OSOTSPA

EQUITY RESEARCH - ANALYST MEETING

THAILAND / FOOD & BEVERAGE



FSSIA ESG rating

 $\star \star \star$



Key takeaways from analyst meeting

OSP TB

Highlights

- เรามีมุมมองเชิงบวกเกี่ยวกับการประชุมนักวิเคราะห์ ส่วนแบ่งตลาดได้ปรับขึ้นสู่ระดับ สูงสุดในรอบ 5 เดือนและกำไร 2Q25 มีแนวโน้มดีกว่าที่เคยคาด
- ส่วนแบ่งตลาดในเดือน เม.ย. เพิ่มขึ้น 0.6% m-m เป็น 45% ปรับตัวดีขึ้นจาก 44.5% ใน เดือน 5.ค. แม้ว่าสินค้าราคา 12 บาทจะมีส่วนแบ่งตลาดลดลงจากผลของการแข่งขัน กันเอง สินค้าราคา 10 บาทกลับรายงานส่วนแบ่งตลาดเพิ่มสูงขึ้นอย่างมีนัยสำคัญซึ่งช่วย รักษาตัวเลขการเติบโตของส่วนแบ่งตลาดรวมไว้ได้ที่ 0.5% YTD นอกจากนี้ตัวเลขจาก OSP ยังแสดงให้เห็นว่ามูลค่าตลาดเครื่องดื่มชูกำลังในเดือน เม.ย. และในช่วง 4MTD เพิ่มขึ้น 2% y-y และ 3.2% y-y ตามลำดับ
- รายได้เครื่องดื่มชูกำลังในประเทศใน 1Q25 ลดลง y-y โดยบางส่วนเกิดจากการปรับ สินค้าคงคลังสำหรับสินค้าราคา 12 บาท ถ้าไม่รวมรายการดังกล่าวผู้บริหารระบุว่า รายได้เครื่องดื่มชูกำลังในประเทศเพิ่มขึ้นเล็กน้อย y-y ทั้งนี้ OSP ปรับสินค้าคงคลังแล้ว เสร็จในเดือน เม.ย. ซึ่งอาจทำให้รายได้เครื่องดื่มชูกำลังในประเทศลดลงต่อเนื่อง y-y ใน 2Q25 อย่างไรก็ดีเราคาดว่ารายได้ฯ จะฟื้นตัว q-q และกลับมาโต y-y ใน 3Q25
- รายได้ต่างประเทศใน 2Q25 น่าจะลดลง q-q จากปัจจัยด้านฤดูกาลแต่โต y-y นับเป็น สัญญาณที่ดี OSP กำลังเตรียมเปิดตัว Babi Mild (โดยใช้รูป Butterbear) ในจีนโดย วางแผนเริ่มการขายในปลาย 3Q25

Comments

- อัตรากำไรขั้นต้นใน 2Q25 น่าจะทรงตัวหรือลดลงเล็กน้อย q-q จากระดับสูงสุดในอดีตที่ 40.3% ใน 1Q25 ตัวเลขดังกล่าวดีกว่าที่เคยคาดเนื่องจากปัจจัยเช่น ต้นทุนวัตถุดิบและ บรรจุภัณฑ์ลดลงอย่างต่อเนื่องและ OSP ได้ล๊อคราคาไว้จนถึงสิ้นปีนี้ ต้นทุนก๊าซยังคง เดิมและน่าจะไม่ส่งผลกระทบต่อบริษัทฯ ในปี 2025 ดังนั้นอัตรากำไรขั้นต้นในปี 2025 อาจสูงกว่าเป้าหมายที่ไม่น้อยกว่า 37% ใน 2024 ซึ่งหมายถึง Upside ต่อประมาณการ ของเรา
- เราคาดว่ากำไรปกติ 2Q25 จะทรงตัว q-q ที่ไม่น้อยกว่า 970 ลบ. (+5% y-y) ซึ่งดีกว่าที่
 เราเคยคาดว่ากำไรได้แตะระดับสูงไปแล้วใน 1Q25 ถ้าผลประกอบการ 2Q25 ออกมา
 ตามคาด กำไรปกติในช่วง 1H25 จะคิดเป็น 60-65% ของประมาณการทั้งปีของเรา
- เราคงราคาเป้าหมายปี 2025 ของเราที่ 24 บาท (จากเป้า P/E ที่ 23x)

TARGET PRICE	THB24.00
CLOSE	THB15.20
UP/DOWNSIDE	+57.9%
TP vs CONSENSUS	+27.2%

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	27,069	28,460	29,417	30,408
Net profit	1,638	3,022	3,125	3,240
EPS (THB)	0.55	1.01	1.04	1.08
vs Consensus (%)	-	(1.3)	(1.3)	(0.9)
EBITDA	4,953	5,198	5,387	5,580
Recurring net profit	3,038	3,022	3,125	3,240
Core EPS (THB)	1.01	1.01	1.04	1.08
EPS growth (%)	39.7	(0.5)	3.4	3.7
Core P/E (x)	15.0	15.1	14.6	14.1
Dividend yield (%)	3.9	6.0	6.2	6.4
EV/EBITDA (x)	9.4	8.5	8.2	8.0
Price/book (x)	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.7
Net debt/Equity (%)	2.8	(8.9)	(8.6)	(8.3)
ROE (%)	19.1	19.2	19.3	19.7



Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Sureeporn Teewasuwet Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 040694 sureeporn.t@fssia.com, +66 2646 9972

PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT


บทวิเคราะห์ฉบับนี้แปลมาจากต้นฉบับภาษาอังกฤษ ที่ออกรายงานเมื่อวันที่ 23 พฤษภาคม 2025

Exhibit 1: Maintain assumptions for OSP

	Actual		Forecast			- Growth	
	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E	2025E	2026E	2027E
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Total revenue	27,069	28,460	29,417	30,408	5.1	3.4	3.4
Costs	16,966	17,930	18,533	19,157	5.7	3.4	3.4
Gross profit	10,103	10,530	10,884	11,251	4.2	3.4	3.4
SG&A expense	6,952	7,172	7,413	7,663	3.2	3.4	3.4
Interest expense	118	123	125	119	5.1	1.6	(5.4)
Profit sharing	208	231	238	246	10.8	3.4	3.4
Reported net profit	1,638	3,022	3,125	3,240	84.5	3.4	3.7
Core profit	3,038	3,022	3,125	3,240	(0.5)	3.4	3.7
Key ratios (%)							
Total revenue growth	3.9	5.1	3.4	3.4			
Net profit growth	(31.8)	84.5	3.4	3.7			
Core profit growth	39.7	(0.5)	3.4	3.7			
Gross margin	37.3	37.0	37.0	37.0	(0.3)	0.0	0.0
SG&A to sales	25.7	25.2	25.2	25.2	(0.5)	0.0	0.0
Net margin	6.1	10.6	10.6	10.7	4.6	0.0	0.0
Core margin	11.2	10.6	10.6	10.7	(0.6)	0.0	0.0
Operating statistics (THB m)							
Beverage revenue	22,154	23,299	23,998	24,717	5.2	3.0	3.0
Personal care revenue	2,804	2,944	3,092	3,246	5.0	5.0	5.0
Others revenue	2,111	2,217	2,328	2,444	5.0	5.0	5.0


Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 2: Total revenue and growth




Sources: OSP; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 3: Revenue from domestic and overseas




Sources: OSP; FSSIA estimates






Sources: OSP; FSSIA estimates






Sources: OSP; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 8: Net profit and net margin




Sources: OSP, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 5: Functional drink market value and growth



Sources: OSP; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 7: SG&A expense



Sources: OSP; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 9: Historical P/E band



Sources: Bloomberg, FSSIA estimates



Financial Statements

Osotspa

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	26,062	27,069	28,460	29,417	30,408
Cost of goods sold	(17,059)	(16,966)	(17,930)	(18,533)	(19,157)
Gross profit	9,003	10,103	10,530	10,884	11,251
Other operating income	197	314	285	294	304
Operating costs	(6,610)	(6,952)	(7,172)	(7,413)	(7,663)
Operating EBITDA	4,137	4,953	5,198	5,387	5,580
Depreciation	(1,548)	(1,488)	(1,555)	(1,621)	(1,688)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	C
Operating EBIT	2,589	3,465	3,643	3,765	3,892
Net financing costs	(108)	(118)	(123)	(125)	(119)
Associates	146	208	231	238	246
Recurring non-operating income	146	208	231	238	246
Non-recurring items	227	(1,400)	0	0	(
Profit before tax	2,854	2,156	3,750	3,878	4,020
Tax	(431)	(398)	(600)	(621)	(643)
Profit after tax	2,423	1,758	3,150	3,258	3,377
Minority interests	(21)	(120)	(128)	(132)	(137)
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	C
Other items	-	-	-	-	
Reported net profit	2,402	1,638	3,022	3,125	3,240
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(227)	1,400	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	2,175	3,038	3,022	3,125	3,240
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	0.72	1.01	1.01	1.04	1.08
Reported EPS	0.80	0.55	1.01	1.04	1.08
DPS	1.65	0.60	0.91	0.94	0.97
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	3,004	3,004	3,004	3,004	3,004
Growth	(1 N				
Revenue (%)	(4.4)	3.9	5.1	3.4	3.4
Operating EBITDA (%)	13.5	19.7	4.9	3.6	3.6
Operating EBIT (%)	26.5	33.8	5.1	3.4	3.4
Recurring EPS (%)	13.7	39.7	(0.5)	3.4	3.7
Reported EPS (%)	24.2	(31.8)	84.5	3.4	3.7
Operating performance	24.5	27.2	27.0	27.0	27.0
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	34.5 40.5	37.3 42.8	37.0 42.5	37.0 42.5	37.0 42.6
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)					
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	15.9 9.9	18.3 12.8	18.3 12.8	18.3 12.8	18.4
Operating EBIT margin (%) Net margin (%)	9.9 8.3	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8 10.7
Effective tax rate (%)	0.3 15.1	18.5	16.0	16.0	10.7
Effective tax rate (%) Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	227.8	59.3	90.0	90.0	90.0
Interest cover (X)	227.8	31.3	90.0 31.4	90.0 31.9	90.0 34.9
inventory days					59.3
Debtor days	79.7 59.1	86.3 63.3	71.3 58.2	59.3 51.6	59.5
Creditor days	54.0	61.1	59.1	48.5	48.5
Operating ROIC (%)	12.9	18.2	20.4	20.3	20.1
ROIC (%)	11.4	17.3	19.8	20.2	20.4
ROE (%)	12.5	19.1	19.2	19.3	19.7
ROA (%)	9.0	13.4	13.6	14.0	14.2
* Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted	0.0				
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
	21,130	22,154	23,299	23,998	24,717
		22 154	2.5 299	2.5 998	/4/1/
Beverage Personal care	2,486	2,804	2,944	3,092	3,246

Sources: Osotspa; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements Osotspa

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Recurring net profit	2,175	3,038	3,022	3,125	3,240
epreciation	1,548	1,488	1,555	1,621	1,688
ssociates & minorities	48	(93)	(358)	(221)	(229
ther non-cash items	-	1,400	0	0	(
hange in working capital	(1,072)	49	958	(253)	(266
ash flow from operations	2,699	5,882	5,177	4,272	4,433
apex - maintenance	(3,102)	(1,199)	(1,589)	(2,023)	(2,023
apex - new investment	-	-	-	-	
et acquisitions & disposals	-	-	-	-	
Other investments (net)	2,740	(702)	579	522	444
ash flow from investing	(362)	(1,901)	(1,010)	(1,501)	(1,579
ividends paid	(2,585)	(2,253)	(2,720)	(2,813)	(2,916
quity finance	0	0	0	0	(
lebt finance	892	533	(1,186)	(86)	(86
ther financing cash flows	(392)	(9)	428	32	33
ash flow from financing	(2,084)	(1,730)	(3,477)	(2,867)	(2,969
lon-recurring cash flows	- 0	- 0	- 0	- 0	(
other adjustments	0		0 0	0	(
let other adjustments lovement in cash		(1,400)			
ree cash flow to firm (FCFF)	253 2,444.79	851 4,097.97	690 4,290.93	(96) 2,896.56	(115 2,972.80
ree cash flow to equity (FCFE)	2,837.30		3,409.84	2,890.30	2,800.82
	2,037.30	3,103.74	5,403.04	2,110.75	2,000.02
er share (THB)					
CFF per share	0.81	1.36	1.43	0.96	0.99
CFE per share	0.94	1.03	1.14	0.90	0.93
ecurring cash flow per share	1.26	1.94	1.40	1.51	1.56
alance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
angible fixed assets (gross)	28,149	27,689	29,689	31,689	33,689
ess: Accumulated depreciation	(15,130)	(16,371)	(16,817)	(18,439)	(20,127
angible fixed assets (net)	13,019	11,318	12,872	13,250	13,562
ntangible fixed assets (net)	267	226	226	226	220
ong-term financial assets	- 1,387	- 939	- 800	- 600	400
ivest. in associates & subsidiaries ash & equivalents	1,339	2,190	2,880	2,784	2,669
/C receivable	4,390	4,993	4,089	4,230	4,37
nventories	3,627	3,696	2,702	2,793	2,887
other current assets	21	313	142	2,793	2,001
current assets	9,376	11,192	9,813	10,071	10,320
other assets	352	352	142	59	6
otal assets	24,402	24,026	23,852	24,206	24,574
common equity	16,326	15,493	16,006	16,319	16,643
linorities etc.	184	299	172	189	206
otal shareholders' equity	16,510	15,792	16,178	16,508	16,849
ong term debt	520	639	589	539	489
other long-term liabilities	520	511	939	971	1,003
ong-term liabilities	1,040	1,150	1,528	1,509	1,492
/C payable	4,467	6,059	5,176	5,251	5,328
hort term debt	2,168	1,992	856	820	784
ther current liabilities	216	142	114	118	122
current liabilities	6,852	8,193	6,146	6,188	6,233
otal liabilities and shareholders' equity	24,402	25,135	23,852	24,206	24,574
et working capital	3,354	2,801	1,643	1,919	2,208
ivested capital	18,379	15,635	15,682	16,053	16,456
Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is beir	ng treated as debt				
er share (THB)					
ook value per share	5.44	5.16	5.33	5.43	5.54
angible book value per share	5.35	5.08	5.25	5.36	5.4
inancial strength			-		
et debt/equity (%)	8.2	2.8	(8.9)	(8.6)	(8.3
et debt/total assets (%)	5.5	1.8	(6.0)	(5.9)	(5.7
urrent ratio (x)	1.4	1.4	(0.0)	(3.9)	(3.7
F interest cover (x)	27.3	27.4	28.6	22.7	24.0
aluation	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	20271
ecurring P/E (x) *	21.0	15.0	15.1	14.6	14.
ecurring P/E @ target price (x) *	33.1	23.7	23.9	23.1	22.3
eported P/E (x)	19.0	27.9	15.1	14.6	14.1
ividend yield (%)	10.9	3.9	6.0	6.2	6.4
rice/book (x)	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.7
rice/tangible book (x)	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.8
V/EBITDA (x) **	11.4	9.4	8.5	8.2	8.0
V/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	17.8	14.7	13.6	13.2	12.7
V/invested capital (x)	2.6	3.0	2.8	2.8	2.7

Sources: Osotspa; FSSIA estimates



Osotspa PCL (OSP TB)

Exhibit 10: FSSIA ESG score implication

FSSIA ESG rating
\star \star \star

61.48 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
****	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 11: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA		Domestic ratings						Global ratings					Bloomberg	
	ESG score	DJSI	SET ESG	SET ESG Rating	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
CBG	51.54		Y	Y	4.00	4.00	Declared	High	59.58	В		33.28	56.00	2.12	
ICHI	43.67		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	High	61.36				18.00		
OSP	61.48		Y	Y	5.00	4.00	Declared	Medium	68.64	BBB		63.08	51.00	3.00	63.65
SAPPE	35.25		Y	Y	4.00	5.00	Certified	Medium							
TACC	15.00				5.00	5.00									

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 12: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	1.58	2.89	2.91	3.18	3.00
BESG environmental pillar score	0.67	2.96	2.83	3.25	3.18
BESG social pillar score	0.84	1.57	1.78	1.76	1.77
BESG governance pillar score	4.15	4.38	4.36	4.77	4.18
ESG disclosure score	40.25	52.25	60.06	64.77	63.65
Environmental disclosure score	13.38	36.18	50.56	51.40	48.02
Social disclosure score	26.69	39.36	48.46	55.47	55.47
Governance disclosure score	80.52	81.10	81.10	87.36	87.36
Environmental					
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	No	No
GHG scope 1	—	125	151	157	141
GHG scope 2 location-based	—	90	91	85	95
GHG Scope 3	_	_	—	—	_
Carbon per unit of production	0	0	0	0	0
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	—	839	913	922	917
Renewable energy use	28	50	32	36	40
Electricity used	—	148	158	170	187
Fuel used - natural gas	—	60,675	67,626	70,172	68,074

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 13: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	—	0	1	1	
Total waste	—	8	13	11	12
Waste recycled	—	—	—	—	-
Waste sent to landfills	—	—	—	—	-
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	3,031	3,109	3,077	3,117	-
Social					
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Consumer data protection policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	No
Pct women in workforce	—	—	42	43	5
Pct disabled in workforce	—	—	—	—	-
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Lost time incident rate - employees	0	0	0	0	
Total recordable incident rate - employees	_	0	0	1	
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No	N
Number of employees – CSR	3,656	3,590	3,792	3,620	3,54
Employee turnover pct	_	—	9	14	1
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	_	30,731	36,451	40,333	57,88
Social supply chain management	No	No	Yes	Yes	Ye
Governance					
Board size	15	15	15	17	1
No. of independent directors (ID)	5	5	5	6	
No. of women on board	4	4	5	5	
No. of non-executive directors on board	11	11	10	12	1
Company conducts board evaluations	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
No. of board meetings for the year	12	12	13	12	1
Board meeting attendance pct	89	91	89	99	9
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	N
Age of the youngest director	45	46	47	48	3
Age of the oldest director	86	87	88	89	9
No. of executives / company managers	6	13	5	5	
No. of female executives	2	5	2	2	
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	N
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3	
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	
Audit committee meetings	10	8	9	8	
Audit meeting attendance %	97	100	100	100	g
Size of compensation committee	3	3	3	3	
No. of ID on compensation committee	2	2	2	2	
No. of compensation committee meetings	8	9	11	15	
Compensation meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	10
Size of nomination committee	3	3	3	3	
No. of nomination committee meetings	8	9	11	15	
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	10
Sustainability governance					
Verification type	No	No	No	No	N

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	/			Rating									
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (<u>DJSI</u>) By S&P Global	process base from the annu Only the top-r inclusion.	DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection cess based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting in the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). y the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for usion. Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&F ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the h scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indi- selected from the Eligible Universe.						an S&P Global of the highest JSI indices are						
SET ESG Ratings List (SETESG) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	managing bus Candidates m 1) no irregula float of >150 up capital. So 70%; 2) indep wrongdoing m	siness with tr nust pass the r trading of th shareholders ome key disq bendent direct elated to CG	ponsibility in Environmenta ransparency in Governan e preemptive criteria, with he board members and e s, and combined holding ualifying criteria include: ctors and free float violati , social & environmental earnings in red for > 3 yes	two crucial conditions: two crucial conditions: txecutives; and 2) free must be >15% of paid- 1) CG score of below on; 3) executives' impacts; 4) equity in	minimum of 50 ⁴ during the asse nature of the re <u>SETESG Index</u> 1) market capit liquidity >0.5% SETTHSI Index	% for each ind ssment year levant industry is extended f alization > TH of paid-up cap is a market c	clusion, verified icator, unless the The scoring will I y and materiality. rom the SET ES B5b (~USD150b) oital for at least 9 apitalisation-weig , and no cap for	e company is be fairly weigh G Ratings cor); 2) free float out of 12 mon ghted index, c	a part of DJSI ated against the npanies whose >20%; and 3) hths. The ap 5%					
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	annually by th	ne Thai IOD, T). The resul	th in sustainable develop with support from the Sto Its are from the perspections.	ock Exchange of	Good (80-89), 3 and not rated for equitable treatm	B for Good (70 or scores belo nent of shareh 5%); 4) disclo	ories: 5 for Excel -79), 2 for Fair (f w 50. Weightings olders (weight 2 sure & transpare	60-69), 1 for P include: 1) th 5% combined	ass (60-69), le rights; 2) and); 3) the role of					
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	treatment are transparent a out of five the criteria cover date (45%), a <i>circulation of su</i> <i>exercised. The</i> <i>and verifiability;</i>	incorporated nd sufficientl CG compor AGM proced and after the fficient information second assess and 3) openne	which shareholders' right: d into business operation ly disclosed. All form impu- nents to be evaluated anr dures before the meeting meeting (10%). (The first a tion for voting; and 2) facilita ses 1) the ease of attending n sess for Q&A. The third involve ues, resolutions and voting re	s and information is ortant elements of two nually. The assessment (45%), at the meeting assesses 1) advance ting how voting rights can be neetings; 2) transparency as the meeting minutes that			four categories: (80-89), and not							
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	establishmen policies. The (Companies de Declaration of I Certification, ind managers and	t of key contr Certification ciding to becom ntent to kick offic cluding risk ass employees, est	is good for three years. me a CAC certified member s f an 18-month deadline to sui	conitoring and developing of passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.										
<u>Morningstar</u> Sustainalytics	based on an a risk is unman regulatory filing	assessment aged. Source s, news and ot	isk rating provides an ove of how much of a compa is to be reviewed include corp ther media, NGO reports/web	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector	more risk is unr	nanaged, the	score is the sum higher ESG risk	is scored.						
	information, cor reports, and qu		ck, ESG controversies, issuer /iews.	feedback on draft ESG	NEGL 0-10	Low 10-20	Medium 20-30	High 30-40	Severe 40+					
ESG Book	positioned to the principle of helps explain	outperform o of financial m future risk-a g features w	sustainable companies the over the long term. The meateriality including inform djusted performance. Ma ith higher materiality and erly basis.	nethodology considers nation that significantly ateriality is applied by	The total ESG scores using m	score is calcul ateriality-base	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p	ed sum of the core is scaled	features					
MSCI			measure a company's m nd laggards according to						nethodology to					
	AAA AA	8.571-10.00 7.143-8.570	Leader:	leading its industry in m	anaging the most si	gnificant ESG ris	sks and opportunitie	es						
	A BBB BB	5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.28	3 Average:	a mixed or unexception industry peers	al track record of ma	anaging the mos	t significant ESG ris	sks and opportu	nities relative to					
	 B 1.429-2.856 CCC 0.000-1.428 Laggard: Lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks 													
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's asse believes that	esses the dec a company in	gree to which companies ntegrating ESG factors in or shareholders over the	to its business model and										
Refinitiv ESG rating	based on pub	licly available	and objectively measure le and auditable data. The ata publicly. (Score ratings a	e score ranges from 0 to	100 on relative E	SG performan	ce and insufficie	nt degree of t						
S&P Global			ore is a relative score mean nin the same industry class				of ESG risks, op	portunities, an	d impacts					
	· · ·		•	ating the company's agg	•		and Governance	(ESG) perfor	mance. The					
Bloomberg	ESG Score		score is based on Bloo	mberg's view of ESG fina	incial materiality.	score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best. ESG Disclosure Score Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of								

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings. Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Sureeporn Teewasuwet FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price



Sureeporn Teewasuwet started covering this stock from 09-Jun-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Osotspa	OSP TB	THB 15.20	BUY	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a slower-than-expected consumption recovery, 2) higher-than-expected competition, 3) high volatility in energy costs, and 4) changing regulations in Thailand and Myanmar.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 22-May-2025 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months. **Neutral.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months. **Underweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.