share (THB)

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|------|--------|-------|-------|
| FCFF per share | (1.41) | 1.35 | 9.66 | 10.34 | 10.74 |
| FCFE per share | (5.23) | 3.94 | (1.11) | 1.55 | 1.92 |
| Recurring cash flow per share | 7.79 | 7.74 | 9.24 | 9.55 | 9.73 |

| Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec | 2024 | 2025 | 2026E | 2027E | 2028E |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Tangible fixed assets (gross) | 73,608 | 73,609 | 75,609 | 77,609 | 79,609 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | (21,270) | (21,268) | (25,512) | (29,816) | (34,052) |
| Tangible fixed assets (net) | 52,338 | 52,341 | 50,097 | 47,793 | 45,557 |
| Intangible fixed assets (net) | 18,662 | 17,312 | 17,312 | 17,312 | 17,312 |
| Long-term financial assets | - | - | - | - | - |
| Invest. in associates & subsidiaries | 86,945 | 76,689 | 81,689 | 86,688 | 91,686 |
| Cash & equivalents | 8,930 | 14,253 | 8,137 | 7,819 | 8,302 |
| A/C receivable | 4,237 | 7,138 | 6,846 | 6,524 | 5,818 |
| Inventories | 2,446 | 2,653 | 2,953 | 3,147 | 3,465 |
| Other current assets | 10,432 | 12,293 | 13,092 | 14,402 | 15,842 |
| Current assets | 26,044 | 36,337 | 31,028 | 31,893 | 33,427 |
| Other assets | 36,101 | 61,194 | 61,194 | 61,194 | 61,194 |
| Total assets | 220,090 | 243,872 | 241,320 | 244,880 | 249,176 |
| Common equity | 97,382 | 93,451 | 96,730 | 100,445 | 104,626 |
| Minorities etc. | 8,992 | 14,195 | 14,544 | 14,894 | 15,243 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 106,374 | 107,646 | 111,274 | 115,338 | 119,869 |
| Long term debt | 47,311 | 66,579 | 65,579 | 64,579 | 63,579 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 37,611 | 34,049 | 34,049 | 34,049 | 34,049 |
| Long-term liabilities | 84,922 | 100,628 | 99,628 | 98,628 | 97,628 |
| A/C payable | 3,956 | 5,632 | 6,269 | 6,683 | 7,357 |
| Short term debt | 17,589 | 23,321 | 23,321 | 23,321 | 23,321 |
| Other current liabilities | 1,495 | 776 | 827 | 909 | 1,000 |
| Current liabilities | 23,040 | 29,729 | 30,418 | 30,913 | 31,679 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | 214,337 | 238,004 | 241,320 | 244,880 | 249,176 |
| Net working capital | 11,663 | 15,675 | 15,795 | 16,482 | 16,767 |
| Invested capital | 205,709 | 223,211 | 226,087 | 229,468 | 232,516 |

* Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being treated as debt

Per share (THB)

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Book value per share | 44.77 | 42.97 | 44.47 | 46.18 | 48.10 |
| Tangible book value per share | 36.19 | 35.01 | 36.51 | 38.22 | 40.14 |

Financial strength

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Net debt/equity (%) | 52.6 | 70.3 | 72.6 | 69.4 | 65.6 |
| Net debt/total assets (%) | 25.4 | 31.0 | 33.5 | 32.7 | 31.5 |
| Current ratio (x) | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| CF interest cover (x) | (0.9) | 4.0 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 |

| Valuation | 2024 | 2025 | 2026E | 2027E | 2028E |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Recurring P/E (x) * | 10.9 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 9.0 | 8.5 |
| Recurring P/E @ target price (x) * | 12.4 | 12.2 | 10.8 | 10.2 | 9.6 |
| Reported P/E (x) | 10.9 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 9.0 | 8.5 |
| Dividend yield (%) | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Price/book (x) | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Price/tangible book (x) | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) ** | 19.0 | 25.7 | 25.0 | 20.7 | 19.5 |
| EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) ** | 20.3 | 27.2 | 26.4 | 21.8 | 20.6 |
| EV/invested capital (x) | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted ** EBITDA includes associate income and recurring non-operating income

Sources: Ratch Group; FSSIA estimates

RATCH Group PCL (RATCH TB)

FSSIA ESG rating

64.27 / 100
Exhibit 5: FSSIA ESG score implication

| Rating | Score | Implication |
|--------|------------------|--|
| ★★★★★ | >79-100 | Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability. |
| ★★★★★ | >59-79 | A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers. |
| ★★★★ | >39-59 | Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually. |
| ★★★ | >19-39 | Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable. |
| ★ | 1-19 | The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC. |

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 6: ESG – peer comparison

| | FSSIA ESG score | Domestic ratings | | | | | | Global ratings | | | | | | Bloomberg | |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|---------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|----------|------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------------|
| | | DJSI | SET ESG | SET ESG Rating | CG score | AGM level | Thai CAC | Morningstar ESG risk | ESG Book | MSCI | Moody's | Refinitiv | S&P Global | ESG score | Disclosure score |
| SET100 | 67.71 | 5.69 | 4.38 | 4.05 | 4.77 | 4.43 | 4.02 | Medium | 57.34 | BBB | 22.70 | 60.82 | 67.31 | 1.19 | 35.34 |
| Coverage | 66.17 | 5.16 | 4.33 | 3.94 | 4.81 | 4.43 | 3.83 | Medium | 56.41 | BBB | 18.92 | 59.20 | 65.82 | 1.38 | 35.46 |
| BPCG | 62.74 | -- | Y | AA | 5.00 | 5.00 | Certified | Medium | 61.24 | A | -- | 55.65 | 31.00 | -- | 59.11 |
| BGRIM | 65.91 | -- | Y | AAA | 5.00 | 5.00 | Certified | High | 62.27 | BBB | -- | 54.54 | 84.00 | -- | 73.28 |
| GULF | 59.23 | -- | Y | AA | 5.00 | 5.00 | Certified | High | 58.96 | B | -- | 53.88 | 66.00 | -- | 62.42 |
| GPSC | 71.89 | Y | Y | AA | 5.00 | 5.00 | Certified | Medium | 64.56 | B | -- | 54.57 | 86.00 | -- | -- |
| RATCH | 64.27 | -- | Y | AA | 5.00 | 5.00 | Certified | High | 56.12 | BB | -- | 55.73 | 62.00 | 4.79 | 72.90 |

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation
Exhibit 7: ESG score by Bloomberg

| FY ending Dec 31 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | FY 2023 |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score | 3.93 | 4.45 | 4.35 | 4.84 | 4.60 | 4.45 | 4.79 | 4.79 |
| BESG environmental pillar score | 2.96 | 3.78 | 3.03 | 4.13 | 3.61 | 3.21 | 3.67 | 3.90 |
| BESG social pillar score | 4.21 | 4.64 | 5.90 | 5.75 | 5.75 | 5.75 | 5.75 | 5.38 |
| BESG governance pillar score | 5.45 | 5.48 | 5.30 | 5.18 | 5.25 | 5.47 | 5.90 | 5.81 |
| ESG disclosure score | 63.62 | 63.62 | 67.00 | 67.42 | 68.23 | 70.21 | 68.59 | 72.90 |
| Environmental disclosure score | 58.53 | 58.53 | 61.91 | 62.58 | 64.00 | 69.95 | 65.09 | 78.62 |
| Social disclosure score | 42.35 | 42.35 | 49.12 | 49.73 | 50.76 | 50.76 | 50.76 | 50.15 |
| Governance disclosure score | 89.86 | 89.86 | 89.86 | 89.86 | 89.86 | 89.86 | 89.86 | 89.86 |
| Environmental | | | | | | | | |
| Emissions reduction initiatives | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Climate change policy | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Climate change opportunities discussed | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No |
| Risks of climate change discussed | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| GHG scope 1 | 14,109 | 8,093 | 6,936 | 5,875 | 6,259 | 6,412 | 8,516 | 4,984 |
| GHG scope 2 location-based | 39 | 37 | 34 | 44 | 27 | 39 | 31 | 28 |
| GHG Scope 3 | — | — | — | — | 3 | 2,662 | 3,113 | 1,549 |
| Carbon per unit of production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Biodiversity policy | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Energy efficiency policy | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Total energy consumption | 47,943 | 48,000 | 41,767 | 35,690 | 37,689 | 20,667 | 26,666 | 14,532 |
| Renewable energy use | — | — | — | — | — | 0 | — | — |
| Electricity used | 65 | 62 | 55 | 69 | 48 | 73 | 71 | 77 |
| Fuel used - natural gas | 7,462,580 | 4,578,520 | 4,008,940 | 3,423,600 | 3,616,110 | 3,439,980 | 4,224,940 | 2,482,650 |

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 8: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

| FY ending Dec 31 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | FY 2023 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Fuel used - crude oil/diesel | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Waste reduction policy | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Hazardous waste | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total waste | 8 | 7 | 3 | 19 | 4 | 5 | 31 | 32 |
| Waste recycled | 6 | 5 | 3 | 18 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| Waste sent to landfills | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Environmental supply chain management | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Water policy | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Water consumption | — | — | 15,720 | 13,020 | 13,730 | 13,640 | 18,590 | 11,930 |
| Social | | | | | | | | |
| Human rights policy | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Policy against child labor | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Quality assurance and recall policy | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Consumer data protection policy | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Equal opportunity policy | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Gender pay gap breakout | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pct women in workforce | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 32 | 32 |
| Pct disabled in workforce | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Business ethics policy | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Anti-bribery ethics policy | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Health and safety policy | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Lost time incident rate - employees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total recordable incident rate - employees | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Training policy | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Fair remuneration policy | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Number of employees – CSR | 439 | 445 | 453 | 462 | 468 | 474 | 520 | 565 |
| Employee turnover pct | 8 | 14 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Total hours spent by firm - employee training | 10,820 | 19,129 | 21,291 | 17,001 | 12,170 | 11,139 | 15,600 | 22,385 |
| Social supply chain management | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Governance | | | | | | | | |
| Board size | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 10 |
| No. of independent directors (ID) | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| No. of women on board | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| No. of non-executive directors on board | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9 |
| Company conducts board evaluations | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No. of board meetings for the year | 13 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 13 |
| Board meeting attendance pct | 96 | 94 | 97 | 97 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Board duration (years) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Director share ownership guidelines | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Age of the youngest director | 46 | 57 | 55 | 54 | 53 | 54 | 45 | 46 |
| Age of the oldest director | 66 | 67 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 70 | 71 | 70 |
| No. of executives / company managers | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 16 |
| No. of female executives | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Executive share ownership guidelines | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Size of audit committee | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| No. of ID on audit committee | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Audit committee meetings | 7 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 6 |
| Audit meeting attendance % | 95 | 94 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Size of compensation committee | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| No. of ID on compensation committee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| No. of compensation committee meetings | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 11 |
| Compensation meeting attendance % | 100 | 96 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Size of nomination committee | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| No. of nomination committee meetings | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 11 |
| Nomination meeting attendance % | 100 | 96 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Sustainability governance | | | | | | | | |
| Verification type | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

| ESG score | Methodology | Rating | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|----------------|---|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|---|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global | The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion. | Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SET ESG Ratings List (SETESG) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET) | SET ESG quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years. | To be eligible for SETESG inclusion , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETESG Index is extended from the SET ESG Ratings companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD) | An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations. | Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC | It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i> | The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC) | The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i> | The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Morningstar Sustainalytics | The Sustainalytics' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.</i> | A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>NEGL</th> <th>Low</th> <th>Medium</th> <th>High</th> <th>Severe</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0-10</td> <td>10-20</td> <td>20-30</td> <td>30-40</td> <td>40+</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | NEGL | Low | Medium | High | Severe | 0-10 | 10-20 | 20-30 | 30-40 | 40+ | | | | | | | | | |
| NEGL | Low | Medium | High | Severe | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-10 | 10-20 | 20-30 | 30-40 | 40+ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ESG Book | The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis. | The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MSCI | MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tbody> <tr> <td>AAA</td> <td>8.571-10.000</td> <td rowspan="3">Leader:</td> <td rowspan="3">leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AA</td> <td>7.143-8.570</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>5.714-7.142</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BBB</td> <td>4.286-5.713</td> <td rowspan="3">Average:</td> <td rowspan="3">a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BB</td> <td>2.857-4.285</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td>1.429-2.856</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CCC</td> <td>0.000-1.428</td> <td>Laggard:</td> <td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | AAA | 8.571-10.000 | Leader: | leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities | AA | 7.143-8.570 | A | 5.714-7.142 | BBB | 4.286-5.713 | Average: | a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers | BB | 2.857-4.285 | B | 1.429-2.856 | CCC | 0.000-1.428 | Laggard: | lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks |
| AAA | 8.571-10.000 | Leader: | leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA | 7.143-8.570 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A | 5.714-7.142 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BBB | 4.286-5.713 | Average: | a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BB | 2.857-4.285 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | 1.429-2.856 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CCC | 0.000-1.428 | Laggard: | lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Moody's ESG solutions | Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Refinitiv ESG rating | Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S&P Global | The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bloomberg | ESG Score | Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bloomberg | ESG Disclosure Score | Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "**CG Score**"; 2) "**AGM Level**"; 3) "**Thai CAC**"; and 4) "**SETESG**". The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Songklod Wongchai FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited and FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited are subsidiaries of Finansia X Public Company Limited, with shared directors or executives.


The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price

Ratch Group (RATCH TB)



| Date | Rating | Target price | Date | Rating | Target price | Date | Rating | Target price |
|-------------|--------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------|------|--------|--------------|
| 20-Dec-2024 | BUY | 36.00 | 26-Feb-2025 | BUY | 34.80 | - | - | - |

Songklod Wongchai started covering this stock from 20-Dec-2024

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

| Company | Ticker | Price | Rating | Valuation & Risks |
|-------------|----------|-----------|--------|--|
| Ratch Group | RATCH TB | THB 30.75 | BUY | The downside risks to our SoTP-based TP include 1) lower-than-expected demand for electricity in Thailand; 2) lower crude price; and 3) delays in starting new projects. |

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 25-May-2026 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as $(\text{target price}^* - \text{current price}) / \text{current price}$.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.