EQUITY RESEARCH - RESULTS FLASH







WHA UTILITIES AND POWER

THAILAND / UTILITIES

WHAUP TB

BUY

LINCHANGE

TARGET PRICE THB4.50
CLOSE THB3.28
UP/DOWNSIDE +37.2%
PRIOR TP THB4.50
CHANGE IN TP UNCHANGED
TP vs CONSENSUS -13.7%

1Q25 results at first glance

กำไรสุทธิ 1Q25 ต่ำกว่าที่เราคาด 8%

Highlights

- WHAUP รายงานกำไรสุทธิ 1Q25 อยู่ที่ 224 ลบ. ลดลง 5% q-q และ 52.3% y-y ต่ำกว่า ที่เราคาด 8%
- กำไรที่ต่ำกว่าคาดเกิดจากรายได้ที่ต่ำกว่าคาดและค่าใช้จ่ายการขายและบริหารที่สูงขึ้น
 เป็นหลัก
- ตัวเลขที่ลดลง q-q สะท้อนรายได้ธุรกิจสาธารณูปโภคที่ลดลงเป็นหลักเนื่องจากผู้ใช้
 อุตสาหกรรม (IU) บางรายโดยเฉพาะในอุตสาหกรรมปิโตรเคมีในนิคมอุตสาหกรรมของ
 WHA มีอัตราการผลิตในระดับต่ำอย่างต่อเนื่อง นอกจากนี้รายได้จากค่าใช้สาธรณูปโภค
 ส่วนเกินยังลดลงอีกด้วย
- ในด้านบวก WHAUP บันทึกส่วนแบ่งกำไรสูงขึ้นจากโครงการ Dong Nai River ใน
 เวียดนามใกล้เคียงกับที่เราคาดโดยมีปัจจัยหนุนจากปริมาณน้ำที่สูงขึ้นหลังการขยาย
 พื้นที่บริการ
- ส่วนแบ่งกำไรจากธุรกิจสาธารณูปโภคปรับตัวดีขึ้นโดยเฉพาะจาก GHECO-1 ซึ่งได้
 ประโยชน์จาก กฟผ. ไม่ได้เรียกรับไฟฟ้าในช่วง 1-28 ม.ค. 2025 ทำให้มีผลกระทบจาก ตันทุนถ่านหินที่อยู่ในระดับสูงน้อยลง
- อัตรากำไรของโรงไฟฟ้าขนาดเล็ก (SPP) ยังทรงตัวโดยมีปัจจัยหนุนจากตันทุนก๊าซที่ ลดลงเล็กน้อยและค่าไฟฟ้าที่ทรงตัว
- อย่างไรก็ดีบริษัทฯ รายงานผลขาดทุนจากอัตราแลกเปลี่ยนในไตรมาสนี้จากสินทรัพย์ที่ บันทึกในรูปเงินเหรียญสหรัฐฯ ของบริษัทร่วมหลังค่าเงินบาทแข็งตัว

Outlook

- คงประมาณการที่คาดว่ากำไรสุทธิจะโต 21% y-y ในปี 2025 โดยมีปัจจัยหนุนจาก
 Upside ที่อาจได้จากผลประกอบการของโรงไฟฟ้าขนาดใหญ่ (IPP) ที่ดีขึ้นและกำลังการผลิตใหม่
- เราคงคำแนะนำซื้อ WHAUP จากศักยภาพการเติบโตที่ดีโดยได้ปัจจัยหนุนจากการ ขยายธุรกิจนิคมอุตสาหกรรมของ WHA Group อย่างต่อเนื่องซึ่งยังไม่ได้รวมไว้ใน ประมาณการของเรา

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	2,926	3,297	3,424	3,598
Net profit	1,119	1,209	1,284	1,490
EPS (THB)	0.29	0.32	0.34	0.39
vs Consensus (%)	-	(11.1)	(12.1)	(5.6)
EBITDA	1,096	1,558	1,634	1,751
Recurring net profit	998	1,209	1,284	1,490
Core EPS (THB)	0.26	0.32	0.34	0.39
Chg. In EPS est. (%)	-	-	-	-
EPS growth (%)	(30.9)	21.2	6.2	16.0
Core P/E (x)	12.6	10.4	9.8	8.4
Dividend yield (%)	7.6	7.6	9.1	9.1
EV/EBITDA (x)	24.7	16.9	16.4	15.5
Price/book (x)	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
Net debt/Equity (%)	106.7	99.3	100.0	100.3
ROE (%)	7.4	8.8	9.1	10.3



` ,				` '
Share price performance		1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)		10.8	(5.2)	(18.0)
Relative to country (%)		(0.4)	0.4	(7.3)
Mkt cap (USD m)				380
3m avg. daily turnover (U	SD m)			0.3
Free float (%)				28
Major shareholder	WHA	Industrial D	evelopment	Plc (69%)
12m high/low (THB)				5.50/2.76
Issued shares (m)				3,825.00

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Songklod Wongchai

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 018086 songklod.won@fssia.com, +66 2646 9970

PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

Exhibit 1: WHAUP – 1Q25 results summary

Profit & Loss Statement	1Q24	2Q24	3Q24	4Q24	1Q25	Chan	ge	2025E	Change	% of
Year to Dec 31	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(y-y %)	2025E				
Revenue	721	722	769	713	709	(0.6)	(1.6)	3,297	12.7	22%
Operating costs	(437)	(461)	(477)	(497)	(451)	(9.4)	3.0	(1,360)	(27.4)	33%
SG&A	(61)	(63)	(117)	(103)	(88)	(14.6)	42.9	(379)	(25.3)	23%
EBIT	222	198	175	113	171	50.6	(23.0)	1,041	46.8	16%
Interest expense	(126)	(130)	(142)	(138)	(138)	(0.0)	10.0	(551)	2.9	25%
FX gain/loss	82	22	(420)	313	(12)	na	na	0	na	na
Associates' contribution	159	212	500	73	194	165.3	22.3	764	(19.1)	25%
Pre-tax profit	487	333	85	231	231	0.2	(52.5)	1,264	11.3	18%
Tax	(17)	(1)	(4)	5	(7)	na	na	(55)	230.1	13%
Net profit	470	332	81	236	224	(5.0)	(52.3)	1,209	8.1	19%
Key Ratios (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)	(%)	(ppt)	
EBIT margin (%)	30.80	27.46	22.79	15.90	24.09	8.19	(6.71)	31.58	7.34	
Net profit margin (%)	65.18	46.02	10.51	33.06	31.59	(1.47)	(33.59)	36.67	(1.57)	
Tax rate (%)	3.51	0.29	4.31	(2.19)	3.11	5.31	(0.40)	0.00	(1.47)	

Sources: WHAUP; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

WHA Utilities and Power

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	2,782	2,926	3,297	3,424	3,598
Cost of goods sold	(1,965)	(1,993)	(1,877)	(1,984)	(2,093)
Gross profit	816	932	1,420	1,439	1,506
Other operating income	-	-	-	-	
Operating costs	(277)	(344)	(379)	(394)	(414)
Operating EBITDA	975	1,096	1,558	1,634	1,751
Depreciation	(436)	(508)	(517)	(588)	(659)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	(
Operating EBIT	539	588	1,041	1,046	1,092
Net financing costs	(483)	(540)	(551)	(542)	(559)
Associates	1,380	944	764	827	1,006
Recurring non-operating income	1,418	966	774	837	1,017
Non-recurring items	188	121	0	0	(
Profit before tax	1,662	1,135	1,264	1,341	1,550
- ax	(31)	(17)	(55)	(57)	(60)
Profit after tax	1,631	1,119	1,209	1,284	1,490
Minority interests	0	0	0	0	, (
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	(
Other items	-	-	-	_	
Reported net profit	1,631	1,119	1,209	1,284	1,490
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(188)	(121)	0	0	,
Recurring net profit	1,443	998	1,209	1,284	1,490
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	0.38	0.26	0.32	0.34	0.39
Reported EPS	0.43	0.29	0.32	0.34	0.39
DPS	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.30	0.30
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	3,825	3,825	3,825	3,825	3,825
Growth					
Revenue (%)	13.9	5.2	12.7	3.8	5.1
Operating EBITDA (%)	12.0	12.4	42.2	4.9	7.2
Operating EBIT (%)	7.1	9.0	77.0	0.5	4.4
Recurring EPS (%)	217.6	(30.9)	21.2	6.2	16.0
Reported EPS (%)	259.0	(31.4)	8.1	6.2	16.0
Operating performance	200.0	(01.1)	0.1	0.2	10.0
	29.3	24.0	40.4	42.0	41.8
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)		31.9	43.1 58.8	42.0 59.2	60.2
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	45.0	49.2	58.8	59.2	
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	35.1	37.4	47.3	47.7	48.7
Operating EBIT margin (%)	19.4	20.1	31.6	30.5	30.3
Net margin (%)	51.9	34.1	36.7	37.5	41.4
Effective tax rate (%)	11.1	8.7	11.0	11.0	11.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	66.3	95.8	79.1	89.3	77.0
nterest cover (X)	4.1	2.9	3.3	3.5	3.8
nventory days	-	-	-	-	
Debtor days	59.3	61.4	52.6	48.8	44.5
Creditor days	119.8	134.9	152.4	150.1	150.1
Operating ROIC (%)	6.7	6.9	11.3	10.2	9.9
ROIC (%)	6.4	5.1	5.7	5.8	6.3
ROE (%)	11.0	7.4	8.8	9.1	10.3
ROA (%)	6.5	5.0	5.6	5.7	6.2
Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted					
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
vater business	2,358	2,419	2,719	2,764	2,937

Sources: WHA Utilities and Power; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

WHA Utilities and Power

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027
Recurring net profit	1,443	998	1,209	1,284	1,49
Depreciation	436	508	517	588	65
Associates & minorities	1,380	944	764	827	1,00
Other non-cash items	- (22)	-	- (0)	-	
Change in working capital	(90)	2	(8)	26	2
Cash flow from operations	3,169	2,451	2,482	2,725	3,17
Capex - maintenance	(1,422)	(1,422)	(1,422) (1,290)	(1,422) 0	(1,422
Capex - new investment let acquisitions & disposals	(36) 640	(378) (161)	(1,290)	0	
Other investments (net)	-	(101)	-	-	
Cash flow from investing	(818)	(1,960)	(2,712)	(1,422)	(1,422
Dividends paid	(956)	(956)	(956)	(956)	(1,148
Equity finance	0	0	0	0	(1,1-1
Debt finance	1,159	1,407	(519)	(10)	99
Other financing cash flows	109	(1,064)	(1,263)	(1,360)	(1,38
Cash flow from financing	312	(613)	(2,738)	(2,326)	(1,54
Non-recurring cash flows	-	. ,	-	-	
Other adjustments	0	425	3,139	563	37
Net other adjustments	(2,089)	425	3,139	563	37
Movement in cash	574	303	171	(460)	59
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	2,816.09	1,027.13	321.99	1,845.18	2,316.0
ree cash flow to equity (FCFE)	1,529.89	1,258.94	1,127.52	496.55	1,740.7
er share (THB)					
CFF per share	0.74	0.27	0.08	0.48	0.6
FCFE per share	0.40	0.33	0.29	0.13	0.4
Recurring cash flow per share	0.85	0.64	0.65	0.71	0.8
Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027
Fangible fixed assets (gross)	9,631	9,632	11,054	12,476	13,89
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(1,964)	(1,963)	(2,480)	(3,068)	(3,72
Tangible fixed assets (net)	7,668	7,670	8,574	9,408	10,17
ntangible fixed assets (net)	4,642	4,603	4,603	4,603	4,60
ong-term financial assets	- 14,961	14,800	14,800	14,800	14,80
nvest. in associates & subsidiaries	1,295	1,598	1,769	1,309	1,90
Cash & equivalents VC receivable	500	484	467	448	1,90
nventories	0	0	0	0	42
Other current assets	245	307	346	359	37
Current assets	2,040	2,389	2,582	2,116	2,70
Other assets	507	495	495	495	49
Total assets	29,817	29,957	31,053	31,422	32,77
Common equity	13,567	13,562	13,875	14,233	14,57
Minorities etc.	0	0	0	0	
Total shareholders' equity	13,567	13,562	13,875	14,233	14,57
ong term debt	10,959	12,846	13,546	14,536	15,52
Other long-term liabilities	948	927	927	927	92
ong-term liabilities	11,908	13,773	14,473	15,463	16,45
A/C payable	529	569	567	582	59
Short term debt	3,699	3,219	2,000	1,000	1,00
Other current liabilities	114	123	139	144	15
Current liabilities	4,343	3,912	2,706	1,726	1,74
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	29,817	31,247	31,053	31,422	32,77
Net working capital	101	99	107	81	5
nvested capital	27,878	27,666	28,579	29,387	30,12
Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is be	ing treated as debt				
Per share (THB)					
Book value per share	3.55	3.55	3.63	3.72	3.8
angible book value per share	2.33	2.34	2.42	2.52	2.6
inancial strength					
Net debt/equity (%)	98.5	106.7	99.3	100.0	100
Net debt/total assets (%)	44.8	48.3	44.4	45.3	44
Current ratio (x)	0.5	0.6	1.0	1.2	1
CF interest cover (x)	4.2	4.0	5.4	1.9	4.
/aluation	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027
Recurring P/E (x) *	8.7	12.6	10.4	9.8	8
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	11.9	17.3	14.2	13.4	11
Reported P/E (x)	7.7	11.2	10.4	9.8	8
Dividend yield (%)	7.6	7.6	7.6	9.1	9
Price/book (x)	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0
Price/tangible book (x)	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.3	1
EV/EBITDA (x) **	26.6	24.7	16.9	16.4	15
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	31.4	28.9	19.9	19.2	18
EV/invested capital (x)	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.
- V/IIIVCSICG Capital (X)	0.5				

Sources: WHA Utilities and Power; FSSIA estimates

Wha Utilities and Power PCL (WHAUP TB)

FSSIA ESG rating

★ ★ ★ ★

Exhibit 2: FSSIA ESG score implication

61.21 / 100

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
***	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 3: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA		Domestic ratings					Global ratings						Bloomberg	
	ESG score	DJSI	SET ESG	SET ESG Rating	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	67.71	5.69	4.38	4.05	4.77	4.43	4.02	Medium	57.34	BBB	22.70	60.82	67.31	1.19	35.34
Coverage	66.17	5.16	4.33	3.94	4.81	4.43	3.83	Medium	56.41	BBB	18.92	59.20	65.82	1.38	35.46
BGRIM	65.91		Υ	AAA	5.00	5.00	Certified	High	62.27	BBB		54.54	84.00		73.28
CKP	59.10		Y	AAA	5.00	5.00		High	66.67	BBB		69.84	54.00		62.95
GPSC	71.89	Y	Y	AA	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	64.56	В		54.57	86.00		
TTW	53.68		Υ	AA	5.00	5.00		Medium	54.71	BB		36.69	49.00	2.74	64.60
WHAUP	61.21		Υ	AAA	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	67.11	BB		64.23			63.71

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 4: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	_	_	2.35	2.78	_
BESG environmental pillar score	_	_	1.53	1.56	_
BESG social pillar score	_	_	1.55	2.86	_
BESG governance pillar score	_	_	4.70	5.26	_
ESG disclosure score	58.24	60.69	63.49	62.64	63.71
Environmental disclosure score	55.18	55.51	57.26	57.26	57.26
Social disclosure score	38.36	45.37	45.77	43.20	46.40
Governance disclosure score	81.10	81.10	87.36	87.36	87.36
Environmental					
Emissions reduction initiatives	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
GHG scope 1	0	1	0	0	0
GHG scope 2 location-based	16	14	13	12	13
GHG Scope 3	_	_	2,166	2,087	2,163
Carbon per unit of production	_	_	_	_	_
Biodiversity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	28	28	31	34	31
Renewable energy use	0	0	0	2	2
Electricity used	27	27	30	33	30
Fuel used - natural gas	_	_	_	_	_

 $Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's \ compilation$

Exhibit 5: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 202
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	N
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Hazardous waste	0	0	0	0	
Total waste	4	8	8	7	
Waste recycled	_	_	4	4	
Waste sent to landfills	4	5	2	1	
Environmental supply chain management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Water policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Υe
Water consumption	_	_	_	_	-
Social					
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Υe
Policy against child labor	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Quality assurance and recall policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Consumer data protection policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	Yes	Yes	Ye
Pct women in workforce	16	17	20	22	
Pct disabled in workforce	0	0	0	0	
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Lost time incident rate - employees	1	0	0	0	
Total recordable incident rate - employees	_	0	1	0	
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No	Ye
Number of employees – CSR	110	127	152	164	19
Employee turnover pct	11	9	7	_	
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	1,755	2,463	3,871	3,941	5,37
Social supply chain management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Governance					
Board size	8	8	10	10	1
No. of independent directors (ID)	3	4	5	4	
No. of women on board	2	2	2	2	
No. of non-executive directors on board	4	4	6	9	
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
No. of board meetings for the year	11	8	7	10	
Board meeting attendance pct	94	100	100	100	10
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	N
Age of the youngest director	52	49	50	50	
Age of the oldest director	67	68	69	70	-
No. of executives / company managers	9	8	8	9	
No. of female executives	2	2	2	2	
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	١
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3	,
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	
Audit committee meetings	6	5	5	7	
Audit meeting attendance %	94	100	100		10
•	3 3	3	3	100 3	10
Size of compensation committee		3 2			
No. of ID on compensation committee	2		2	2	
No. of compensation committee meetings	5	3	4	4	
Compensation meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	1
Size of nomination committee	3	3	3	3	
No. of nomination committee meetings	5	3	4	4	
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	10
Sustainability governance					

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodolog	ıv			Rating					
The Dow			transparent, rules-based	component selection		nd invited to t	he annual S&P 0	Plobal Corpora	ato.	
Jones			npanies' Total Sustainabil				SA) for DJSI. Co			
Sustainability			oal Corporate Sustainabili		ESG Score of le	ess than 45%	of the S&P Glob	al ESG Score	of the highest	
ndices (DJSI)	, ,	ranked comp	anies within each industr	ry are selected for			fied. The constitu	uents of the D	JSI indices are	
By S&P Global	inclusion.				selected from the	ne Eligible Uni	verse.			
SET ESG			onsibility in Environmenta	•			clusion, verified			
Ratings List			ransparency in Governan				icator, unless the			
SETESG) by The Stock			e preemptive criteria, with he board members and e							
Exchange of			s, and combined holding r				rom the SET ES		npanies whos	
Thailand			ualifying criteria include:		, ,		B5b (~USD150b)			
(SET)			ctors and free float violation, social & environmental i				oital for at least 9 apitalisation-weig			
			earnings in red for > 3 year				, and no cap for i			
CG Score	An indicator	of CG strengt	th in sustainable developr	ment, measured	Scores are rate	d in six catego	ories: 5 for Excel	lent (90-100),	4 for Very	
by Thai			with support from the Sto		Good (80-89), 3	for Good (70	-79), 2 for Fair (6	60-69), 1 for P	ass (60-69),	
Institute of Directors	,	T). The resul n of operation	ts are from the perspective	ve of a third party, not	and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined);					
Association	an evaluatio	n or operation	15.				sure & transpare			
(Thai IOD)					responsibilities	, . ,		., (, ,	,	
AGM level	It quantifies	the extent to v	which shareholders' rights	s and equitable	The scores are	classified into	four categories:	5 for Exceller	nt (100), 4 for	
By Thai		•	d into business operations		Very Good (90-	99), 3 for Fair	(80-89), and not	rated for scor	res below 79.	
Investors Association			y disclosed. All form impo							
(TIA) with			nents to be evaluated ann dures before the meeting							
support from	date (45%),	and after the	meeting (10%). (The first a	assesses 1) advance						
the SEC			ation for voting; and 2) facilitat ses 1) the ease of attending n							
	and verifiability	r; and 3) openne	ess for Q&A. The third involve	es the meeting minutes that						
			ues, resolutions and voting res	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Thai CAC By Thai			Checklist include corrupti rols, and the monitoring a				ed by a committe or granting certific			
Private Sector			is good for three years.	and developing of	•		re twelve highly r	,		
Collective	(Companies d	eciding to becor	ne a CAC certified member s		professionalism			·		
Action Against			f an 18-month deadline to sub sessment, in place of policy a							
Corruption (CAC)	managers and	employees, es	tablishment of whistleblowing							
(0, (0)	communication	n of policies to a	all stakeholders.)							
Morningstar Sustainalytics			isk rating provides an ove				score is the sum		d risk. The	
Sustamarytics			of how much of a compar s to be reviewed include corp	•	more risk is uni	nanageu, me	higher ESG risk	is scored.		
			her media, NGO reports/web ck, ESG controversies, issuer		NEGL Low Medium High Severe					
		uality & peer rev		TOOLDON ON GIAN 200	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+	
ESG Book	The ESG so	ore identifies	sustainable companies th	nat are hetter	The total ESG		ated as a weight	ad sum of the	features	
LOG DOOK			over the long term. The m				d weights. The s			
			nateriality including inform							
			djusted performance. Ma ith higher materiality and							
		rolling quarte		rebalancing these						
MSCI	MSCI ESG r	atings aim to	measure a company's ma	anagement of financially i	relevant ESG risl	s and opportu	unities. It uses a	rules-based m	nethodology to	
				their exposure to ESG ris					3, 1	
	AAA	8.571-10.00	00	looding ito industry in m		anificant FCC sis	alea and annautumitie			
	AA	7.143-8.570	Leader:	leading its industry in ma	anaging the most si	gnificant ESG ris	sks and opportunitie	28		
	Α	5.714-7.14	2							
	BBB	4.286-5.71	3 Average:	a mixed or unexceptions	al track record of ma	naging the mos	t significant ESG ris	sks and opportur	nities relative to	
	ВВ	2.857-4.28	•	industry peers						
	В	1.429-2.850								
	CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry base	ed on its high expos	ure and failure to	manage significan	nt ESG risks		
Moody's ESG				take into account ESG of	biectives in the d	efinition and in	mplementation of	their strategy	policies It	
		t a company i		to its business model and						
solutions	create susta		1.12.0.1	a company's relative ES	G performance,	commitment a	nd effectiveness	across 10 ma	in themes,	
solutions		transparently	and objectively measure							
	Designed to based on pu	blicly availabl	e and auditable data. The	e score ranges from 0 to		25 - 2004 - 2	1 > 75 to 100 - avec		ansparency ii	
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to based on pu reporting ma	blicly available terial ESG da	e and auditable data. The ata publicly. (Score ratings a	are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 =	= satisfactory; >50 t			llent.)		
Refinitiv ESG	Designed to based on pu reporting ma The S&P Glo	blicly available sterial ESG dates obal ESG Sco	e and auditable data. The ata publicly. (Score ratings a pre is a relative score mea		= satisfactory; >50 to ormance on and	management		llent.)		
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to based on pu reporting ma The S&P Glo	blicly available sterial ESG dates obal ESG Sco	e and auditable data. The ta publicly. (Score ratings a ore is a relative score mea nin the same industry clas Bloomberg score evalu	are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = asuring a company's performance sification. The score ranguating the company's aggr	= satisfactory; >50 to ormance on and ges from 0 to 100 regated Environn	management l. nental, Social	of ESG risks, oppared and Governance	portunities, an	d impacts	
Refinitiv ESG ating S&P Global	Designed to based on pu reporting ma The S&P Glo compared to	blicly available sterial ESG dates obal ESG Sco	e and auditable data. The ta publicly. (Score ratings a tre is a relative score mea nin the same industry clas Bloomberg score evalu score is based on Bloon	are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = asuring a company's perfusification. The score rangating the company's aggreemberg's view of ESG fina	= satisfactory; >50 to commance on and ges from 0 to 100 regated Environmencial materiality.	management b. nental, Social The score is a	of ESG risks, op and Governance a weighted gener	portunities, and (ESG) performatized mean (d impacts mance. The power mean)	
Refinitiv ESG rating S&P Global Bloomberg	Designed to based on pureporting ma The S&P Gla compared to ESG Score	blicly availabl terial ESG da obal ESG Scc its peers with	e and auditable data. The tata publicly. (Score ratings a precise a relative score meanin the same industry classes and score is based on Bloom of Pillar Scores, where	are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = asuring a company's perfessification. The score ranguating the company's aggramberg's view of ESG fina the weights are determined.	= satisfactory; >50 to ormance on and ges from 0 to 100 regated Environn ncial materiality. ed by the pillar p	management of the score is a riority ranking.	of ESG risks, opposed and Governance a weighted general Values range from	portunities, and (ESG) performalized mean (com 0 to 10; 10	mance. The power mean) is the best.	
Refinitiv ESG ating S&P Global	Designed to based on pu reporting ma The S&P Glo compared to	blicly availabl terial ESG da obal ESG Scc its peers with	e and auditable data. The ta publicly. (Score ratings a ore is a relative score mea nin the same industry clas Bloomberg score evalu score is based on Bloo of Pillar Scores, where Disclosure of a compar	are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = asuring a company's perfusification. The score rangating the company's aggreemberg's view of ESG fina	= satisfactory; >50 to ormance on and ges from 0 to 100 regated Environn incial materiality, ed by the pillar p operg ESG score.	management nental, Social a The score is a riority ranking. The score ran	of ESG risks, opposed and Governance a weighted gener Values range from 0 for no	portunities, and (ESG) performalized mean (oom 0 to 10; 10 one to 100 for	mance. The power mean) is the best. disclosure of	

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Songklod Wongchai FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
19-May-2022 05-Sep-2024	BUY BUY	4.50 5.30	31-Oct-2024 10-Feb-2025	HOLD BUY	5.30 4.50	-	-	-

Songklod Wongchai started covering this stock from 15-May-2020

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks				
WHA Utilities and Power	WHAUP TB	THB 3.28	BUY	 Higher gas prices with lower electricity tariffs, pressured by government policies, resulting in significantly lower power margins. 				
				 Changes in state energy policies or regulatory risk. 				

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 09-May-2025 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.