

# THAI UNION GROUP TU TB

## THAILAND / FOOD & BEVERAGE

# BUY

FROM HOLD

TARGET PRICE	THB14.20
CLOSE	THB11.30
UP/DOWNSIDE	+25.7%
PRIOR TP	THB14.20
CHANGE IN TP	UNCHANGED
TP vs CONSENSUS	+4.0%

## Cost Rising, Prices in Play

- คาดกำไร 1Q26 โต q-q, y-y มาจากรายได้โตมากกว่าเป้า และมีเครดิตภาษีช่วย
- แนวโน้มต้นทุนกำลังปรับขึ้นใน 2Q26 บริษัทอยู่ระหว่างเจรจาปรับขึ้นราคาต่อเนื่อง
- ปรับขึ้นเป็น ชื้อ เชื่อว่าหุ้นยังเป็นที่ต้องการในยามวิกฤติ

### คาดการณ์กำไร 1Q26 โตได้ทั้ง q-q และ y-y

คาดการณ์กำไรสุทธิ 1Q26 อยู่ที่ 1.16 พันลบ. (+14.8% q-q, +14.1% y-y) โดยคาดการณ์รายได้รวม +6.7% y-y แต่ -9.3% q-q สาเหตุที่รายได้โต y-y มาจากราคาขายที่สูงขึ้นเป็นหลัก คาดอัตรากำไรขั้นต้นที่ 18.3% ทรงตัว q-q และลดลงจาก 18.8% ใน 1Q25 เพราะ US tariff และบาทแข็งค่า แม้คาดการณ์กำไรขั้นต้นของ Ambient ดีขึ้น q-q แต่คาด Frozen จะลดลงทั้ง q-q, y-y เพราะฐานสูงใน 1Q25 ค่าใช้จ่าย transformation cost เริ่มลดลง จึงคาด SG&A to sales อยู่ที่ 14.5% ลดจาก 15.8% ใน 1Q25 แต่ยังคงเป็นระดับที่สูงเพราะตะกรอบบนของเป้าที่ 13.5-14.5% คาดไตรมาสนี้ยังมีตัวช่วยจากรายการเครดิตภาษี (เป็นบวก) ราว 200-300 ลบ. เหมือน 1Q25 จึงช่วยหนุนกำไรสุทธิให้โต q-q ได้

### แนวโน้มต้นทุนกำลังทยอยปรับขึ้นจากผลของสงคราม

ล่าสุดราคาปลาทูน่าเดือน มี.ค. เร่งขึ้นเร็วกว่าคาดมาอยู่ที่ USD2,000/ตัน (+26.6% m-m, +15% y-y) ทำนาฬิกาไฮดรอป 33 เดือน ทั้งนี้บริษัทมีสต็อกปลาราคาเดิมพอใช้ถึง 2Q26 ขณะที่บริษัทมีสต็อกบรรจุภัณฑ์ราว 2 เดือน บริษัทมีสัดส่วนต้นทุนวัตถุดิบราว 70% ของต้นทุนทั้งหมด เป็นทูน่าราว 30%, บรรจุภัณฑ์ 10-11% (โลหะ 7% ส่วนพลาสติก 3-4%) และ Energy Cost ราว 1-2% กรณี Worst case ให้ต้นทุนบรรจุภัณฑ์ และค่าพลังงานปรับขึ้นทุก 5% และให้ปัจจัยอื่นคงที่ จะกระทบกำไร 11-13% ซึ่งเราคิดว่าผลกระทบจริงจะไม่รุนแรงเท่านี้

### อยู่ระหว่างเจรจาปรับขึ้นราคาทั้ง OEM และ Branded


ผู้บริหารระบุว่าอยู่ระหว่างปรับขึ้นราคากับลูกค้า เพื่อสะท้อนทั้งราคาปลาทูน่า และบรรจุภัณฑ์ที่กำลังสูงขึ้น กรณีลูกค้า OEM จะปรับขึ้นได้ภายใน 1 เดือน ส่วน Branded อาจปรับขึ้นช้ากว่า เพราะมีสัญญา แต่ครั้งนี้ถือเป็นสถานการณ์ที่ไม่ปกติ จึงอยู่ระหว่างเจรจาขอปรับขึ้นราคาต่อสัญญาครบกำหนด หากอิงข้อมูลสถิติใน 1Q-2Q23 ที่ราคาทูน่าขึ้นแรงและ USD1,800-2,000/ตัน อัตรากำไรขั้นต้นของ Ambient ปรับลงจาก 21.2% ใน 4Q22 เป็น 18.0% ใน 1Q23 และหลังจากนั้นกลับมาปรับขึ้น 2Q-3Q23 เมื่อทยอยปรับขึ้นราคากับลูกค้าได้

### ปรับขึ้นเป็น ชื้อ เชื่อว่าหุ้นยังเป็นที่ต้องการในยามวิกฤติ

เรายังคงประมาณการกำไรปกติปี 2026 ที่ 4.78 พันลบ. (+10.5% y-y) เรายังคงมีมุมมองระยะยาวต่อการปรับขึ้นราคาในปีนี้ เนื่องจากต้นทุนหลายตัวปรับขึ้นแรงพร้อมกัน แต่เชื่อว่าท้ายที่สุดบริษัทจะสามารถปรับขึ้นราคาได้อย่างที่เคยเป็น และหากสงครามยังยืดเยื้อ หรือกำลังซื้อยังฟื้นตัวช้า เราเชื่อว่าโปรตีนราคาถูกลงอย่างทูน่าปกป้องน่าจะยังเป็นที่ต้องการต่อไป ราคาหุ้นปรับลงมาราว 10% นับตั้งแต่มีสงคราม ปัจจุบันเทรดที่ 10.2x 2026E P/E จึงปรับคำแนะนำขึ้นเป็น ชื้อ

## KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2025	2026E	2027E	2028E
Revenue	132,719	135,681	140,745	146,192
Net profit	4,609	4,780	5,038	5,601
EPS (THB)	1.03	1.07	1.13	1.26
vs Consensus (%)	-	(3.2)	(6.8)	(4.3)
EBITDA	11,667	12,624	13,187	14,040
Recurring net profit	4,516	4,780	5,038	5,601
Core EPS (THB)	1.01	1.07	1.13	1.26
Chg. In EPS est. (%)	-	-	-	-
EPS growth (%)	(11.8)	5.8	5.4	11.2
Core P/E (x)	11.1	10.5	10.0	9.0
Dividend yield (%)	5.9	6.2	6.5	7.2
EV/EBITDA (x)	10.7	9.7	9.4	8.8
Price/book (x)	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0
Net debt/Equity (%)	128.0	123.4	120.4	115.4
ROE (%)	9.7	10.6	10.9	11.7



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	(2.6)	(11.7)	1.8
Relative to country (%)	(2.5)	(24.1)	(18.6)
Mkt cap (USD m)	1,329		
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)	6.2		
Free float (%)	65		
Major shareholder	Chansiri Family (12%)		
12m high/low (THB)	14.10/8.60		
Issued shares (m)	4,255.13		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



### Sureeporn Teewasuwet

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 040694  
 sureeporn.t@fssia.com, +66 2646 9972

PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

บทวิเคราะห์ฉบับนี้แปลมาจากต้นฉบับภาษาอังกฤษ ที่ออกรายงานเมื่อวันที่ 3 เมษายน 2026

### Investment thesis

TU is one of the world’s largest seafood companies. It is a leading producer and exporter of frozen and canned seafood such as tuna, shrimp, sardines, and mackerel. TU has affiliated companies, such as I-Tail Corporation (ITC TB, BUY), a major pet food manufacturer in Thailand. It also has overseas subsidiaries, one of which owns Chicken of the Sea, the #3 canned seafood brand in the US, and the TUE Group (formerly MWB), which owns canned seafood brands ranking among the top three in European markets, such as John West, Petit Navire, Parmentier, King Oscar, and Mareblu.


### Company profile

Thai Union was founded in 1977 as a canned tuna processor and exporter. It is now an international OEM business with a global portfolio of consumer-favourite brands. TU had 18 brands, five strategic partnerships and key joint ventures, and eight overseas sales offices. TU has 13 production locations in 12 countries and two innovation and R&D centres. In 2025, its revenue contributions from the USA, Europe, Thailand, Japan, and other were 38.5%/30.3%/11.9%/4.9%/14.9%, respectively.

[www.thaiunion.com](http://www.thaiunion.com)

### Principal activities (revenue, 2025)


- Ambient seafood - 48.4 %
- Frozen & chilled seafood - 31.0 %
- Pet food & others - 20.6 %



Source: Thai Union Group

### Major shareholders

- Chansiri Family - 12.1 %
- Others - 87.9 %



Source: Thai Union Group

### Catalysts

Potential catalysts for TU’s 2026 earnings growth include 1) revenue growth of the three units; 2) its pet food and ready-to-eat capacity expansion; 3) the declining tuna price outlook; and 4) potential upside from M&A activity.

### Risks to our call

Downside and upside risks to our TP include 1) a slower or faster-than-expected revenue recovery; 2) higher or lower-than-expected freight costs; 3) more fluctuating raw material costs than expected; 4) higher-than-expected labor costs and labor shortages; and 5) a stronger baht than expected.

### Event calendar

Date	Event
5 May 2026	1Q26 results announcement
6 May 2026	1Q26 analyst meeting

### Key assumptions

	2026E	2027E	2028E
Total revenue (USD m)	4,243	4,404	4,536
USD/THB	32.0	32.0	32.0
Ambient sales growth (%)	1.5	2.8	3.0
Frozen, Chilled sales growth (%)	2.0	3.8	3.5
PetCare, Value added sales growth (%)	4.3	5.8	6.3
Tuna price (USD/ton)	1,600	1,600	1,600
Gross margin (%)	18.8	18.8	18.8

Source: FSSIA estimates

### Earnings sensitivity

- For every THB1/USD increase, we estimate 2026 net profit to fall by 7%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 1% increase in the tuna price, we estimate 2026 net profit to fall by 5.8%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 5% increase in the minimum wage, we estimate 2026 net profit to fall by 4.3%, and vice versa, all else being equal.


Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 1: TU – 1Q26 earnings preview

	1Q25	2Q25	3Q25	4Q25	1Q26E	----- Change -----		2025	2026E	Change	% 1Q26E
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	to 2026E
Sales in THB m	29,789	33,389	34,501	35,039	31,779	(9.3)	6.7	132,719	135,681	2.2	23.4
Cost of sales	24,177	26,822	27,953	28,630	25,968	(9.3)	7.4	107,582	110,173	2.4	23.6
Gross profit	5,611	6,567	6,549	6,409	5,811	(9.3)	3.6	25,136	25,508	1.5	22.8
SG&A	4,700	4,639	4,755	4,871	4,608	(5.4)	(2.0)	18,966	18,724	(1.3)	24.6
Operating profit	1,099	2,140	2,038	1,775	1,433	(19.3)	30.4	7,052	7,734	9.7	18.5
Profit sharing	291	158	234	179	300	67.6	3.1	862	1,020	18.4	29.4
Interest expense	585	586	595	612	570	(6.8)	(2.6)	2,379	2,382	0.1	23.9
Tax expense	(422)	209	164	32	(230)	(823.3)	(45.5)	(17)	642	nm	(35.8)
Reported net profit	1,019	1,273	1,304	1,013	1,163	14.8	14.1	4,609	4,780	3.7	24.3
Core profit	1,003	1,205	1,196	922	1,143	24.0	14.0	4,326	4,780	10.5	23.9
<b>Key ratios (%)</b>						<b>(ppt)</b>	<b>(ppt)</b>				
Gross margin	18.8	19.7	19.0	18.3	18.3	(0.0)	(0.6)	18.9	18.8	(0.1)	
SG&A / sales	15.8	13.9	13.8	13.9	14.5	0.6	(1.3)	14.3	13.8	(0.5)	
Operating margin	3.7	6.4	5.9	5.1	4.5	(0.6)	0.8	5.3	5.7	0.4	
Net margin	3.4	3.8	3.8	2.9	3.7	0.8	0.2	3.5	3.5	0.1	
Core margin	3.4	3.6	3.5	2.6	3.6	1.0	0.2	3.3	3.5	0.3	
<b>Operating statistics (THB m)</b>											
Ambient sales	14,762	16,597	17,247	15,672	15,205	(3.0)	3.0	64,278	65,242	1.5	23.3
Frozen, chilled seafood sales	8,441	10,034	10,334	12,340	9,116	(26.1)	8.0	41,149	41,972	2.0	21.7
Pet care sales	4,174	4,387	4,624	4,690	4,925	5.0	18.0	17,876	18,770	5.0	26.2
Value added, others sale	2,412	2,371	2,296	2,337	2,533	8.4	5.0	9,415	9,697	3.0	26.1
<b>Gross margin (%)</b>											
Ambient	19.4	22.0	19.4	18.4	18.9	0.5	(0.5)	19.8	19.3	(0.5)	
Frozen, chilled seafood	12.4	11.7	13.8	14.5	11.5	(3.0)	(0.9)	13.2	13.5	0.3	
Pet care	24.5	25.6	25.8	26.3	25.5	(0.8)	1.0	25.6	25.6	0.0	
Value added, others	27.9	26.3	25.6	21.8	25.0	3.2	(2.9)	25.4	25.0	(0.4)	
FX rate (THB/USD)	34.0	33.1	32.3	32.2	31.7	(1.5)	(6.6)	32.9	32.0	(2.7)	
Tuna price (USD/tonne)	1,660	1,510	1,550	1,573	1,693	7.6	2.0	1,573	1,600	1.7	
Shrimp 60 pcs/kg (THB/kg)	169	139	153	140	160	14.3	(5.5)	155	150	(3.2)	
Salmon (NOK/kg)	98	79	70	83	89	7.2	(9.2)	82	80	(2.4)	


Sources: TU, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 2: Quarterly total revenue and growth




Sources: TU, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 3: Quarterly tuna prices




Sources: TU, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 4: Quarterly revenue from ambient and margin**




Sources: TU, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 5: Quarterly revenue from frozen and margin**




Sources: TU, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 6: Quarterly revenue from pet food and margin**




Sources: TU, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 7: Quarterly overall gross margin and THB/USD**




Sources: TU, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 8: Quarterly SG&A and SG&A to sales**




Sources: TU, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 9: Quarterly core profit and growth**




Sources: TU, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 10: Cost breakdown in 2025**




Sources: TU, FSSIA's compilation

**Exhibit 11: Monthly Tuna prices**




Sources: TU, FSSIA's compilation

**Exhibit 12: Ambient Gross Margin vs. Tuna Prices (Quarterly)**



Sources: TU, FSSIA's compilation

**Exhibit 13: Ambient Gross Margin vs. Tuna Prices (Yearly)**



Sources: TU, FSSIA's compilation

**Exhibit 14: Key assumptions for TU**

	Actual	Current				Growth		
	2025 (THB m)	2026E (THB m)	2027E (THB m)	2028E (THB m)	2026E (%)	2027E (%)	2028E (%)	
Total revenue (USD m)	4,036	4,243	4,404	4,536	5.0	3.8	3.0	
Fx rate (THB/USD)	32.9	32.0	32.0	32.0	(0.0)	0.0	0.0	
Total sale value (THB m)	132,719	135,681	140,745	146,192	2.2	3.7	3.9	
Costs	107,582	110,173	114,285	118,708	2.4	3.7	3.9	
Gross profit	25,136	25,508	26,460	27,484	1.5	3.7	3.9	
SG&A expenses	18,966	18,724	19,423	19,882	(1.3)	3.7	2.4	
Interest expense	2,379	2,382	2,387	2,367	0.1	0.2	(0.8)	
Profit sharing	862	1,020	1,064	1,117	18.4	4.3	5.0	
Reported net profit	4,609	4,780	5,038	5,601	3.7	5.4	11.2	
Core profit	4,326	4,780	5,038	5,601	10.5	5.4	11.2	


Key ratios (%)							
Gross margin	18.9	18.8	18.8	18.8			
SG&A to sales	14.3	13.8	13.8	13.6			
Net margin	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.8			
Core margin	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.8			

Operating statistics (THB m)							
Ambient sales	64,278	65,242	67,069	69,081	1.5	2.8	3.0
Frozen, chilled sales	41,149	41,972	43,567	45,092	2.0	3.8	3.5
Value added, others sales	9,415	9,697	9,988	10,288	3.0	3.0	3.0
Tuna price (USD per ton)	1,573	1,600	1,600	1,600	1.7	0.0	0.0


Source: FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 15: Yearly total revenue and growth**




Sources: TU, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 16: Yearly revenue breakdown by product segment**




Sources: TU, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 17: Yearly gross margin and SG&A to sales**




Sources: TU, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 18: Yearly share of profits from associate companies**




Sources: TU, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 19: Yearly net profit and growth**




Sources: TU, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 20: Yearly core profit and growth**




Sources: TU, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 21: Historical P/E band**



Sources: Bloomberg, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 22: Historical P/BV band**



Sources: Bloomberg, FSSIA estimates

## Financial Statements

### Thai Union Group

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2024	2025	2026E	2027E	2028E
Revenue	138,433	132,719	135,681	140,745	146,192
Cost of goods sold	(112,809)	(107,582)	(110,173)	(114,285)	(118,708)
<b>Gross profit</b>	<b>25,624</b>	<b>25,136</b>	<b>25,508</b>	<b>26,460</b>	<b>27,484</b>
Other operating income	1,137	882	950	985	1,023
Operating costs	(18,401)	(18,966)	(18,724)	(19,423)	(19,882)
<b>Operating EBITDA</b>	<b>12,725</b>	<b>11,667</b>	<b>12,624</b>	<b>13,187</b>	<b>14,040</b>
Depreciation	(4,365)	(4,615)	(4,890)	(5,165)	(5,415)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Operating EBIT</b>	<b>8,360</b>	<b>7,052</b>	<b>7,734</b>	<b>8,022</b>	<b>8,625</b>
Net financing costs	(2,492)	(2,379)	(2,382)	(2,387)	(2,367)
Associates	771	862	1,020	1,064	1,117
Recurring non-operating income	771	862	1,020	1,064	1,117
Non-recurring items	(134)	93	0	0	0
<b>Profit before tax</b>	<b>6,504</b>	<b>5,629</b>	<b>6,372</b>	<b>6,700</b>	<b>7,375</b>
Tax	(430)	17	(642)	(676)	(751)
<b>Profit after tax</b>	<b>6,074</b>	<b>5,645</b>	<b>5,730</b>	<b>6,024</b>	<b>6,624</b>
Minority interests	(1,089)	(1,036)	(950)	(985)	(1,023)
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	-	-
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Reported net profit</b>	<b>4,985</b>	<b>4,609</b>	<b>4,780</b>	<b>5,038</b>	<b>5,601</b>
<b>Non-recurring items &amp; goodwill (net)</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>(93)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Recurring net profit</b>	<b>5,119</b>	<b>4,516</b>	<b>4,780</b>	<b>5,038</b>	<b>5,601</b>
<b>Per share (THB)</b>					
Recurring EPS *	1.15	1.01	1.07	1.13	1.26
Reported EPS	1.12	1.03	1.07	1.13	1.26
DPS	0.70	0.67	0.70	0.74	0.82
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	4,455	4,455	4,455	4,455	4,455
<b>Growth</b>					
Revenue (%)	1.7	(4.1)	2.2	3.7	3.9
Operating EBITDA (%)	4.6	(8.3)	8.2	4.5	6.5
Operating EBIT (%)	4.9	(15.6)	9.7	3.7	7.5
Recurring EPS (%)	nm	(11.8)	5.8	5.4	11.2
Reported EPS (%)	nm	(7.5)	3.7	5.4	11.2
<b>Operating performance</b>					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	18.5	18.9	18.8	18.8	18.8
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	21.7	22.4	22.4	22.5	22.5
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	9.2	8.8	9.3	9.4	9.6
Operating EBIT margin (%)	6.0	5.3	5.7	5.7	5.9
Net margin (%)	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.8
Effective tax rate (%)	6.6	-0.3	10.1	10.1	10.2
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	60.7	66.0	65.0	65.0	65.0
Interest cover (X)	3.7	3.3	3.7	3.8	4.1
Inventory days	158.4	161.8	161.1	154.3	154.2
Debtor days	43.4	48.1	44.2	39.1	39.1
Creditor days	62.8	65.9	66.4	66.9	66.8
Operating ROIC (%)	8.2	6.8	7.5	7.8	8.2
ROIC (%)	5.7	5.0	5.5	5.7	6.0
ROE (%)	9.5	9.7	10.6	10.9	11.7
ROA (%)	5.1	4.8	4.9	5.1	5.3
* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted					
<b>Revenue by Division (THB m)</b>					
Ambient seafood	68,412	64,278	65,242	67,069	69,081
Frozen & chilled seafood	42,226	41,149	41,972	43,567	45,092
Pet food & others	27,795	27,291	28,467	30,110	32,019

Sources: Thai Union Group; FSSIA estimates

## Financial Statements

Thai Union Group

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2024	2025	2026E	2027E	2028E
Recurring net profit	5,119	4,516	4,780	5,038	5,601
Depreciation	4,365	4,615	4,890	5,165	5,415
Associates & minorities	771	862	1,020	1,064	1,117
Other non-cash items	(268)	186	0	0	0
Change in working capital	4,068	(4,867)	6,401	(1,565)	(1,537)
<b>Cash flow from operations</b>	<b>14,054</b>	<b>5,312</b>	<b>17,091</b>	<b>9,702</b>	<b>10,596</b>
Capex - maintenance	(3,605)	(4,889)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,000)
Capex - new investment	-	-	-	-	-
Net acquisitions & disposals	(13,143)	(757)	(4,904)	(786)	(818)
Other investments (net)	2,133	437	(496)	(1,317)	(1,416)
<b>Cash flow from investing</b>	<b>(14,615)</b>	<b>(5,209)</b>	<b>(10,901)</b>	<b>(7,602)</b>	<b>(7,234)</b>
Dividends paid	(5,774)	(7,399)	(3,107)	(3,275)	(3,641)
Equity finance	(50)	0	0	0	0
Debt finance	523	6,721	(3,055)	148	(588)
Other financing cash flows	(295)	805	(1,403)	329	354
<b>Cash flow from financing</b>	<b>(5,596)</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>(7,566)</b>	<b>(2,798)</b>	<b>(3,875)</b>
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Net other adjustments</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Movement in cash</b>	<b>(6,156)</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>(1,375)</b>	<b>(698)</b>	<b>(513)</b>
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	1,931.67	2,481.23	8,572.41	4,486.45	5,729.37
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	(332.11)	7,628.83	1,732.13	2,576.86	3,128.04

Per share (THB)	2024	2025	2026E	2027E	2028E
FCFF per share	0.45	0.58	2.01	1.05	1.35
FCFE per share	(0.08)	1.79	0.41	0.61	0.74
Recurring cash flow per share	2.24	2.28	2.40	2.53	2.72

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2024	2025	2026E	2027E	2028E
Tangible fixed assets (gross)	65,367	68,237	74,012	79,787	85,037
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(34,742)	(37,337)	(42,502)	(47,941)	(53,606)
<b>Tangible fixed assets (net)</b>	<b>30,626</b>	<b>30,900</b>	<b>31,510</b>	<b>31,845</b>	<b>31,430</b>
<b>Intangible fixed assets (net)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Long-term financial assets	-	-	-	-	-
Invest. in associates & subsidiaries	9,420	9,736	9,736	9,736	9,736
Cash & equivalents	8,333	8,563	7,188	6,490	5,977
A/C receivable	16,876	18,074	14,795	15,347	15,941
Inventories	43,626	47,639	45,277	46,967	48,784
Other current assets	10,813	8,633	11,528	11,659	11,655
<b>Current assets</b>	<b>79,649</b>	<b>82,909</b>	<b>78,787</b>	<b>80,463</b>	<b>82,357</b>
Other assets	35,218	34,781	35,277	36,594	38,010
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>154,912</b>	<b>158,326</b>	<b>155,311</b>	<b>158,638</b>	<b>161,533</b>
Common equity	48,635	44,704	45,196	46,960	48,920
Minorities etc.	7,678	7,379	7,462	7,741	8,041
<b>Total shareholders' equity</b>	<b>56,313</b>	<b>52,083</b>	<b>52,659</b>	<b>54,701</b>	<b>56,960</b>
Long term debt	38,594	47,120	46,120	45,370	44,620
Other long-term liabilities	9,418	10,222	8,819	9,148	9,502
<b>Long-term liabilities</b>	<b>48,012</b>	<b>57,342</b>	<b>54,939</b>	<b>54,518</b>	<b>54,122</b>
A/C payable	18,522	18,684	19,620	20,352	21,140
Short term debt	29,917	28,113	26,058	26,956	27,118
Other current liabilities	2,149	2,104	2,035	2,111	2,193
<b>Current liabilities</b>	<b>50,588</b>	<b>48,901</b>	<b>47,713</b>	<b>49,419</b>	<b>50,451</b>
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>	<b>154,912</b>	<b>158,326</b>	<b>155,311</b>	<b>158,638</b>	<b>161,533</b>
Net working capital	50,645	53,558	49,944	51,510	53,047
Invested capital	125,909	128,976	126,468	129,685	132,223

\* Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being treated as debt

Per share (THB)	2024	2025	2026E	2027E	2028E
Book value per share	10.92	10.03	10.14	10.54	10.98
Tangible book value per share	10.92	10.03	10.14	10.54	10.98

Financial strength	2024	2025	2026E	2027E	2028E
Net debt/equity (%)	106.9	128.0	123.4	120.4	115.4
Net debt/total assets (%)	38.8	42.1	41.8	41.5	40.7
Current ratio (x)	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6
CF interest cover (x)	0.9	4.2	1.7	2.1	2.3

Valuation	2024	2025	2026E	2027E	2028E
<b>Recurring P/E (x) *</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>11.1</b>	<b>10.5</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>9.0</b>
<b>Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *</b>	<b>12.4</b>	<b>14.0</b>	<b>13.2</b>	<b>12.6</b>	<b>11.3</b>
Reported P/E (x)	10.1	10.9	10.5	10.0	9.0
Dividend yield (%)	6.2	5.9	6.2	6.5	7.2
Price/book (x)	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0
Price/tangible book (x)	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0
EV/EBITDA (x) **	9.3	10.7	9.7	9.4	8.8
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	10.3	11.8	10.8	10.4	9.8
EV/invested capital (x)	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9

\* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted \*\* EBITDA includes associate income and recurring non-operating income

Sources: Thai Union Group; FSSIA estimates

# Thai Union Group PCL (TU TB)

**FSSIA ESG rating**

★★★★★

## Exhibit 23: FSSIA ESG score implication

**82.75 /100**

Rating	Score	Implication
★★★★★	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
★★★★	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
★★★	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
★★	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
★	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

## Exhibit 24: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA ESG score	Domestic ratings						Global ratings					Bloomberg		
		DJSI	SET ESG	SET ESG Rating	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	68.20	5.83	4.61	4.25	4.61	4.21	3.95	Medium	53.02	BBB	24.44	60.65	67.96	2.33	35.35
Coverage	64.39	4.92	4.71	4.23	4.44	4.07	3.65	Medium	49.86	BB	18.30	53.38	63.50	2.45	35.11
BTG	59.41	--	Y	AAA	5.00	4.00	Certified	High	--	BBB	--	59.71	41.00	4.62	61.44
CPF	79.53	Y	Y	AAA	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	69.36	BB	44.00	55.79	83.00	4.84	68.27
GFPT	49.94	--	Y	AA	5.00	5.00	Certified	High	--	--	--	60.51	24.00	4.42	56.26
TU	82.75	Y	Y	AA	5.00	5.00	Certified	High	65.04	A	41.00	78.82	89.00	3.81	77.73
TFG	31.28	--	--	AA	5.00	5.00	Certified	Severe	--	--	--	38.50	--	--	49.40

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

## Exhibit 25: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
<b>ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score</b>	<b>2.56</b>	<b>2.86</b>	<b>2.84</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>3.29</b>	<b>3.52</b>	<b>3.70</b>	<b>3.81</b>
BESG environmental pillar score	2.51	3.33	3.36	3.58	4.80	4.54	4.63	4.77
BESG social pillar score	1.28	1.41	1.36	1.53	1.54	1.54	1.57	1.57
BESG governance pillar score	4.21	3.95	3.89	3.93	3.40	4.54	5.03	5.25
<b>ESG disclosure score</b>	<b>56.98</b>	<b>60.30</b>	<b>60.75</b>	<b>63.74</b>	<b>70.52</b>	<b>74.16</b>	<b>75.64</b>	<b>77.73</b>
Environmental disclosure score	44.64	48.32	48.66	48.66	69.50	76.26	84.87	84.87
Social disclosure score	42.59	42.59	43.62	52.60	52.12	56.29	52.12	52.12
Governance disclosure score	83.59	89.86	89.86	89.86	89.86	89.86	89.86	96.12
<b>Environmental</b>								
Emissions reduction initiatives	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
GHG scope 1	367	370	337	311	323	296	269	228
GHG scope 2 location-based	179	170	173	183	188	181	165	178
GHG Scope 3	—	—	—	—	4,072	3,555	4,165	4,165
Carbon per unit of production	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Biodiversity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	1,251	1,196	1,097	1,181	1,209	1,243	1,172	1,098
Renewable energy use	48	40	53	75	250	124	134	191
Electricity used	369	350	354	379	375	365	338	364
Fuel used - natural gas	7,918	7,030	3,052	7,182	8,309	269,310	265,220	264,482

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

**Exhibit 26: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)**

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	0	1	1	1	3	1	1	1
Total waste	27	57	45	84	78	69	67	73
Waste recycled	—	27	26	51	32	43	38	46
Waste sent to landfills	27	22	14	14	14	25	28	26
Environmental supply chain management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	—	—	—	—	4,380	7,310	4,790	5,900
<b>Social</b>								
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Consumer data protection policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pct women in workforce	—	—	—	—	57	57	57	57
Pct disabled in workforce	—	—	—	—	2	2	2	2
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total recordable incident rate - employees	—	—	—	1	1	1	1	1
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Number of employees – CSR	51,143	47,314	44,551	44,101	44,705	48,630	44,797	44,753
Employee turnover pct	15	13	16	17	13	20	18	19
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	767,145	264,958	209,390	260,196	90,926	62,032	279,261	168,085
Social supply chain management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>Governance</b>								
Board size	12	12	12	12	12	11	11	11
No. of independent directors (ID)	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5
No. of women on board	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2
No. of non-executive directors on board	6	6	6	6	6	6	7	7
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	6	5	6	7	5	8	8	8
Board meeting attendance pct	89	85	90	92	95	92	93	99
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49
Age of the oldest director	83	84	85	86	87	80	81	82
No. of executives / company managers	12	11	12	12	11	11	11	10
No. of female executives	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Audit committee meetings	21	14	21	12	5	13	9	8
Audit meeting attendance %	89	93	97	94	100	97	96	100
Size of compensation committee	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
No. of ID on compensation committee	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
No. of compensation committee meetings	2	2	2	2	4	3	2	4
Compensation meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Size of nomination committee	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
No. of nomination committee meetings	2	2	2	2	4	3	2	4
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
<b>Sustainability governance</b>								
Verification type	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

## Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating																			
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.																			
SET ESG Ratings List (SETESG) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	SET ESG quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for <b>SETESG inclusion</b> , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. <b>SETESG Index</b> is extended from the SET ESG Ratings companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.																			
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).																			
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&amp;A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i>	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.																			
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i>	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.																			
Morningstar Sustainalytics	The Sustainalytics' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality &amp; peer reviews.</i>	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table border="1" style="margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th>NEGL</th> <th>Low</th> <th>Medium</th> <th>High</th> <th>Severe</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0-10</td> <td>10-20</td> <td>20-30</td> <td>30-40</td> <td>40+</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+									
NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe																	
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																	
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.																			
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table border="1" style="margin-top: 10px;"> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>AAA</b></td> <td>8.571-10.000</td> <td rowspan="3"><b>Leader:</b></td> <td rowspan="3">leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>AA</b></td> <td>7.143-8.570</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>A</b></td> <td>5.714-7.142</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>BBB</b></td> <td>4.286-5.713</td> <td rowspan="3"><b>Average:</b></td> <td rowspan="3">a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>BB</b></td> <td>2.857-4.285</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>B</b></td> <td>1.429-2.856</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>CCC</b></td> <td>0.000-1.428</td> <td><b>Laggard:</b></td> <td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>AAA</b>	8.571-10.000	<b>Leader:</b>	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities	<b>AA</b>	7.143-8.570	<b>A</b>	5.714-7.142	<b>BBB</b>	4.286-5.713	<b>Average:</b>	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers	<b>BB</b>	2.857-4.285	<b>B</b>	1.429-2.856	<b>CCC</b>	0.000-1.428	<b>Laggard:</b>	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks
<b>AAA</b>	8.571-10.000	<b>Leader:</b>	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities																		
<b>AA</b>	7.143-8.570																				
<b>A</b>	5.714-7.142																				
<b>BBB</b>	4.286-5.713	<b>Average:</b>	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers																		
<b>BB</b>	2.857-4.285																				
<b>B</b>	1.429-2.856																				
<b>CCC</b>	0.000-1.428	<b>Laggard:</b>	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks																		
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.																				
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; &gt;25 to 50 = satisfactory; &gt;50 to 75 = good; and &gt;75 to 100 = excellent.)</i>																				
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.																				
Bloomberg	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.																			
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.																			

**Rating** regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "**CG Score**"; 2) "**AGM Level**"; 3) "**Thai CAC**"; and 4) "**SETESG**". The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

**GENERAL DISCLAIMER**

**ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION**

Sureeporn Teewasuwet FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited and FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited are subsidiaries of Finansia X Public Company Limited, with shared directors or executives.


The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

**History of change in investment rating and/or target price**

**Thai Union Group (TU TB)**



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
09-May-2023	BUY	20.00	03-Oct-2024	BUY	19.40	01-Jul-2025	HOLD	11.70
10-Jul-2023	BUY	18.00	16-Jan-2025	HOLD	14.70	05-Aug-2025	HOLD	13.50
23-Jan-2024	BUY	19.00	18-Feb-2025	BUY	15.00	01-Oct-2025	HOLD	14.00
20-Feb-2024	BUY	17.30	08-Apr-2025	HOLD	11.00	16-Jan-2026	HOLD	14.20

Sureeporn Teewasuwet started covering this stock from 23-Jan-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Thai Union Group	TU TB	THB 11.30	BUY	Downside and upside risks to our TP include 1) a slower or faster-than-expected revenue recovery; 2) higher or lower-than-expected freight costs; 3) more fluctuating raw material costs than expected; 4) higher-than-expected labor costs and labor shortages; and 5) a stronger baht than expected.

Source: FSSIA estimates

**Additional Disclosures**

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 02-Apr-2026 unless otherwise stated.

## RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

### Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as  $(\text{target price}^* - \text{current price}) / \text{current price}$ .

**BUY (B).** The upside is 10% or more.

**HOLD (H).** The upside or downside is less than 10%.

**REDUCE (R).** The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

\* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

### Industry Recommendations

**Overweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

**Neutral.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

**Underweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

### Country (Strategy) Recommendations

**Overweight (O).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

**Neutral (N).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

**Underweight (U).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.