12 NOVEMBER 2025

EQUITY RESEARCH - RESULTS FLASH

MUANGTHAI CAPITAL MTC TB

THAILAND / FINANCE & SECURITIES

FINANSIA
FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY

FSSIA ESG rating ★★★★

BUY

UNCHANGED

3Q25 results at first glance

TARGET PRICE	THB58.00
CLOSE	THB39.75
UP/DOWNSIDE	+45.9%
TP vs CONSENSUS	+16.0%

In-line 3Q25 results with benign NPLs but high funding cost

MTC reported a 3Q25 net profit of THB1.72b (+16% y-y, +5% q-q), in line with our forecast and Bloomberg consensus. Loan growth remained strong at 13.3% y-y and 3.2% q-q, while the NPL ratio was stable at 2.6%, matching our expectations.

Overall, asset quality was healthy, with our calculated NPL formation rate at 248bp, slightly higher q-q but still far below the 4Q22 peak of 393bp. The higher formation rate pushed credit cost up to 2.66%, while the NPL coverage ratio improved to 140%, reinforcing MTC's buffer against potential asset quality risks.

On the negative side, funding cost increased to 4.79% (+19bp q-q), above our estimate, due to higher foreign borrowing costs. However, the impact was largely offset by strong operating efficiency, with a cost-to-income ratio of 46.9% (vs FSSIA forecast of 47.5%).

9M25 net profit contributed 75% of our full-year forecast.

We maintain our BUY rating and 2026 target price of THB58, derived from a GGM-based valuation of 2.48x 2026E P/BV (ROE 16.4%, COE 10.2%). We continue to expect strong net profit growth underpinned by sustained loan expansion, operational efficiency gains, and stable asset quality.

Highlights

- **(+) Loan growth:** MTC's gross loans reached THB180.5b, up 13.3% y-y and 3.2% q-q, which was in line with our estimate. Key products were auto title loans and motorcycle title loans.
- **(0) NIM:** 3Q25 NIM was 13.76% (flat q-q, -50bp y-y), supported by a higher loan yield of 17.39% (+19bp q-q) but offset by increased funding cost. We believe lower-cost domestic funding was not yet sufficient to offset high USD funding costs this quarter.
- **(+) Cost-to-income ratio:** OPEX rose 8% y-y and was flat q-q due to branch expansion and staff incentives, resulting in a cost-to-income ratio of 46.9%.
- **(+) Asset quality**: We view MTC's asset quality as benign, with an NPL ratio of 2.60% in 3Q25 (vs 2.62% in 2Q25 and 2.82% in 3Q24). The NPL coverage ratio increased to 140% (from a trough of 96% three years ago), reflecting a stronger cushion against future uncertainty.

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Operating profit	7,328	8,279	9,649	10,730
Net profit	5,867	6,624	7,719	8,584
EPS (THB)	2.77	3.12	3.64	4.05
vs Consensus (%)	-	(0.5)	1.3	0.3
Recurring net profit	5,867	6,624	7,719	8,584
Core EPS (THB)	2.77	3.12	3.64	4.05
EPS growth (%)	19.6	12.9	16.5	11.2
Core P/E (x)	14.4	12.7	10.9	9.8
Dividend yield (%)	0.6	1.2	1.4	1.5
Price/book (x)	2.3	2.0	1.7	1.5
ROE (%)	17.0	16.6	16.6	16.0
ROA (%)	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.8



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	(1.9)	6.7	(15.9)
Relative to country (%)	(2.9)	3.3	(5.8)

Mkt cap (USD m)	2,600
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)	8.2
Free float (%)	20
Major shareholder	Petaumpai Family (67%)
12m high/low (THB)	51.50/33.75
Issued shares (m)	2,120

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates

Nathapol Pongsukcharoenkul
Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 049193
nathapol.p@fssia.com, +66 2646 9974

Peemapon Nunthakunatip
Research Assistant

PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

Exhibit 1: MTC – 3Q25 results summary

Profit and loss	3Q24	4Q24	1Q25	2Q25	3Q25	--------- Change -------		9M25	Change	% of 25E
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	(q-q%)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	(%)
Interest income	6,937	7,086	7,058	7,357	7,721	*11.3*	*4.9*	22,136	*11.4*	*74.0*
Interest expense	1,343	1,441	1,451	1,472	1,612	*20.1*	*9.5*	4,536	*22.6*	*77.6*
Net interest income	**5,594**	**5,645**	**5,607**	**5,885**	**6,108**	***9.2***	***3.8***	**17,600**	***8.8***	***73.1***
Non-interest income	233	184	185	188	173	*(25.5)*	*(8.0)*	546	*(28.3)*	*57.4*
Non-interest expenses	2,734	2,766	2,829	2,953	2,945	*7.7*	*(0.2)*	8,727	*8.8*	*71.7*
Pre-provision profits	**3,093**	**3,063**	**2,963**	**3,121**	**3,336**	***7.9***	***6.9***	**9,420**	***5.8***	***73.2***
Provisioning expense	1,222	1,137	998	1,061	1,181	*(3.3)*	*11.3*	3,240	*(7.6)*	*70.5*
Profit before tax	1,871	1,926	1,965	2,060	2,155	*15.2*	*4.6*	6,180	*14.4*	*74.6*
Tax expense	380	383	394	413	431	*13.6*	*4.4*	1,238	*14.9*	*74.7*
Net profit	**1,491**	**1,543**	**1,571**	**1,647**	**1,724**	***15.6***	***4.7***	**4,942**	***14.3***	***74.6***

Key drivers and ratios	3Q24	4Q24	1Q25	2Q25	3Q25	--------- Change ---------		9M25	Change
% unless stated otherwise	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)	(THB m)	(ppt)
Gross loans (THB m)	159,323	164,242	167,560	174,807	180,453	*13.3%*	*3.2%*	180,453	*13.26*
Yield on loans	17.67	17.52	17.02	17.19	17.39	*(0.29)*	*0.19*	17.20	*(0.32)*
Cost of funds	4.39	4.61	4.64	4.60	4.79	*0.40*	*0.19*	4.68	*0.43*
Net interest margin	14.25	13.96	13.52	13.75	13.76	*(0.50)*	*0.00*	13.68	*(0.59)*
Cost-to-income ratio	46.92	47.46	48.84	48.61	46.89	*(0.03)*	*(1.72)*	48.11	*0.72*
Credit cost	3.11	2.81	2.41	2.48	2.66	*(0.45)*	*0.18*	2.52	*(0.58)*
NPL ratio	2.82	2.75	2.69	2.62	2.60	*(0.21)*	*(0.02)*	2.60	*(0.21)*
NPL coverage	129.52	135.30	138.33	139.01	139.72	*10.20*	*0.70*	139.72	*10.20*
ROE	17.04	16.99	16.66	16.88	17.07	*0.04*	*0.19*	16.87	*(0.18)*
Debt to equity	379.43	362.33	351.17	355.06	361.95	*(17.48)*	*6.89*	361.95	*(17.48)*

Sources: MTC, FSSIA compilation

Exhibit 2: Credit cost and NPL ratio



Sources: MTC, FSSIA compilation

Exhibit 3: NPL formation rate



Sources: MTC, FSSIA compilation

Exhibit 4: MTC's loans by stage



Sources: MTC, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 5: Quarterly yield, cost of funds and NIM



Sources: MTC, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 6: NPL and coverage ratio



Sources: MTC, FSSIA's compilation

Financial Statements

Muangthai Capital

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Interest Income	23,500	26,956	29,933	33,437	36,766
Interest expense	(3,857)	(5,142)	(5,842)	(6,267)	(6,769)
Net interest income	19,644	21,814	24,091	27,170	29,997
Net fees & commission	921	781	952	1,052	1,147
Foreign exchange trading income	-	-	-	-	-
Securities trading income	-	-	-	-	-
Dividend income	-	-	-	-	-
Other income	105	165	0	0	0
Non interest income	1,026	946	952	1,052	1,147
Total income	20,669	22,760	25,044	28,222	31,145
Staff costs	(9,702)	(10,790)	(12,169)	(13,220)	(14,321)
Other operating costs	-	-	-	-	-
Operating costs	(9,702)	(10,790)	(12,169)	(13,220)	(14,321)
Pre provision operating profit	10,967	11,970	12,874	15,002	16,823
Expected credit loss	(4,841)	(4,642)	(4,595)	(5,354)	(6,093)
Other provisions	-	-	-	-	-
Operating profit	6,126	7,328	8,279	9,649	10,730
Recurring non operating income	0	0	0	0	0
Associates	0	0	0	0	0
Goodwill amortization	-	-	-	-	-
Non recurring items	0	0	0	0	0
Profit before tax	6,126	7,328	8,279	9,649	10,730
Tax	(1,220)	(1,461)	(1,656)	(1,930)	(2,146)
Profit after tax	4,906	5,867	6,624	7,719	8,584
Non-controlling interest	0	0	0	0	0
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	0
Other items	0	0	0	0	0
Reported net profit	4,906	5,867	6,624	7,719	8,584
Non recurring items & goodwill (net)	-	-	-	-	-
Recurring net profit	4,906	5,867	6,624	7,719	8,584
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	2.31	2.77	3.12	3.64	4.05
Reported EPS	2.31	2.77	3.12	3.64	4.05
DPS	0.21	0.25	0.47	0.55	0.61
Growth					
Net interest income (%)	19.9	11.1	10.4	12.8	10.4
Non interest income (%)	18.0	(7.8)	0.7	10.5	9.1
Pre provision operating profit (%)	19.4	9.1	7.6	16.5	12.1
Operating profit (%)	(3.7)	19.6	13.0	16.5	11.2
Reported net profit (%)	(3.7)	19.6	12.9	16.5	11.2
Recurring EPS (%)	(3.7)	19.6	12.9	16.5	11.2
Reported EPS (%)	(3.7)	19.6	12.9	16.5	11.2
Income Breakdown					
Net interest income (%)	95.0	95.8	96.2	96.3	96.3
Net fees & commission (%)	4.5	3.4	3.8	3.7	3.7
Foreign exchange trading income (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Securities trading income (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Dividend income (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Other income (%)	0.5	0.7	-	-	-
Operating performance					
Gross interest yield (%)	17.81	17.53	17.15	17.20	17.22
Cost of funds (%)	3.83	4.39	4.45	4.33	4.29
Net interest spread (%)	13.98	13.14	12.70	12.87	12.93
Net interest margin (%)	14.9	14.2	13.8	14.0	14.1
Cost/income(%)	46.9	47.4	48.6	46.8	46.0
Cost/assets(%)	7.0	6.7	6.7	6.5	6.3
Effective tax rate (%)	19.9	19.9	20.0	20.0	20.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	9.1	9.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
ROE (%)	16.1	17.0	16.6	16.6	16.0
ROE - COE (%)	5.9	6.8	6.4	6.4	5.8
ROA (%)	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.8
RORWA (%)	-	-	-	-	-

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted

Sources: Muangthai Capital; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Muangthai Capital

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Gross customer loans	143,318	164,242	184,736	204,117	222,852
Allowance for expected credit loss	(5,169)	(6,112)	(6,417)	(6,971)	(7,564)
interest in suspense	-	-	-	-	-
Net customer loans	**138,149**	**158,130**	**178,319**	**197,147**	**215,288**
Bank loans	-	-	-	-	-
Government securities	-	-	-	-	-
Trading securities	-	-	-	-	-
Investment securities	0	0	0	0	0
Cash & equivalents	2,822	3,126	3,364	3,755	4,124
Other interesting assets	-	-	-	-	-
Tangible fixed assets	7,012	7,339	8,411	9,387	14,435
Associates	0	0	0	0	0
Goodwill	-	-	-	-	-
Other intangible assets	65	80	168	188	206
Other assets	955	757	1,178	1,314	1,444
Total assets	**150,156**	**170,846**	**193,123**	**213,667**	**237,559**
Customer deposits	-	-	-	-	-
Bank deposits	-	-	-	-	-
Other interest bearing liabilities	109,864	124,323	137,999	151,798	163,942
Non interest bearing liabilities	8,375	9,570	12,078	12,097	16,419
Hybrid Capital	-	-	-	-	-
Total liabilities	**118,239**	**133,893**	**150,076**	**163,895**	**180,361**
Share capital	2,120	2,120	2,120	2,120	2,120
Reserves	29,797	34,833	40,927	47,652	55,078
Total equity	**31,917**	**36,953**	**43,047**	**49,772**	**57,198**
Non-controlling interest	0	0	0	0	0
Total liabilities & equity	**150,156**	**170,846**	**193,123**	**213,667**	**237,559**
Supplementary items					
Risk weighted assets (RWA)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average interest earning assets	131,966	153,780	174,489	194,427	213,485
Average interest bearing liabilities	100,795	117,094	131,161	144,898	157,870
CET 1 capital	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Total capital	0	0	0	0	0
Gross non performing loans (NPL)	4,461	4,517	4,935	5,338	5,816
Per share (THB)					
Book value per share	15.06	17.43	20.31	23.48	26.98
Tangible book value per share	15.02	17.39	20.23	23.39	26.88
Growth					
Gross customer loans	18.8	14.6	12.5	10.5	9.2
Average interest earning assets	24.2	16.5	13.5	11.4	9.8
Total asset (%)	17.2	13.8	13.0	10.6	11.2
Risk weighted assets (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Customer deposits (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Leverage & capital measures					
Customer loan/deposits (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Equity/assets (%)	21.3	21.6	22.3	23.3	24.1
Tangible equity/assets (%)	21.2	21.6	22.2	23.2	24.0
RWA/assets (%)	-	-	-	-	-
CET 1 CAR (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Total CAR (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Asset Quality (FSSIA's calculation)					
Change in NPL (%)	27.2	1.3	9.2	8.2	8.9
NPL/gross loans (%)	3.1	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.6
Allowance for ECL/gross loans (%)	3.6	3.7	3.5	3.4	3.4
Allowance for ECL/NPL (%)	115.9	135.3	130.0	130.6	130.1

Valuation	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Recurring P/E (x) *	17.2	14.4	12.7	10.9	9.8
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	25.1	21.0	18.6	15.9	14.3
Reported P/E (x)	17.2	14.4	12.7	10.9	9.8
Dividend yield (%)	0.5	0.6	1.2	1.4	1.5
Price/book (x)	2.6	2.3	2.0	1.7	1.5
Price/tangible book (x)	2.6	2.3	2.0	1.7	1.5
Price/tangible book @ target price (x)	3.9	3.3	2.9	2.5	2.2

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted

Sources: Muangthai Capital; FSSIA estimates

Muangthai Capital PCL (MTC TB)

FSSIA ESG rating

★★★★

59.64 /100

Exhibit 7: FSSIA ESG score implication

Rating	Score	Implication
★★★★★	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
★★★★	>59-79	**A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.**
★★★	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
★★	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
★	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 8: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA	Domestic ratings						Global ratings						Bloomberg	
	ESG score	DJSI	SET ESG	SET ESG Rating	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	67.71	5.69	4.38	4.05	4.77	4.43	4.02	Medium	57.34	BBB	22.70	60.82	67.31	1.19	35.34
Coverage	66.17	5.16	4.33	3.94	4.81	4.43	3.83	Medium	56.41	BBB	18.92	59.20	65.82	1.38	35.46
MTC	59.64	--	Y	AAA	5.00	4.00	Certified	Medium	47.15	AA	--	62.28	40.00	--	--
SAK	39.73	--	Y	A	5.00	4.00	Certified	--	--	--	--	44.31	16.00	2.13	45.88
SAWAD	57.03	--	Y	AA	5.00	4.00	Declared	Medium	63.22	BBB	--	27.77	19.00	3.51	47.89
TIDLOR	30.91	--	--	--	--	4.00	Certified	Medium	--	--	--	43.52	19.00	1.90	39.47
TK	15.00	--	--	--	5.00	5.00	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 9: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	**1.51**	**2.07**	**2.16**	**2.39**	**4.00**	**3.27**	**2.59**	**—**
BESG environmental pillar score	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.02	0.03	0.03	—
BESG social pillar score	0.58	1.37	1.37	1.69	4.57	3.27	2.10	—
BESG governance pillar score	4.41	4.57	4.91	4.95	4.95	4.82	4.83	—
ESG disclosure score	**32.18**	**32.89**	**35.76**	**39.62**	**44.88**	**50.20**	**57.75**	**—**
Environmental disclosure score	0.00	0.00	0.33	7.28	15.22	32.74	36.12	—
Social disclosure score	15.84	17.41	25.70	30.35	31.92	30.35	49.67	—
Governance disclosure score	80.52	81.10	81.10	81.10	87.36	87.36	87.36	—
Environmental								
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Climate change policy	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
GHG scope 1	—	—	—	2	7	6	8	10
GHG scope 2 location-based	—	—	—	—	16	18	21	24
GHG Scope 3	—	—	—	—	1	1	1	1
Carbon per unit of production	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Energy efficiency policy	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	—	—	—	9	55	36	69	83
Renewable energy use	—	—	—	—	—	0	0	—
Electricity used	—	—	—	—	32	36	42	49
Fuel used - natural gas	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 10: ESG score by Bloomberg *(cont.)*

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Waste recycled	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Waste sent to landfills	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water policy	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	—	—	—	—	987	—	—	—
Social								
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Consumer data protection policy	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Pct women in workforce	—	—	—	—	11	11	10	10
Pct disabled in workforce	—	0	0	0	0	—	—	—
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	—	—	—	—	—	—	0	0
Total recordable incident rate - employees	—	—	—	1	1	0	0	0
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Number of employees – CSR	4,185	5,893	7,660	9,576	10,328	11,460	13,005	14,873
Employee turnover pct	—	—	—	—	—	—	29	12
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	—	—	70,395	60,328	34,082	34,036	78,030	164,495
Social supply chain management	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Governance								
Board size	**7**	**7**	**7**	**8**	**8**	**7**	**7**	**7**
No. of independent directors (ID)	**5**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**4**	**4**	**4**
No. of women on board	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of non-executive directors on board	5	5	5	6	6	5	5	5
Company conducts board evaluations	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	5	7	7	6	9	8	8	7
Board meeting attendance pct	100	96	100	94	96	100	100	100
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	57	58	59	36	39	40	41	42
Age of the oldest director	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75
No. of executives / company managers	**12**	**12**	**13**	**13**	**12**	**13**	**13**	**13**
No. of female executives	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Audit committee meetings	4	4	4	4	4	4	7	4
Audit meeting attendance %	100	92	100	92	100	100	100	100
Size of compensation committee	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**
No. of ID on compensation committee	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2
No. of compensation committee meetings	1	2	2	2	2	3	2	2
Compensation meeting attendance %	100	83	100	83	83	100	100	100
Size of nomination committee	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**
No. of nomination committee meetings	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	2
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	83	100	83	83	100	100	100
Sustainability governance								
Verification type	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.
SET ESG Ratings List (SETESG) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	SET ESG quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for **SETESG inclusion**, verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. **SETESG Index** is extended from the SET ESG Ratings companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). (*The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)*	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. *(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)*	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.
Morningstar Sustainalytics	The Sustainalytics' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. *Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.*	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. NEGL: 0-10 / Low: 10-20 / Medium: 20-30 / High: 30-40 / Severe: 40+
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. AAA 8.571-10.000 / AA 7.143-8.570 / A 5.714-7.142 / BBB 4.286-5.713 / BB 2.857-4.285 / B 1.429-2.856 / CCC 0.000-1.428 **Leader:** leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities **Average:** a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers **Laggard:** lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks	
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.	
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. *(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)*	
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.	
Bloomberg	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "**CG Score**"; 2) "**AGM Level**"; 3) "**Thai CAC**"; and 4) ""**SETESG**" The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Nathapol Pongsukcharoenkul FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited and FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited are subsidiaries of Finansia X Public Company Limited, with shared directors or executives.

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price

Muangthai Capital (MTC TB)



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
08-Aug-2023	HOLD	37.00	08-May-2024	BUY	50.00	20-Feb-2025	BUY	56.00
19-Oct-2023	BUY	44.00	16-Sep-2024	BUY	62.00	06-Nov-2025	BUY	58.00
26-Jan-2024	HOLD	43.00	06-Jan-2025	BUY	60.00			

Nathapol Pongsukcharoenkul started covering this stock from 06-Jan-2025

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Muangthai Capital	MTC TB	THB 39.75	BUY	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) a further weakening of asset quality that could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) changes in financial regulations by the Bank of Thailand and the Office of Consumer Protection Board.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 11-Nov-2025 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.
HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.
REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.
Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.
Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.
Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.