

NSL FOODS NSL TB

THAILAND / FOOD & BEVERAGE

BUY

 UNCHANGED

2Q25 results at first glance

TARGET PRICE	THB45.00
CLOSE	THB33.75
UP/DOWNSIDE	+33.3%
TP vs CONSENSUS	+11.3%

2Q25 profit performed well as expected

Highlights


- NSL reported 2Q25 net profit at THB165m (-3.7% q-q, +26% y-y), showing strong y-y growth due to record-high revenue. However, net profit declined q-q due to a decrease in gross margin.
- Total revenue hit a new high at THB1.77b (+3.8% q-q, +25.7% y-y), with solid growth across all segments, especially the core Bakery segment (via 7-Eleven) which continued to hit new highs. Export revenue also increased to THB68m (accounting for 3.8% of total revenue) following the completion of the PNF acquisition in April.
- Gross margin declined to 20.2%, down from 21.5% in 1Q25 and 20.7% in 2Q24, due to an increase in the minimum wage and a change in product mix.
- Total expenses remained high, but the strong revenue growth resulted in SG&A to sales decreasing to 8.8%, from 9.1% in 1Q25 and 9.2% in 2Q24.

Outlook

- NSL's 1H25 net profit was THB336m (+29.8% y-y), accounting for 51% of our full-year forecast.
- Although July revenue may be flat m-m and continue to grow y-y, 3Q25 is typically a low season for the business. Therefore, we expect 3Q25 profit to soften seasonally, with growth likely to rebound and reach the year's peak in 4Q25, which is a high season for the company.
- The company announced the purchase of the remaining 10% stake in NSL Intertrade (a subsidiary engaged in export sales), bringing its total ownership to 100%, for only THB0.85m.
- The company also announced a 1H25 DPS of THB0.5/shr, implying a dividend yield of 1.5%.

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	5,839	6,971	7,909	8,906
Net profit	541	663	732	801
EPS (THB)	1.80	2.21	2.44	2.67
vs Consensus (%)	-	2.8	1.2	-
EBITDA	825	1,018	1,134	1,241
Recurring net profit	541	663	732	801
Core EPS (THB)	1.80	2.21	2.44	2.67
EPS growth (%)	62.2	22.5	10.4	9.5
Core P/E (x)	18.7	15.3	13.8	12.6
Dividend yield (%)	2.7	3.7	4.1	4.5
EV/EBITDA (x)	12.2	9.8	8.7	7.7
Price/book (x)	5.4	4.7	4.1	3.6
Net debt/Equity (%)	(5.2)	(6.8)	(12.7)	(22.2)
ROE (%)	31.4	32.6	31.4	30.1



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	10.7	(3.6)	16.4
Relative to country (%)	(2.9)	(8.3)	18.2
Mkt cap (USD m)	314		
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)	0.7		
Free float (%)	0		
Major shareholder	Mr. Somchai Asavapiyanon (72%)		
12m high/low (THB)	37.25/25.00		
Issued shares (m)	300.00		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates


Sureeporn Teewasuwet


 Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 040694
 sureeporn.t@fssia.com, +66 2646 9972

Exhibit 1: NSL – 2Q25 results summary

	2Q24	3Q24	4Q24	1Q25	2Q25	--- Change ---		1H24	1H25	Change	2024	2025E	Change	% 1H25
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(THB m)	% Y-Y	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	to 2025E
Sales	1,411	1,432	1,651	1,708	1,773	3.8	25.7	2,756	3,481	26.3	5,839	6,918	18.5	50.3
Cost of sales	1,118	1,135	1,314	1,341	1,415	5.5	26.6	2,182	2,756	26.3	4,630	5,466	18.0	50.4
Gross profit	293	297	337	366	358	(2.2)	22.5	575	725	26.1	1,209	1,453	20.1	49.9
SG&A	130	139	157	155	157	1.1	20.4	256	312	21.6	552	643	16.6	48.4
Operating profit	168	169	186	216	210	(2.8)	25.2	329	427	29.6	684	830	21.4	51.4
Interest expense	2	2	3	3	4	39.5	151.3	4	8	97.8	9	11	19.3	73.4
Tax expense	30	33	37	42	41	(3.0)	37.0	62	84	35.4	132	164	24.6	51.0
Reported net profit	131	135	147	171	165	(3.7)	25.6	259	336	29.8	541	658	21.6	51.1
Core profit	135	135	147	171	165	(3.7)	22.5	262	336	28.2	541	658	21.6	51.1
Key ratios (%)						(ppt)	(ppt)							
Gross margin	20.7	20.8	20.4	21.5	20.2	(1.2)	(0.5)	17.8	18.5	0.7	20.7	20.8	0.1	
SG&A / Sales	9.2	9.7	9.5	9.1	8.8	(0.2)	(0.4)	9.8	9.2	(0.6)	9.4	9.4	(0.0)	
Operating margin	11.9	11.8	11.3	12.7	11.9	(0.8)	(0.0)	9.8	9.2	(0.6)	11.7	11.7	(0.0)	
Net margin	9.3	9.4	8.9	10.0	9.3	(0.7)	(0.0)	6.3	7.4	1.1	9.3	9.5	0.2	
Core margin	9.5	9.4	8.9	10.0	9.3	(0.7)	(0.2)	6.3	7.4	1.1	9.3	9.5	0.2	
Operating statistics (THB m)														
Bakery sales	1,238	1,250	1,362	1,394	1,427	2.3	15.2	2,424	2,821	16.4	5,035	5,690	13.0	49.6
Food service sales	68	66	107	128	116	(9.8)	70.6	134	244	82.3	307	461	50.0	52.9
NSL brands (+BAW)	91	97	141	128	140	8.7	53.1	172	268	55.5	410	472	15.0	56.8
Export	0.2	5	35	46	68	49.1	nm	1	114	nm	41	244	498.7	46.5
OEM sales	10	11	9	9	17	96.6	68.0	20	26	32.5	39	39	0.0	66.2
Franchise sales	3	3	3	3	6	136.0	90.3	6	8	33.5	12	12	0.0	67.7
Bakery sales	87.8	87.3	82.5	81.6	80.5	(1.2)	(7.3)	87.9	81.0	(6.9)	86.2	82.2	(4.0)	
Food service sales	4.8	4.6	6.5	7.5	6.5	(1.0)	1.7	4.9	7.0	2.2	5.3	6.7	1.4	
NSL brands (+BAW)	6.5	6.8	8.6	7.5	7.9	0.4	1.4	6.3	7.7	1.4	7.0	6.8	(0.2)	
Export	0.0	0.3	2.1	2.7	3.8	1.2	3.8	0.0	3.3	3.2	0.7	3.5	2.8	
OEM sales	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.2	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.6	(0.1)	
Franchise sales	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	(0.0)	


Sources: NSL; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 2: Quarterly total revenue and growth




Sources: NSL, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 3: Quarterly gross margin and SG&A to sales




Sources: NSL, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 4: Quarterly revenue from bakery and growth




Sources: NSL, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 5: Quarterly revenue from NSL brands




Sources: NSL, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 6: Quarterly revenue from food service and growth




Sources: NSL, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 7: Quarterly revenue from export and contribution




Sources: NSL, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 8: Quarterly revenue breakdown by segment



Sources: NSL, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 9: Quarterly net profit and growth



Sources: NSL, FSSIA's compilation

Financial Statements

NSL Foods

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	4,793	5,839	6,971	7,909	8,906
Cost of goods sold	(3,910)	(4,630)	(5,507)	(6,264)	(7,072)
Gross profit	883	1,209	1,464	1,645	1,835
Other operating income	17	26	21	21	21
Operating costs	(462)	(552)	(648)	(743)	(846)
Operating EBITDA	557	825	1,018	1,134	1,241
Depreciation	(120)	(141)	(181)	(211)	(231)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	0
Operating EBIT	437	684	837	923	1,010
Net financing costs	(11)	(9)	(11)	(11)	(12)
Associates	-	-	-	-	-
Recurring non-operating income	(9)	(4)	0	0	0
Non-recurring items	0	0	0	0	0
Profit before tax	418	671	826	912	998
Tax	(85)	(132)	(165)	(182)	(200)
Profit after tax	332	539	661	729	798
Minority interests	1	2	2	2	3
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	-	-
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
Reported net profit	333	541	663	732	801
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	0	0	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	333	541	663	732	801
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	1.11	1.80	2.21	2.44	2.67
Reported EPS	1.11	1.80	2.21	2.44	2.67
DPS	0.65	0.90	1.26	1.39	1.52
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	300	300	300	300	300
Growth					
Revenue (%)	19.8	21.8	19.4	13.5	12.6
Operating EBITDA (%)	15.1	48.2	23.3	11.4	9.4
Operating EBIT (%)	14.5	56.5	22.3	10.3	9.4
Recurring EPS (%)	12.1	62.2	22.5	10.4	9.5
Reported EPS (%)	12.1	62.2	22.5	10.4	9.5
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	18.4	20.7	21.0	20.8	20.6
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	20.9	23.1	23.6	23.5	23.2
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	11.6	14.1	14.6	14.3	13.9
Operating EBIT margin (%)	9.1	11.7	12.0	11.7	11.3
Net margin (%)	7.0	9.3	9.5	9.3	9.0
Effective tax rate (%)	20.4	19.6	20.0	20.0	20.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	58.5	49.9	57.0	57.0	57.0
Interest cover (X)	40.2	77.2	79.7	82.4	84.9
Inventory days	26.1	24.2	26.5	29.2	29.2
Debtor days	50.5	51.7	49.1	47.0	47.2
Creditor days	58.2	61.4	60.5	58.3	58.5
Operating ROIC (%)	27.2	38.8	40.4	38.5	40.3
ROIC (%)	21.3	30.8	33.5	33.7	35.3
ROE (%)	22.5	31.4	32.6	31.4	30.1
ROA (%)	14.2	19.6	20.9	20.7	20.0
* Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted					
Revenue by Division (THB m)					
Bakery and appetizers	4,282	5,035	5,790	6,589	7,420
Food Services	276	307	461	498	548
Snack (NSL brand and BAW)	163	445	668	768	883
OEM & bread wastes	68	39	39	41	43

Sources: NSL Foods; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

NSL Foods

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Recurring net profit	333	541	663	732	801
Depreciation	120	141	181	211	231
Associates & minorities	-	-	-	-	-
Other non-cash items	26	19	(13)	0	0
Change in working capital	(36)	(18)	(101)	(57)	(62)
Cash flow from operations	443	683	667	886	971
Capex - maintenance	(200)	(280)	(400)	(300)	(200)
Capex - new investment	-	-	-	-	-
Net acquisitions & disposals	-	-	-	-	-
Other investments (net)	28	(106)	134	(9)	(10)
Cash flow from investing	(172)	(387)	(266)	(309)	(210)
Dividends paid	(180)	(212)	(378)	(417)	(457)
Equity finance	0	0	0	0	0
Debt finance	(93)	(10)	(50)	5	5
Other financing cash flows	20	19	(4)	9	10
Cash flow from financing	(252)	(203)	(432)	(403)	(442)
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
Other adjustments	0	29	0	0	0
Net other adjustments	0	29	(29)	0	0
Movement in cash	19	123	(23)	174	320
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	281.68	305.57	414.87	588.04	773.10
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	198.69	334.18	318.66	591.22	776.18

Per share (THB)

FCFF per share	0.94	1.02	1.38	1.96	2.58
FCFE per share	0.66	1.11	1.06	1.97	2.59
Recurring cash flow per share	1.60	2.34	2.77	3.15	3.44

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Tangible fixed assets (gross)	1,896	2,073	2,394	2,562	2,615
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(846)	(914)	(987)	(1,066)	(1,151)
Tangible fixed assets (net)	1,050	1,160	1,407	1,496	1,464
Intangible fixed assets (net)	47	44	44	44	44
Long-term financial assets	214	269	150	150	150
Invest. in associates & subsidiaries	6	0	6	6	6
Cash & equivalents	134	257	239	413	732
A/C receivable	732	922	955	1,083	1,221
Inventories	274	320	453	515	581
Other current assets	7	14	3	4	4
Current assets	1,147	1,513	1,650	2,015	2,539
Other assets	36	91	70	79	89
Total assets	2,500	3,077	3,327	3,790	4,293
Common equity	1,560	1,889	2,174	2,489	2,833
Minorities etc.	17	31	18	19	19
Total shareholders' equity	1,576	1,921	2,192	2,507	2,852
Long term debt	14	68	35	40	45
Other long-term liabilities	49	57	70	79	89
Long-term liabilities	64	125	105	119	134
A/C payable	650	861	905	1,030	1,162
Short term debt	141	89	55	55	55
Other current liabilities	68	82	70	79	89
Current liabilities	859	1,032	1,030	1,164	1,307
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	2,500	3,077	3,327	3,790	4,293
Net working capital	295	313	436	493	555
Invested capital	1,648	1,878	2,114	2,269	2,309

* Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being treated as debt

Per share (THB)

Book value per share	5.20	6.30	7.25	8.30	9.44
Tangible book value per share	5.04	6.15	7.10	8.15	9.30

Financial strength

Net debt/equity (%)	1.4	(5.2)	(6.8)	(12.7)	(22.2)
Net debt/total assets (%)	0.9	(3.2)	(4.5)	(8.4)	(14.7)
Current ratio (x)	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.9
CF interest cover (x)	19.7	39.0	31.3	53.8	66.2

Valuation	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Recurring P/E (x) *	30.4	18.7	15.3	13.8	12.6
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	40.5	25.0	20.4	18.4	16.9
Reported P/E (x)	30.4	18.7	15.3	13.8	12.6
Dividend yield (%)	1.9	2.7	3.7	4.1	4.5
Price/book (x)	6.5	5.4	4.7	4.1	3.6
Price/tangible book (x)	6.7	5.5	4.8	4.1	3.6
EV/EBITDA (x) **	18.2	12.2	9.8	8.7	7.7
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	24.3	16.3	13.1	11.6	10.4
EV/invested capital (x)	6.2	5.4	4.7	4.3	4.1

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted ** EBITDA includes associate income and recurring non-operating income

Sources: NSL Foods; FSSIA estimates

NSL FOODS PCL (NSL TB)

FSSIA ESG rating

★★

19.05 /100

Exhibit 10: FSSIA ESG score implication

Rating	Score	Implication
★★★★★	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
★★★★★	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
★★★	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
★★	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
★	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 11: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA ESG score	Domestic ratings						Global ratings					Bloomberg		
		DJISI	SET ESG	SET ESG Rating	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
NSL	19.05	--	--	--	5.00	4.00	Declared	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
RBF	47.48	--	Y	A	5.00	4.00	Certified	--	58.52	--	--	54.21	--	2.95	52.25
SNNP	30.00	--	Y	A	5.00	5.00	Certified	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
TKN	36.33	--	--	--	4.00	5.00	Certified	High	--	--	--	56.14	20.00	2.71	44.20

Sources: SETTRADE.com; * FSSIA estimate; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 12: ESG disclosure from company's one report

FY ending Dec 31		FY 2024	FY ending Dec 31		FY 2024
Environmental			Governance		
Climate change policy	Yes		Board size / Independent directors (ID) / Female	8 / 4 / 2	
Climate change opportunities discussed	--		No. of board meetings for the year / % attendance	7 / 100	
GHG scope 2 location-based policy	Yes		Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	
Biodiversity policy	--		Number of non-executive directors on board	4	
Energy efficiency policy	Yes		Director share ownership guidelines	No	
Electricity used	Yes		Board age limit	No	
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	Yes		Age of the youngest / oldest director	47 / 72	
Waste reduction policy	Yes		Number of executives / female	7 / 2	
Water policy	Yes		Executive share ownership guidelines	No	
Water consumption	Yes		Size of audit committee / ID	3 / 3	
Social			Audit committee meetings	5	
Human rights policy	Yes		Audit committee meeting attendance (%)	100	
Policy against child labor	Yes		Size of compensation committee / ID	-- / --	
Quality assurance and recall policy	Yes		Number of compensation committee meetings	--	
Consumer data protection policy	Yes		Compensation committee meeting attendance (%)	--	
Equal opportunity policy	Yes		Size of nomination committee / ID	-- / --	
Gender pay gap breakout	--		Number of nomination committee meetings	--	
Pct women in workforce	53.17		Nomination committee meeting attendance (%)	--	
Business ethics policy	Yes		Board compensation (THB m)	3.72	
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes		Executive compensation (THB m)	27.2	
Health and safety policy	Yes		Auditor fee (THB m)	2.2	
Lost time incident rate - employees	--		<i>(Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Jaiyos Co., Ltd.)</i>		
Training policy	Yes				
Fair remuneration policy	Yes				
Number of employees - CSR	Yes				
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	30,362				
Social supply chain management	Yes				

Source: FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating																			
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.																			
SET ESG Ratings List (SETESG) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	SET ESG quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for SETESG inclusion , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETESG Index is extended from the SET ESG Ratings companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.																			
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).																			
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i>	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.																			
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i>	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.																			
Morningstar Sustainalytics	The Sustainalytics' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.</i>	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>NEGL</th> <th>Low</th> <th>Medium</th> <th>High</th> <th>Severe</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0-10</td> <td>10-20</td> <td>20-30</td> <td>30-40</td> <td>40+</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+									
NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe																	
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																	
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.																			
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tbody> <tr> <td>AAA</td> <td>8.571-10.000</td> <td rowspan="3">Leader:</td> <td rowspan="3">leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AA</td> <td>7.143-8.570</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>5.714-7.142</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BBB</td> <td>4.286-5.713</td> <td rowspan="3">Average:</td> <td rowspan="3">a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BB</td> <td>2.857-4.285</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td>1.429-2.856</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CCC</td> <td>0.000-1.428</td> <td>Laggard:</td> <td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities	AA	7.143-8.570	A	5.714-7.142	BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers	BB	2.857-4.285	B	1.429-2.856	CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks
AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities																		
AA	7.143-8.570																				
A	5.714-7.142																				
BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers																		
BB	2.857-4.285																				
B	1.429-2.856																				
CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks																		
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.																				
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)</i>																				
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.																				
Bloomberg	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.																			
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.																			

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Sureeporn Teewasuwet FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd


The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price

NSL Foods (NSL TB)



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
18-Jan-2023	BUY	26.00	02-Apr-2024	BUY	30.00	13-May-2025	BUY	45.00
18-Oct-2023	BUY	24.00	26-Apr-2024	BUY	36.00			
05-Feb-2024	BUY	26.00	09-Sep-2024	BUY	43.00			

Sureeporn Teewasuwet started covering this stock from 18-Jan-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
NSL Foods	NSL TB	THB 33.75	BUY	Downside risks to our DCF-based TP include 1) a slower-than-expected consumption recovery; 2) high volatility in raw material prices; 3) the failure of new products; and 4) changing consumer demand and lifestyles.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 13-Aug-2025 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as $(\text{target price}^* - \text{current price}) / \text{current price}$.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.