

# JENKONGKLAI JPARK TB

THAILAND / SERVICES - MAI

**HOLD**  
 UNCHANGED

## 2Q25 results at first glance

### 2Q25 กำไรชะลอตัว และต่ำกว่าคาด 10%

#### Highlights

- กำไรสุทธิ 2Q25 ที่ 19 ลบ. ลดลง 19.7% q-q และ 27.5% y-y ต่ำกว่าเราคาด 10% จากธุรกิจ CIPS รับรู้รายได้ต่ำกว่าคาด ขณะที่กำไรธุรกิจอื่นตามคาด
- รายได้รวมลดลง 3.5% q-q และ 11.2% y-y แม้รายได้จากธุรกิจ PS เพิ่มขึ้นตามปริมาณการจอดรถในโครงการอาคารที่จอดรถที่เพิ่มขึ้นอย่างต่อเนื่อง แต่รายได้ธุรกิจ PMS หรือค่าบริการที่จอดรถทรงตัว ตามจำนวนช่องจอดรถทั้งหมดยังเท่าเดิมที่ 4 หมื่นช่องจอดจาก ณ สิ้น 2Q25 และธุรกิจ CIPS มีรับรู้รายได้จากโครงการรับเหมาน้อยลงทั้ง q-q, y-y
- ส่วนอัตรากำไรขั้นต้นอยู่ที่ 26.6% ลดลงจาก 29.5% ใน 1Q25 และ 30% ใน 2Q24 ขณะที่ค่าใช้จ่ายอื่นๆ ก่อนข้างทรงตัว
- กำไร 1H25 อยู่ที่ 42 ลบ. -17% y-y และคิดเป็น 45% ของประมาณการกำไรสุทธิทั้งปี 2025 ทำให้มี downside ต่อประมาณการปัจจุบันของเรา


#### Outlook

- แนวโน้มกำไร 2H25 น่าจะใกล้เคียงหรือสูงกว่า 1H25 เล็กน้อย จากการเริ่มรับรู้โครงการบริการที่จอดรถ (PS) ในโรงพยาบาลศิริราช 3,000 ช่องจอด เพิ่มขึ้นจาก 4 หมื่นช่องจอด ณ สิ้น 2Q25 รวมถึงโครงการอาคารที่จอดรถพระนั่งเกล้าที่มีผู้มาใช้บริการที่จอดรถต่อเนื่องตามการทยอยเปิดร้านค้าและศูนย์ตรวจสอบสภาพบนขนาดพื้นที่จอดรถ 500 คัน และพื้นที่ให้เช่าเชิงพาณิชย์ 1,000 ตรม. และคาดธุรกิจ PMS น่าจะทรงตัวเนื่องจากไม่มีช่องจอดที่ให้บริการเพิ่ม ส่วนธุรกิจ CIPS คาดจะใกล้เคียงกับ 1H25 จากการรับรู้รายได้งานบริการติดตั้งที่จอดรถของรพม.
- จากกำไรสุทธิ 1H25 ที่ต่ำกว่าคาด เราอยู่ระหว่างการทบทวนประมาณการ และอาจมีแนวโน้มที่จะปรับลงประมาณการกำไรปี 2025-27 หลังประชุมนักวิเคราะห์ อย่างไรก็ตามก็ดีด้วยราคาหุ้นที่ปรับลงลึกเกินปัจจัยพื้นฐานจากประเด็นข่าวผู้ถือหุ้นใหญ่ที่ได้รับหุ้นที่โอนจากผู้ถือหุ้นใหญ่ขายหุ้นในกระดาน ในขณะที่ปัจจัยพื้นฐานไม่เปลี่ยนแปลง ดังนั้นจึงเป็นจังหวะซื้อสะสมลงทุนระยะยาว

TARGET PRICE	THB6.80
CLOSE	THB4.08
UP/DOWNSIDE	+66.7%
TP vs CONSENSUS	-3.2%

#### KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	560	634	697	767
Net profit	165	93	107	122
EPS (THB)	0.41	0.23	0.27	0.30
vs Consensus (%)	-	(11.5)	(11.5)	(9.1)
EBITDA	181	170	203	245
Recurring net profit	86	93	107	122
Core EPS (THB)	0.22	0.23	0.27	0.30
EPS growth (%)	37.4	8.1	14.1	14.4
Core P/E (x)	18.9	17.5	15.3	13.4
Dividend yield (%)	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.8
EV/EBITDA (x)	8.3	9.6	8.2	7.0
Price/book (x)	2.2	2.0	1.8	1.6
Net debt/Equity (%)	(18.4)	(0.8)	4.0	9.5
ROE (%)	12.9	12.0	12.4	12.8



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	(11.7)	(18.4)	(36.3)
Relative to country (%)	(22.5)	(22.4)	(35.2)
Mkt cap (USD m)	51		
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)	0.1		
Free float (%)	28		
Major shareholder	Mr. Santipol Janwatanaphaisan (71%)		
12m high/low (THB)	8.15/3.86		
Issued shares (m)	400.00		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Songklod Wongchai

 Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 018086  
 songklod.won@fssia.com, +66 2646 9970

Exhibit 1: JPARK - 2Q25 results summary

Profit & Loss Statement	1Q24	2Q24	3Q24	4Q24	1Q25	2Q25	----- Change -----		----- 6M25 -----		
Year to Dec 31	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	(%25E)
Revenue	152	146	122	140	134	129	(3.5)	(11.2)	263	(12)	42
Gross profit	42	44	27	41	39	34	(13.0)	(21.5)	74	(14)	46
Operating costs	(13)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(15)	(17)	8.5	25.8	(32)	22	67
Operating profit	30	32	18	32	31	26	(17.8)	(20.4)	57	(9)	51
Interest expense	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(5.9)	58.0	(4)	76	91
Profit before tax	29	31	17	30	29	24	(18.7)	(23.6)	53	(12)	47
Tax	(4)	(5)	(2)	(7)	(6)	(5)	(14.6)	(2.6)	(10)	17	56
Reported Net profit	25	26	93	23	23	19	(19.7)	(27.5)	42	(17)	45
Key Ratios (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)	(%)	(ppt)	
Gross margin	27.7	30.1	22.4	29.2	29.5	26.6	(2.9)	(3.5)	28.1	(0.8)	
Net margin	16.3	17.8	11.6	16.2	17.5	14.5	(2.9)	(3.3)	37.0	20.0	
SG&A / Sales	8.6	9.1	11.7	10.6	11.5	12.9	1.4	3.8	5.6	14.5	
Effective tax rate	13.9	15.9	15.0	24.0	19.3	20.3	1.0	4.4	0.0	14.9	

Sources: JPARK; FSSIA estimates

## Financial Statements

### Jenkongklai

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	573	560	634	697	767
Cost of goods sold	(444)	(406)	(474)	(517)	(569)
<b>Gross profit</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>198</b>
Other operating income	0	0	0	0	0
Operating costs	(48)	(55)	(48)	(53)	(48)
<b>Operating EBITDA</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>245</b>
Depreciation	(101)	(82)	(59)	(75)	(95)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Operating EBIT</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>150</b>
Net financing costs	(5)	(6)	(5)	(5)	(5)
Associates	0	0	0	0	0
Recurring non-operating income	4	14	5	5	5
Non-recurring items	0	79	0	0	0
<b>Profit before tax</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>151</b>
Tax	(17)	(21)	(18)	(22)	(29)
<b>Profit after tax</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>122</b>
Minority interests	0	0	0	0	0
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	0
Other items	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Reported net profit</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>Non-recurring items &amp; goodwill (net)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>(79)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Recurring net profit</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>Per share (THB)</b>					
Recurring EPS *	0.16	0.22	0.23	0.27	0.30
Reported EPS	0.16	0.41	0.23	0.27	0.30
DPS	0.19	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	400	400	400	400	400
<b>Growth</b>					
Revenue (%)	26.7	(2.4)	13.3	10.0	10.0
Operating EBITDA (%)	16.9	(0.7)	(5.9)	19.2	21.1
Operating EBIT (%)	10.5	21.4	13.0	14.7	17.8
Recurring EPS (%)	(42.9)	37.4	8.1	14.1	14.4
Reported EPS (%)	(42.9)	163.1	(43.5)	14.1	14.4
<b>Operating performance</b>					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	22.6	27.5	25.1	25.9	25.9
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	40.2	42.2	34.4	36.7	38.2
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	31.7	32.3	26.8	29.1	32.0
Operating EBIT margin (%)	14.2	17.6	17.6	18.3	19.6
Net margin (%)	11.0	15.4	14.7	15.3	15.9
Effective tax rate (%)	20.9	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	121.7	23.1	26.6	21.9	23.6
Interest cover (X)	16.2	19.9	25.9	29.5	34.5
Inventory days	-	-	-	-	-
Debtor days	29.1	22.7	21.2	22.0	44.0
Creditor days	53.7	88.2	93.2	98.7	100.8
Operating ROIC (%)	65.6	102.8	75.2	47.3	41.2
ROIC (%)	24.7	19.6	12.5	11.6	11.7
ROE (%)	16.7	12.9	12.0	12.4	12.8
ROA (%)	11.7	9.2	7.6	8.3	9.3
* Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted					
<b>Revenue by Division (THB m)</b>					
Parking Service Business	355	418	459	505	555
Parking Management Service Business	82	83	122	135	148
Consultant and Installation Parking System Business	121	44	36	22	44
Other services	15	15	17	36	20

Sources: Jenkongklai; FSSIA estimates

## Financial Statements

### Jenkongklai

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Recurring net profit	63	86	93	107	122
Depreciation	101	82	59	75	95
Associates & minorities	0	0	0	0	0
Other non-cash items	(4)	2	0	1	1
Change in working capital	60	70	12	7	(83)
<b>Cash flow from operations</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>134</b>
Capex - maintenance	(161)	(108)	(200)	(150)	(100)
Capex - new investment	0	0	0	0	0
Net acquisitions & disposals	(10)	(306)	(73)	(63)	(69)
Other investments (net)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Cash flow from investing</b>	<b>(171)</b>	<b>(414)</b>	<b>(273)</b>	<b>(213)</b>	<b>(169)</b>
Dividends paid	(77)	(20)	(25)	(23)	(29)
Equity finance	449	4	4	4	4
Debt finance	(5)	155	98	(188)	13
Other financing cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Cash flow from financing</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>(207)</b>	<b>(11)</b>
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Net other adjustments</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Movement in cash</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>(35)</b>	<b>(33)</b>	<b>(230)</b>	<b>(46)</b>
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	53.63	(168.35)	(105.53)	(18.18)	(30.05)
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	43.78	(18.78)	(12.43)	(210.51)	(21.16)

#### Per share (THB)

FCFF per share	0.13	(0.42)	(0.26)	(0.05)	(0.08)
FCFE per share	0.11	(0.05)	(0.03)	(0.53)	(0.05)
Recurring cash flow per share	0.40	0.43	0.38	0.46	0.54

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Tangible fixed assets (gross)	192	193	393	543	643
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(82)	(57)	(115)	(190)	(285)
<b>Tangible fixed assets (net)</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>358</b>
<b>Intangible fixed assets (net)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Long-term financial assets	0	0	0	0	0
Invest. in associates & subsidiaries	0	0	0	0	0
Cash & equivalents	439	405	372	142	96
A/C receivable	36	34	40	44	141
Inventories	0	0	0	0	0
Other current assets	31	2	3	3	3
<b>Current assets</b>	<b>506</b>	<b>441</b>	<b>414</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>240</b>
Other assets	179	596	675	742	816
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>795</b>	<b>1,173</b>	<b>1,367</b>	<b>1,284</b>	<b>1,414</b>
Common equity	594	744	816	904	1,001
Minorities etc.	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total shareholders' equity</b>	<b>594</b>	<b>744</b>	<b>816</b>	<b>904</b>	<b>1,001</b>
Long term debt	60	224	322	134	147
Other long-term liabilities	12	43	49	54	59
<b>Long-term liabilities</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>371</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>207</b>
A/C payable	58	98	114	125	137
Short term debt	53	44	44	44	44
Other current liabilities	18	19	21	23	25
<b>Current liabilities</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>207</b>
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>	<b>795</b>	<b>1,172</b>	<b>1,367</b>	<b>1,284</b>	<b>1,414</b>
Net working capital	(9)	(81)	(93)	(101)	(19)
Invested capital	279	650	860	994	1,156

\* Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being treated as debt

#### Per share (THB)

Book value per share	1.49	1.86	2.04	2.26	2.50
Tangible book value per share	1.49	1.86	2.04	2.26	2.50

#### Financial strength

Net debt/equity (%)	(54.9)	(18.4)	(0.8)	4.0	9.5
Net debt/total assets (%)	(41.0)	(11.7)	(0.5)	2.8	6.7
Current ratio (x)	3.9	2.7	2.3	1.0	1.2
CF interest cover (x)	9.4	(2.3)	(1.8)	(45.8)	(3.7)

Valuation	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
<b>Recurring P/E (x) *</b>	<b>26.0</b>	<b>18.9</b>	<b>17.5</b>	<b>15.3</b>	<b>13.4</b>
<b>Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *</b>	<b>43.3</b>	<b>31.5</b>	<b>29.1</b>	<b>25.5</b>	<b>22.3</b>
Reported P/E (x)	26.0	9.9	17.5	15.3	13.4
Dividend yield (%)	4.7	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.8
Price/book (x)	2.7	2.2	2.0	1.8	1.6
Price/tangible book (x)	2.7	2.2	2.0	1.8	1.6
EV/EBITDA (x) **	7.2	8.3	9.6	8.2	7.0
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	13.2	14.3	16.0	13.6	11.5
EV/invested capital (x)	4.7	2.3	1.9	1.7	1.5

\* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted \*\* EBITDA includes associate income and recurring non-operating income

Sources: Jenkongklai; FSSIA estimates

## Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating																			
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices ( <a href="#">DJSI</a> ) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.																			
SET ESG Ratings List ( <a href="#">SETESG</a> ) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand ( <a href="#">SET</a> )	SET ESG quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for <b>SETESG inclusion</b> , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. <b>SETESG Index</b> is extended from the SET ESG Ratings companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.																			
<b>CG Score</b> by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).																			
<b>AGM level</b> By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&amp;A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i>	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.																			
<a href="#">Thai CAC</a> By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i>	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.																			
<a href="#">Morningstar Sustainabilitys</a>	The Sustainabilitys' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality &amp; peer reviews.</i>	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table><tr><th>NEGL</th><th>Low</th><th>Medium</th><th>High</th><th>Severe</th></tr><tr><td>0-10</td><td>10-20</td><td>20-30</td><td>30-40</td><td>40+</td></tr></table>	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+									
NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe																	
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																	
<a href="#">ESG Book</a>	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.																			
<a href="#">MSCI</a>	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table><tr><td><b>AAA</b></td><td>8.571-10.000</td><td rowspan="3"><b>Leader:</b></td><td rowspan="3">leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td></tr><tr><td><b>AA</b></td><td>7.143-8.570</td></tr><tr><td><b>A</b></td><td>5.714-7.142</td></tr><tr><td><b>BBB</b></td><td>4.286-5.713</td><td rowspan="3"><b>Average:</b></td><td rowspan="3">a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td></tr><tr><td><b>BB</b></td><td>2.857-4.285</td></tr><tr><td><b>B</b></td><td>1.429-2.856</td></tr><tr><td><b>CCC</b></td><td>0.000-1.428</td><td><b>Laggard:</b></td><td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td></tr></table>	<b>AAA</b>	8.571-10.000	<b>Leader:</b>	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities	<b>AA</b>	7.143-8.570	<b>A</b>	5.714-7.142	<b>BBB</b>	4.286-5.713	<b>Average:</b>	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers	<b>BB</b>	2.857-4.285	<b>B</b>	1.429-2.856	<b>CCC</b>	0.000-1.428	<b>Laggard:</b>	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks
<b>AAA</b>	8.571-10.000	<b>Leader:</b>	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities																		
<b>AA</b>	7.143-8.570																				
<b>A</b>	5.714-7.142																				
<b>BBB</b>	4.286-5.713	<b>Average:</b>	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers																		
<b>BB</b>	2.857-4.285																				
<b>B</b>	1.429-2.856																				
<b>CCC</b>	0.000-1.428	<b>Laggard:</b>	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks																		
<a href="#">Moody's ESG solutions</a>	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.																				
<a href="#">Refinitiv ESG rating</a>	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; &gt;25 to 50 = satisfactory; &gt;50 to 75 = good; and &gt;75 to 100 = excellent.)</i>																				
<a href="#">S&amp;P Global</a>	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.																				
<b>Bloomberg</b>	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.																			
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.																			

[Rating](#) regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "**CG Score**"; 2) "**AGM Level**"; 3) "**Thai CAC**"; and 4) **THSI**. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Songklod Wongchai FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd


The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price

Jenkongklai (JPARK TB)



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
30-Oct-2023	BUY	6.40	22-Mar-2024	BUY	7.20	13-Nov-2024	HOLD	6.80
21-Nov-2023	BUY	7.20	04-Jul-2024	HOLD	7.20			
16-Feb-2024	HOLD	7.20	09-Aug-2024	BUY	7.20			

Songklod Wongchai started covering this stock from 30-Oct-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Jenkongklai	JPARK TB	THB 4.08	HOLD	ความเสี่ยง 1) เกิดโรคระบาดจนทำให้คนส่วนใหญ่ไม่กลับบ้าน 2) เจ้าของพื้นที่จอร์แดนยกเลิกสัญญาเช่า

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 13-Aug-2025 unless otherwise stated.

## RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

### Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as  $(\text{target price}^* - \text{current price}) / \text{current price}$ .

**BUY (B).** The upside is 10% or more.

**HOLD (H).** The upside or downside is less than 10%.

**REDUCE (R).** The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

\* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

### Industry Recommendations

**Overweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

**Neutral.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

**Underweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

### Country (Strategy) Recommendations

**Overweight (O).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

**Neutral (N).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

**Underweight (U).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.