

# AP (THAILAND) AP TB

## THAILAND / PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT

# BUY

UNCHANGED

## Soft 1Q26 presales as expected

TARGET PRICE	THB10.20
CLOSE	THB8.45
UP/DOWNSIDE	+20.7%
TP vs CONSENSUS	-0.3%

### Highlights


- AP รายงานยอดขาย Presales 1Q26 เป็นไปตามคาดที่ 1.12 หมื่นล้านบาท (-20% q-q, -7% y-y) โดยการลดลง q-q มาจากจำนวนโครงการเปิดใหม่ที่น้อยลง ขณะที่การลดลง y-y มีสาเหตุหลักจากฐานยอดขายแนวราบที่สูงใน 1Q25
- บริษัทเปิดตัวโครงการใหม่ 3 โครงการใน 1Q26 มูลค่ารวม 5.5 พันล้านบาท (-77% q-q, +70% y-y) ประกอบด้วยโครงการแนวราบ 2 โครงการ มูลค่า 1.9 พันล้านบาท และโครงการคอนโด 1 โครงการ ขณะที่ AP เข้าร่วมงานมหกรรมบ้านและคอนโด ระหว่างวันที่ 19–22 มี.ค. โดยมี Gross Presales จากงาน 2.2 พันล้านบาท
- Presales แนวราบคิดเป็น 78% ของยอดขายทั้งหมด หรือ 8.8 พันล้านบาท (-26% q-q, -7% y-y) โดยความต้องการทาวน์เฮาส์ยังคงแข็งแกร่ง คิดเป็น 49% ของยอดขายแนวราบ สะท้อนอัตราการขายที่ระดับ 30%–50% จาก 2 โครงการใหม่ ขณะที่ความต้องการบ้านเดี่ยวทรงตัว แม้มีปัจจัยความไม่แน่นอน เช่น ช่วงการเลือกตั้งและความตึงเครียดทางภูมิรัฐศาสตร์ ส่งผลให้การตัดสินใจซื้อชะลอออกไป
- Presales คอนโดทำได้ดีกว่าที่ 2.4 พันล้านบาท (+11% q-q, -7% y-y) จากการเปิดตัวโครงการ Life Ratchada–Rama 9 (มูลค่า 3.6 พันล้านบาท) ซึ่งมียอดขาย 25% สอดคล้องกับคาด โดยผู้ซื้อชาวต่างชาติคิดเป็นราว 25% ของยอดขาย ส่วนใหญ่มาจากเมียนมา
- Presales 1Q26 คิดเป็น 23% ของเป้าหมายทั้งปีของบริษัทที่ 4.9 หมื่นล้านบาท โดยโมเมนตัมยอดขายคาดว่าจะเร่งตัวทั้ง q-q และ y-y จากฐานต่ำใน 2Q25 ที่ได้รับผลกระทบจากแผ่นดินไหว และจำนวนโครงการเปิดใหม่ที่เพิ่มขึ้น โดยเบื้องต้นคาดว่าจะเปิดทั้งหมด 12 โครงการ มูลค่ารวม 1.7 หมื่นล้านบาท (เทียบกับ 5.5 พันล้านบาทใน 1Q26 และ 8.4 พันล้านบาทใน 2Q25)

### Outlook

- กำไร 1Q26 คาดว่าจะลดลง q-q ตามปัจจัยฤดูกาล แต่เพิ่มขึ้น y-y จากการโอนที่เพิ่มขึ้นจากการทยอยรับรู้ backlog แนวราบที่ยกมาจากปลาย 4Q25 ที่ราว 1.59 หมื่นล้านบาท รวมถึงการเริ่มโอนโครงการคอนโดใหม่ Aspire Itsaraphap Station (มูลค่า 950 ล้านบาท ขายแล้ว 47%)
- โมเมนตัมกำไรคาดว่าจะปรับตัวดีขึ้นทั้ง q-q และ y-y ตั้งแต่ 2Q26 เป็นต้นไป จากการเพิ่มขึ้นของการโอนคอนโดใหม่ ขณะที่ความขัดแย้งในตะวันออกกลางซึ่งอาจทำให้ต้นทุนวัสดุก่อสร้างสูงขึ้น คาดว่าจะมีผลกระทบจำกัดในระยะสั้น สำหรับคอนโดที่อยู่ระหว่างก่อสร้าง บริษัทได้ทำสัญญาราคาล่วงหน้ากับผู้รับเหมาแล้วทั้งโครงการ ส่วนโครงการแนวราบ บริษัทมีสต็อกบ้านรองรับยอดขายได้ราว 6 เดือน และได้ล็อกต้นทุนวัสดุก่อสร้างบางส่วนล่วงหน้า 3–6 เดือน
- เรายังคงคำแนะนำ ซื้อ ราคาเป้าหมาย 10.20 บาท และคง AP เป็นหุ้นเด่น โดยปัจจุบันซื้อขายที่เพียง 5.4 เท่าของ P/E ปี 2026E และคาดว่าจะจ่ายเงินปันผลปี 2025 ที่ 0.52 บาทต่อหุ้น (Dividend yield 6.2%) โดยจะขึ้น XD วันที่ 6 พ.ค. 2026

### KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2025	2026E	2027E	2028E
Revenue	37,345	38,872	39,291	39,555
Net profit	4,317	4,918	5,175	5,382
EPS (THB)	1.37	1.56	1.65	1.71
vs Consensus (%)	-	1.8	1.0	(3.7)
EBITDA	5,166	5,771	5,814	5,776
Recurring net profit	4,317	4,918	5,175	5,382
Core EPS (THB)	1.37	1.56	1.65	1.71
EPS growth (%)	(13.9)	13.9	5.2	4.0
Core P/E (x)	6.2	5.4	5.1	4.9
Dividend yield (%)	6.2	7.0	7.4	7.7
EV/EBITDA (x)	10.9	9.5	9.1	9.0
Price/book (x)	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5
Net debt/Equity (%)	64.0	57.0	50.3	45.7
ROE (%)	9.6	10.3	10.2	10.0




Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	(4.0)	(1.7)	9.7
Relative to country (%)	(3.2)	(14.9)	(12.3)
Mkt cap (USD m)			816
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)			2.9
Free float (%)			66
Major shareholder	Mr. Anuphong Assavabhokhin (21%)		
12m high/low (THB)	9.70/5.60		
Issued shares (m)	3,145.90		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates


**Thanyatorn Songwutti**


 Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 101203  
 thanyatorn.s@fssia.com, +66 2646 9963

**Exhibit 1: Quarterly presales**




Sources: AP; FSSIA's compilation

**Exhibit 2: Quarterly new launches**




Sources: AP; FSSIA's compilation

**Exhibit 3: Yearly presales**



Sources: AP; FSSIA's compilation

**Exhibit 4: Yearly new launches**



Sources: AP; FSSIA's compilation

**Exhibit 5: New launches in 1Q26**

**AP** 2

## Q1 2026 NEW PROJECT LAUNCHES

TOTAL 3 PROJECTS | THB 5,530 MILLION

**Pleno**  
พิวโน - โซลาร์

THB 1,230 million  
351 units

**Pleno TOWN**  
อัสสัมชัญ - โซลาร์

THB 700 million  
301 units

**Life**  
ราชดำริ - Rama 9

THB 3,600 million (JV)  
851 units

Note: Data as of 31<sup>st</sup> March 2026

Source: AP

Exhibit 6: New completed condo projects in 2026




Source: AP

Exhibit 7: Historical P/E band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 8: Historical P/BV band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

## Financial Statements

AP (Thailand)

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2024	2025	2026E	2027E	2028E
Revenue	36,988	37,345	38,872	39,291	39,555
Cost of goods sold	(24,316)	(25,450)	(26,051)	(26,326)	(26,496)
<b>Gross profit</b>	<b>12,673</b>	<b>11,895</b>	<b>12,821</b>	<b>12,964</b>	<b>13,060</b>
Other operating income	0	0	0	0	0
Operating costs	(7,174)	(6,965)	(7,269)	(7,387)	(7,436)
<b>Operating EBITDA</b>	<b>5,774</b>	<b>5,166</b>	<b>5,771</b>	<b>5,814</b>	<b>5,776</b>
Depreciation	(275)	(236)	(219)	(236)	(153)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Operating EBIT</b>	<b>5,499</b>	<b>4,930</b>	<b>5,552</b>	<b>5,578</b>	<b>5,623</b>
Net financing costs	(681)	(613)	(594)	(593)	(554)
Associates	972	690	735	971	1,111
Recurring non-operating income	1,347	940	1,070	1,307	1,447
Non-recurring items	6	0	0	0	0
<b>Profit before tax</b>	<b>6,171</b>	<b>5,258</b>	<b>6,028</b>	<b>6,292</b>	<b>6,516</b>
Tax	(1,151)	(942)	(1,112)	(1,117)	(1,135)
<b>Profit after tax</b>	<b>5,020</b>	<b>4,316</b>	<b>4,917</b>	<b>5,174</b>	<b>5,381</b>
Minority interests	0	1	1	1	1
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	0
Other items	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Reported net profit</b>	<b>5,020</b>	<b>4,317</b>	<b>4,918</b>	<b>5,175</b>	<b>5,382</b>
<b>Non-recurring items &amp; goodwill (net)</b>	<b>(6)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Recurring net profit</b>	<b>5,014</b>	<b>4,317</b>	<b>4,918</b>	<b>5,175</b>	<b>5,382</b>
<b>Per share (THB)</b>					
Recurring EPS *	1.59	1.37	1.56	1.65	1.71
Reported EPS	1.60	1.37	1.56	1.65	1.71
DPS	0.60	0.52	0.59	0.63	0.65
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	3,146	3,146	3,146	3,146	3,146
<b>Growth</b>					
Revenue (%)	(2.8)	1.0	4.1	1.1	0.7
Operating EBITDA (%)	(13.4)	(10.5)	11.7	0.7	(0.7)
Operating EBIT (%)	(13.8)	(10.3)	12.6	0.5	0.8
Recurring EPS (%)	(17.0)	(13.9)	13.9	5.2	4.0
Reported EPS (%)	(17.1)	(14.0)	13.9	5.2	4.0
<b>Operating performance</b>					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	34.3	31.9	33.0	33.0	33.0
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	35.0	32.5	33.5	33.6	33.4
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	15.6	13.8	14.8	14.8	14.6
Operating EBIT margin (%)	14.9	13.2	14.3	14.2	14.2
Net margin (%)	13.6	11.6	12.7	13.2	13.6
Effective tax rate (%)	22.2	21.0	21.0	21.0	21.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	37.6	37.9	38.0	38.0	38.0
Interest cover (X)	10.1	9.6	11.1	11.6	12.8
Inventory days	1,085.1	1,044.5	1,035.4	1,037.3	1,051.1
Debtor days	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9
Creditor days	43.1	38.4	39.1	40.3	40.3
Operating ROIC (%)	6.5	5.8	6.4	6.4	6.3
ROIC (%)	7.2	6.1	6.8	6.9	6.9
ROE (%)	11.9	9.6	10.3	10.2	10.0
ROA (%)	6.6	5.6	6.2	6.3	6.4
* Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted					
<b>Revenue by Division (THB m)</b>					
Sales	35,688	36,281	37,852	38,250	38,494
Service income	1,300	1,064	1,020	1,040	1,061

Sources: AP (Thailand); FSSIA estimates

## Financial Statements

AP (Thailand)

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2024	2025	2026E	2027E	2028E
Recurring net profit	5,014	4,317	4,918	5,175	5,382
Depreciation	275	236	219	236	153
Associates & minorities	-	-	-	-	-
Other non-cash items	6	0	0	0	0
Change in working capital	(290)	(1,924)	(265)	(1,236)	(2,093)
<b>Cash flow from operations</b>	<b>5,005</b>	<b>2,629</b>	<b>4,871</b>	<b>4,176</b>	<b>3,441</b>
Capex - maintenance	0	0	0	0	0
Capex - new investment	(1,118)	-	(1,509)	(559)	(485)
Net acquisitions & disposals	-	-	-	-	-
Other investments (net)	-	339	-	-	-
<b>Cash flow from investing</b>	<b>(1,118)</b>	<b>339</b>	<b>(1,509)</b>	<b>(559)</b>	<b>(485)</b>
Dividends paid	(2,113)	(1,888)	(1,869)	(1,967)	(2,045)
Equity finance	0	0	0	0	0
Debt finance	(1,250)	(1,793)	(841)	(1,490)	(489)
Other financing cash flows	0	4	0	0	0
<b>Cash flow from financing</b>	<b>(3,362)</b>	<b>(3,677)</b>	<b>(2,709)</b>	<b>(3,456)</b>	<b>(2,534)</b>
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Net other adjustments</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Movement in cash</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>(709)</b>	<b>653</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>422</b>
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	4,596.06	3,596.69	3,972.98	4,226.50	3,526.53
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	2,636.92	1,178.58	2,522.07	2,127.52	2,466.87

### Per share (THB)

FCFF per share	1.46	1.14	1.26	1.34	1.12
FCFE per share	0.84	0.37	0.80	0.68	0.78
Recurring cash flow per share	1.68	1.45	1.63	1.72	1.76

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2024	2025	2026E	2027E	2028E
Tangible fixed assets (gross)	1,534	1,460	1,568	1,659	1,652
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(275)	(236)	(219)	(236)	(153)
<b>Tangible fixed assets (net)</b>	<b>1,259</b>	<b>1,224</b>	<b>1,349</b>	<b>1,422</b>	<b>1,499</b>
<b>Intangible fixed assets (net)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Long-term financial assets	0	0	0	0	0
Invest. in associates & subsidiaries	7,667	7,128	8,292	8,541	8,797
Cash & equivalents	2,565	1,856	2,509	2,670	3,092
A/C receivable	193	173	202	204	206
Inventories	71,240	73,066	73,494	74,793	76,924
Other current assets	899	1,170	933	943	949
<b>Current assets</b>	<b>74,898</b>	<b>76,264</b>	<b>77,139</b>	<b>78,610</b>	<b>81,171</b>
Other assets	1,069	1,045	1,322	1,336	1,345
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>84,893</b>	<b>85,661</b>	<b>88,101</b>	<b>89,910</b>	<b>92,812</b>
Common equity	43,664	46,094	49,142	52,351	55,688
Minorities etc.	(20)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)
<b>Total shareholders' equity</b>	<b>43,645</b>	<b>46,078</b>	<b>49,127</b>	<b>52,335</b>	<b>55,672</b>
Long term debt	21,905	21,604	21,144	20,047	20,058
Other long-term liabilities	735	757	782	790	795
<b>Long-term liabilities</b>	<b>22,640</b>	<b>22,361</b>	<b>21,926</b>	<b>20,837</b>	<b>20,853</b>
A/C payable	2,641	2,665	2,866	2,896	2,915
Short term debt	11,237	9,744	9,364	8,971	8,471
Other current liabilities	4,730	4,813	4,819	4,870	4,902
<b>Current liabilities</b>	<b>18,608</b>	<b>17,222</b>	<b>17,049</b>	<b>16,738</b>	<b>16,288</b>
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>	<b>84,893</b>	<b>85,661</b>	<b>88,101</b>	<b>89,910</b>	<b>92,812</b>
Net working capital	64,961	66,930	66,944	68,174	70,263
Invested capital	74,957	76,327	77,907	79,473	81,904

\* Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being treated as debt

### Per share (THB)

Book value per share	13.88	14.65	15.62	16.64	17.70
Tangible book value per share	13.88	14.65	15.62	16.64	17.70

### Financial strength

Net debt/equity (%)	70.1	64.0	57.0	50.3	45.7
Net debt/total assets (%)	36.0	34.4	31.8	29.3	27.4
Current ratio (x)	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.7	5.0
CF interest cover (x)	6.5	2.9	7.8	5.5	6.3

Valuation	2024	2025	2026E	2027E	2028E
<b>Recurring P/E (x) *</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>4.9</b>
<b>Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.0</b>
Reported P/E (x)	5.3	6.2	5.4	5.1	4.9
Dividend yield (%)	7.1	6.2	7.0	7.4	7.7
Price/book (x)	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5
Price/tangible book (x)	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5
EV/EBITDA (x) **	9.9	10.9	9.5	9.1	9.0
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	10.9	11.9	10.4	10.0	10.0
EV/invested capital (x)	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6

\* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted \*\* EBITDA includes associate income and recurring non-operating income

Sources: AP (Thailand); FSSIA estimates

# AP (Thailand) PCL (AP TB)

**FSSIA ESG rating**

★ ★ ★

**54.32 /100**

## Exhibit 9: FSSIA ESG score implication

Rating	Score	Implication
★★★★★	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
★★★★	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
★★★	<b>&gt;39-59</b>	<b>Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.</b>
★★	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
★	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

## Exhibit 10: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA ESG score	Domestic ratings						Global ratings						Bloomberg	
		DJSI	SET ESG	SET ESG Rating	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
AP	54.32	--	Y	AA	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	57.53	--	--	29.06	45.00	--	52.40
ASW	34.73	--	--	AAA	5.00	5.00	Certified	--	--	--	--	77.83	--	--	--
BRI	23.00	--	--	AA	5.00	4.00	Certified	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
NOBLE	36.29	--	--	AAA	5.00	5.00	Certified	--	--	--	--	60.32	30.00	--	--
ORI	44.77	--	Y	AAA	5.00	5.00	Certified	--	59.84	--	--	45.35	13.00	--	--
SC	49.65	--	Y	AAA	5.00	5.00	Certified	--	--	--	--	77.85	31.00	4.03	53.89
SIRI	60.71	--	Y	AAA	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	--	A	--	60.05	39.00	--	63.04
SPALI	61.92	--	Y	AA	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	59.86	BBB	--	57.25	30.00	3.26	56.03

Sources: [SETTRADE.com](http://SETTRADE.com); FSSIA's compilation

## Exhibit 11: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
<b>ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score</b>	<b>1.85</b>	<b>2.17</b>	<b>1.77</b>	<b>1.84</b>	<b>3.02</b>	<b>2.47</b>	<b>2.38</b>	<b>—</b>
BESG environmental pillar score	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	1.86	0.95	0.95	—
BESG social pillar score	3.48	5.30	3.14	3.57	4.63	4.35	4.18	—
BESG governance pillar score	3.93	3.98	3.86	3.81	3.74	3.76	3.57	—
<b>ESG disclosure score</b>	<b>33.76</b>	<b>34.10</b>	<b>34.10</b>	<b>34.10</b>	<b>42.30</b>	<b>48.76</b>	<b>49.23</b>	<b>52.40</b>
Environmental disclosure score	1.27	1.27	1.27	1.27	24.31	39.32	40.74	43.10
Social disclosure score	12.45	13.48	13.48	13.48	15.05	19.44	19.44	26.60
Governance disclosure score	87.36	87.36	87.36	87.36	87.36	87.36	87.36	87.36
<b>Environmental</b>								
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Climate change policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
GHG scope 1	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	1
GHG scope 2 location-based	—	—	—	—	—	3	1	1
GHG Scope 3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0	0
Carbon per unit of production	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	—	—	—	—	—	26	4,189	4,683
Renewable energy use	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Electricity used	—	—	—	—	17	23	2	3
Fuel used - natural gas	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

## Exhibit 12: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	—	—	—	—	0	0	0	0
Total waste	—	—	—	—	0	0	0	0
Waste recycled	—	—	—	—	—	0	0	0
Waste sent to landfills	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Water policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	—	—	—	—	—	2	3	2
<b>Social</b>								
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Consumer data protection policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Pct women in workforce	—	—	—	—	49	48	48	49
Pct disabled in workforce	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	—	—	—	—	—	0	0	0
Total recordable incident rate - employees	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Number of employees – CSR	1,995	2,239	2,571	2,724	2,760	2,808	3,171	3,353
Employee turnover pct	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Social supply chain management	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
<b>Governance</b>								
Board size	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
No. of independent directors (ID)	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
No. of women on board	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
No. of non-executive directors on board	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	13	12	11	10	10	11	11	11
Board meeting attendance pct	98	95	96	98	98	99	97	98
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58
Age of the oldest director	71	72	70	71	72	73	74	75
No. of executives / company managers	19	17	18	18	17	17	17	17
No. of female executives	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
No. of ID on audit committee	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Audit committee meetings	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Audit meeting attendance %	93	100	100	100	100	100	94	100
Size of compensation committee	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
No. of ID on compensation committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of compensation committee meetings	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	4
Compensation meeting attendance %	88	90	100	100	100	90	100	100
Size of nomination committee	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
No. of nomination committee meetings	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Nomination meeting attendance %	88	90	100	100	100	90	100	100
<b>Sustainability governance</b>								
Verification type	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating																											
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.																											
SET ESG Ratings List (SETESG) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	SET ESG quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for <b>SETESG inclusion</b> , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. <b>SETESG Index</b> is extended from the SET ESG Ratings companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.																											
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).																											
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&amp;A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i>	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.																											
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i>	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.																											
Morningstar Sustainalytics	The Sustainalytics' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality &amp; peer reviews.</i>	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table border="1" style="margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th>NEGL</th> <th>Low</th> <th>Medium</th> <th>High</th> <th>Severe</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0-10</td> <td>10-20</td> <td>20-30</td> <td>30-40</td> <td>40+</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																	
NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe																									
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																									
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.																											
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table border="1" style="margin-top: 10px;"> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>AAA</b></td> <td>8.571-10.000</td> <td><b>Leader:</b></td> <td>leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>AA</b></td> <td>7.143-8.570</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>A</b></td> <td>5.714-7.142</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>BBB</b></td> <td>4.286-5.713</td> <td><b>Average:</b></td> <td>a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>BB</b></td> <td>2.857-4.285</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>B</b></td> <td>1.429-2.856</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>CCC</b></td> <td>0.000-1.428</td> <td><b>Laggard:</b></td> <td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>AAA</b>	8.571-10.000	<b>Leader:</b>	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities	<b>AA</b>	7.143-8.570			<b>A</b>	5.714-7.142			<b>BBB</b>	4.286-5.713	<b>Average:</b>	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers	<b>BB</b>	2.857-4.285			<b>B</b>	1.429-2.856			<b>CCC</b>	0.000-1.428	<b>Laggard:</b>	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks
<b>AAA</b>	8.571-10.000	<b>Leader:</b>	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities																										
<b>AA</b>	7.143-8.570																												
<b>A</b>	5.714-7.142																												
<b>BBB</b>	4.286-5.713	<b>Average:</b>	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers																										
<b>BB</b>	2.857-4.285																												
<b>B</b>	1.429-2.856																												
<b>CCC</b>	0.000-1.428	<b>Laggard:</b>	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks																										
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.																												
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; &gt;25 to 50 = satisfactory; &gt;50 to 75 = good; and &gt;75 to 100 = excellent.)</i>																												
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.																												
Bloomberg	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.																											
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.																											

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) "SETESG". The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

## GENERAL DISCLAIMER

### ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Thanyatorn Songwutti FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited and FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited are subsidiaries of Finansia X Public Company Limited, with shared directors or executives.


The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

### History of change in investment rating and/or target price

#### AP (Thailand) (AP TB)



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
02-May-2023	BUY	14.00	25-Jul-2024	BUY	10.20	29-Apr-2025	BUY	9.00
24-Oct-2023	BUY	14.40	11-Sep-2024	BUY	10.80	24-Oct-2025	BUY	10.20
21-Feb-2024	BUY	13.20	16-Jan-2025	BUY	9.70			

Thanyatorn Songwutti started covering this stock from 10-Feb-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
AP (Thailand)	AP TB	THB 8.45	BUY	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a higher-than-expected slowdown in the low-rise market; 2) lower-than-expected new launches and take-up rates; 3) a slow economic recovery; 4) an impact from the interest rate uptrend on purchasing power; 5) a higher rejection rate and customer cancellation; 6) construction delay and labor shortages; and 7) fierce competition.

Source: FSSIA estimates

### Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 03-Apr-2026 unless otherwise stated.

## RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

### Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price\* - current price) / current price.

**BUY (B).** The upside is 10% or more.

**HOLD (H).** The upside or downside is less than 10%.

**REDUCE (R).** The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

\* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

### Industry Recommendations

**Overweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

**Neutral.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

**Underweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

### Country (Strategy) Recommendations

**Overweight (O).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

**Neutral (N).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

**Underweight (U).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.