EQUITY RESEARCH - RESULTS FLASH

KCG CORPORATION

KCG TB

THAILAND / FOOD & BEVERAGE





TARGET PRICE THB11.00
CLOSE THB9.05
UP/DOWNSIDE +21.5%
TP vs CONSENSUS -1.1%

2Q25 results at first glance

กำไร 2Q25 ลดลง q-q ใกล้เคียงกับที่เราคาด

Highlights

- KCG รายงานกำไรสุทธิ 2Q25 อยู่ที่ 99 ลบ. ลดลง 19% q-q เนื่องจากเป็น Low season ของธุรกิจแต่สูงขึ้น 4.5% y-y
- รายได้รวมลดลง 7.9% q-q จากปัจจัยด้านฤดูกาลแต่โต 11% y-y จากความต้องการที่ดี
 ต่อเนื่องสำหรับเนยและชีสจากลูกค้าธุรกิจบริการอุตสาหกรรม ในทางตรงกันข้ามกลุ่ม
 บริการอาหาร (ร้านอาหารและโรงแรม) อ่อนตัวลงใกล้เคียงกับเศรษฐกิจที่ชะลอตัวใน
 ภาพรวมและปริมาณส่งออกที่ลดลงอันเป็นผลจากปัจจัยด้านฤดูกาลและความต้องการใน
 ตลาดโลก
- ตันทุนวัตถุดิบยังทรงตัวจาก 1Q25 แต่ปริมาณขายลดลงซึ่งทำให้อัตรากำไรขั้นตันลดลง
 เหลือ 30.5% จาก 31.1% ใน 1Q25 และ 31.8% ใน 2Q24
- สัดส่วนค่าใช้จ่ายการขายและบริหารต่อยอดขายสูงขึ้นจากปริมาณขายที่ลดลง สินค้าคง คลังที่สูงขึ้นจากการซื้อวัตถุดิบล่วงหน้าและผลขาดทุนจากอัตราแลกเปลี่ยน 3.5 ลบ. จากค่าเงินบาทที่แข็งตัว

Outlook

- เราคาดว่ากำไรสุทธิ์ 3Q25 จะสูงขึ้นทั้ง q-q และ y-y โดยมีปัจจัยหนุนจากการเติบโตของ รายได้ในอัตราหนึ่งหลักจากความต้องการเนยและชีสและอยู่ในเกณฑ์ดี การเปิดตัวสินค้า ใหม่และคำสั่งชื้อในช่องทาง B2B ที่สูงขึ้นจากลูกค้าอุตสาหกรรมที่ขยายตัว กลุ่มบริการ อาหารน่าจะค่อย ๆ ฟื้นตัวโดยมีปัจจัยหนุนจากกิจกรรมส่งเสริมการขาย อัตรากำไร ขั้นตันน่าจะยังทรงตัว q-q แต่ยังสูงขึ้น y-y จากฐานที่ต่ำใน 3Q24 กำไร 4Q25 น่าจะได้ ประโยชน์จากความต้องการในฤดูเฉลิมฉลอง
- กำไรสุทธิ 1H25 คิดเป็น 49% ของคาดการณ์ทั้งปีของเราซึ่งเรายังคงไว้ที่ 447 ลบ. (+ 10% y-y)
- KCG มีการซื้อขายที่ 11x 2025E P/E ต่ำกว่าค่าเฉลี่ยที่ 13x ของกลุ่มอาหารและ เครื่องดื่ม เรามองเห็น Upside ต่อเนื่องจากกำไรสูงสุดใหม่ใน 2025E และผลตอบแทน ในรูปเงินปันผลที่น่าสนใจที่ 5% เราคงคำแนะนำซื้อที่ราคาเป้าหมาย 11 บาท

KEY STOCK DATA

| YE Dec (THB m) | 2024 | 2025E | 2026E | 2027E |
|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Revenue | 7,743 | 8,518 | 9,370 | 10,306 |
| Net profit | 406 | 447 | 514 | 573 |
| EPS (THB) | 0.74 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 1.05 |
| vs Consensus (%) | - | (1.8) | 0.0 | 1.9 |
| EBITDA | 762 | 828 | 918 | 999 |
| Recurring net profit | 406 | 447 | 514 | 573 |
| Core EPS (THB) | 0.74 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 1.05 |
| EPS growth (%) | 38.6 | 10.1 | 15.1 | 11.4 |
| Core P/E (x) | 12.1 | 11.0 | 9.6 | 8.6 |
| Dividend yield (%) | 4.5 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 6.4 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 8.5 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 6.1 |
| Price/book (x) | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Net debt/Equity (%) | 53.5 | 48.3 | 41.9 | 30.4 |
| ROE (%) | 14.4 | 14.5 | 15.4 | 15.7 |
| | | | | |



| Share price performance | 1 Month | 3 Month | 12 Month |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------------|------------|
| Absolute (%) | 9.7 | 3.4 | (1.1) |
| Relative to country (%) | (3.7) | (1.7) | 0.5 |
| Mkt cap (USD m) | | | 153 |
| 3m avg. daily turnover (USD m) | | | 0.2 |
| Free float (%) | | | 27 |
| Major shareholder | Far | nily of found | ders (72%) |
| 12m high/low (THB) | | | 10.80/6.30 |
| Issued shares (m) | | | 545.00 |

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Songklod Wongchai

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 018086 songklod.won@fssia.com, +66 2646 9970

PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

Exhibit 1: KCG – 2Q25 results summary

| Profit & Loss Statement | 1Q24 | 2Q24 | 3Q24 | 4Q24 | 1Q25 | 2Q25 | Cha | nge | 2025E | Change | % 1H25 of |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Year to Dec 31 | (THB m) | (q-q %) | (y-y %) | (THB m) | (y-y %) | 2025E |
| Revenue | 1,786 | 1,689 | 1,753 | 2,517 | 2,037 | 1,896 | (6.9) | 12.3 | 8,518 | 10.0 | 46% |
| Gross profit | 545 | 537 | 531 | 779 | 633 | 578 | (8.6) | 7.6 | 2,598 | 8.6 | 47% |
| Operating costs | 431 | 415 | 431 | 577 | 475 | 449 | (5.5) | 8.2 | 2,012 | 8.5 | 46% |
| Operating profit | 114 | 122 | 100 | 202 | 157 | 129 | (18.0) | 5.7 | 586 | 8.8 | 49% |
| Other income | 12 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 9 | 5 | (38.8) | (42.7) | 56 | (8.4) | 26% |
| Interest expense | 14 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | (9.9) | (7.5) | 53 | 0.0 | 42% |
| Profit before tax | 91 | 120 | 98 | 202 | 154 | 124 | (19.9) | 3.1 | 563 | 10.1 | 49% |
| Tax | 20 | 26 | 21 | 39 | 32 | 25 | (23.4) | (3.7) | 116 | 10.1 | 49% |
| Recurring net profit | 93 | 94 | 77 | 163 | 122 | 99 | (18.9) | 4.9 | 447 | 10.1 | 49% |
| Key Ratios (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (ppt) | (ppt) | (%) | (ppt) | |
| Gross margin | 30.5 | 31.8 | 30.3 | 30.9 | 31.1 | 30.5 | (0.6) | (1.3) | 30.5 | (0.4) | |
| SG&A / Sales | 24.1 | 24.6 | 24.6 | 22.9 | 23.3 | 23.7 | 0.4 | (0.9) | 23.6 | (0.3) | |
| Effective tax rate | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.5 | 19.4 | 20.9 | 20.0 | (0.9) | (1.4) | 20.6 | 0.0 | |

Sources: KCG; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

KCG Corporation

| Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec | 2023 | 2024 | 2025E | 2026E | 2027E |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Revenue | 7,157 | 7,743 | 8,518 | 9,370 | 10,306 |
| Cost of goods sold | (5,010) | (5,351) | (5,920) | (6,512) | (7,163) |
| Gross profit | 2,146 | 2,393 | 2,598 | 2,858 | 3,143 |
| Other operating income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operating costs | (1,728) | (1,854) | (2,012) | (2,202) | (2,422) |
| Operating EBITDA | 599 | 762 | 828 | 918 | 999 |
| Depreciation | (181) | (223) | (242) | (262) | (278) |
| Goodwill amortisation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operating EBIT | 418 | 539 | 586 | 656 | 721 |
| Net financing costs | (80) | (53) | (53) | (50) | (50) |
| Associates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recurring non-operating income | 35 | 26 | 30 | 42 | 50 |
| Non-recurring items | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Profit before tax | 386 | 512 | 563 | 648 | 721 |
| Tax | (80) | (106) | (116) | (134) | (149) |
| Profit after tax | 306 | 406 | 447 | 514 | 573 |
| Minority interests | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Preferred dividends | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other items | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reported net profit | 306 | 406 | 447 | 514 | 573 |
| Non-recurring items & goodwill (net) | (13) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recurring net profit | 293 | 406 | 447 | 514 | 573 |
| Per share (THB) | | | | | |
| Recurring EPS * | 0.54 | 0.74 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 1.05 |
| Reported EPS | 0.56 | 0.74 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 1.05 |
| DPS | 0.30 | 0.41 | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.58 |
| Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data) | 545 | 545 | 545 | 545 | 545 |
| Growth | | | | | |
| Revenue (%) | 16.2 | 8.2 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Operating EBITDA (%) | 25.8 | 27.2 | 8.6 | 10.9 | 8.8 |
| Operating EBIT (%) | 43.5 | 28.9 | 8.8 | 11.9 | 10.0 |
| Recurring EPS (%) | 5.3 | 38.6 | 10.1 | 15.1 | 11.4 |
| Reported EPS (%) | (9.3) | 32.8 | 10.1 | 15.1 | 11.4 |
| Operating performance | | | | | |
| Gross margin inc. depreciation (%) | 30.0 | 30.9 | 30.5 | 30.5 | 30.5 |
| Gross margin exc. depreciation (%) | 32.5 | 33.8 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.2 |
| Operating EBITDA margin (%) | 8.4 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 9.7 |
| Operating EBIT margin (%) | 5.8 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Net margin (%) | 4.1 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.6 |
| Effective tax rate (%) | 21.5 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 20.6 |
| Dividend payout on recurring profit (%) | 55.8 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 |
| Interest cover (X) | 5.7 | 10.6 | 11.6 | 14.0 | 15.4 |
| Inventory days | 106.5 | 87.6 | 75.5 | 68.6 | 62.3 |
| Debtor days | 74.6 | 82.2 | 84.0 | 84.0 | 84.0 |
| Creditor days | 79.9 | 75.4 | 77.2 | 77.4 | 77.3 |
| Operating ROIC (%) | 8.3 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 10.8 | 11.6 |
| ROIC (%) | 8.4 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 10.9 | 11.8 |
| ROE (%) | 15.1 | 14.4 | 14.5 | 15.4 | 15.7 |
| ROA (%) * Pre exceptional pre-goodwill and fully diluted | 6.2 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 8.9 |
| * Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted | | | | | |
| Revenue by Division (THB m) | 2023 | 2024 | 2025E | 2026E | 2027E |
| Daily products | 4,087 | 4,457 | 5,111 | 5,622 | 6,184 |
| Food and bakery ingredients | 2,061 | 2,187 | 2,385 | 2,623 | 2,886 |
| Sources: KCG Comporation: FSSIA estimates | 1,009 | 1,099 | 1,022 | 1,124 | 1,237 |

Sources: KCG Corporation; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

KCG Corporation

| Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec | 2023 | 2024 | 2025E | 2026E | 2027 |
|--|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|
| Recurring net profit | 293 | 406 | 447 | 514 | 5 |
| Depreciation | 181 | 223 | 242 | 262 | 2 |
| Associates & minorities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Other non-cash items | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Change in working capital | (433) | (68) | (72) | (74) | (8 |
| Cash flow from operations | 41 | 562 | 617 | 703 | 70 |
| Capex - maintenance | (653) | (488) | (400) | (397) | (20 |
| Capex - new investment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| let acquisitions & disposals | 379 | 121 | (2) | (2) | (|
| Other investments (net) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| cash flow from investing | (275) | (367) | (402) | (399) | (20 |
| Dividends paid | (164) | (223) | (246) | (283) | (31 |
| quity finance | 1,368 | 66 | 60 | 60 | (|
| Debt finance | (1,023) | (307) | (3) | (20) | (30 |
| Other financing cash flows | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (00) |
| Cash flow from financing | 181 | (465) | (189) | (244) | (55 |
| _ | 101 | (403) | (103) | (244) | (55 |
| lon-recurring cash flows | - | - | - | - | |
| Other adjustments | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| let other adjustments | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Novement in cash | (40) | (270) | 26 | 61 | |
| ree cash flow to firm (FCFF) | (153.89) | 248.19 | 268.64 | 354.38 | 610.9 |
| ree cash flow to equity (FCFE) | (1,244.50) | (112.25) | 212.59 | 283.99 | 258.3 |
| er share (THB) | | | | | |
| CFF per share | (0.28) | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.65 | 1. |
| CFE per share | (2.28) | (0.21) | 0.39 | 0.52 | 0.4 |
| Recurring cash flow per share | 0.87 | Ì 1.1Ś | 1.26 | 1.43 | 1.5 |
| Salance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec | 2023 | 2024 | 2025E | 2026E | 2027 |
| | | | | | |
| angible fixed assets (gross) | 4,055 | 4,450 | 4,850 | 5,247 | 5,4 |
| ess: Accumulated depreciation | (1,701) | (1,832) | (2,073) | (2,336) | (2,61 |
| angible fixed assets (net) | 2,354 | 2,618 | 2,777 | 2,911 | 2,8 |
| ntangible fixed assets (net) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| ong-term financial assets | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| nvest. in associates & subsidiaries | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Cash & equivalents | 334 | 64 | 90 | 151 | 1 |
| VC receivable | 1,623 | 1,866 | 2,053 | 2,258 | 2,4 |
| nventories | 1,288 | 1,174 | 1,174 | 1,174 | 1,1 |
| Other current assets | 61 | 70 | 77 | 85 | |
| Current assets | 3,307 | 3,175 | 3,394 | 3,668 | 3,9 |
| Other assets | 210 | 201 | 221 | 243 | 2 |
| otal assets | 5,871 | 5,994 | 6,392 | 6,822 | 7,0 |
| Common equity | 2,693 | 2,941 | 3,202 | 3,493 | 3,8 |
| Ainorities etc. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -,- |
| otal shareholders' equity | 2,693 | 2,941 | 3,202 | 3,493 | 3,8 |
| ong term debt | 65 | 59 | 56 | 53 | 3,0 |
| 8 | | | | | |
| Other long-term liabilities | 188 | 186 | 204 | 225 | 2 |
| ong-term liabilities | 253 | 245 | 260 | 278 | 2 |
| VC payable | 977 | 1,141 | 1,262 | 1,389 | 1,5 |
| short term debt | 1,881 | 1,580 | 1,580 | 1,562 | 1,2 |
| Other current liabilities | 67 | 87 | 88 | 101 | 1 |
| Current liabilities | 2,924 | 2,808 | 2,930 | 3,051 | 2,8 |
| otal liabilities and shareholders' equity | 5,870 | 5,994 | 6,392 | 6,823 | 7,0 |
| let working capital | 1,929 | 1,883 | 1,954 | 2,028 | 2,1 |
| nvested capital | 4,493 | 4,702 | 4,952 | 5,182 | 5,2 |
| Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is be | eing treated as debt | | | | |
| er share (THB) | | | | | |
| ook value per share | 4.94 | 5.40 | 5.88 | 6.41 | 6. |
| angible book value per share | 4.94 | 5.40 | 5.88 | 6.41 | 6. |
| inancial strength | 1.01 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0. |
| • | 50.0 | 50.5 | 40.0 | 44.0 | - |
| let debt/equity (%) | 59.8 | 53.5 | 48.3 | 41.9 | 30 |
| let debt/total assets (%) | 27.4 | 26.3 | 24.2 | 21.5 | 10 |
| Current ratio (x) | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | • |
| F interest cover (x) | (14.6) | (1.1) | 5.0 | 6.7 | (|
| aluation | 2023 | 2024 | 2025E | 2026E | 202 |
| ecurring P/E (x) * | 16.8 | 12.1 | 11.0 | 9.6 | |
| ecurring P/E @ target price (x) * | 20.5 | 14.8 | 13.4 | 11.7 | 10 |
| Reported P/E (x) | 16.1 | 12.1 | 11.0 | 9.6 | 8 |
| • | 3.3 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 5.7 | , |
| Dividend yield (%) | | | | | |
| rice/book (x) | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 | |
| rice/tangible book (x) | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 | |
| V/EBITDA (x) ** | 10.9 | 8.5 | 7.8 | 7.0 | (|
| * * | | | | | |
| V/EBITDA (x) V/EBITDA @ target price (x) ** V/invested capital (x) | 12.7 1.5 | 9.9 1.4 | 9.1 1.3 | 8.1 1.2 | |

Sources: KCG Corporation; FSSIA estimates

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

| ESG score | Methodolog | V | | | Rating | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| The Dow | | - | ransparent, rules-based | component selection | | nd invited to th | ne annual S&P G | Nobal Corpora | eto. |
| Jones | | | ransparent, rules-based panies' Total Sustainabil | | Sustainability A | | | | |
| Sustainability | • | | al Corporate Sustainabili | , | ESG Score of le | ss than 45% | of the S&P Globa | al ESG Score | of the highest |
| ndices (<u>DJSI</u>) | , , | ranked compa | nies within each industr | y are selected for | scoring compar | | | uents of the D | JSI indices ar |
| By S&P Global | inclusion. | | | | selected from the Eligible Universe. | | | | |
| SET ESG | SET ESG qu | antifies respon | nsibility in Environmenta | I and Social issues by | To be eligible for | | | | |
| Ratings List | | | insparency in Governan | | | | | | |
| SETESG) | | | preemptive criteria, with e board members and e | | | | | | |
| by The Stock Exchange of | | | and combined holding r | | | | | | |
| Thailand | | | alifying criteria include: | | 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; an | | | | |
| SET) | 70%; 2) inde | pendent directo | ors and free float violation | on; 3) executives' | liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The | | | | |
| | | | social & environmental i | | SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% guarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks. | | | | |
| | | | arnings in red for > 3 yea | | , , , | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| CG Score by Thai | | | in sustainable developr vith support from the Sto | | Scores are rate | | ries: 5 for Excell ·79), 2 for Fair (6 | | |
| Institute of | | | s are from the perspective | | | | v 50. Weightings | | |
| Directors | , | n of operations. | | , ,, | equitable treatm | | | , | • , |
| Association | | | | | stakeholders (2 | , , , | sure & transpare | ncy (15%); an | d 5) board |
| (Thai IOD) | | | | | responsibilities | 35%). | | | |
| AGM level | | | hich shareholders' rights | | The scores are | | | | |
| By Thai Investors | | • | into business operations disclosed. All form impo | | very Good (90- | 99), 3 for Fair | (80-89), and not | rated for scor | es below 79. |
| Association | | | ents to be evaluated ann | | | | | | |
| (TIA) with | | | ires before the meeting | | | | | | |
| support from | | | neeting (10%). (The first a | | | | | | |
| the SEC | | | ion for voting; and 2) facilitat es 1) the ease of attending n | ting how voting rights can be | | | | | |
| | and verifiability | ; and 3) opennes | ss for Q&A. The third involve | es the meeting minutes that | | | | | |
| | | | es, resolutions and voting res | | | | | | |
| Thai CAC By Thai | | | thecklist include corruptions, and the monitoring a | | The document v | | | | |
| Private Sector | | | s good for three years. | ind developing of | • | | 0 0 | , | |
| Collective | | | e a CAC certified member s | tart by submitting a | approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements. | | | | |
| Action Against | | | an 18-month deadline to sub | | | | | | |
| Corruption | | | essment, in place of policy a ablishment of whistleblowing | | | | | | |
| (CAC) | | of policies to all | | | | | | | |
| <u>Morningstar</u> | | | k rating provides an ove | | A company's E | | | | d risk. The |
| <u>Sustainalytics</u> | | | f how much of a compar to be reviewed include corp | • • | more risk is unn | nanaged, the l | nigher ESG risk | is scored. | |
| | | | er media, NGO reports/web | | | | | | _ |
| | | mpany feedback, uality & peer revie | r, ESG controversies, issuer ews. | feedback on draft ESG | NEGL 0-10 | Low 10-20 | Medium | High 30-40 | Severe 40+ |
| | reports, and qu | | | | 0-10 | | 20-30 | 30-40 | 40+ |
| -00 B I | | | | | TI | | | | |
| ESG Book | The ESG sco | ore identifies su | ustainable companies th | | The total ESG s | core is calcula | | | |
| ESG Book | The ESG sco | ore identifies su outperform ov | er the long term. The m | ethodology considers | scores using ma | core is calcula ateriality-base | d weights. The s | core is scaled | |
| ESG Book | The ESG sco positioned to the principle helps explain | ore identifies su outperform ov of financial ma n future risk-adj | ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Ma | ethodology considers eation that significantly teriality is applied by | scores using ma | core is calcula ateriality-base | | core is scaled | |
| ESG Book | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir | ore identifies su outperform ov of financial man of future risk-adj ng features with | ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Mah higher materiality and | ethodology considers eation that significantly teriality is applied by | scores using ma | core is calcula ateriality-base | d weights. The s | core is scaled | |
| | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a | ore identifies su outperform ov of financial ma n future risk-adj ng features with rolling quarterl | ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and ly basis. | ethodology considers lation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these | scores using mand 100 with high | core is calcula ateriality-base gher scores in | d weights. The s dicating better p | core is scaled erformance. | between 0 |
| | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a | ore identifies su outperform ov of financial man future risk-adj ng features with rolling quarterl atings aim to m | ver the long term. The materiality including informated performance. Mathemateriality and large basis. The same a company's materiality and large basis. | ethodology considers lation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r | scores using mand 100 with high | core is calculateriality-base- gher scores in | d weights. The s dicating better po- | core is scaled erformance. rules-based m | between 0 |
| | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG reidentify indus | ore identifies su outperform ov of financial ma n future risk-adj ng features with rolling quarterl atings aim to m stry leaders and | ver the long term. The materiality including informal justed performance. Mathemateriality and large basis. The assure a company's mathemateriality according to | ethodology considers lation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these | scores using mand 100 with high | core is calculateriality-base- gher scores in | d weights. The s dicating better po- | core is scaled erformance. rules-based m | between 0 |
| | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus | ore identifies su o outperform ov of financial man in future risk-adj ng features with rolling quarterl atings aim to m stry leaders and 8.571-10.000 | ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and ly basis. neasure a company's math diaggards according to Leader: | ethodology considers lation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r | scores using ma and 100 with hig relevant ESG risk ks and how well | core is calcula ateriality-base pher scores in s and opportu they manage | d weights. The s dicating better po- nities. It uses a those risks relati | core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. | between 0 |
| | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA | ore identifies sure outperform over of financial man future risk-adjng features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 | ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and ly basis. neasure a company's math alaggards according to leader: | ethodology considers nation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially re their exposure to ESG ris | scores using ma and 100 with hig relevant ESG risk ks and how well | core is calcula ateriality-base pher scores in s and opportu they manage | d weights. The s dicating better po- nities. It uses a those risks relati | core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. | between 0 |
| | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA | ore identifies sure outperform over of financial man future risk-adjng features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 | ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and ly basis. neasure a company's math diaggards according to Leader: | ethodology considers lation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially retheir exposure to ESG rise | scores using mand 100 with high and 100 with high elevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most significant to the second sec | core is calculateriality-base- ther scores in s and opportute they manage | d weights. The s dicating better p nities. It uses a those risks relati | core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. | between 0 |
| | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA | ore identifies sure outperform over of financial man future risk-adjng features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 | ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and ly basis. neasure a company's math diaggards according to Leader: | ethodology considers nation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris | scores using mand 100 with high and 100 with high elevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most significant to the second sec | core is calculateriality-base- ther scores in s and opportutely manage unificant ESG ris | d weights. The s dicating better p nities. It uses a those risks relati | core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. | between 0 |
| | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA | ore identifies sure outperform over of financial man future risk-adjng features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 | ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and ly basis. neasure a company's math diaggards according to Leader: | ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repair their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in maga mixed or unexceptional | scores using mand 100 with high and 100 with high elevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most significant to the second sec | core is calculateriality-base- ther scores in s and opportutely manage unificant ESG ris | d weights. The s dicating better p nities. It uses a those risks relati | core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. | between 0 |
| | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA ABBB | ore identifies sure outperform ov of financial man future risk-adj ng features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 | ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and ly basis. neasure a company's math diaggards according to leader: Average: | ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repersection their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management or unexceptional industry peers | scores using mand 100 with high and 100 with high relevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most signal track record of managing the signal track record of managing the most signal track record of managing track recor | core is calculateriality-base- ther scores in s and opportuthey manage unificant ESG ris maging the most | d weights. The s dicating better p nities. It uses a those risks relati ks and opportunitie significant ESG ris | core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. es | between 0 |
| | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA BBB BB | ore identifies sure outperform ov of financial man future risk-adjng features with rolling quarterlatings aim to mstry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 | ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and ly basis. neasure a company's math diaggards according to Leader: | ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repair their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in maga mixed or unexceptional | scores using mand 100 with high and 100 with high relevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most signal track record of managing the signal track record of managing the most signal track record of managing track recor | core is calculateriality-base- ther scores in s and opportuthey manage unificant ESG ris maging the most | d weights. The s dicating better p nities. It uses a those risks relati ks and opportunitie significant ESG ris | core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. es | between 0 |
| <u>MSCI</u> | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA BBB BB BB BB CCC | ore identifies sure outperform of financial man future risk-adjng features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 | ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and lay basis. The according to larger a company's math diaggards according to larger. Average: Laggard: | ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repersection their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management or unexceptional industry peers | scores using ma and 100 with high relevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most signal track record of ma d on its high expost | core is calculateriality-base; the scores in sand opportute they manage unificant ESG rise the most and failure to the sand fa | d weights. The s dicating better p nities. It uses a those risks relati ks and opportunitie significant ESG ris manage significan | core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. es ks and opportur t ESG risks | between 0 |
| MSCI Moody's ESG solutions | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indus AAA A BBB BB B CCC Moody's assibelieves that | ore identifies sure outperform over of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to matery leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degral of financial material esses the degral of financial materials are supported to the company into the control of financial materials are supported to the company into the control of financial materials are supported to the company into the control of financial materials are supported to the company into the control of financial materials are supported to the control of financial materials are supported | ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and lay basis. neasure a company's math laggards according to laggards. Leader: Average: Laggard: ree to which companies tegrating ESG factors in | ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repart their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and | scores using ma and 100 with his and 100 with his elevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most significant for the second of mand on its high exposurable control of the second of | core is calculateriality-base; the scores in sand opportute they manage inificant ESG rise maging the most are and failure to effinition and in | d weights. The s dicating better p nities. It uses a a those risks relati ks and opportunitie significant ESG ris manage significan | core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. es sks and opportunt t ESG risks | between 0 ethodology to ities relative to policies. It |
| MSCI Moody's ESG solutions | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indus AAA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's assibelieves that create sustai | ore identifies subsection of financial man future risk-adjng features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degral of company intinable value for | ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and lay basis. measure a company's math laggards according to laggards. Leader: Average: Laggard: ree to which companies tegrating ESG factors in r shareholders over the | ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially retheir exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. | scores using mand 100 with high and 100 with high elevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most significant for the second of mand on its high expose of the second of | core is calculateriality-base; the scores in sand opportute they manage inificant ESG rise and failure to effinition and informing its pe | d weights. The s dicating better p nities. It uses a those risks relati ks and opportunitie significant ESG ris manage significan uplementation of ers is better posi | core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. es sks and opportur t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig | policies. It pate risks and |
| MSCI Moody's ESG | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indus AAA A BBB BB CCC Moody's assi believes that create sustai Designed to | pre identifies sur outperform ov of financial man future risk-adj ng features with rolling quarterl atings aim to matry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company intenable value for transparently a | ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and ly basis. In easure a company's math laggards according to leader: Average: Laggard: ree to which companies tegrating ESG factors in r shareholders over the land objectively measure | ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repart their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and | scores using mand 100 with high and 100 with high and 100 with high anaging the most signal track record of mand don its high exposure of pectives in the deliverse of the performance, of a performance, of a performance, of a performance, or a source of the performance of the pe | core is calculateriality-base; the scores in sand opportute they manage inificant ESG rise and failure to efficition and informing its performing its performing the most ommitment at | d weights. The s dicating better p nities. It uses a those risks relati ks and opportunitie significant ESG ris manage significan uplementation of ers is better posi and effectiveness | rules-based m ve to peers. es sks and opportur t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig | policies. It gate risks and in themes, |
| MSCI Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indus AAA A BBB BB CCC Moody's assi believes that create sustai Designed to based on pull | pre identifies sure outperform over of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to matery leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degral a company intransparently a blicly available | ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Mathematic higher materiality and hybasis. Ineasure a company's mathematic higher materiality and diaggards according to header: Average: Laggard: Tee to which companies tegrating ESG factors in rishareholders over the land objectively measure and auditable data. The | ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repalancing these anagement of financially repalancing its industry in material and a mixed or unexceptional industry peers agging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. | scores using ma and 100 with his elevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most sign all track record of ma d on its high expose of relatively outper G performance, c 00 on relative Es | core is calculateriality-base- ther scores in s and opportute they manage spirificant ESG rise anaging the most are and failure to effinition and informing its performant are and performant. | d weights. The s dicating better p dicating bett | rules-based m ve to peers. sks and opportur t ESG risks their strategy titioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr | policies. It gate risks and in themes, |
| Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating | The ESG sco positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indus AAA A BBB BB CCC Moody's assi believes that create sustai Designed to based on pul reporting mar | pre identifies sure outperform ov of financial man future risk-adj ng features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degral company interpretationable value for transparently a blicly available terial ESG data obtal ESG Score | rer the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Mathematically and hybrid hy | ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these leading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG obto its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG accore ranges from 0 to 1 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = asuring a company's performance in the significant industry peers. | scores using ma and 100 with his and 100 with his and 100 with his elevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most signal track record of ma d on its high exposure of the control of th | core is calculateriality-base ther scores in sand opportute they manage inificant ESG riserand failure to effinition and informing its performant are GG performant are GG performant are good; and management of the sand state of the sand sand sand sand sand sand sand sand | d weights. The s dicating better p nities. It uses a those risks relati ks and opportunitie significant ESG ris manage significan applementation of ers is better posi and effectiveness and insufficier >75 to 100 = exce | rules-based m ve to peers. es sks and opportur t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr llent.) | policies. It pate risks and in themes, ansparency in |
| MSCI Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating S&P Global | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indus AAA AA BBB BB CCC Moody's assi believes that create sustai Designed to based on pul reporting ma The S&P Glo compared to | ore identifies sure outperform over of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to matery leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 essess the degral of transparently a blicity available terial ESG data ball ESG Score its peers within | rer the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and ly basis. In easure a company's math diaggards according to laggards according to leader: Average: Laggard: Tee to which companies tegrating ESG factors in r shareholders over the land objectively measure and auditable data. The lapublicly. (Score ratings are is a relative score mean the same industry classes) | ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these actions are significantly in management of financially repeated in their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG obto its business model and medium to long term. The accompany's relative ESG accore ranges from 0 to 1 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = assuring a company's perfossification. The score ranges from the significant of the score ranges from a company's perfossification. | scores using ma and 100 with high and 100 with high and 100 with high and 100 with high anaging the most significant and the second of mand and the second of the second o | s and opportuthey manage and failure to efficient and informing its performant as G performant | d weights. The s dicating better p dicating better position dicating better p dicating better | core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. es sks and opportunct t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitigate across 10 mant degree of transfer. contunities, an | policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in d impacts |
| Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating | The ESG sco positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indus AAA A BBB BB CCC Moody's assi believes that create sustai Designed to based on pul reporting mar | ore identifies sure outperform over of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to matery leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degral a company interior of transparently a blicly available terial ESG data obtal ESG Score its peers within | rer the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Mathematic half including inform particular and higher materiality and hybasis. Ineasure a company's madel laggards according to laggards according to laggards. Leader: Average: Laggard: ree to which companies tegrating ESG factors in r shareholders over the land objectively measure and auditable data. The laggard auditable data. The laggard in the same industry class lagger is a relative score mean the same industry class lagoomberg score evalue. | ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repalancing these anagement of financially repalancing their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG obto its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG as core ranges from 0 to 1 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = assuring a company's performancial industry is aggretating the company's aggretating the company's aggretation. | scores using ma and 100 with his elevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most signal d on its high expose of relatively outper G performance, of 00 on relative Est as satisfactory; >50 to ormance on and riges from 0 to 100 egated Environm | core is calculateriality-base, ther scores in sand opportute they manage and failure to efficient and informing its performant and performant are and failure to efficient and informing its performant are and failure to efficient and informing its performant are and failure to efficient and informing its performant and performant are and performant and analogement of the core analogement of the core and analogement of the core analogement of the core and analogement of the core anal | d weights. The s dicating better pr dicating better | rules-based m ve to peers. t ESG risks t their strategy titioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr llent.) cortunities, an (ESG) perform | policies. It jate risks and in themes, ansparency ir d impacts |
| Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG ating S&P Global | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indus AAA AA BBB BB CCC Moody's assi believes that create sustai Designed to based on pul reporting ma The S&P Glo compared to | pre identifies su outperform out financial man future risk-adj ng features with rolling quarterl atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degra a company intended in the company intended and the company intende | rer the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Mathematically and higher materiality and hybasis. The according to the large of the lar | ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these actions are significantly in management of financially repeated in their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG obto its business model and medium to long term. The accompany's relative ESG accore ranges from 0 to 1 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = assuring a company's perfossification. The score ranges from the significant of the score ranges from a company's perfossification. | scores using ma and 100 with high and how well and it rack record of mand on its high exposure of the interest of the iteratively outper and on relative Etc. Satisfactory; >50 to the interest of the | core is calculateriality-base, the scores in sand opportunithey manage specificant ESG ristrates and failure to effinition and informing its performant and society of the score is a society of the score is a strategies | d weights. The s dicating better pr inities. It uses a a those risks relati ks and opportunities significant ESG ris manage significant riplementation of ers is better posi and effectiveness and insufficies >75 to 100 = exce of ESG risks, opp and Governance weighted gener | rules-based meter to peers. t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 mant degree of transler. portunities, an (ESG) performalized mean (| policies. It pate risks and in themes, ansparency ir d impacts |
| Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating S&P Global | The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indus AAA AA BBB BB CCC Moody's assi believes that create sustai Designed to based on pul reporting ma The S&P Glo compared to | pre identifies sure outperform over financial man future risk-adj ng features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degral company interpretationable value for transparently a blicly available terial ESG data obal ESG Score its peers within | rer the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Mathematic higher materiality and higher materiality and hybasis. The according to the large of the l | ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these actions industry their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG obtained in the company's relative ESG according to the company's relative ESG according a company's performing a company's performing a company's performing a company's aggregating the company's aggregating the company's aggregating the company's aggregating in the company's aggregating the company's a | scores using ma and 100 with high and how well and it record of many and the properties of the propert | core is calculateriality-base; the scores in sand opportunithey manage quifficant ESG ristration and informing its performant as a performant and performant | d weights. The s dicating better pr inities. It uses a a those risks relati ks and opportunities significant ESG ris manage significant applementation of ers is better posi and effectiveness are and insufficies >75 to 100 = exce of ESG risks, opp and Governance weighted gener Values range fro | core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. es sks and opportunities across 10 maint degree of tropentum degree of tropentum degree of tropentum degree of tropentum degree of to 10; 10 months of the 10 months of th | policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in d impacts mance. The power mean) is the best. |

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Songklod Wongchai FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price



| Date | Rating | Target price | Date | Rating | Target price | Date | Rating | Target price |
|----------------------------|------------|----------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------|------|--------|--------------|
| 12-Dec-2023 21-May-2024 | BUY BUY | 12.00 12.80 | 20-Aug-2024 10-Jan-2025 | BUY BUY | 13.00 11.00 | - | - | - |

Songklod Wongchai started covering this stock from 12-Dec-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

| Ticker | Price | Rating | Valuation & Risks |
|--------|----------|--------|---|
| KCG TB | THB 9.05 | BUY | Raw material costs increase more than expected, pressuring margins. |
| | | | 2) A weaker Thai baht may lead to higher import costs for products and raw materials. |
| | | | 3) Production capacity expansion plans may face delays or execution risks. |
| | | | |

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 13-Aug-2025 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.