EQUITY RESEARCH - RESULTS FLASH

GULF DEVELOPMENT

GULF TB

THAILAND / UTILITIES





TARGET PRICE THB59.50
CLOSE THB47.50
UP/DOWNSIDE +25.3%
TP vs CONSENSUS -3.4%

2Q25 results at first glance

กำไร 2Q25 ออกมาดีใกล้เคียงคาด

Highlights

- GULF รายงานกำไรสุทธิ 2Q25 อยู่ที่ 63.87พัน ลบ. เมื่อหักกำไรพิเศษจากการต่อราคา
 ชื้อ 56พัน ลบ. และกำไรสุทธิจากอัตราแลกเปลี่ยนและกำไรที่ยังไม่ได้รับรู้จากตราสาร
 อนุพันธ์ กำไรปกติอยู่ที่ 7.1พัน ลบ. เพิ่มขึ้น 36.7% q-q และ 76.4% y-y ใกล้เคียงกับที่
- ตัวเลขเพิ่มขึ้น q-q ส่วนมากจากส่วนแบ่งกำไรที่สูงขึ้นจาก ADVANC (จาก ARPU ที่ ปรับตัวดีขึ้นและการบริหารตันทุนอย่างมีประสิทธิภาพ), GJP (ไม่มีค่าซ่อมบำรุงใน 2Q25) และ Jackson พร้อมเงินปันผลรับจาก KBANK
- ตัวเลขสูงขึ้น y-y ส่วนมากจากส่วนแบ่งกำไรที่สูงขึ้นจาก ADVANC (ส่วนแบ่งกำไรเพิ่มขึ้น 40.7%) พร้อมกำลังการผลิตที่ดำเนินงานเชิงพาณิชย์ใหม่ประกอบด้วย HKP IPP Unit 2 (337MW), โรงไฟฟ้าพลังแสงอาทิตย์ในประเทศขนาด 500MW, และโครงการ GPD (Unit 4) พร้อมเงินปันผลรับจาก KBANK
- ใน 2Q25 อัตรากำไรของโรงไฟฟ้าขนาดเล็ก (SPP) (14% ของกำลังการผลิตรวม) ยังทรง ตัวจากตันทุนเชื้อเพลิงและค่า Ft ที่ลดลง ขณะที่กำไรจากธุรกิจพลังงานหมุนเวียนลดลง จากปัจจัยด้านฤดูกาลแต่ชดเชยได้จากผลประกอบการธุรกิจ IPP ที่ดีขึ้นจากการผลิต ไฟฟ้าที่สูงขึ้น.

Outlook

- กำไรปกติ 1H25 คิดเป็น 51% ของประมาณการกำไรปกติปี 2025 ของเราซึ่งคงไว้ ตามเดิมที่ 25.6พัน ลบ. (+19.7% y-y)
- เราเชื่อว่ากำไรน่าจะดีต่อเนื่อง h-h ใน 2H25 โดยมีปัจจัยผลักดันจากกำลังการผลิตใหม่
 อีก 640MW ซึ่งมีกำหนดเริ่มดำเนินงานเชิงพาณิชย์ใน 2H25 ในขณะที่อัตรากำไรของ
 SPP น่ายังทรงตัวจากค่า Ft และต้นทุนเชื้อเพลิงที่ลดลง นอกจากนี้เรายังคาดด้วยว่ากำไรของ ADVANC จะดีขึ้นใน 2H25 จาก ARPU ที่สูงขึ้นพร้อมความต้องการของลูกค้าที่อยู่
 ในเกณฑ์ดี
- เราคงคำแนะนำซื้อ GULF ที่ราคาเป้าหมายปี 2025 ของเราที่ 59.50 บาท (SoTP) เรา เชื่อว่า GULF มีกำไรที่มีความยืดหยุ่นสูงสุดจากสถานะทางการเงินที่ดีด้วยสัดส่วนหนี้ต่อ ส่วนผู้ถือหุ้นสุทธิเพียง 0.8x และกระแสเงินสดที่ดี นอกจากนี้บริษัทฯ ยังน่าจะมีการควบ รวมกิจการเพิ่มเติมอีกด้วย

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2024*	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	120,888	137,518	144,466	148,286
Net profit	21,383	80,595	29,825	32,896
EPS (THB)	1.43	5.39	2.00	2.20
vs Consensus (%)	-	211.4	2.6	3.2
EBITDA	24,113	26,068	28,171	30,888
Recurring net profit	21,383	25,595	29,825	32,896
Core EPS (THB)	1.43	1.71	2.00	2.20
EPS growth (%)	19.3	19.7	16.5	10.3
Core P/E (x)	33.2	27.7	23.8	21.6
Dividend yield (%)	-	1.8	2.1	2.3
EV/EBITDA (x)	35.7	33.3	31.1	28.6
Price/book (x)	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.8
Net debt/Equity (%)	33.7	31.3	29.7	27.8
ROE (%)	6.5	7.4	8.1	8.6



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	19.5	(3.6)	n/a
Relative to country (%)	5.9	(7.6)	n/a
Mkt cap (USD m)			21,943
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)			43.2
Free float (%)			27
Major shareholder	Mr. Sar	ath Ratana	vadi (29%)
12m high/low (THB)		5	1.25/37.75
Issued shares (m)			14,940.00

Note: *Pro forma Consolidated Financial Information Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimate



Songklod Wongchai

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 018086 songklod.won@fssia.com, +66 2646 9970

PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

Exhibit 1: GULF – 2Q25 results summary

	2Q24	1Q25		- 2Q25		2025E	% of 1H25
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(%)
Revenue	31,592	30,782	38,518	25.1	21.9	137,518	50
Operating costs	(25,575)	(24,375)	(31,988)	31.2	23.7	(106,493)	53
EBITDA	6,249	6,688	6,651	(0.6)	8.9	26,268	51
EBIT	5,112	5,400	5,362	(0.7)	7.9	20,218	53
Interest expense	(2,792)	(3,193)	(3,333)	4.4	27.9	(11,162)	58
Other income	101	776	214	(72.4)	103.5	200	495
Associates' contribution	3,041	3,067	5,380	75.4	200.8	20,533	41
Pretax profit	6,147	7,021	9,825	39.9	126.5	32,552	52
Tax	(191)	(340)	(460)	35.3	45.9	(721)	111
Net profit	4,741	5,395	62,583	1,060.1	1,688.9	80,595	84
Non-recurring	-	-	56,120	na	na	55,000	
Core net profit	4,986	5,200	7,107	36.7	76.4	25,595	48

Sources: GULF; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Gulf Development

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023*	2024*	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	114,054	120,888	137,518	144,466	148,286
Cost of goods sold	(92,022)	(97,143)	(112,343)	(118,081)	(120,027)
Gross profit	22,033	23,746	25,175	26,384	28,259
Other operating income	-	-	-	-	-
Operating costs	(4,096)	(4,207)	(4,957)	(5,032)	(5,109)
Operating EBITDA	22,118	24,113	26,068	28,171	30,888
Depreciation	(4,181)	(4,575)	(5,850)	(6,819)	(7,738)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	0
Operating EBIT	17,937	19,539	20,218	21,352	23,150
Net financing costs	(8,689)	(8,904)	(8,482)	(8,442)	(8,384)
Associates	11,972	15,891	20,533	24,406	26,373
Recurring non-operating income	15,852	16,640	20,816	24,991	27,073
Non-recurring items	0	0	0	0	0
Profit before tax	25,100	27,275	32,552	37,902	41,839
Гах	(658)	(682)	54,279	(810)	(928)
Profit after tax	24,441	26,593	86,831	37,092	40,911
Minority interests	(6,519)	(5,210)	(6,236)	(7,267)	(8,015)
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	Ó	0
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
Reported net profit	17,923	21,383	80,595	29,825	32,896
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	0	0	(55,000)	0	0
Recurring net profit	17,923	21,383	25,595	29,825	32,896
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	1.20	1.43	1.71	2.00	2.20
Reported EPS	1.20	1.43	5.39	2.00	2.20
DPS	0.00	0.00	0.86	1.00	1.10
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	14,940	14,940	14,940	14,940	14,940
Growth					
Revenue (%)	21.1	6.0	13.8	5.1	2.6
Operating EBITDA (%)	(2.9)	9.0	8.1	8.1	9.6
Operating EBIT (%)	1.3	8.9	3.5	5.6	8.4
Recurring EPS (%)	41.8	19.3	19.7	16.5	10.3
Reported EPS (%)	57.0	19.3	276.9	(63.0)	10.3
Operating performance				(20.0)	
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	19.3	19.6	18.3	18.3	19.1
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	23.0	23.4	22.6	23.0	24.3
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	19.4	19.9	19.0	19.5	20.8
Operating EBIT margin (%)	15.7	16.2	14.7	14.8	15.6
Net margin (%)	15.7	17.7	18.6	20.6	22.2
Effective tax rate (%)	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
• •	5.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%) Interest cover (X)	3.9	4.1	4.8	50.0	6.0
Interest cover (x) Inventory days	ა.უ	4.1	4.0	5.5	0.0
	613	60.3	50.0	72 Q	90.0
Debtor days Creditor days	64.3 35.1	30.5	59.0 22.4	73.9 23.4	23.8
•					
Operating ROIC (%) ROIC (%)	(3.7)	(3.9)	(21.4)	(34.0)	(45.3)
, ,	(1.1)	(1.1)	(7.4)	(14.8)	(22.6)
ROE (%)	5.5	6.5	7.4	8.1	8.6
ROA (%) * Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted	3.4	3.6	3.0	2.5	1.8
		2004*	20055	20005	00075
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2023*	2024*	2025E	2026E	2027E
Power business	100,713	105,316	122,239	128,385	130,206
Consulting business	13,341	15,572	15,279	16,081	18,081

 $Note: {}^\star\!Pro\ forma\ Consolidated\ Financial\ Information; Sources: Gulf\ Development; FSSIA\ estimate$

Financial Statements

Gulf Development

Suil Development					
Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023*	2024*	2025E	2026E	2027E
Recurring net profit	17,923	21,383	25,595	29,825	32,896
Depreciation	4,181	4,575	5,850	6,819	7,738
Associates & minorities	11,972	15,891	20,533	24,406	26,373
Other non-cash items	- (2.44)	- (0.040)	- (4.400)	- (0.000)	(= 00=)
Change in working capital	(941)	(3,018)	(4,482)	(6,292)	(7,007)
Cash flow from operations	33,135	38,831	47,496	54,758	60,000
Capex - maintenance	(4,321)	(4,322)	(5,330) (29,092)	(5,955)	(6,580)
Capex - new investment	(20,523) (46,444)	(30,676) (31,597)	(29,092)	(19,909) 0	(19,579) 0
Vet acquisitions & disposals Other investments (net)	(4,916)	(6,542)	20,716	24,591	26,673
Cash flow from investing	(76,204)	(73,136)	(13,705)	(1,273)	20,073 514
Dividends paid	0	(73,130)	0	(12,797)	(14,913)
Equity finance	0	0	0	0	(14,010)
Debt finance	4,108	1,593	0	0	0
Other financing cash flows	(3,916)	(2,592)	1,830	1,817	1,801
Cash flow from financing	192	(1,000)	1,830	(10,980)	(13,112)
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
Other adjustments	38,550	32,149	0	0	0
Net other adjustments	38,550	32,149	(36,744)	(43,447)	(46,731)
Movement in cash	(4,327)	(3,156)	(1,123)	(943)	671
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	(33,250.19)	(23,092.68)	44,953.25	64,498.79	71,379.48
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	(4,327.46)	(3,156.24)	(1,122.84)	11,854.66	15,583.72
Per share (THB)					
FCFF per share	(2.23)	(1.55)	3.01	4.32	4.78
FCFE per share	(0.29)	(0.21)	(0.08)	0.79	1.04
Recurring cash flow per share	2.28	2.80	3.48	4.09	4.49
Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023*	2024*	2025E	2026E	2027E
	121 012	101 010	146 912	171 012	106 913
Tangible fixed assets (gross) Less: Accumulated depreciation	121,812 (22,255)	121,813 (22,254)	146,813	171,813 (33,538)	196,813
Tangible fixed assets (net)	99,557	99,559	(27,584) 119,229	138,275	(40,118) 156,695
ntangible fixed assets (net)	5,960	5,567	5,467	5,367	5,267
Long-term financial assets	-	-	-	-	0,20.
nvest. in associates & subsidiaries	396,772	398,368	398,368	398,368	398,368
Cash & equivalents	30,984	27,828	26,705	25,763	26,434
A/C receivable	21,165	18,778	25,654	32,877	40,291
nventories	0	0	0	0	0
Other current assets	15,497	18,573	21,128	22,195	22,782
Current assets	67,646	65,178	73,487	80,835	89,507
Other assets	110,515	144,499	144,499	144,499	144,499
Total assets	680,449	713,171	741,050	767,343	794,336
Common equity	327,503	331,995	357,589	374,617	392,600
Minorities etc.	28,118	29,689	35,925	43,192	51,207
Total shareholders' equity	355,621	361,683	393,514	417,808	443,807
Long term debt	120,160	113,305	113,305	113,305	113,305
Other long-term liabilities	129,684	157,502	157,502	157,502	157,502
Long-term liabilities	249,843	270,807	270,807	270,807	270,807
A/C payable	9,391	6,072	6,985	7,298	7,365
Short term debt	27,928	36,375	36,375	36,375	36,375
Other current liabilities	28,344	29,334 71 791	33,369	35,055 79,739	35,982
Current liabilities Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	65,663 671,127	71,781 704,271	76,729 741,050	78,728 767,343	79,722 794,336
	671,127		6,427	12,719	19,726
Net working capital nvested capital	(1,073) 611,730	1,945 649,938	673,991	699,228	724,555
Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is be		049,936	073,991	099,220	724,555
<u> </u>					
Per share (THB)	24.02	20.00	00.04	05.00	00.00
Book value per share	21.92	22.22	23.94	25.08	26.28
Fangible book value per share Financial strength	21.52	21.85	23.57	24.72	25.93
	32.9	33.7	21.2	29.7	27.0
Net debt/equity (%) Net debt/total assets (%)	32.9 17.2	33.7 17.1	31.3 16.6	29.7 16.1	27.8 15.5
Current ratio (x)	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1
CF interest cover (x)	2.9	4.1	4.3	4.8	5.2
Valuation	2023*	2024*	2025E		2027E
				2026E	
Recurring P/E (x) *	39.6	33.2	27.7	23.8	21.6
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	49.6	41.6	34.7	29.8	27.0
Reported P/E (x)	39.6	33.2	8.8	23.8	21.6
Dividend yield (%)	-	- 0.4	1.8	2.1	2.3
Price/book (x)	2.2 2.2	2.1 2.2	2.0	1.9	1.8
Price/tangible book (x)	2.2 38.7	2.2 35.7	2.0 33.3	1.9 31.1	1.8 28.6
	30.7	JJ.1	აა.ა		
EV/EBITDA (x) ** EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	16 Q	∆2 1	40 o	マフ 	2/1 /
EVIEBITIDA (x) ** EV/EBITIDA @ target price (x) ** EV/invested capital (x)	46.8 1.4	43.1 1.3	40.2 1.3	37.5 1.3	34.4 1.2

Note: *Pro forma Consolidated Financial Information; Sources: Gulf Development; FSSIA estimates

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodolog	у			Rating				
The Dow		-	ransparent, rules-based	component selection		nd invited to the	ne annual S&P G	Global Corpora	nte
Jones			panies' Total Sustainabil		Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Glol				
Sustainability			Il Corporate Sustainabili				of the S&P Glob		
ndices (DJSI)	, ,	ranked compai	nies within each industr	y are selected for	scoring compan			uents of the D	JSI indices ar
By S&P Global	inclusion.				selected from the Eligible Universe.				
SET ESG	SET ESG qu	antifies respon	sibility in Environmenta	I and Social issues by	To be eligible for				
Ratings List			insparency in Governan		minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJS				
SETESG) by The Stock			oreemptive criteria, with e board members and e		during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against nature of the relevant industry and materiality.				
Exchange of			and combined holding r						nnanies whos
hailand			alifying criteria include:		SETESG Index is extended from the SET ESG Ratings companies w 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and				
SET)	70%; 2) inde	pendent directo	ors and free float violation	on; 3) executives'	liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The				
			social & environmental i		SETTHSI Index				
	negative terri	itory; and 5) ea	arnings in red for > 3 yea	ars in the last 5 years.	quarterly weight	at maximum,	and no cap for r	number of sto	CKS.
CG Score			in sustainable developr		Scores are rate				
by Thai Institute of			vith support from the Sto s are from the perspective				-79), 2 for Fair (6 v 50. Weightings		
Directors	,	n of operations.		vo or a tima party, not	equitable treatm			,	• ,
Association					stakeholders (2				
Thai IOD)					responsibilities	35%).			
AGM level			hich shareholders' rights		The scores are				
By Thai		•	into business operations		Very Good (90-	99), 3 for Fair	(80-89), and not	rated for scor	es below 79.
nvestors Association			disclosed. All form impo ents to be evaluated ann						
TIA) with			ires before the meeting						
support from			neeting (10%). (The first a						
he SEC				ting how voting rights can be					
			s 1) the ease of attending mass for Q&A. The third involve						
			s, resolutions and voting res						
Thai CAC	The core ele	ments of the CI	hecklist include corrupti	on risk assessment,	The document v				
By Thai			ols, and the monitoring a	and developing of	passed Checklis		0 0	,	
Private Sector			good for three years.	tent have a demaitting as a	approvals whos			espected indi	viduals in
Collective Action Against			e a CAC certified member s an 18-month deadline to sub		professionalism and ethical achievements.				
Corruption			ssment, in place of policy a						
CAC)		employees, estal of policies to all	blishment of whistleblowing	channels, and					
<u>Morningstar</u>			k rating provides an ove	arall company score	A company's ES	C rick rating	scoro is the sum	of upmanage	d rick Tho
<u>viorriiriystai</u>	THE Sustaine	aiyucs Log iisi	k rating provides an ove					oi unimanaye	
Sustainalytics	based on an	assessment of	f how much of a compar					is scored.	
<u>Sustainalytics</u>	risk is unmar	naged. Sources	to be reviewed include corp	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and			higher ESG risk	is scored.	
Sustainalytics	risk is unmar regulatory filing	naged. Sources i	•	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector				is scored.	Severe
Sustainalytics	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co	naged. Sources i	to be reviewed include corp er media, NGO reports/web , ESG controversies, issuer	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector	more risk is unn	nanaged, the	higher ESG risk		
	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu	naged. Sources a gs, news and othe empany feedback, uality & peer revie	to be reviewed include corp er media, NGO reports/web , ESG controversies, issuer	ny's exposure to ESG orate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG	more risk is unn NEGL 0-10	Low 10-20	Medium 20-30	High 30-40	Severe 40+
	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu The ESG sco positioned to	naged. Sources in gs, news and other impany feedback, uality & peer revie pre identifies sure outperform over	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ews. ustainable companies the er the long term. The m	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG	more risk is unn	Low 10-20 core is calcula	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight	High 30-40 ed sum of the	Severe 40+ features
	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu The ESG sco positioned to the principle	naged. Sources in gs, news and other ympany feedback, uality & peer revie pre identifies sure outperform over of financial materials.	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/webs, ESG controversies, issuer ews. Justainable companies the ret the long term. The materiality including inform	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG and are better lethodology considers lation that significantly	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	Severe 40+ features
	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explair	naged. Sources in sp. news and other impany feedback, uality & peer review or identifies sure outperform over of financial main future risk-adj	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer ws. Justainable companies the ret he long term. The meteriality including informitusted performance. Ma	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG mat are better lethodology considers lation that significantly teriality is applied by	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base	Medium 20-30 ated as a weightd weights. The s	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	Severe 40+
	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightir	naged. Sources in species pays, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revieure identifies sure outperform out financial main future risk-adjing features with	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web: , ESG controversies, issuer ws. ustainable companies the er the long term. The meteriality including inform justed performance. Ma h higher materiality and	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG mat are better lethodology considers lation that significantly teriality is applied by	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base	Medium 20-30 ated as a weightd weights. The s	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	Severe 40+
ESG Book	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightir weights on a	naged. Sources in sp. news and other impany feedback, uality & peer review or eidentifies sure outperform own of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterl	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer ws. Lustainable companies the ret the long term. The meteriality including informity justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis.	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better lethodology considers lation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these	MEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	Severe 40+ features between 0
ESG Book	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co reports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra	naged. Sources in span and other impany feedback, uality & peer revieure identifies sure outperform out financial main future risk-ading features with rolling quarterlatings aim to man of the same in the same in features with the same in features with rolling quarterlatings aim to man of the same in the s	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web: , ESG controversies, issuer ws. ustainable companies the eter the long term. The m eteriality including inform justed performance. Ma h higher materiality and ly basis. neasure a company's ma	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG mat are better lethodology considers lation that significantly teriality is applied by	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with higher than the score of th	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base in scores in scores in scores in scores and opportures.	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	Severe 40+ features between 0
ESG Book	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co reports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra	naged. Sources in sp. news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revieure identifies sure outperform out financial main future risk-ading features with rolling quarterlatings aim to m	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/webs. LESG controversies, issuer include companies the ret he long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. The saure a company's mad laggards according to	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG per tethodology considers part of the tethodology consi	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with higher the score with the score to the score with	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based mye to peers.	Severe 40+ features between 0
ESG Book	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co reports, and quere positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial industrialization industrial industrial industrial industrial industrial in	naged. Sources in sp. news and other impany feedback, allify & peer review or identifies sure outperform own of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer ws. Lustainable companies the territal long term. The meteriality including informity including informity including informity and higher materiality and ly basis. Leasure a company's mad laggards according to	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG mat are better lethodology considers lation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these langement of financially remainded in the second sites and second sit	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with higher the score with the score to the score with	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based mye to peers.	Severe 40+ features between 0
ESG Book	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co reports, and quere positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG reidentify indus AAA AA	naged. Sources in sp. news and other impany feedback, allify & peer review of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarter latings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/webs. LESG controversies, issuer include companies the ret he long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. The saure a company's mad laggards according to	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG per tethodology considers part of the tethodology consi	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with higher the score with the score to the score with	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based mye to peers.	Severe 40+ features between 0
ESG Book	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, coreports, and quarter for the principle helps explair over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA A	naged. Sources in sp. news and othe impany feedback, ality & peer review of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to matry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer ws. Lustainable companies the ret the long term. The meteriality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. Leader: Leader:	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG per tethodology considers part of the tethodology consi	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high elevant ESG risk ks and how well unaging the most significant control of the con	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base in services in	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positions. It uses a those risks relations.	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers.	Severe 40+ features between 0
ESG Book	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, coreports, and queen the principle helps explair over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA BBBB	naged. Sources in sp. news and other impany feedback, ality & peer review or in the peer review of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarter latings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/webs. LESG controversies, issuer include companies the ret he long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. The saure a company's mad laggards according to	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG and are better ethodology considers lation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repeated their exposure to ESG risched the significant of their exposure to ESG risched the sites of	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high elevant ESG risk ks and how well unaging the most significant control of the con	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base in services in	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positions. It uses a those risks relations.	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers.	Severe 40+ features between 0
ESG Book	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co reports, and quere positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG reidentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB	naged. Sources in sp. news and other impany feedback, ality & peer review of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer ws. Lustainable companies the ret the long term. The meteriality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. Leader: Leader:	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG part are better ethodology considers part on that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repart their exposure to ESG risch leading its industry in marked or unexceptional and sites and s	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high elevant ESG risk ks and how well unaging the most significant control of the con	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base in services in	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positions. It uses a those risks relations.	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers.	Severe 40+ features between 0
ESG Book	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, coreports, and queen the principle helps explair over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA BBBB	naged. Sources in sp. news and other impany feedback, ality & peer review or in the peer review of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarter latings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer ws. Lustainable companies the ter the long term. The meteriality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. Leader: Average:	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better nethodology considers nation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repeals their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in materiality in materiality is applied by	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high elevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most significant rack record of maintenance.	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in s and opportute manage unificant ESG ris	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better production of the second of the secon	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers.	Severe 40+ features between 0
ESG Book MSCI	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, coreports, and queen the principle helps explair over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB	naged. Sources in sp. news and other impany feedback, ality & peer review of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer ws. Lustainable companies the ret the long term. The meteriality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. Leader: Leader:	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG part are better ethodology considers part on that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repart their exposure to ESG risch leading its industry in marked or unexceptional and sites and s	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high elevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most significant rack record of maintenance.	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in s and opportute manage unificant ESG ris	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better production of the second of the secon	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers.	Severe 40+ features between 0
ESG Book MSCI Moody's ESG	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, coreports, and quere positioned to the principle helps explair over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB BB CCC Moody's asset	naged. Sources in sp. news and othe impany feedback, ality & peer review of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarter latings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree and other species with the service of the serv	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web:, ESG controversies, issuer ws. Lustainable companies the ret the long term. The meteriality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. Leader: Average: Laggard:	ny's exposure to ESG parate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG and at are better ethodology considers pation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these leading its industry in machine a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of	MEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with high and 100 with high anaging the most significant of mand d on its high exposure of the decision of th	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base pher scores in s and opportuthey manage unificant ESG ris maging the most	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight dweights. The sidicating better provided in the second of the second o	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. es eks and opportunt t ESG risks	Severe 40+ features between 0 nethodology to
ESG Book MSCI Moody's ESG	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, coreports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AABBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB	naged. Sources in sp. news and othe impany feedback, allify & peer revie or ei identifies sure of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degral a company interplace in the same of the same o	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer www. Lustainable companies the ter the long term. The meteriality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. Leasure a company's mad laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: Leagard:	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG attained the sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG attained that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repart their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in management or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and	MEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with high and 100 with high anaging the most significant of mand d on its high exposure of the decision of th	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base pher scores in s and opportuthey manage unificant ESG ris maging the most	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight dweights. The sidicating better provided in the second of the second o	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. es eks and opportunt t ESG risks	Severe 40+ features between 0 nethodology to
ESG Book MSCI Moody's ESG solutions	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, coreports, and quarter for the principle helps explair over-weighting weights on a management of the principle helps explair over-weighting weights on a management of the principle helps explair over-weighting weights on a management of the principle helps explair over-weighting weights on a management of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a management of the principle helps explain over the pri	naged. Sources in sp. news and othe impany feedback, ality & peer review of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degral a company intinable value for	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer wws. Lustainable companies the ret the long term. The meteriality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. Leader: Average: Laggard: Lee to which companies eregrating ESG factors in a shareholders over the	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG and at are better ethodology considers pation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these leading its industry in management or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term.	MEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with high and 100 with high analysis and the most significant of the most sig	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base wher scores in s and opportutely manage which are and failure to and failure to finition and informing its pe	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight dweights. The sidicating better provided in the second of the second o	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. es eks and opportunt t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig	Severe 40+ features between 0 nethodology to
MSCI Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, coreports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AABBB BB CCC Moody's assibelieves that create sustai	naged. Sources in sp. news and othe impany feedback, allify & peer revie ore identifies sure of financial main future risk-ading features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company intenable value for transparently a	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer ws. Lustainable companies the ter the long term. The meteriality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. Leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard:	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG and at are better ethodology considers nation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these leading its industry in management of the industry in management or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term.	MEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with high and 100 with high analysis and how well analysis the most significant of the most significa	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in sand opportute they manage unificant ESG rise and failure to effinition and informing its performing its performing its performing the most of the same and failure to effinition and informing its performing its performing its performing its performing its performing its performing its performance in the same and informing its performance in the same and informance in the same and in the same and informance in the same and in the same and informance in	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight dweights. The sidicating better provided the series of t	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. es eks and opportunent t ESG risks f their strategy tioned to mitigate across 10 ma	Severe 40+ features between 0 methodology to
ESG Book MSCI Moody's ESG	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, coreports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's associated based on pull	naged. Sources in sp. news and othe impany feedback, allity & peer revie or eidentifies sure of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to matery leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degral of transparently a blicly available or evitality feeds and the services of the services	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer ws. Lastainable companies the territality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. Leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: La	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG and at are better ethodology considers pation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these leading its industry in management or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term.	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high exposure of the control of th	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in sand opportute they manage unificant ESG rise and failure to efficition and informing its performant as GG performant.	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight dweights. The sidicating better positive and opportunities a significant ESG rise manage significant polementation of the significant errors is better positive and effectiveness and insufficie	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. es sks and opportur t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr	Severe 40+ features between 0 methodology to
MSCI Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG ating	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, coreports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AABBB BB CCC Moody's assibelieves that create sustail	naged. Sources in sp. news and othe impany feedback, ality & peer revie ore identifies sure of financial main future risk-ading features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company internable value for transparently a blicity available terial ESG data	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer web. Lustainable companies the ter the long term. The meteriality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. Leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Audition of the median in the	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG and at are better ethodology considers pation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these leading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG of secore ranges from 0 to 1 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 =	MEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high analysis and how well analysis that the correction of the c	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base wher scores in seal opportute they manage unificant ESG rise and failure to effinition and informing its performan residence of sealing in the most of the sealing in the sealin	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight dweights. The sidicating better provided the series of t	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitigate across 10 manual degree of transportations.	Severe 40+ features between 0 nethodology to nities relative to policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in
MSCI Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, coreports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AABBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB	naged. Sources in span aged. Sources in span aged. Sources in span aged back, allify & peer review or either the span aged and the span aged aged aged aged aged aged aged aged	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer web. Lastainable companies the territality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. Leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Lagga	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG attained the total sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG attained that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repart their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the significant of th	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 100 with high and 100 with high anaging the most significant of ma d on its high exposure of the control o	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base they manage sher scores in s and opportuthey manage maging the most re and failure to effinition and informing its pe commitment at GG performan 175 = good; and management of	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight dweights. The sidicating better provided the series of t	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitigate across 10 manual degree of transportations.	Severe 40+ features between 0 nethodology to nities relative to policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating S&P Global	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, coreports, and quantity of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AABBB BB CCC Moody's assibelieves that create sustain Designed to based on pul reporting marting for the marting and the same properties.	naged. Sources is spends and othe impany feedback, allify & peer revie or either in the control of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company intenable value for transparently a blicity available terial ESG data obal ESG Score its peers within	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer web. Lustainable companies the ter the long term. The meteriality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. Leasure a company's mad laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: Leagerd: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Leader: Leader: Leader: Leader: Leader: Leader: Leader: Leagerd: Leader: Leagerd: Leader: Leader: Leader: Leagerd: Leader: Leader: Leagerd: Leader: Leagerd: Leader: Leagerd: Leader: Leagerd: Leader: Leader:	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG attained the sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG attained that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these anagement of ESG rischeduling its industry in maximum a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG of secore ranges from 0 to 1 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = assuring a company's perfossification. The score ranges from contact the size of the score ranges from the size of the score ranges from the size of the size of the score ranges from the size of the size of the score ranges from the size of	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with high and 100	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base wher scores in seal opportunity and opportunity and opportunity and opportunity and opportunity and opportunity and informing its performant and opportunity and oppor	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight dweights. The sidicating better provided in the second of the second o	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based mye to peers. es sks and opportunt t ESG risks f their strategy tioned to mitigate across 10 mant degree of transportunities, and portunities, and portunities, and portunities, and portunities, and portunities, and portunities.	Severe 40+ features between 0 nethodology to nities relative to policies. It gate risks and in themes, ransparency ir d impacts
Moody's ESG colutions Refinitiv ESG ating 8&P Global	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, coreports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AABBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB	naged. Sources is sp. news and othe impany feedback, allity & peer revieure of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degral a company intrinable value for transparently a blicly available terial ESG data obal ESG Score its peers within	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer web. Lustainable companies the ter the long term. The meteriality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Average: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Average: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Average: Laggard: La	ny's exposure to ESG parate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG parate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG paraterial transport of the feedback of the	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high exposure of the second of t	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base they manage in inficant ESG rismaging the most are and failure to effinition and informing its performant and the informant and informatical and info	Medium 20-30 ated as a weighted weights. The sidicating better positive significant ending significant endin	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. ss sks and opportur t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr llent.) cortunities, an (ESG) perform	Severe 40+ features between 0 nethodology to policies. It pate risks and in themes, ransparency in d impacts mance. The
MSCI Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG ating	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, coreports, and quantity of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AABBB BB CCC Moody's assibelieves that create sustain Designed to based on pul reporting marting for the marting and the same properties.	naged. Sources in sp. news and other pany feedback, ality & peer review of financial main future risk-adjog features with rolling quarterl atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degral a company intrinable value for transparently a blickly available terial ESG data obal ESG Score its peers within	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web. ESG controversies, issuer ws. Justainable companies the ret the long term. The meteriality including inform justed performance. Many higher materiality and ly basis. Justainable companies the retriality including inform justed performance. Many higher materiality and ly basis. Justainable performance. Many higher materiality and ly basis. Justainable performance. Many higher materiality and ly basis. Justainable performance. Many higher materiality and ly basis. Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Lee to which companies elegrating ESG factors in reshareholders over the and objectively measure and auditable data. The apublicly. (Score ratings are is a relative score meant the same industry class.) Bloomberg score evalus score is based on Bloomberg score evalus score is based on Bloomberg score evalus.	ny's exposure to ESG parate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG parate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG parate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG parate publication that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these paragement of financially rebalancing these paragement of financially repeals in their exposure to ESG riscontinuity peers parate product its industry peers pagging its industry base take into account ESG obto its business model and medium to long term. The accompany's relative ESG obto its parate product is performed in the score ranges from 0 to 10 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = assuring a company's performanting the company's aggreating the company's aggreating the company's aggreating at the second parate publication.	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with high and 100	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in sand opportunithey manage maging the most are and failure to effinition and informing its performant and informing its performant and informing its performant and informing its performant.	Medium 20-30 ated as a weighted weights. The sidicating better provided weights. The sidicating better provided weights. The sidicating better provided weights and opportunities are significant ESG rise manage significant plementation of the significant experience of early 100 minutes of the significant experience of ESG risks, opportunities of the significant experience of the significant experienc	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. es sks and opportuncts t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitigate across 10 manual degree of transportunities, and (ESG) performalized mean (Severe 40+ features between 0 nethodology to nethodology to policies. It gate risks and in themes, ransparency ir d impacts mance. The power mean)
MSCI Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG ating S&P Global	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, coreports, and quantity of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AABBB BB CCC Moody's assibelieves that create sustain Designed to based on pul reporting marting for the marting and the same properties.	naged. Sources is sp. news and othe impany feedback, allity & peer revieure of financial man future risk-adjug features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degral a company intrinable value for transparently a blicly available terial ESG data obal ESG Score its peers within	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web., ESG controversies, issuer web. Lastainable companies the terriality including inform justed performance. Man higher materiality and ly basis. Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Description of pillar Score evaluscore is based on Bloot of Pillar Scores, where	ny's exposure to ESG parate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG and are better ethodology considers lation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these leading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG of exceptions are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = assuring a company's perfections are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = assuring a company's aggregating the company's aggregating and company's ag	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 1	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in s and opportute they manage maging the most are and failure to effinition and informing its pe ommitment at a GC performan 175 = good; and management of the score is a corrity ranking.	Medium 20-30 ated as a weighted weights. The sidicating better provided in those risks relatively and opportunities are significant ESG risks and opportunities are significant established in the significant established in the significant established establis	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. es sks and opportur t ESG risks their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr llent.) cortunities, an (ESG) performalized mean (com 0 to 10; 10	Severe 40+ features between 0 nethodology to nethod

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION


Songklod Wongchai FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
14-Nov-2022 23-Jun-2023	BUY BUY	58.00 52.30	25-Sep-2023 02-Apr-2025	BUY BUY	54.00 57.70	29-Jul-2025	BUY	59.50

Songklod Wongchai started covering this stock from 02-Apr-2025

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Gulf Development	GULF TB	THB 47.50	BUY	The downside risks to our SoTP-based TP for GULF include 1) a lower-than-expected Ft; 2) a higher-than-expected gas cost; and 3) delays in project commercial operation dates.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 08-Aug-2025 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.