EQUITY RESEARCH - TRANSFER OF COVERAGE

BERLI JUCKER

THAILAND / COMMERCE



Low expectation with good yield

- ฐรกิจมีการกระจายความเสี่ยงแต่การแข่งขันยังดุเดือด
- คาดกำไร 2025 จะโต 11.5% y-y แต่น่าตื่นเต้นน้อยลง y-y ใน 2Q-4Q25
- คงคำแนะนำซื้อหลังปรับราคาเป้าหมายลงเหลือ 24 บาท (DCF)

ฐรกิจมีการกระจายความเสี่ยงแต่การเติบโตในธุรกิจค้าปลีกยังมีความท้าทาย

BJC ดำเนินกิจการโดยมีการกระจายความเสี่ยงไปในธุรกิจต่าง ๆ ประกอบด้วยการผลิตบรรจุ ภัณฑ์และสินค้าอุปโภคบริโภค การค้าผลิตภัณฑ์ทางการแพทย์และค้าปลีก การกระจายความ เสี่ยงดังกล่าวช่วยลดความเสี่ยงเฉพาะในแต่ละธุรกิจและช่วยหนุนให้ฐานกำไรมีเสถียรภาพ อย่างไรก็ดีเรายังเห็นความท้าทายอย่างต่อเนื่องในการผลักดันการเติบโตที่สูงขึ้นโดยเฉพาะใน ธุรกิจหลักอย่างค้าปลีก (65% ของยอดขายและ 50% ของกำไรสุทธิหลังหักภาษี) ซึ่งเผชิญการ แข่งขันที่รุนแรงจาก Lotus's ในขณะที่การขยายธุรกิจในต่างประเทศยังเป็นไปอย่างช้า ๆ ดังนั้นเราจึงเชื่อว่าการเติบโตในระยะสั้นน่าจะยังอยู่ในระดับต่ำ

คาดการเติบโตของกำไรจะน่าตื่นเต้นน้อยลงใน 2Q-4Q25

เราคาดว่ากำไรสุทธิจะโต 7.3% y-y CAGR ในช่วงปี 2025-27 โดยมีปัจจัยผลักดันจากการ เติบโตของรายได้และอัตรากำไรขั้นต้นที่สูงขึ้น สำหรับในปี 2025 เราคาดว่ากำไรจะสูงขึ้น 11.5% y-y โดยมีปัจจัยหนุนจากอัตรากำไรขั้นต้นที่สูงขึ้นในธุรกิจการผลิต การควบคุม ค่าใช้จ่ายการขายและบริหารอย่างมีประสิทธิผลและการขายธุรกิจ Thai-Scandic Steel ที่ ประสบปัญหาขาดทุนใน 1Q25 (โดยปกติจะให้ผลขาดทุนต่อปี้อยู่ที่ประมาณ 130 ลบ.) เราคาด ว่ากำไรจะโตในระดับปานกลาง y-y ใน 2Q25-4Q25 จากฐานที่สูงขึ้นในปี 2024

Upside ระยะยาวจากทั้ง synergy และการปรับโครงสร้างยังไม่แน่นอน

มองไปในอนาคตประเด็นการเติบโตระยะกลางถึงยาวจะขึ้นอยู่กับการปลดล๊อคประโยชน์ที่ได้ จากความผนึกกำลังกับ THBEV group เพื่อขยายตลาดไปทั่ว ASEAN ทั้งในธุรกิจค้าปลีกและ การผลิต ทั้งนี้เราคาดว่าในระยะยาว BJC อาจซื้อ MM Mega Market (ธุรกิจค้าส่งในเวียดนาม ซึ่งบริษัทแม่เป็นเจ้าของอยู่ในปัจจุบันแต่ BJC เป็นผู้บริหาร) เพื่อนำมาบริหารเองเต็ม 100% ซึ่งจะช่วยเสริมสถานะของบริษัทฯ ในตลาดเวียดนามให้แข็งแกร่งยิ่งขึ้น อย่างไรก็ดีการ นำ ธุรกิจค้าปลีก (Big C) เข้า IPO แยกธุรกิจค้าปลีก (Big C) น่าจะไม่เกิดขึ้นในระยะสั้นจากการ ปรับลดระดับ Valuation ของตลาดและกำไรต่อหุ้นของ BJC ที่อาจลดลงในขณะที่เงินที่ได้จาก การขายอาจไม่สูงนักและการแข่งขันในตลาดค้าปลีกยังรุนแรง

Downside จำกัดพร้อผลตอบแทนในรูปเงินปันผลที่ดี

ราคาหุ้นของ BJC ลดลงไปแล้ว 15.8% YTD ปัจจุบันหุ้นมีการซื้อขายที่ 14.9x 2025E P/E ใกล้เคียงกับค่าเฉลี่ยของกลุ่มฯ จากความคาดหวังของตลาด ที่อยู่ในระดับต่ำเราเชื่อว่าปัจจุบัน ทั้งกำไรและราคาหุ้นมี Downside จำกัด นอกจากนี้ Valuation ในปัจจุบันยังให้ผลตอบแทนใน รูปเงินปันผลที่น่าสนใจถึง 4.6% เราคงคำแนะนำซื้อหลังปรับลดราคาเป้าหมายเป็น 24 จาก 31 บาท (DCF) ทั้งนี้ บทวิเคราะห์ฉบับนี้ปัจจุบันจัดทำโดยคุณกำพล อัครวรินทร์ชัย









TARGET PRICE	THB24.00
CLOSE	THB19.10
UP/DOWNSIDE	+25.7%
PRIOR TP	THB31.00
CHANGE IN TP	-22.6%
TP vs CONSENSUS	-2.2%

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	170,814	171,805	177,714	183,299
Net profit	4,001	4,968	5,358	5,691
EPS (THB)	1.00	1.24	1.34	1.42
vs Consensus (%)	-	(2.6)	(3.2)	(3.6)
EBITDA	22,292	22,848	23,948	25,205
Recurring net profit	4,610	5,137	5,358	5,691
Core EPS (THB)	1.15	1.28	1.34	1.42
Chg. In EPS est. (%)	-	(2.2)	(3.8)	(3.2)
EPS growth (%)	(2.1)	11.5	4.3	6.2
Core P/E (x)	16.6	14.9	14.3	13.5
Dividend yield (%)	3.7	4.6	5.0	5.3
EV/EBITDA (x)	10.7	10.5	10.3	10.0
Price/book (x)	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Net debt/Equity (%)	123.8	121.5	123.5	124.7
ROE (%)	3.8	4.3	4.4	4.6



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month				
Absolute (%)	(3.5)	(15.5)	(10.3)				
Relative to country (%)	1.7	(10.2)	7.1				
Mkt cap (USD m)			2,356				
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)			2.8				
Free float (%)			25				
Major shareholder	TO	TCC Corporation (74%)					
12m high/low (THB)		2	5.75/16.50				
Issued shares (m)			4,007.80				

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Kampon Akaravarinchai

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 115855 kampon.a@fssia.com, +66 2646 9964

Investment thesis

BJC has a good diversified business portfolio ranging from can and glass manufacturing to consumer products. Additionally, the company is the second-largest hypermarket operator in Thailand.

In our opinion, we foresee challenging competition with CPAXT and other retailers amid the slowdown in economic expansion. In addition, the long-term synergy and restructuring (MM Mega Market acquisition and Big C IPO) remain uncertain. However, given the low expectations and limited earnings downside, we maintain our Buy call on the stock.

Company profile

BJC is a fully-integrated consumer company with a presence in Thailand and ASEAN. It is part of TCC group, the largest beverage company in Thailand. Businesses include packaging, manufacturing consumer products, healthcare and modern trade. In 2016, it acquired 98% of Big C.

www.bjc.co.th

Principal activities (revenue, 2024)

Sales of goods/services - 92.3 %



Other income - 7.7 %

Source: Berli Jucker

Major shareholders

■ TCC Corporation - 73.8 %



Source: Berli Jucker

Catalysts

Key potential growth catalysts for BJC in 2025-27 include: 1) better consumer confidence in the nationwide market; 2) a rise in international tourist arrivals; 3) government economic stimulus packages; and 4) a substantial decline in BJC's production costs such as aluminum, soda ash, cullet, and natural gas.

Risks to our call

Downside risks to our DCF-based TP include 1) a decline in domestic purchasing power; 2) lower-than-expected tourist arrivals; 3) the absence of the government stimulus; 4) higher raw material costs; and 5) intensifying competition pressuring the retail business.

Event calendar

Date	Event
Aug 2025	2Q25 earnings release

Key assumptions

	Actual	Current					
	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E			
Revenue growth (%)	1.7	0.6	3.4	3.1			
- Packaging (%)	1.4	(5.0)	5.5	3.0			
- Consumer (%)	1.3	3.0	3.0	3.0			
- Healthcare (%)	2.2	(3.2)	2.5	3.0			
- Modern retailing (Big C) (%)	2.4	2.4	3.4	3.3			
Gross margin (%)	20.3	20.4	20.5	20.6			
- Packaging (%)	21.6	22.3	22.3	22.3			
- Consumer (%)	19.6	19.7	19.8	19.9			
- Healthcare (%)	31.2	34.2	34.3	34.4			
- Modern retailing (Big C) (%)	18.5	18.4	18.5	18.5			
SG&A to sales (%)	20.4	20.2	20.1	20.1			

Source: FSSIA estimates

Earnings sensitivity

- For every 1% increase in SSSG, we estimate 2025 EPS would rise 0.7%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 0.1% increase in GPM, we estimate 2025 EPS would rise 2.5%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 0.1% increase in SG&A to revenue, we estimate 2025 EPS would fall 2.5%, and vice versa, all else being equal.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Valuation

After transferring coverage, we revise our target price on BJC to THB24 from THB31 per share, based on a DCF valuation method (7.8% WACC, 1.5% TG). We assume BJC's terminal growth at 1.5% per year, reflecting the fierce competition in its core business and the uncertainty over long-term upside. Our new target price implies 18.7x 2025E P/E, equivalent to -1.25SD below BJC's 5-year historical trading average.

BJC's share price is down 16% YTD. BJC now trades at 14.9x 2025E P/E, aligning with the sector average. Given the low expectations, we believe the downside risk to both earnings and share price is now limited. In addition, the current valuation offers an attractive dividend yield of 4.6%. We maintain our BUY call on BJC.

Exhibit 1: DCF-derived TP

DCF-derived TP	(%)	(THB b)
Discount rate (WACC)	7.8	
Terminal growth	1.5	
NPV		103
Add: terminal value		156
Sum of PV		259
Add: investment		0
Less: Net debt		156
Less: minorities		7
Residual ordinary equity		96
No. of shares (m)		4,008
Residual ordinary equity (THB/share)		24.0

Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 2: BJC's yearly sales breakdown






Exhibit 3: BJC's quarterly sales breakdown



Sources: BJC, FSSIA estimates


Source: BJC

Exhibit 4: Revenue and growth




Sources: BJC, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 6: Big C's sales breakdown by format




Sources: BJC, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 8: Packaging business sales and GPM




Source: BJC

Exhibit 5: Big C's SSSG momentum




Sources: BJC, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 7: Big C's sales breakdown by products



Sources: BJC, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 9: Consumer business sales and GPM



Source: BJC

Exhibit 10: Healthcare and technical business sales and GPM




Exhibit 11: Modern trade business sales and GPM




Source: BJC

Exhibit 12: BJC's operating margins



Source: BJC

Exhibit 13: BJC's norm profit and growth



Sources: BJC, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 14: Rolling one-year forward P/E band



Sources: Bloomberg, FSSIA estimates

Sources: BJC, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 15: Rolling one-year forward P/BV band



Sources: Bloomberg, FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Berli Jucker

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	167,902	170,814	171,805	177,714	183,299
Cost of goods sold	(124,628)	(125,764)	(126,701)	(131,090)	(135,225)
Gross profit	43,274	45,050	45,104	46,624	48,073
Other operating income	0	0	0	0	0
Operating costs	(31,650)	(32,146)	(32,012)	(33,226)	(34,251)
Operating EBITDA	20,608	22,292	22,848	23,948	25,205
Depreciation	(8,984)	(9,389)	(9,756)	(10,549)	(11,383)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	0
Operating EBIT	11,624	12,904	13,092	13,398	13,822
Net financing costs	(5,295)	(5,603)	(5,253)	(5,275)	(5,305)
Associates	(200)	(84)	(21)	(11)	C
Recurring non-operating income	(200)	(84)	(21)	(11)	C
Non-recurring items	85	(608)	(169)	0	C
Profit before tax	6,214	6,609	7,649	8,113	8,517
Tax	(503)	(1,618)	(1,662)	(1,706)	(1,746)
Profit after tax	5,712	4,990	5,987	6,407	6,771
Minority interests	(917)	(989)	(1,018)	(1,049)	(1,080)
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	(1,000)
Other items	0	0	0	0	0
Reported net profit	4,795	4,001	4,968	5,358	5,691
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(85)	608	169	0	0,051
Recurring net profit	4,710	4,610	5,137	5,358	5,691
	4,710	4,010	3,137	3,330	3,091
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	1.18	1.15	1.28	1.34	1.42
Reported EPS	1.20	1.00	1.24	1.34	1.42
DPS	0.80	0.71	0.88	0.95	1.01
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	4,008	4,008	4,008	4,008	4,008
Growth					
Revenue (%)	2.6	1.7	0.6	3.4	3.1
Operating EBITDA (%)	3.1	8.2	2.5	4.8	5.2
Operating EBIT (%)	5.9	11.0	1.5	2.3	3.2
Recurring EPS (%)	(6.0)	(2.1)	11.5	4.3	6.2
Reported EPS (%)	(4.3)	(16.5)	24.2	7.8	6.2
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	25.8	26.4	26.3	26.2	26.2
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	31.1	31.9	31.9	32.2	32.4
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	12.3	13.1	13.3	13.5	13.8
Operating EBIT margin (%)	6.9	7.6	7.6	7.5	7.5
Net margin (%)	2.8	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.1
Effective tax rate (%)	7.9	22.2	21.5	21.0	20.5
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	68.1	61.7	68.8	71.1	71.1
Interest cover (X)	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.6
Inventory days	73.7	69.1	68.0	67.3	67.7
Debtor days	41.3	41.5	41.5	41.0	41.0
Creditor days	105.0	104.7	105.9	104.9	105.5
Operating ROIC (%)	15.1	14.2	14.6	15.0	15.6
ROIC (%)	3.5	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.6
ROE (%)	4.0	3.8	4.3	4.4	4.6
ROA (%)	3.1	2.9	3.0	3.1	
* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted	ა. I	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.1
			00055	2000=	
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Sales of goods/services	154,672	157,727	159,221	164,916	170,224
Other income	13,230	13,086	12,584	12,798	13,075

Sources: Berli Jucker; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Berli Jucker

ash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027
Recurring net profit	4,710	4,610	5,137	5,358	5,69
Depreciation	8,984	9,389	9,756	10,549	11,38
ssociates & minorities	(544)	(1,016)	0	0	
Other non-cash items	1,144	1,232	931	1,123	1,07
Change in working capital	1,559	1,420	15	(250)	(212
cash flow from operations	15,853	15,634	15,839	16,780	17,93
Capex - maintenance Capex - new investment	(10,683) 0	(10,430) 0	(9,713) 0	(10,198) 0	(10,708
let acquisitions & disposals	(2,694)	4,009	(1,695)	(7,495)	(7,103
Other investments (net)	(2,094)	4,009	(1,093)	(7,493)	(7,100
cash flow from investing	(13,377)	(6,421)	(11,408)	(17,693)	(17,811
Dividends paid	(3,206)	(2,846)	(3,533)	(3,810)	(4,047
quity finance	(215)	(822)	(452)	(452)	(452
Debt finance	1,639	(5,258)	(446)	5,175	4,37
Other financing cash flows	0	Ó	Ò	0	
ash flow from financing	(1,782)	(8,926)	(4,432)	913	(128
lon-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	
let other adjustments	0	0	0	0	
lovement in cash	695	287	0	0	
ree cash flow to firm (FCFF)	7,814.37	14,882.22	9,761.44	4,413.53	5,485.0
ree cash flow to equity (FCFE)	4,115.69	3,954.43	3,985.18	4,262.04	4,498.7
er share (THB)					
CFF per share	1.95	3.71	2.44	1.10	1.3
CFE per share	1.03	0.99	0.99	1.06	1.1
tecurring cash flow per share	3.57	3.55	3.95	4.25	4.5
alance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027
angible fixed assets (gross)	111,435	116,368	126,081	136,279	146,98
ess: Accumulated depreciation	(48,581)	(52,332)	(61,942)	(72,341)	(83,570
angible fixed assets (net)	62,854	64,037	64,139	63,937	63,41
stangible fixed assets (net)	0	0	0	0	30,
ong-term financial assets	0	0	0	0	
vest. in associates & subsidiaries	2,774	2,630	2,730	2,830	2,93
ash & equivalents	4,857	5,144	5,144	5,144	5,14
/C receivable	19,377	19,490	19,603	20,278	20,91
nventories	22,338	21,701	21,863	22,620	23,33
Other current assets	843	759	762	778	79
current assets	47,416	47,094	47,371	48,820	50,18
Other assets	225,926	223,252	224,548	232,271	239,57
otal assets	338,970	337,012	338,788	347,858	356,10
Common equity	119,665	119,999	120,983	122,078	123,27
linorities etc.	6,230	6,203	7,221	8,270	9,35
otal shareholders' equity	125,896	126,202	128,204	130,349	132,62
ong term debt	129,749	128,320	123,990	133,198	138,09
Other long-term liabilities	11,880	13,856	13,878	14,355	14,80
ong-term liabilities	141,629	142,176	137,868	147,554	152,90
/C payable	32,947	33,810	34,062	35,242	36,35
Short term debt	36,870	33,042	36,925	32,892	32,36
Other current liabilities	1,628	1,783	1,729	1,822	1,86
current liabilities	71,445	68,634	72,717	69,955	70,58
otal liabilities and shareholders' equity	338,970	337,012	338,788	347,858	356,10
let working capital	7,984	6,357	6,436	6,612	6,82
nvested capital Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is be	299,538	296,276	297,853	305,650	312,74
<u> </u>	ang areated de dest				
er share (THB)	22.22	20.04	22.12	00.40	
ook value per share angible book value per share	29.86	29.94	30.19	30.46	30.7
·	29.86	29.94	30.19	30.46	30.7
inancial strength					
et debt/equity (%)	128.5	123.8	121.5	123.5	124
let debt/total assets (%)	47.7	46.4	46.0	46.3	46
urrent ratio (x) F interest cover (x)	0.7 1.8	0.7 1.7	0.7 1.8	0.7 1.8	0. 1.
aluation	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027
ecurring P/E (x) *	16.3	16.6	14.9	14.3	13
ecurring P/E @ target price (x) *	20.4	20.9	18.7	18.0	16
eported P/E (x)	16.0	19.1	15.4	14.3	13
vividend yield (%)	4.2	3.7	4.6	5.0	5
rice/book (x)	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0
rice/tangible book (x)	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0
V/EBITDA (x) **	11.9	10.7	10.5	10.3	10
WERE THE WAY TO PROOF DRIVE AND	12.8	11.6	11.3	11.1	10
V/EBITDA @ target price (x) ** V/invested capital (x)	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0

Sources: Berli Jucker; FSSIA estimates

Berli Jucker PCL (BJC TB)



Exhibit 16: FSSIA ESG score implication

79.24 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
***	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 17: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA	Domestic ratings			Global ratings						Bloomberg				
	ESG score	DJSI	SET ESG	SET ESG Rating	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
BJC	79.24	Υ	Υ	AA	5.00	4.00		Medium	72.74	AA		59.67	92.00	4.32	61.31
CPALL	75.48	Υ	Y	AAA	5.00	4.00	Certified	Medium	67.76	Α	34.00	64.69	88.00		
CPAXT	53.49		Y	AA	5.00	5.00	Certified			BBB			84.00	3.90	67.20
CRC	74.92		Y	AA	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	67.96	BBB		65.58	84.00	5.15	58.56

Sources: <u>SETTRADE.com</u>; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 18: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	0.97	1.54	1.56	1.62	2.64	2.59	2.70	4.32
BESG environmental pillar score	0.69	0.76	0.73	0.77	2.37	2.05	1.88	1.42
BESG social pillar score	0.16	1.08	1.11	1.25	2.34	2.34	2.71	6.99
BESG governance pillar score	3.19	3.48	3.54	3.42	3.48	3.62	3.61	3.81
ESG disclosure score	30.47	43.34	48.52	50.41	62.23	61.10	59.71	61.31
Environmental disclosure score	0.91	9.15	24.71	30.38	50.20	44.85	44.85	44.85
Social disclosure score	9.22	33.34	33.34	33.34	42.74	44.71	40.54	45.34
Governance disclosure score	81.10	87.36	87.36	87.36	93.62	93.62	93.62	93.62
Environmental								
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No							
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
GHG scope 1	_	357	466	483	417	503	660	623
GHG scope 2 location-based	_	699	699	715	603	616	617	607
GHG Scope 3	_	_	_	_	_	292	6,837	7,735
Carbon per unit of production	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Energy efficiency policy	Yes							
Total energy consumption	_	_	2,978	3,108	3,303	2,903	1,273	1,228
Renewable energy use	_	0	2	24	42	53	58	68
Electricity used	_	_	1,423	1,485	1,207	1,322	1,273	1,228
Fuel used - natural gas	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 19: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	_	_	_	2	1	_	_	_
Total waste	_	121	129	137	73	100	84	76
Waste recycled	_	_	37	37	33	20	28	28
Waste sent to landfills	_	_	_	94	39	74	54	42
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	_	_	2,380	3,106	4,550	3,840	4,150	4,250
Social								
Human rights policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Consumer data protection policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pct women in workforce	_	56	57	59	60	61	60	60
Pct disabled in workforce	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	_	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Total recordable incident rate - employees	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Number of employees – CSR	10,597	37,954	40,294	40,491	35,400	37,980	42,405	45,373
Employee turnover pct	_	66	73	69	62	48	45	31
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	_	3,339,950	3,889,880	3,494,120	2,255,330	4,405,680	4,187,660	4,264,250
Social supply chain management	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Governance								
Board size	15	15	16	16	16	16	17	15
No. of independent directors (ID)	6	8	8	8	8	8	9	8
No. of women on board	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4
No. of non-executive directors on board	12	13	13	13	13	14	14	13
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	30	6	6	5	6	6	4	5
Board meeting attendance pct	89	93	96	97	99	99	99	98
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	39	41	42	43	45	46	46	47
Age of the oldest director	74	74	76	77	78	79	79	80
No. of executives / company managers	6	6	6	7	6	10	10	8
No. of female executives	2	1	1	1	1	5	5	4
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4
Audit committee meetings	8	7	5	5	5	8	6	6
Audit meeting attendance %	95	100	93	100	100	90	100	100
Size of compensation committee	3 3	3	3 3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on compensation committee	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	2
No. of compensation committee	5	4	2	3	3	3	3	3
	93	100	100	100	100	100	3 100	100
Compensation meeting attendance %	93 3	100 3	3	100 3	3	100 3	3	
Size of nomination committee								3
No. of nomination committee meetings	5	4	2	3	3	100	3	100
Nomination meeting attendance %	93	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Sustainability governance								

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

FSC asser	Motherdal				Dating					
ESG score	Methodolog				Rating					
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	process base from the ann	ed on the com	transparent, rules-based panies' Total Sustainabil oal Corporate Sustainabili anies within each industr	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.						
SET ESG Ratings List (SETESG) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	managing bu Candidates r 1) no irregula float of >150 up capital. S 70%; 2) inde wrongdoing	usiness with tr must pass the ar trading of the shareholders ome key disquered pendent direct related to CG	onsibility in Environmental ansparency in Governance preemptive criteria, with the board members and extra and combined holding relations and free float violation, social & environmental iteratings in red for > 3 years	ce, updated annually. two crucial conditions: xecutives; and 2) free nust be >15% of paid- 1) CG score of below on; 3) executives' mpacts; 4) equity in	To be eligible for <u>SETESG inclusion</u> , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. <u>SETESG Index</u> is extended from the SET ESG Ratings companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (-USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.					
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	annually by t Thailand (SE	the Thai IOD,	h in sustainable developr with support from the Sto ts are from the perspectiv s.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).						
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	treatment are transparent a out of five the criteria cover date (45%), a circulation of a exercised. The and verifiability	e incorporated and sufficiently e CG compon r AGM proced and after the rufficient informa e second assess s; and 3) openne	which shareholders' rights d into business operations y disclosed. All form imporents to be evaluated annures before the meeting uneeting (10%). (The first a tition for voting; and 2) facilitat ess 1) the ease of attending meets for Q&A. The third involve uses, resolutions and voting res	s and information is ortant elements of two ually. The assessment (45%), at the meeting ssesses 1) advance ing how voting rights can be neetings; 2) transparency as the meeting minutes that	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.					
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	establishmer policies. The (Companies de Declaration of Certification, in managers and	nt of key control Certification eciding to become Intent to kick officulding risk ass employees, est	Checklist include corrupti- rols, and the monitoring a is good for three years. me a CAC certified member si f an 18-month deadline to sub- tessment, in place of policy are tablishment of whistleblowing Ill stakeholders.)	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.						
Morningstar Sustainalytics	based on an risk is unmar	assessment of as	isk rating provides an ove of how much of a compar s to be reviewed include corp	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored.						
	information, co		her media, NGO reports/webs k, ESG controversies, issuer riews.		NEGL 0.10	Low	Medium	High	Severe	
					0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+	
ESG Book	positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightin	outperform of of financial m future risk-a	sustainable companies the long term. The m atteriality including inform djusted performance. Mar ith higher materiality and erly basis.	ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.					
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers.									
	AAA	8.571-10.00								
	AA	7.143-8.570	Leader:	leading its industry in m	anaging the most si	gnificant ESG ris	sks and opportunitie	es		
	Α	5.714-7.142	2							
	ввв	4.286-5.713	3 Average:		a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers					
	ВВ	2.857-4.285	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	В	1.429-2.856	6	In making the feether to 1	the state of the technique the state of the first control of the state					
	ccc	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks						
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.									
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. (Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)									
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.									
Bloomberg	ESG Score Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.									
			of Pillar Scores, where	ille weights are determin	ied by trie piliar pi	ionly ranking.	values range in	oni o to ro, ro	is the best.	

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Kampon Akaravarinchai FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price



BUY 08-Aug-2022 BUY 40.00 09-Oct-2024 31.00

Kampon Akaravarinchai started covering this stock from 1-Jul-2025

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Berli Jucker	BJC TB	THB 19.10	BUY	Downside risks to our DCF-based TP include 1) a decline in domestic purchasing power; 2) lower-than-expected tourist arrivals; 3) the absence of the government stimulus; 4) higher raw material costs; and 5) intensifying competition pressuring the retail business.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 30-Jun-2025 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.