EQUITY RESEARCH - ANALYST MEETING



THAILAND / FOOD & BEVERAGE









TARGET PRICE	THB24.00
CLOSE	THB18.10
UP/DOWNSIDE	+32.6%
TD vo CONCENCIA	. 24 00/

Key takeaways from analyst meeting

Highlights


- ผู้บริหารปรับลดเป้ารายได้ปี 2025 เป็นทรงตัวถึงติดลบเล็กน้อย (จากเดิม +2-3% y-y) ให้สอดคล้องกับ 1H25 ที่ -6.6% y-y เพราะมีการปรับสต็อกในช่วง 4 เดือนแรกของปี
- อัตรากำไรขั้นต้นที่ทำนิวไฮใน 2Q25 ระดับ 41.9% มาจากทั้งต้นทุนพลังงาน วัตถุดิบ และบรรจุภัณฑ์ที่ปรับลง ซึ่งได้ล็อคราคาไปถึงสิ้นปีแล้ว ดังนั้นแนวโน้มอัตรากำไรขั้นต้น ใน 2H25 น่าจะสูงระดับ 40%+- แต่คาดลดลงจาก 2Q25 เพราะตัวช่วยอย่าง product mix หายไป (รายได้ส่งออกเครื่องดื่มชูกำลังจะลดลงตามฤดูกาล)
- สำหรับ M150 ตัว 10 บาท ยังทรงตัวได้ดี และเป็นทางเลือกให้ผู้บริโภคในช่วงที่ เศรษฐกิจไม่ดี และจะทำกิจกรรมตัว 12 บาทขึ้นไป มากขึ้นในช่วง 2H25 โดยเน้น ประสิทธิภาพการใช้ค่าใช้จ่ายทางการตลาดมากขึ้น

Comments

- ระยะสั้น แนวโน้มรายได้เครื่องดื่มชูกำลัง 3Q25 จะกลับมาโต y-y และยังทำได้ดี q-q (ผู้บริหารระบุว่าไม่เห็นการปรับลง q-q ตามฤดูกาล) เพราะปรับสต็อกแล้วเสร็จ และให้ วิวว่า personal care ต่างประเทศจะฟื้นตัวได้ดีใน 2H25 เพราะขยายเข้าตลาดใหม่
- เบื้องต้นเรายังคงมุมมองเดิมว่า แนวโน้มกำไร 2H25 จะอ่อนลง h-h แต่คาดยังโต y-y อยู่ ที่ราวไตรมาสละ 750-800 ลบ.
- ยังคงเป้าเดิม 24 บาท

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	27,069	27,336	28,522	29,468
Net profit	1,638	3,846	3,684	3,812
EPS (THB)	0.55	1.28	1.23	1.27
vs Consensus (%)	-	8.2	4.2	3.7
EBITDA	4,953	5,888	6,128	6,344
Recurring net profit	3,038	3,552	3,684	3,812
Core EPS (THB)	1.01	1.18	1.23	1.27
EPS growth (%)	39.7	16.9	3.7	3.5
Core P/E (x)	17.9	15.3	14.8	14.3
Dividend yield (%)	3.3	5.7	5.8	6.0
EV/EBITDA (x)	11.1	8.9	8.5	8.2
Price/book (x)	3.5	3.3	3.2	3.1
Net debt/Equity (%)	2.8	(12.3)	(12.6)	(14.0)
ROE (%)	19.1	22.2	22.0	22.0



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month		
Absolute (%)	14.6	20.7	(23.6)		
Relative to country (%)	5.0	13.8	(22.2)		
Mkt cap (USD m)			1,679		
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)			5.7		
Free float (%)			52		
Major shareholder	Osathanugrah Family (39%)				
12m high/low (THB)		2	4.30/12.90		
Issued shares (m)			3,003.75		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Sureeporn Teewasuwet


Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 040694 sureeporn.t@fssia.com, +66 2646 9972

Exhibit 1: Maintain assumptions for OSP

	Actual		Forecast			Growth	
	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E	2025E	2026E	2027E
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Total revenue	27,069	27,336	28,522	29,468	1.0	4.3	3.3
Costs	16,966	16,456	17,170	17,740	(3.0)	4.3	3.3
Gross profit	10,103	10,880	11,352	11,728	7.7	4.3	3.3
SG&A expense	6,952	6,834	7,130	7,367	(1.7)	4.3	3.3
Interest expense	118	144	137	135	22.1	(4.4)	(1.5)
Profit sharing	208	153	200	206	(26.4)	30.4	3.3
Reported net profit	1,638	3,846	3,684	3,812	134.8	(4.2)	3.5
Core profit	3,038	3,552	3,684	3,812	16.9	3.7	3.5
Key ratios (%)							
Total revenue growth	3.9	1.0	4.3	3.3			
Net profit growth	(31.8)	134.8	(4.2)	3.5			
Core profit growth	39.7	16.9	3.7	3.5			
Gross margin	37.3	39.8	39.8	39.8	2.5	0.0	0.0
SG&A to sales	25.7	25.0	25.0	25.0	(0.7)	0.0	0.0
Net margin	6.1	14.1	12.9	12.9	8.0	(1.2)	0.0
Core margin	11.2	13.0	12.9	12.9	1.8	(0.1)	0.0
Operating statistics (THB m)							
Beverage revenue	22,154	23,027	23,998	24,717	3.9	4.2	3.0
Personal care revenue	2,804	2,944	3,092	3,246	5.0	5.0	5.0
Others revenue	2,111	1,364	1,432	1,504	(35.4)	5.0	5.0


Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 2: Total revenue and growth




Sources: OSP; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 3: Revenue from domestic and overseas




Sources: OSP; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 4: Gross margin




Sources: OSP; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 6: Net profit and net margin




Sources: OSP, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 5: SG&A to sales



Sources: OSP; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 7: Core profit and core profit margin



Sources: OSP, FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Osotspa

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	26,062	27,069	27,336	28,522	29,468
Cost of goods sold	(17,059)	(16,966)	(16,456)	(17,170)	(17,740)
Gross profit	9,003	10,103	10,880	11,352	11,728
Other operating income	197	314	287	285	295
Operating costs	(6,610)	(6,952)	(6,834)	(7,130)	(7,367)
Operating EBITDA	4,137	4,953	5,888	6,128	6,344
Depreciation	(1,548)	(1,488)	(1,555)	(1,621)	(1,688)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	0
Operating EBIT	2,589	3,465	4,333	4,506	4,656
Net financing costs	(108)	(118)	(144)	(137)	(135)
Associates	146	208	153	200	206
Recurring non-operating income	146	208	153	200	206
Non-recurring items	227	(1,400)	295	0	0
Profit before tax	2,854	2,156	4,637	4,569	4,727
Гах Э	(431)	(398)	(578)	(685)	(709)
Profit after tax	2,423	1,758	4,059	3,884	4,018
Minority interests	(21)	(120)	(213)	(200)	(206)
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	C
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
Reported net profit	2,402	1,638	3,846	3,684	3,812
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(227)	1,400	(295)	0	0
Recurring net profit	2,175	3,038	3,552	3,684	3,812
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	0.72	1.01	1.18	1.23	1.27
Reported EPS	0.80	0.55	1.28	1.23	1.27
OPS .	1.65	0.60	1.02	1.04	1.08
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	3,004	3,004	3,004	3,004	3,004
Growth					
Revenue (%)	(4.4)	3.9	1.0	4.3	3.3
Operating EBITDA (%)	13.5	19.7	18.9	4.1	3.5
Operating EBIT (%)	26.5	33.8	25.0	4.0	3.3
Recurring EPS (%)	13.7	39.7	16.9	3.7	3.5
Reported EPS (%)	24.2	(31.8)	134.8	(4.2)	3.5
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	34.5	37.3	39.8	39.8	39.8
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	40.5	42.8	45.5	45.5	45.5
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	15.9	18.3	21.5	21.5	21.5
Operating EBIT margin (%)	9.9	12.8	15.9	15.8	15.8
Net margin (%)	8.3	11.2	13.0	12.9	12.9
Effective tax rate (%)	15.1	18.5	12.5	15.0	15.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	227.8	59.3	86.6	85.0	85.0
nterest cover (X)	25.4	31.3	31.3	34.3	36.0
nventory days	79.7	86.3	75.6	59.5	59.8
Debtor days	59.1	63.3	59.7	51.6	51.9
Creditor days	54.0	61.1	62.7	48.7	48.9
Operating ROIC (%)	12.9	18.2	24.4	24.6	24.4
ROIC (%)	11.4	17.3	23.1	23.9	24.1
ROE (%)	12.5	19.1	22.2	22.0	22.0
ROA (%)	9.0	13.4	16.1	16.4	16.5
Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted					
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Beverage	21,130	22,154	23,027	23,998	24,717
	2,486	2,804	2,944	3,092	3,246
Personal care					

Sources: Osotspa; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Osotspa

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027
Recurring net profit	2,175	3,038	3,552	3,684	3,81
Depreciation	1,548	1,488	1,555	1,621	1,68
Associates & minorities	48	(93)	(280)	(183)	(189
Other non-cash items	-	1,400	(295)	0	
Change in working capital	(1,072)	49	1,138	(281)	(166
Cash flow from operations	2,699	5,882	5,670	4,842	5,14
Capex - maintenance	(3,102)	(1,199)	(1,589)	(2,023)	(2,023
Capex - new investment	-	-	-	-	()-
Net acquisitions & disposals	_	_	_	_	
Other investments (net)	2,740	(702)	802	394	40
Cash flow from investing	(362)	(1,901)	(787)	(1,629)	(1,62
_	(2,585)		(3,077)	(3,131)	
Dividends paid	* * * *	(2,253)	* ' '	,	(3,24
Equity finance	0	0	0	0	
Debt finance	892	533	(1,186)	(86)	(8)
Other financing cash flows	(392)	(9)	391	39	
Cash flow from financing	(2,084)	(1,730)	(3,872)	(3,178)	(3,29
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	
Other adjustments	0	0	295	0	
let other adjustments	0	(1,400)	295	0	
Movement in cash	253	851	1,306	34	22
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	2,444.79	4,097.97	5,026.36	3,349.62	3,657.8
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	2,837.30	3,103.74	4,383.14	3,165.53	3,467.8
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,	-, -
Per share (THB)	2.24	4.00	4.07	4.40	
FCFF per share	0.81	1.36	1.67	1.12	1.2
FCFE per share	0.94	1.03	1.46	1.05	1.1
Recurring cash flow per share	1.26	1.94	1.51	1.71	1.7
Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027
angible fixed assets (gross)	28,149	27,689	29,689	31,689	33,68
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(15,130)	(16,371)	•		(20,12
•	, , ,		(16,817)	(18,439)	
Tangible fixed assets (net)	13,019	11,318	12,872	13,250	13,56
ntangible fixed assets (net)	267	226	226	226	22
ong-term financial assets	-	-	-	-	
nvest. in associates & subsidiaries	1,387	939	800	600	40
Cash & equivalents	1,339	2,190	3,496	3,530	3,75
VC receivable	4,390	4,993	3,950	4,119	4,25
nventories	3,627	3,696	2,480	2,587	2,67
Other current assets	21	313	137	257	29
Current assets	9,376	11,192	10,062	10,493	10,98
Other assets	352	352	137	143	14
Total assets	24,402	24,026	24,096	24,711	25,3
Common equity	16,326	15,493	16,473	17,026	17,59
Ainorities etc.					
	184	299	172	189	20
Total shareholders' equity	16,510	15,792	16,645	17,215	17,80
ong term debt	520	639	589	539	48
Other long-term liabilities	520	511	902	941	9
ong-term liabilities	1,040	1,150	1,491	1,480	1,46
VC payable	4,467	6,059	4,995	5,083	5,15
Short term debt	2,168	1,992	856	820	78
Other current liabilities	216	142	109	114	1
Current liabilities	6,852	8,193	5,960	6,017	6,0
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	24,402	25,135	24,096	24,711	25,3
let working capital	3,354	2,801	1,462	1,766	1,95
nvested capital	18,379	15,635	15,496	15,985	16,29
Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is beir		15,055	15,430	15,365	10,23
<u>. </u>	<u></u>				
Per share (THB)		F 10	F 10	F 07	-
Book value per share	5.44	5.16	5.48	5.67	5.
angible book value per share	5.35	5.08	5.41	5.59	5.1
inancial strength					
Net debt/equity (%)	8.2	2.8	(12.3)	(12.6)	(14.
Net debt/total assets (%)	5.5	1.8	(8.5)	(8.8)	(9.
Current ratio (x)	1.4	1.4	1.7	1.7	1
CF interest cover (x)	27.3	27.4	31.5	24.1	26
/aluation	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027
Recurring P/E (x) *	25.0	17.9	15.3	14.8	14
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	33.1	23.7	20.3	19.6	18
Reported P/E (x)	22.6	33.2	14.1	14.8	14
Dividend yield (%)	9.1	3.3	5.7	5.8	6
Price/book (x)	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.2	3
Price/tangible book (x)	3.4	3.6	3.3	3.2	3
EV/EBITDA (x) **	13.5	11.1	8.9	8.5	8
		14.7	11.9	11.4	11
		14 /	11.9	11.4	11
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) ** EV/invested capital (x)	17.8 3.0	3.5	3.4	3.3	3

Sources: Osotspa; FSSIA estimates

Osotspa PCL (OSP TB)



Exhibit 8: FSSIA ESG score implication

61.48 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
****	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 9: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA		Domestic ratings					Global ratings					Bloomberg		
	ESG score	DJSI	SET ESG	SET ESG Rating	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
CBG	51.54		Y	Y	4.00	4.00	Declared	High	59.58	В		33.28	56.00	2.12	
ICHI	43.67		Υ	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	High	61.36				18.00		
OSP	61.48		Y	Y	5.00	4.00	Declared	Medium	68.64	BBB		63.08	51.00	3.00	63.65
SAPPE	35.25		Y	Y	4.00	5.00	Certified	Medium							
TACC	15.00				5.00	5.00									

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 10: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	1.58	2.89	2.91	3.18	3.00
BESG environmental pillar score	0.67	2.96	2.83	3.25	3.18
BESG social pillar score	0.84	1.57	1.78	1.76	1.77
BESG governance pillar score	4.15	4.38	4.36	4.77	4.18
ESG disclosure score	40.25	52.25	60.06	64.77	63.65
Environmental disclosure score	13.38	36.18	50.56	51.40	48.02
Social disclosure score	26.69	39.36	48.46	55.47	55.47
Governance disclosure score	80.52	81.10	81.10	87.36	87.36
Environmental					
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	No	No
GHG scope 1	_	125	151	157	141
GHG scope 2 location-based	_	90	91	85	95
GHG Scope 3	_	_	_	_	_
Carbon per unit of production	0	0	0	0	0
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	_	839	913	922	917
Renewable energy use	28	50	32	36	40
Electricity used	_	148	158	170	187
Fuel used - natural gas	_	60,675	67,626	70,172	68,074

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 11: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	_	0	1	1	1
Total waste	_	8	13	11	12
Waste recycled	_	_	_	_	_
Waste sent to landfills	_	_	_	_	_
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	3,031	3,109	3,077	3,117	_
Social					
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Consumer data protection policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	No
Pct women in workforce	_	_	42	43	57
Pct disabled in workforce	_	_	_	_	_
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	0	0	0	0	0
Total recordable incident rate - employees	_	0	0	1	0
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No	No
Number of employees – CSR	3,656	3,590	3,792	3,620	3,542
Employee turnover pct	_	_	9	14	19
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	_	30,731	36,451	40,333	57,881
Social supply chain management	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Governance					
Board size	15	15	15	17	17
No. of independent directors (ID)	5	5	5	6	6
No. of women on board	4	4	5	5	5
No. of non-executive directors on board	11	11	10	12	12
Company conducts board evaluations	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	12	12	13	12	13
Board meeting attendance pct	89	91	89	99	94
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	45	46	47	48	34
Age of the oldest director	86	87	88	89	90
No. of executives / company managers	6	13	5	5	5
No. of female executives	2	5	2	2	2
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	3
Audit committee meetings	10	8	9	8	8
Audit meeting attendance %	97	100	100	100	96
Size of compensation committee	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on compensation committee	2	2	2	2	2
No. of compensation committee meetings	8	9	11	15	6
Compensation meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100
Size of nomination committee	3	3	3	3	3
No. of nomination committee	8	9	11	3 15	6
Nonination meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100
-	100	100	100	100	100
Sustainability governance	N1=	NI ₂	Na	Na	NI-
Verification type	No	No	No	No	N

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodolog	у			Rating				
The Dow	_	•	ansparent, rules-based	component selection		nd invited to th	ne annual S&P G	Hohal Corpora	nte.
Jones			anies' Total Sustainabili				SA) for DJSI. Co		
Sustainability	from the ann	ual S&P Global	Corporate Sustainabilit	ty Assessment (CSA).			of the S&P Glob		
ndices (DJSI)	, ,	ranked compan	nies within each industry	y are selected for			fied. The constitu	uents of the D	JSI indices ar
By S&P Global	inclusion.				selected from th	e Eligible Uni	verse.		
SET ESG	SET ESG qu	antifies respons	sibility in Environmental	I and Social issues by			clusion, verified		
Ratings List			nsparency in Governand				cator, unless the		
SETESG)			reemptive criteria, with				The scoring will be		ted against tr
y The Stock Exchange of			board members and ex and combined holding n				and materiality. rom the SET ES		nnanies whos
hailand		,	alifying criteria include: 1				35b (~USD150b)		
SET)	70%; 2) inde	pendent directo	ors and free float violation	n; 3) executives'	liquidity >0.5%	of paid-up cap	ital for at least 9	out of 12 mor	ths. The
			ocial & environmental in				apitalisation-weig		
			rnings in red for > 3 yea		, , ,		and no cap for r		
CG Score by Thai			in sustainable developn ith support from the Sto				ories: 5 for Excell -79), 2 for Fair (6		
Institute of			are from the perspectiv				v 50. Weightings		
Directors	•	of operations.					olders (weight 2	,	• ,
Association					,	, . ,	sure & transpare	ncy (15%); an	d 5) board
Thai IOD)					responsibilities	(35%).			
AGM level			ich shareholders' rights				four categories:		
By Thai		•	nto business operations		Very Good (90-	99), 3 for Fair	(80-89), and not	rated for scor	es below 79.
nvestors Association			disclosed. All form imponts to be evaluated ann						
TIA) with			res before the meeting (
support from			eeting (10%). (The first a	· //					
the SEC				ing how voting rights can be					
			s 1) the ease of attending m s for Q&A. The third involve						
			, resolutions and voting res						
Thai CAC			necklist include corruption				d by a committe		
By Thai			s, and the monitoring a	nd developing of	•		r granting certific	,	
Private Sector Collective			good for three years. a CAC certified member st	tart hy submitting a	professionalism		e twelve highly r	especied indi	viduais in
Action Against			n 18-month deadline to sub		professionalism	and childar a	onicvenients.		
Corruption			sment, in place of policy ar						
(CAC)		of policies to all s	olishment of whistleblowing stakeholders.)	Crianneis, and					
Morningstar	The Sustaina	lytics' ESG risk	rating provides an ove	erall company score	A company's ES	G risk rating	score is the sum	of unmanage	d risk. The
Sustainalytics			how much of a compar	•	more risk is unn	nanaged, the	higher ESG risk	is scored.	
			o be reviewed include corporate/webs						
	regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG				NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe
				roodbaon on dran 200	NLGL	LOW			
		mpany feedback, lality & peer reviev			0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+
ESG Book	reports, and qu	pre identifies su	ws. stainable companies th	at are better	0-10 The total ESG s	10-20 core is calcula	ated as a weight	ed sum of the	features
ESG Book	The ESG sco	ore identifies sur outperform ove	stainable companies the reference the long term. The me	at are better ethodology considers	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	10-20 core is calcula ateriality-base	ated as a weight d weights. The s	ed sum of the core is scaled	features
ESG Book	The ESG sco positioned to the principle	pre identifies sur outperform ove of financial mate	ws. stainable companies the the long term. The me eriality including informations	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	10-20 core is calcula ateriality-base	ated as a weight	ed sum of the core is scaled	features
ESG Book	The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain	ore identifies sur outperform ove of financial mate	ws. stainable companies the result the long term. The me eriality including informated performance. Mat	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	10-20 core is calcula ateriality-base	ated as a weight d weights. The s	ed sum of the core is scaled	features
ESG Book	The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir	ore identifies sur outperform ove of financial mate	stainable companies the er the long term. The me eriality including inform: usted performance. Mat higher materiality and	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	10-20 core is calcula ateriality-base	ated as a weight d weights. The s	ed sum of the core is scaled	features
	The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a	ore identifies sure outperform over of financial mater future risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly	stainable companies the rest the long term. The meriality including informusted performance. Mat higher materiality and y basis.	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high	10-20 core is calcula ateriality-base pher scores in	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	features between 0
	The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a	pality & peer review outperform ove of financial mate future risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to me	stainable companies the rest the long term. The me eriality including informusted performance. Mat higher materiality and y basis.	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high relevant ESG risk	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- dicating better po- dicating better po-	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	features between 0
	The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a	pality & peer review outperform ove of financial mate future risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to me	stainable companies the retaility including informated performance. Mathemateriality and by basis. easure a company's materiality and laggards according to the retaility and laggards according to the retaility and laggards.	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris	0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with high selection and 100 with high selection and 100 well selection	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in s and opportutely manage	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- dicating be-	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers.	features between 0
	The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus	pality & peer review outperform over of financial mature risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and	stainable companies the rest the long term. The me eriality including informusted performance. Mat higher materiality and y basis.	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r	0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with high selection and 100 with high selection and 100 well selection	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in s and opportutely manage	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- dicating be-	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers.	features between 0
	reports, and queries and queries and queries explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG reidentify indus	pality & peer review outperform over of financial mature risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000	stainable companies the retaility including informated performance. Mathemateriality and by basis. easure a company's materiality and laggards according to the retaility and laggards according to the retaility and laggards.	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris	0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with high selection and 100 with high selection and 100 well selection	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in s and opportutely manage	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- dicating be-	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers.	features between 0
	reports, and que The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG re identify indus AAA AA A	pality & peer review outperform over of financial mature risk-adjug features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142	stainable companies the rethe long term. The meriality including informated performance. Mat higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's materiality and laggards according to be according to the according to be according to the according t	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high relevant ESG risk iks and how well anaging the most significant in the second control of the secon	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in s and opportunity manage gnificant ESG ris	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- inities. It uses a those risks relati	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers.	features between 0
	reports, and query reports, and query reports, and query reports of the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG reidentify indus AAA AA AA BBBB	pre identifies sure outperform over of financial mature risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713	stainable companies the retaility including informated performance. Mathemateriality and by basis. easure a company's materiality and laggards according to the retaility and laggards according to the retaility and laggards.	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high relevant ESG risk iks and how well anaging the most significant in the second control of the secon	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in s and opportunity manage gnificant ESG ris	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- inities. It uses a those risks relati	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers.	features between 0
	reports, and query reports, and query reports, and query reports of the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG residentify industrial AAA AA ABBB BB	pre identifies sure outperform over of financial mature risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to me stry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285	stainable companies the rethe long term. The meriality including informated performance. Mat higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's materiality and laggards according to be according to the according to be according to the according t	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high relevant ESG risk iks and how well anaging the most significant in the second control of the secon	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in s and opportunity manage gnificant ESG ris	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- inities. It uses a those risks relati	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers.	features between 0
	reports, and query reports, and query reports, and query reports of the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a measurement of the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a measurement of the principle of th	pre identifies sure outperform over of financial mature risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to mature risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856	stainable companies the rethe long term. The meriality including informated performance. Mat higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's materiality and laggards according to be according to the according to be according to the according t	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high relevant ESG risk iks and how well anaging the most signal track record of ma	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in s and opportunity manage gnificant ESG ris naging the most	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- inities. It uses a those risks relation. ks and opportunities.	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers.	features between 0
MSCI	reports, and que The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indus AAA A BBB BB BB CCC	pality & peer review outperform over of financial mature risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly attings aim to metatry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428	stainable companies the return the long term. The meriality including informated performance. Mat higher materiality and by basis. Laggards according to the Leader: Average: Laggard:	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma a mixed or unexceptional industry peers	0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with high and 100 with high relevant ESG risk sks and how well anaging the most signal track record of mand d on its high exposure.	10-20 core is calculateriality-base pher scores in s and opportuthey manage pnificant ESG ris maging the most	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- inities. It uses a those risks relati ks and opportunities significant ESG ris	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. ss sks and opportunt tess risks	features between 0 nethodology to
MSCI Moody's ESG	reports, and que The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG re identify indus AAA AA BBB BB B CCC Moody's asset	pre identifies sure outperform over of financial mature risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to me stry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 cesses the degree	uss. Istainable companies the per the long term. The meteriality including informated performance. Matheritation in the period of the performance	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma a mixed or unexceptiona industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 100 with high relevant ESG risk sks and how well anaging the most signal track record of mand on its high exposurable control of the design of the desig	10-20 core is calculateriality-base the scores in s and opportute they manage applicant ESG risenaging the most the same and failure to effinition and in the same score.	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p unities. It uses a those risks relati ks and opportunitie significant ESG ris manage significan	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. es eks and opportunct t ESG risks	features between 0
ESG Book MSCI Moody's ESG solutions	reports, and query reports, and query reports, and query reports on a mover-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle of the p	pre identifies sure outperform over of financial mature risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 are sees the degree a company interest outperform of the company interest.	uss. Istainable companies the per the long term. The meteriality including informated performance. Matheritation in the period of the performance	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma a mixed or unexceptiona industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 100 with high relevant ESG risk sks and how well anaging the most signal track record of mand on its high exposurable control of the design of the desig	10-20 core is calculateriality-base the scores in s and opportute they manage applicant ESG risenaging the most the same and failure to effinition and in the same score.	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p unities. It uses a those risks relati ks and opportunitie significant ESG ris manage significan	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. es eks and opportunct t ESG risks	features between 0
MSCI Moody's ESG solutions	reports, and que The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG re identify indus AAA AA BBB BB B CCC Moody's asse believes that create sustai	pre identifies sure outperform over of financial mature risk-adjug features with rolling quarterly atings aim to and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company intenable value for	stainable companies the retail the long term. The meriality including informated performance. Matheritality and sybasis. easure a company's matheritality and sybasis. easure a company's matheritality and sybasis. Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Lee to which companies egrating ESG factors intishareholders over the reservable of the long terms.	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma a mixed or unexceptiona industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG ob to its business model and medium to long term.	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 100 with high relevant ESG risk sks and how well anaging the most signal track record of mand on its high exposure ojectives in the delirelatively outper	10-20 core is calculateriality-base the scores in sand opportutively manage and inficant ESG rise the most are and failure to effinition and informing its pe	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better pro- inities. It uses a those risks relationsks and opportunities significant ESG rise manage significant applementation of ers is better posi	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. es eks and opportunent t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig	features between 0 nethodology to nities relative to policies. It pate risks and
MSCI Moody's ESG	reports, and que The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG re identify indus AAA A BBB BB CCC Moody's asse believes that create sustai	pre identifies sure outperform over of financial mature risk-adjurg features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 cesses the degree a company internable value for transparently ar	stainable companies the retail the long term. The meriality including informusted performance. Matchigher materiality and y basis. easure a company's mall laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: ee to which companies egrating ESG factors into shareholders over the reducing to be shareholders over the reducing to shareholders over the reducing to shareholders over the reducing the reducing the shareholders over the reducing the reducing the shareholders over the reducing the	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma a mixed or unexceptiona industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high relevant ESG risk sks and how well anaging the most signal track record of mand on its high exposure opectives in the delirelatively outper G performance, c	10-20 core is calculateriality-base the scores in sand opportute they manage applicant ESG risure and failure to efficition and informing its performing its performance in the score is a second part of	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better production unities. It uses a those risks relati ks and opportunities significant ESG ris manage significan uplementation of ers is better posi and effectiveness	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. es eks and opportunt t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma	features between 0 nethodology to nethodology to policies. It gate risks and in themes,
MSCI Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG	reports, and querical reports, and querical reports	pre identifies sure outperform over of financial mature risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly attings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 reseases the degree a company intenable value for transparently arolicly available accompany available accom	stainable companies the retail the long term. The meriality including informusted performance. Matchigher materiality and y basis. easure a company's mall laggards according to be leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Laggard	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma a mixed or unexceptiona industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high exposure of the latively outper 100 on relative ES	10-20 core is calculateriality-base the scores in sand opportutive manage split and the scores in sand opportutive manage split and the score and failure to effinition and informing its performing its performant and score and	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better production inities. It uses a those risks relative significant ESG ris manage significant replementation of ers is better posi and effectiveness ce and insufficier	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based mye to peers. ss ks and opportunt t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 mant degree of tr	features between 0 nethodology to nethodology to policies. It gate risks and in themes,
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG ating	reports, and quere reports, and quere reports, and quere reports a	pre identifies sure outperform over of financial mature risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly attings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 reseases the degree a company internable value for transparently arbitral ESG data abal ESG Score	stainable companies the retaility including informusted performance. Matheritality and by basis. easure a company's mall laggards according to laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Lag	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma a mixed or unexceptiona industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG ob to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG escore ranges from 0 to 1 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = asuring a company's performance.	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 100 with high relevant ESG risk sks and how well anaging the most signal track record of mand on its high exposure of the latively outper G performance, c 100 on relative ES attisfactory; >50 to formance on and relative on and relative on and relative on and relative or statisfactory; >50 to formance on and relative or scores and relative or and relati	10-20 core is calculateriality-base the scores in sand opportunithey manage split and failure to estimate and failure to estimate and failure to commitment and forming its performant of 55 = good; and management of second	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p dicating better position dicating better p dicating better	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. es ks and opportunt t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mittig across 10 mant degree of tr flent.)	features between 0 nethodology to hities relative to policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating S&P Global	reports, and query reports, and query reports, and query reports a	pre identifies sure outperform over of financial mature risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 besses the degree a company internable value for transparently arolicly available aterial ESG data subal ESG Score its peers within	stainable companies the retaility including informusted performance. Mather the long term. The meriality including informusted performance. Mather the same a company's mather the same a company's mather the same and a company's mather the same industry class. Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma a mixed or unexceptiona industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG e score ranges from 0 to 1 tre 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = surring a company's perfo sistification. The score range	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 100 with high relevant ESG risk sks and how well anaging the most signal track record of mand on its high exposure of the control of the contr	10-20 core is calculateriality-base the scores in sand opportute they manage and failure to efficient and informing its performant and so	ated as a weight dweights. The sidicating better provided in the second control of the s	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based mye to peers. es sks and opportunt t ESG risks it their strategy itioned to mittig across 10 mant degree of transportunities, an opportunities, an opportunities, an	features between 0 nethodology to nethodology to policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in d impacts
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating	reports, and quere reports, and quere reports, and quere reports a	relity & peer review or identifies sure outperform over of financial mature risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly attings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 resses the degree a company internable value for transparently arolicly available atterial ESG data abal ESG Score its peers within	stainable companies the rethe long term. The meriality including informusted performance. Matchigher materiality and y basis. easure a company's mall laggards according to laggards. Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: ee to which companies egrating ESG factors into shareholders over the reducing to laggard auditable data. The publicly. (Score ratings a is a relative score meant the same industry class Bloomberg score evaluations.)	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma a mixed or unexceptiona industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG e score ranges from 0 to 1 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = assuring a company's perfo sification. The score rang ating the company's aggr	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 100 with high relevant ESG risk sides and how well anaging the most signal track record of mand on its high exposure of the control of the co	10-20 core is calculateriality-base the scores in sand opportutive manage and failure to efficient and informing its performant and so per	ated as a weight dweights. The sidicating better production of the sidicating better production of the sidicating better production of the sidication of the	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based mye to peers. ss ks and opportunt t ESG risks their strategy itioned to mitig across 10 mant degree of trulent.) cortunities, an (ESG) perform	features between 0 nethodology to nethodology to policies. It pate risks and in themes, ansparency in d impacts
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG ating S&P Global	reports, and query reports, and query reports, and query reports a	pality & peer review or identifies sure outperform over of financial mature risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metarry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 reases the degree a company internable value for transparently arollicly available atterial ESG data abal ESG Score its peers within	stainable companies the rethe long term. The meriality including informate the long term. The meriality including informate the long term and the ligher materiality and by basis. Leader: Leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard:	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG are one of the or of the o	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and how well and track record of ma don its high exposure of the latively outper and the latively outper and on relative Escormance, con 100 on relative Escormance on and registrom 0 to 100 egated Environmencial materiality.	10-20 core is calculateriality-base the scores in and opportute they manage and informing its performant the score is a commitment at a commitment at a commitment and comm	ated as a weight dweights. The sidicating better provided in the second	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. es sks and opportunities across 10 maint degree of troportunities, an (ESG) performalized mean (features between 0 nethodology to nethodology to policies. It just risks and in themes, ansparency in d impacts
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating S&P Global Bloomberg	reports, and query reports, and query reports, and query reports repor	precision in the company in the comp	stainable companies the rethe long term. The meriality including informate the long term. The meriality including informate the period performance. Mathigher materiality and by basis. Leader: Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard:	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG as score ranges from 0 to 1 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = assuring a company's perfor sification. The score range ating the company's aggr mberg's view of ESG final the weights are determine	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 100 wi	core is calculateriality-base the scores in sand opportunithey manage splifficant ESG risure and failure to esfinition and informing its performant of the score is a corrity ranking.	ated as a weight dweights. The sidicating better provided in the second of the second	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. Trules-based move to peers. It ESG risks It their strategy tioned to mitigate across 10 mant degree of trulent.) Corrunities, an (ESG) performalized mean (com 0 to 10; 10 means	policies. It pate risks and in themes, cansparency in d impacts mance. The power mean) is the best.
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG ating S&P Global	reports, and query reports, and query reports, and query reports a	pre identifies sure outperform over of financial mature risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degrea a company internable value for transparently arollicly available aterial ESG data abal ESG Score its peers within	stainable companies the rethe long term. The meriality including information in higher materiality and by basis. easure a company's mail laggards according to a laggards according to a laggards according to a laggards. Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Lagg	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG are one of the or of the o	0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 1 track record of ma and 1 track record	10-20 core is calculateriality-base the scores in and opportute they manage and informing the most opportunities and informing its performan a	ated as a weight dweights. The sidicating better provided in the series of the series	ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. es sks and opportunities across 10 maint degree of troportunities, and (ESG) performalized mean (com 0 to 10; 10 one to 100 for	policies. It pate risks and in themes, ansparency ir d impacts mance. The power mean) is the best. disclosure of

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Sureeporn Teewasuwet FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
09-Jun-2023 20-Oct-2023	BUY BUY	33.00 28.50	29-Jan-2024 17-Jul-2024	BUY BUY	24.50 28.00	29-Jan-2025	BUY	24.00

Sureeporn Teewasuwet started covering this stock from 09-Jun-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Osotspa	OSP TB	THB 18.10	BUY	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a slower-than-expected consumption recovery, 2) higher-than-expected competition, 3) high volatility in energy costs, and 4) changing regulations in Thailand and Myanmar.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 14-Aug-2025 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.