EQUITY RESEARCH - COMPANY REPORT

BETAGRO BTG TB THAILAND / FOOD & BEVERAGE

# So far so good

- 1Q25 profit outlook is strong and could account for 40% of our previous full-year forecast.
- The overall meat prices have remained strong in 2Q25, both in Thailand and Cambodia.
- Revising up our 2025E profit to grow 62% y-y and TP to THB27.

#### Expect strong profit in 1Q25 due to higher meat prices

We initially expect a 1Q25 net profit of THB1.25b (+26% q-q, a reversal from a loss in 1Q24), driven by higher meat prices, led by Thai swine (+12% q-q, +19% y-y), Thai chicken (+6% q-q, -1% y-y), and Cambodian swine (+6% q-q, +30% y-y). Meanwhile, raw material prices remain low, so we anticipate growth in both revenue and the gross margin, which should continue to improve from 4Q24. There is a high chance of exceeding the upper range of BTG's target of 15%. Assuming an in-line 1Q25 profit, it would account for nearly half of our previous profit forecast.

#### 2Q25 meat prices remain strong

The overall meat prices have remained strong in 2Q25, both in Thailand and Cambodia. The Thai swine price in April has continued to rise to THB86/kg (+6% m-m, +9% q-q), while Thai chicken remains high at THB40/kg and soybean meal prices have continued to decrease to THB15.2/kg (-9% q-q). As the export season begins, BTG has already pre-sold chicken for export, covering 3Q25, with an export revenue growth target of no less than 15% y-y for 2025. In addition, there has been an expansion of higher-value and lower-volatility channels, such as food services, both in Thailand and abroad.

## Revising up 2025E net profit to grow 62% y-y

We have raised our 2025-27E net profit by 44-47% to grow by 62%/6%/7%, respectively. We have revised our 2025 average Thai swine price assumption to THB75/kg (from THB70/kg) and Cambodian swine to KHR9,000/kg (from KHR8,400/kg), while also increasing our gross margin estimate to 14.5% from 13.2%, reflecting the higher-than-expected meat prices and overall costs remaining low. The new gross margin assumption is still within management's conservative target range of 13.5-15%.

## Maintain BUY with a higher TP to THB27

We raise our TP to THB27 (from THB24), based on a P/E of 13x (in line with the historical average). The current stock price is trading at just 10x 2025E P/E, while meat prices and profits remain strong. Moreover, with no export revenue from the US, BTG is unaffected by US tariffs. Although news of pork imports from the US might negatively impact stock sentiment, we believe the likelihood of this happening is quite low.



 $\star\star\star\star$ 

+12.5%


+13.2%

## **KEY STOCK DATA**

YE Dec (THB m)	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	114,232	123,826	126,628	132,325
Net profit	2,466	3,991	4,230	4,523
EPS (THB)	1.28	2.07	2.19	2.34
vs Consensus (%)	-	13.2	15.8	5.1
EBITDA	9,005	11,123	11,702	12,677
Recurring net profit	2,377	3,991	4,230	4,523
Core EPS (THB)	1.19	2.00	2.12	2.26
Chg. In EPS est. (%)	-	50.1	46.6	45.1
EPS growth (%)	nm	67.9	6.0	6.9
Core P/E (x)	15.9	9.5	8.9	8.4
Dividend yield (%)	2.6	4.4	4.6	5.0
EV/EBITDA (x)	6.8	5.0	4.3	3.7
Price/book (x)	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.0
Net debt/Equity (%)	91.0	64.2	42.1	28.0
ROE (%)	9.1	14.1	13.7	13.4

**CHANGE IN TP** 

**TP vs CONSENSUS** 



Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Sureeporn Teewasuwet Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 040694 sureeporn.t@fssia.com, +66 2646 9972

PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT



FSSIA ESG rating

#### **Investment thesis**

BTG operates a fully integrated food business covering the entire production chain from upstream, mid-stream to downstream. Its production and distribution bases are located in every region of Thailand, and it exports its products to overseas markets. BTG also produces in neighboring countries such as Cambodia, Laos, and Myanmar.

The company has diversified to six distribution channels which consist of Betagro branches, modern trade, traditional trade, food services, industrial customers (OEM business), and the export market (more than 20 countries). The company has strong brand recognition under the well-known BETAGRO brand, S-Pure for hygienic fresh meat, Perfecta, etc.

#### **Company profile**

Established in 1967 and listed on the SET in 2022, BTG operates a full range of agro-industry businesses from upstream animal feed, pig and chicken farms, animal health products and supplements, meat processing, and food production to pet products. At the end of 2024, BTG generated revenue from Food & Protein (64.3% of total revenue), followed by Agro (28.6%), International (5.3%) and Pets (1.9%).

www.betagro.com

#### Catalysts

Potential catalysts for BTG's 2025 earnings growth are 1) sales volume growth in line with a purchasing power and tourism recovery; 2) meat price increases; 3) flat or declining feed costs; and 4) an international business recovery.

#### **Risks to our call**

Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a slowerthan-expected purchasing power recovery; 2) a slower-thanexpected meat price increase; 3) a slower-than-expected decrease in feed costs; and 4) a stronger-than-expected THB.

#### **Event calendar**

 Date
 Event

 May 2025
 1Q25 results announcement

## Principal activities (revenue, 2024)

- Agro 28.6 %
- Food and protein 64.3 %
- International 5.3 %
- Pet segment 1.9 %

Source: Betagro

#### **Major shareholders**

- Betagro Holding Company Limited - 37.7 %
- TAE HK Investment Limited 20.7 %
- Taepaisitphongse Family 6.5 %
- Others 35.1 %



Source: Betagro

#### **Key assumptions**

	2025E	2026E	2027E
Broiler price-TH (THB)	40.0	40.0	40.0
Swine price-TH (THB)	75.0	72.0	70.0
Swine price-Cambodia (KHR)	9,000	8,500	8,500
Total revenue growth (%)	8.4	2.3	4.5
Gross margin (%)	14.5	14.5	14.7
SG&A to sales (%)	10.4	10.4	10.4

Source: FSSIA estimates

#### **Earnings sensitivity**

- For every 1% increase in revenue, we estimate 2025 net profit to rise by 3.1%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 0.1% increase in GPM, we estimate 2025 net profit to rise by 4.2%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 0.1% increase in SG&A to sales, we estimate 2025 net profit to fall by 2.9%, and vice versa, all else being equal.

Source: FSSIA estimates



## Exhibit 1: BTG's 2025 targets

2025 Target		2025	5 Key Strategies
Sales Growth	3 - 7%	Â	International Expansion
%Gross Profit Margin	13.5 - 15%	æ	Product & Channel
%SG&A to Sales	10 - 11%		Mix Optimization
> CAPEX	4,800 MB	°(S)	Cost Transformation

Source: BTG's 2024 presentation


## Exhibit 2: Changes in key assumptions for BTG

		Current			Previous			Change			
	2025E	2026E	2027E	2025E	2026E	2027E	2025E	2026E	2027E		
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(%)	(%)	(%)						
Total revenue	123,826	126,628	132,325	122,344	124,682	130,404	1.2	1.6	1.5		
Cost of sales	105,871	108,267	112,873	106,195	108,224	112,930	(0.3)	0.0	(0.1)		
Gross profit	17,955	18,361	19,452	16,149	16,458	17,474	11.2	11.6	11.3		
SG&A expenses	12,878	13,169	13,762	12,724	12,967	13,562	1.2	1.6	1.5		
Operating profit	5,671	5,800	6,325	4,013	4,090	4,538	41.3	41.8	39.4		
Interest expense	841	673	840	709	662	944	18.5	1.7	(11.1)		
Reported net profit	3,991	4,230	4,523	2,768	2,869	3,008	44.2	47.4	50.4		
Core profit	3,991	4,230	4,523	2,768	2,869	3,008	44.2	47.4	50.4		
Key ratios (%)											
Total revenue growth	8.4	2.3	4.5	7.0	1.9	4.6					
Net profit growth	61.8	6.0	6.9	11.9	3.7	4.8					
Core profit growth	67.9	6.0	6.9	17.7	3.7	4.8					
Gross margin	14.5	14.5	14.7	13.2	13.2	13.4	1.3	1.3	1.3		
SG&A to sales	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Operating margin	4.6	4.6	4.8	3.3	3.3	3.5	1.3	1.3	1.3		
Net margin	3.2	3.3	3.4	2.3	2.3	2.3	1.0	1.0	1.1		
Core margin	3.2	3.3	3.4	2.3	2.3	2.3	1.0	1.0	1.1		
Key assumptions											
Revenue breakdown (THB m)											
Agrobusiness	34,645	36,377	38,196	34,531	36,258	38,071	0.3	0.3	0.3		
Food & protein business	80,568	81,399	84,868	79,198	79,038	82,420	1.7	3.0	3.0		
International business	6,331	6,455	6,745	6,523	6,561	6,858	(2.9)	(1.6)	(1.7)		
Pet business	2,282	2,396	2,516	2,093	2,825	3,055	9.0	(15.2)	(17.6)		
Price											
Broiler price-TH (THB/kg.)	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Swine price-TH (THB/kg.)	75.0	72.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	7.1	2.9	0.0		
Swine price-Cambodia (KHR/kg.)	9,000	8,500	8,500	8,400	8,200	8,200	7.1	3.7	3.7		
Corn price-TH (THB/kg.)	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
Soybean meal price-TH (THB/kg.)	16.0	17.0	18.0	19.5	19.0	18.0	(17.9)	(10.5)	0.0		

Source: FSSIA estimates




#### Exhibit 3: Quarterly Thai swine price




Sources: CPF, OAE, MOC, FSSIA estimates






Source: CPF, FSSIA's compilation

#### Exhibit 7: Quarterly domestic raw material prices




Sources: BTG, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 4: Quarterly Thai chicken price




Sources: CPF, OAE, MOC, FSSIA estimates

#### Exhibit 6: Quarterly Laos swine price




Source: CPF, FSSIA's compilation

#### Exhibit 8: Quarterly net profit




Source: BTG, FSSIA estimates

#### Exhibit 9: Yearly Thai swine price




Sources: FSSIA estimates

#### Exhibit 11: Yearly total revenue and growth




Sources: BTG, FSSIA estimates

#### Exhibit 13: Yearly gross margin and SG&A to sales




Sources: BTG, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 10: Yearly chicken price




Sources: FSSIA estimates

#### Exhibit 12: Yearly Cambodian swine price



Sources: CPF, FSSIA estimates

## Exhibit 14: Yearly net profit and net margin



Sources: BTG, FSSIA estimates




#### Exhibit 15: BTG's historical P/E band



Sources: Bloomberg, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 16: BTG's historical P/BV band



Sources: Bloomberg, FSSIA estimates



# **Financial Statements**

<b>Ret</b> :	adro	
Der	ayru	

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	108,638	114,232	123,826	126,628	132,325
Cost of goods sold	(97,800)	(98,831)	(105,871)	(108,267)	(112,873
Gross profit	10,838	15,401	17,955	18,361	19,452
Other operating income	493	622	594	608	635
Operating costs	(11,579)	(11,990)	(12,878)	(13,169)	(13,762
Operating EBITDA	4,319	9,005	11,123	11,702	12,677
Depreciation	(4,568)	(4,972)	(5,452)	(5,902)	(6,352
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	(
Operating EBIT	(248)	4,033	5,671	5,800	6,325
Net financing costs	(673)	(802)	(841)	(673)	(840
Associates	102	157	173	177	185
Recurring non-operating income	102	157	173	177	185
Non-recurring items	(88)	89	0	0	(
Profit before tax	(906)	3,476	5,004	5,304	5,671
Тах	(457)	(976)	(1,001)	(1,061)	(1,134
Profit after tax	(1,364)	2,500	4,003	4,243	4,537
Minority interests	(35)	(34)	(12)	(13)	(13
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	-	
Other items	-	-	-	-	
Reported net profit	(1,398)	2,466	3,991	4,230	4,523
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	88	(89)	0	0	(
Recurring net profit	(1,310)	2,377	3,991	4,230	4,523
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	(0.66)	1.19	2.00	2.12	2.20
Reported EPS	(0.73)	1.28	2.07	2.19	2.34
DPS	0.25	0.50	0.83	0.88	0.94
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
Growth					
Revenue (%)	(3.5)	5.1	8.4	2.3	4.5
Operating EBITDA (%)	(69.2)	108.5	23.5	5.2	8.3
Operating EBIT (%)	nm	nm	40.6	2.3	9.1
Recurring EPS (%)	nm	nm	67.9	6.0	6.9
Reported EPS (%)	nm	nm	61.8	6.0	6.9
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	10.0	13.5	14.5	14.5	14.7
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	14.2	17.8	18.9	19.2	19.5
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	4.0	7.9	9.0	9.2	9.6
Operating EBIT margin (%)	(0.2)	3.5	4.6	4.6	4.8
Net margin (%)	(1.2)	2.1	3.2	3.3	3.4
Effective tax rate (%)	(50.2)	28.1	20.0	20.0	20.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	(38.2)	42.1	41.5	41.5	41.5
nterest cover (X)	(0.2)	5.2	7.0	8.9	7.8
Inventory days	52.5	53.3	51.5	47.1	46.7
Debtor days	21.0	21.4	20.1	19.8	19.6
Creditor days	22.0	20.0	22.3	26.1	26.0
Operating ROIC (%)	(0.4)	7.0	9.9	10.3	11.0
ROIC (%)	(0.2)	6.5	9.1	9.5	10.6
ROE (%)	(4.9)	9.1	14.1	13.7	13.4
ROA (%)	(1.2)	4.8	7.2	7.2	7.8
* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted	(1.2)	4.0	1.2	1.2	7.0
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	20275
Agro	32,242	32,653	34,645	36,377	38,19
·ə· -					
Food and protein	68 417	73 444	80 568	81 399	84 869
Food and protein International	68,417 5,887	73,444 6,001	80,568 6,331	81,399 6,455	84,868 6,745

Sources: Betagro; FSSIA estimates

#### Financial Statements Betagro

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	20271
ecurring net profit	(1,310)	2,377	3,991	4,230	4,52
Depreciation	4,568	4,972	5,452	5,902	6,35
ssociates & minorities	(87)	(140)	(120)	(172)	(174
ther non-cash items	(88)	23	0	0	(
hange in working capital	1,271	(3,171)	3,943	(45)	(832
ash flow from operations	4,353	4,061	13,266	9,916	9,87
apex - maintenance	(7,586)	(5,977)	(4,822)	(4,000)	(4,500
apex - new investment	0	0	0	0	
et acquisitions & disposals	-	-	-	-	
Other investments (net)	(240)	383	(71)	325	15
ash flow from investing	(7,826)	(5,593)	(4,893)	(3,675)	(4,343
Dividends paid	(1,920)	(615)	(1,700)	(1,692)	(1,809
quity finance	0	0	0	0	
Debt finance	880	3,152	(5,591)	(4,408)	(2,448
ther financing cash flows	134	317	(845)	689	14
ash flow from financing	(907)	2,854	(8,137)	(5,411)	(4,115
on-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	
other adjustments	0	0	0	0	
et other adjustments	0	0	0	0	
lovement in cash	(4,380)	1,322	237	830	1,41
ree cash flow to firm (FCFF)	(2,800.24)	(730.28)	9,213.82	6,914.35	6,365.6
ee cash flow to equity (FCFE)	(2,459.50)	1,936.43	1,936.95	2,522.10	3,220.5
er share (THB)					
CFF per share	(1.45)	(0.38)	4.76	3.57	3.2
CFE per share	(1.27)	1.00	1.00	1.30	1.6
ecurring cash flow per share	1.60	3.75	4.83	5.16	5.5
alance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027
angible fixed assets (gross)	53,417	51,787	56,086	55,856	55,22
ess: Accumulated depreciation	(27,072)	(28,155)	(29,281)	(30,453)	55,22 (31,671
angible fixed assets (net)	26,345	23,632	26,805	<b>25,403</b>	23,55
itangible fixed assets (net)	26,345 786	23,632	20,005	25,403	23,55 71
ong-term financial assets	-	-	-	-	11
ivest. in associates & subsidiaries	1,454	1,396	1,317	1,320	1,32
Cash & equivalents	1,561	2,882	3,119	3,949	5,36
/C receivable	7,480	7,731	7,404	7,572	7,91
iventories	12,115	15,295	13,053	13,348	13,91
ther current assets	9,570	9,487	10,525	10,384	10,85
current assets	30,726	35,396	34,101	35,252	38,03
ther assets	3,190	3,151	3,473	3,322	3,34
otal assets	62,501	64,289	66,411	66,012	66,97
common equity	25,134	27,094	29,362	32,400	35,11
linorities etc.	178	194	248	253	26
otal shareholders' equity	25,312	27,288	29,610	32,654	35,37
ong term debt	9,690	10,389	9,889	5,556	4,63
other long-term liabilities	3,004	3,322	2,477	3,166	3,30
ong-term liabilities	12,694	13,711	12,366	8,722	7,94
/C payable	9,293	9,479	11,833	12,101	12,62
hort term debt	14,878	17,322	12,231	12,156	10,62
ther current liabilities	324	314	371	380	39
urrent liabilities	24,494	27,115	24,436	24,637	23,65
otal liabilities and shareholders' equity	62,501	68,114	66,411	66,012	66,97
et working capital	19,549	22,720	18,777	18,823	19,65
vested capital	51,324	51,613	51,088	49,582	48,59
Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is bei	ng treated as debt				
er share (THB)					
ook value per share	13.03	14.04	15.22	16.79	18.2
angible book value per share	12.62	13.67	14.85	16.42	17.8
inancial strength					
et debt/equity (%)	90.9	91.0	64.2	42.1	28.
et debt/total assets (%)	36.8	38.6	28.6	20.8	14.
urrent ratio (x)	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.
F interest cover (x)	(2.7)	3.4	3.3	4.7	4.
aluation	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027
ecurring P/E (x) *	(28.8)	15.9	9.5	8.9	8.
ecurring P/E @ target price (x) *	(41.2)	22.7	13.5	12.8	11.
eported P/E (x)	(26.1)	14.8	9.1	8.6	8.
ividend yield (%)	1.3	2.6	4.4	4.6	5.
rice/book (x)	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.
rice/tangible book (x)	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.
V/EBITDA (x) **	13.8	6.8	5.0	4.3	3.
V/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	17.4	8.6	6.4	5.6	4.
V/invested capital (x)	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.

Sources: Betagro; FSSIA estimates



# **BETAGRO PCL (BTG TB)**

#### Exhibit 17: FSSIA ESG score implication

FSSIA ESG rating  $\star \star \star \star$ 

59.71 /100

Score	Implication
>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.
	>79-100 > <b>59-79</b> >39-59 >19-39

Sources: FSSIA estimates

### Exhibit 18: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA			Domestic	ratings				Global ratings					Bloomberg		
	ESG score	DJSI	SET ESG	SET ESG Rating	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score	
SET100	68.20	5.83	4.61	4.25	4.61	4.21	3.95	Medium	53.02	BBB	24.44	60.65	67.96	2.33	35.35	
Coverage	64.39	4.92	4.71	4.23	4.44	4.07	3.65	Medium	49.86	BB	18.30	53.38	63.50	2.45	35.11	
BTG	59.71		Y	AAA	5.00	4.00	Certified	High		BBB				3.68	57.48	
CPF	80.10	Y	Y	AAA	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	70.60	BB	44.00	62.05	85.00	4.43	67.93	
GFPT	40.94				5.00	5.00	Certified	High				62.92	24.00	4.22	58.07	
TU	82.00	Y	Y	A	5.00	5.00	Certified	High	64.42	Α	41.00	74.01	85.00	3.43	77.68	
TFG	35.10		Y	AA	5.00	5.00	Certified	Severe				40.78				

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

#### Exhibit 19: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2022	FY 2023
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	2.96	3.68
BESG environmental pillar score	1.86	3.29
BESG social pillar score	2.99	3.26
BESG governance pillar score	5.14	5.14
ESG disclosure score	50.31	57.48
Environmental disclosure score	34.94	49.65
Social disclosure score	39.78	41.60
Governance disclosure score	76.10	81.10
Environmental		
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	Yes
Climate change policy	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	Yes	Yes
GHG scope 1	234	204
GHG scope 2 location-based	214	217
GHG Scope 3	_	_
Carbon per unit of production	0	0
Biodiversity policy	No	No
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	1,065	1,072
Renewable energy use	73	163
Electricity used	416	421
Fuel used - natural gas	—	—

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

## Exhibit 20: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31 Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	FY 2022 No	FY 202
	Yes	in Ye
Waste reduction policy Hazardous waste	1	Te
Total waste	204	20
Waste recycled	204 9	20
Waste sent to landfills	9	
	No	Ye
Environmental supply chain management		Ye
Water policy	Yes	
Water consumption Social	7,837	9,00
	Yes	Ye
Human rights policy	Yes	Y
Policy against child labor	Yes	Y
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	Y
Consumer data protection policy	Yes	Y
Equal opportunity policy		
Gender pay gap breakout	Yes	Y
Pct women in workforce	54	
Pct disabled in workforce	1	
Business ethics policy	Yes	Y
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Y
Health and safety policy	Yes	Y
Lost time incident rate - employees	1	
Total recordable incident rate - employees	1	
Training policy	Yes	Y
Fair remuneration policy	No	
Number of employees – CSR	33,652	33,5
Employee turnover pct	51	
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	121,820	358,2
Social supply chain management	No	Y
Governance		
Board size	11	
No. of independent directors (ID)	5	
No. of women on board	5	
No. of non-executive directors on board	8	
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Y
No. of board meetings for the year	14	
Board meeting attendance pct	99	
Board duration (years)	3	
Director share ownership guidelines	No	I
Age of the youngest director	—	
Age of the oldest director	—	
No. of executives / company managers	10	
No. of female executives	2	
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	I
Size of audit committee	3	
No. of ID on audit committee	3	
Audit committee meetings	8	
Audit meeting attendance %	96	1
Size of compensation committee	3	
No. of ID on compensation committee	2	
No. of compensation committee meetings	6	
Compensation meeting attendance %	100	1
Size of nomination committee	3	
No. of nomination committee meetings	6	
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	1
Sustainability governance		
	No	1

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

#### **Disclaimer for ESG scoring**

ESG score	Methodolog	У			Rating					
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	process base from the ann Only the top- inclusion.	ed on the com ual S&P Glob ranked comp	transparent, rules-basec npanies' Total Sustainabi pal Corporate Sustainabil nanies within each industr	ility Scores resulting ity Assessment (CSA). ry are selected for	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Glob ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highes scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices ar selected from the Eligible Universe.					
SET ESG Ratings List (SETESG) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	managing bu Candidates r 1) no irregula float of >150 up capital. So 70%; 2) inde wrongdoing r	isiness with tr nust pass the ar trading of th shareholders ome key disq pendent direc related to CG	ponsibility in Environmenta ransparency in Governar e preemptive criteria, with the board members and e s, and combined holding ualifying criteria include: ctors and free float violati , social & environmental earnings in red for > 3 ye	ace, updated annually. a two crucial conditions: executives; and 2) free must be >15% of paid- 1) CG score of below on; 3) executives' impacts; 4) equity in	<ul> <li>minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of E</li> <li>during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted again</li> <li>nature of the relevant industry and materiality.</li> <li>SETESG Index is extended from the SET ESG Ratings companies w</li> <li>1) market capitalization &gt; THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float &gt;20%; an</li> <li>liquidity &gt;0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The</li> <li>SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5%</li> </ul>					
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	annually by t	he Thai IOD, T). The resul	th in sustainable develop with support from the St ts are from the perspecti s.	ock Exchange of	Good (80-89), and not rated fe equitable treat	3 for Good (70 or scores belo nent of shareh 25%); 4) disclo	ories: 5 for Excel 0-79), 2 for Fair (6 w 50. Weightings nolders (weight 2 sure & transpare	60-69), 1 for P include: 1) th 5% combined	ass (60-69), ne rights; 2) and ); 3) the role of	
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	treatment are transparent a out of five the criteria cover date (45%), a circulation of si exercised. The and verifiability	e incorporated and sufficientl e CG compor AGM proced and after the ufficient information second assess c; and 3) openne	which shareholders' right d into business operation y disclosed. All form imp lents to be evaluated anr dures before the meeting meeting (10%). (The first a tion for voting; and 2) facilita ses 1) the ease of attending m sess for Q&A. The third involve res, resolutions and voting re	is and information is ortant elements of two nually. The assessment (45%), at the meeting assesses 1) advance ting how voting rights can be meetings; 2) transparency es the meeting minutes that			four categories: (80-89), and not			
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	establishmer policies. The (Companies de Declaration of Certification, in managers and	t of key contr Certification eciding to becom Intent to kick officiuding risk ass employees, est	Checklist include corrupt rols, and the monitoring a is good for three years. f an 18-month deadline to su sessment, in place of policy a tablishment of whistleblowing III stakeholders.)	and developing of start by submitting a bmit the CAC Checklist for ind control, training of	passed Checkl	ist will move fo se members a	ed by a committe or granting certific re twelve highly r chievements.	ation by the (	CAC Council	
<u>Morningstar</u> Sustainalytics	based on an risk is unmar regulatory filing	assessment naged. Source as, news and ot	isk rating provides an ove of how much of a compa s to be reviewed include corp her media, NGO reports/web	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector	e to ESG more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored.					
	information, co reports, and qu		ck, ESG controversies, issuer views.	feedback on draft ESG	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+	
ESG Book	positioned to the principle helps explain	outperform o of financial m future risk-a ng features w	sustainable companies the over the long term. The m ateriality including inform djusted performance. Ma ith higher materiality and erly basis.	nethodology considers nation that significantly ateriality is applied by	The total ESG scores using m	score is calcul ateriality-base	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p	ed sum of the core is scaled	features	
<u>MSCI</u>				anagement of financially their exposure to ESG ri					nethodology to	
	AAA	8.571-10.00	0	·		, ,		•		
	AA	7.143-8.570	Leader:	leading its industry in m	anaging the most s	gnificant ESG ri	sks and opportunitie	s		
	Α	5.714-7.142		a mixed or unexception	al track record of m	anaging the mos	t significant ESG ris	ks and opportu	nities relative to	
	BBB BB	4.286-5.713 2.857-4.28	-	industry peers		anaging the mee				
	В	1.429-2.856								
	ccc	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry base	ed on its high expos	ure and failure to	o manage significan	t ESG risks		
Moody's ESG solutions	believes that	a company ii		take into account ESG o nto its business model an medium to long term.						
Refinitiv ESG rating	based on pul	blicly availabl	e and auditable data. The	e a company's relative ES e score ranges from 0 to are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50	100 on relative E	SG performar	nce and insufficie	nt degree of t		
S&P Global				asuring a company's perf ssification. The score ran			of ESG risks, op	portunities, ar	id impacts	
	ESG Score	-		ating the company's agg	- regated Environr	nental, Social				
Bloomberg			score is based on Bloo of Pillar Scores, where	mberg's view of ESG fina the weights are determin						

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings. Source: FSSIA's compilation

### **GENERAL DISCLAIMER**

#### ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

#### Sureeporn Teewasuwet FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

#### History of change in investment rating and/or target price



Sureeporn Teewasuwet started covering this stock from 08-May-2023

23 00

21-Oct-2024

HOI D

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Betagro	BTG TB	THB 18.90	BUY	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a slower-than-expected purchasing power recovery; 2) a slower-than-expected meat price increase; 3) a slower-than-expected decrease in feed costs; and 4) a stronger-than-expected THB.

23 50

Source: FSSIA estimates

#### **Additional Disclosures**

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 11-Apr-2025 unless otherwise stated.



#### **RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE**

#### **Stock ratings**

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price\* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

\* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

#### **Industry Recommendations**

**Overweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months. **Neutral.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months. **Underweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

#### **Country (Strategy) Recommendations**

**Overweight (O).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

**Neutral (N).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

**Underweight (U).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.