EQUITY RESEARCH - COMPANY REPORT



FSSIA ESG rating



CHAROEN POKPHAND FOODS

THAILAND / FOOD & BEVERAGE

กำไร 1H น่าจะดีกว่าที่คาด

- กำไรสุทธิ 1Q25 น่าจะฟื้นตัวดีกว่าที่เคยคาด
- ผลกระทบทางตรงจากภาษีนำเข้าของสหรัฐฯ น่าจะมีจำกัด อย่างไรก็ดี CPF จะยังคงกลยุทธ์
 เชิงระมัดระวังบนความผันผวนของเศรษฐกิจโลก
- ปรับเพิ่มประมาณการกำไรปี 2025 ของเราเป็นโต 12% y-y และราคาเป้าหมายของเราเป็น

ไทยและเวียดนามจะช่วยหนุนกำไร 1Q25

เราคาดกำไรสุทธิ 1Q25 อยู่ที่ 6.5พัน ลบ. (+57% q-q, +5.7x y-y) พื้นตัวดีกว่าที่เคยคาด ทั้งนี้ราคา หมูจีนได้อ่อนแออย่างต่อเนื่องซึ่งทำให้ราคาหมูจีนเฉลี่ยใน 1Q25 อยู่ที่เพียง RMB15/กก. (-9.2% q-q, ทรงตัว y-y) อย่างไรก็ดีราคาหมูไทย (+12% q-q, +19% y-y), ราคาไก้ไทย (+6% q-q, -1% y-y) และราคาหมูเวียดนาม (+8% q-q, +22% y-y) ที่สูงขึ้นน่าจะช่วยชดเชยปัจจัยดังกล่าว จากความ ต้องการรวมที่มีเสถียรภาพอย่างต่อเนื่องโดยไม่เพิ่มขึ้นอย่างมีนัยสำคัญเราคาดว่ารายได้รวมใน 1Q25 จะสูงขึ้น 1% q-q และ 7% y-y

ราคาเนื้อสัตว์ที่สูงขึ้นและต้นทุนวัตถุดิบที่ลดลง

เราคาดว่าอัตรากำไรขั้นต้นจะทรงตัวอยู่ในเกณฑ์ดีที่ 15.9% สูงขึ้นจาก 15.7% ใน 4Q24 และ 12% ใน 1Q24 โดยปัจจัยผลักดันสำคัญน่าจะมาจาก 1) ราคาเนื้อสัตว์ที่สูงขึ้น 2) ต้นทุนวัตถุดิบที่ลดลง และ 3) ผลบวกจากการปรับปรุงประสิทธิภาพการผลิตอย่างต่อเนื่องซึ่งเริ่มเห็นผลในปีที่แล้ว นอกจากนี้ปัจจัยดังกล่าวยังน่าจะช่วยให้ค่าใช้จ่ายการขายและบริหารอยู่ในระดับที่ควบคุมได้ อย่างไรก็ดีเราคาดว่าส่วนแบ่งกำไรจะลดลง q-q โดยเฉพาะอย่างยิ่งจากธุรกิจหมูในจีนและ CPALL ซึ่งอยู่ในช่วง Weak season

ผลกระทบทางตรงจากภาษีนำเข้าของสหรัฐฯ น่าจะมีจำกัด

CPF ประกอบกิจการโรงงานผลิตใน 17 ประเทศทั่วโลกซึ่งหลายประเทศเผชิญกับปัญหาภาษีนำเข้า ของสหรัฐฯ ซึ่งรวมถึงประเทศไทย อย่างไรก็ดีการส่งออกไปยังสหรัฐฯ จากประเทศไทยคิดเป็น เพียง 0.3% ของรายได้รวม ในขณะที่ธุรกิจต่างประเทศส่วนมากเกี่ยวข้องกับการผลิตและการขาย ในประเทศดังกล่าวและมีการส่งออกไปยังสหรัฐฯ เพียงเล็กน้อย แม้กระนั้นก็ตาม CPF กลับใช้กล ยุทธ์ที่ระมัดระวังมากยิ่งขึ้นจากความผันผวนของเศรษฐกิจโลกที่เกิดจากภาษีนำเข้าของทรัมป์โดย จะม่งเน้นไปที่การบริหารสภาพคล่องภายในองค์กรซึ่งเรามองว่าเป็นบวก

ปรับเพิ่มประมาณการกำไรสุทธิปี 2025 และราคาเป้าหมายเป็น 30 บาท

เราปรับเพิ่มประมาณการกำไรสุทธิปี 2025 ของเราเป็น 21.9พัน ลบ. (+11.7% y-y) จาก 14พัน ลบ. ถ้ากำไร 1Q25 ออกมาตามคาดจะคิดเป็น 30% ของประมาณการทั้งปีของเรา แม้ว่าประมาณ การกำไรใหม่ของเราจะยัง Conservative เรายังคงมีมุมมองที่ระมัดระวังสำหรับในช่วง 2H25 โดย เฉพาะที่เกี่ยวกับความผันผวนของเศรษฐกิจโลก นอกจากนี้เรายังเพิ่มราคาเป้าหมายของเราเป็น 30 บาท (12x PE) ในส่วนของความเป็นไปได้ในการนำเข้าหมูจากสหรัฐฯ เราเชื่อว่าเรื่องดังกล่าวมี ความเป็นไปได้ต่ำ ในทางตรงกันข้ามเป็นไปได้มากกว่าว่าประเทศไทยจะอนุญาตให้นำเข้าข้าวโพด และถั่วเหลืองจากสหรัฐฯ ซึ่งจะเป็นบวกต่อกลุ่มเนื้อสัตว์

CPF TB

BUY

LINCHANGE

TARGET PRICE	THB30.00
CLOSE	THB23.70
UP/DOWNSIDE	+26.6%
PRIOR TP	THB28.00
CHANGE IN TP	+7.1%
TP vs CONSENSUS	+1.4%

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	580,747	616,173	633,426	649,261
Net profit	19,558	21,855	22,410	23,446
EPS (THB)	2.32	2.60	2.66	2.79
vs Consensus (%)	-	10.7	2.6	(11.8)
EBITDA	71,662	76,853	77,752	79,791
Recurring net profit	19,039	21,855	22,410	23,446
Core EPS (THB)	2.26	2.60	2.66	2.79
Chg. In EPS est. (%)	-	41.2	34.8	30.0
EPS growth (%)	nm	14.8	2.5	4.6
Core P/E (x)	10.5	9.1	8.9	8.5
Dividend yield (%)	4.5	5.5	5.6	5.9
EV/EBITDA (x)	9.9	9.1	8.8	8.4
Price/book (x)	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7
Net debt/Equity (%)	157.4	130.7	120.0	110.3
ROE (%)	7.8	8.7	8.5	8.5



, ,			. ,
Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	(1.3)	3.5	31.7
Relative to country (%)	1.0	23.4	55.9
Mkt cap (USD m)			5,705
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)			10.2
Free float (%)			46
Major shareholder	Charoen P	okphand Gr	oup (37%)
12m high/low (THB)		2	6.00/17.60
Issued shares (m)			8,413.57

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Sureeporn Teewasuwet

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 040694 sureeporn.t@fssia.com, +66 2646 9972

Investment thesis

CPF operates an integrated agro-industrial and food business under its "Kitchen of the World" vision for sustainable growth. The company owns production bases through investments and joint ventures in 17 countries and exports to over 50 countries. Since CPF starts from upstream, especially farming, which is highly volatile in line with meat prices and feed costs, its annual performance fluctuates sharply. CPF reported a significant turnaround in profit in 2024. Meanwhile, we have a more optimistic outlook for the 2025 meat price trend due to robust meat price recoveries in Thailand and Vietnam in 1Q25. In addition, CPF's management guided that Chinese swine prices may recover from 2Q25 onward due to stronger demand.


Company profile

Established in 1978 and listed on the Stock Exchange of Thailand in 1987, CPF operates a vertically integrated agro-industrial and food business, from upstream to downstream, covering livestock and aquaculture such as swine, broilers, layers, ducks, shrimp, and fish. As of end-2024, CPF generated revenue from three units, namely Feed, Farm, and Food, with a revenue mix of 23%/55%/22%, respectively.

www.cpfworldwide.com

Principal activities (revenue, 2024)


- Thailand livestock 33.1 %
- Thailand aquatic 4.3 %
- Overseas livestock 55.5 %
- Overseas aquatic 7.1 %



Source: Charoen Pokphand Foods

Major shareholders

- Charoen Pokphand Group 36.5
- UBS AG London Branch 10.4 %
- Others 53.1 %



Source: Charoen Pokphand Foods

Catalysts

Potential drivers for CPF's earnings growth in 2025 are 1) sales volume growth after demand increases; 2) higher meat prices; 3) flat or declining feed costs; and 4) a better-than-expected recovery of overseas associates and subsidiaries.

Risks to our call

Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) slow purchasing power, 2) a slower-than-expected meat price recovery, 3) higher feed costs, 4) Baht strength, and 5) a minimum wage increase and labor shortages.

Event calendar

Date	Event
14 May 2025	1Q25 results announcement
15 May 2025	1Q25 analyst meeting

Key assumptions

	2025E	2026E	2027E
Broiler price-TH (THB)	40.0	40.0	40.0
Swine price-TH (THB)	75.0	72.0	70.0
Swine price-VN (VND)	60,000	60,000	60,000
Total revenue growth (%)	6.1	2.8	2.5
Gross margin (%)	15.0	14.8	14.8
SG&A to sales (%)	8.7	8.7	8.7

Source: FSSIA estimates

Earnings sensitivity

- For every 1% increase in 2025 revenue, we estimate net profit to rise by 4%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 0.1% increase in 2025 GPM, we estimate net profit to rise by 3.5%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 0.1% increase in 2025 SG&A to sales, we estimate net profit to fall by 4.4%, and vice versa, all else being equal.


Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 1: CPF - 1Q25 earnings preview

	1Q24	2Q24	3Q24	4Q24	1Q25E	Cha	nge	2024	2025E	Change	% 1Q25E
	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	to 2025E				
Sales	140,037	149,498	142,703	148,509	150,260	1.2	7.3	580,747	616,173	6.1	24.4
Cost of sales	123,228	126,484	120,756	125,236	126,369	0.9	2.5	495,704	523,747	5.7	24.1
Gross profit	16,809	23,013	21,947	23,274	23,891	2.7	42.1	85,043	92,426	8.7	25.8
SG&A	12,178	12,760	12,624	12,873	13,073	1.6	7.3	50,434	53,607	6.3	24.4
Operating profit	5,297	10,953	10,428	10,785	11,369	5.4	114.6	37,463	41,653	11.2	27.3
Interest expense	6,182	6,276	6,031	6,086	6,055	(0.5)	(2.1)	24,575	24,496	(0.3)	24.7
Tax expense	550	2,046	1,059	2,018	1,983	(1.7)	260.2	5,673	6,946	22.5	28.5
Profit (loss) sharing	1,792	3,352	3,655	3,900	3,250	(16.7)	81.4	12,699	12,567	(1.0)	25.9
Biological gain (loss)	464	1,427	734	(263)	0	nm	nm	2,362	0	nm	nn
Other gain (loss)	330	135	28	201	0	nm	nm	(1,844)	0	nm	nn
Reported net profit	1,152	6,924	7,309	4,173	6,531	56.5	466.9	19,558	21,855	11.7	29.9
Core profit	358	5,595	6,547	6,540	6,531	(0.1)	1,725.8	19,039	21,855	14.8	29.9
Key Ratios (%)						(ppt)	(ppt)				
Gross margin	12.0	15.4	15.4	15.7	15.9	0.2	3.9	14.6	15.0	0.4	
SG&A to sales	8.7	8.5	8.8	8.7	8.7	0.0	0.0	8.7	8.7	0.0	
Operating margin	3.8	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.6	0.3	3.8	6.5	6.8	0.3	
Net margin	0.8	4.6	5.1	2.8	4.3	1.5	3.5	3.4	3.5	0.2	
Core margin	0.3	3.7	4.6	4.4	4.3	(0.1)	4.1	3.3	3.5	0.3	
Operating statistics (THB/kg)											
Broiler price-TH	40.8	43.6	42.7	38.1	40.3	5.9	(1.2)	41.3	40.0	(3.2)	
Swine price-TH	66.3	69.3	71.1	70.6	79.0	11.9	19.1	69.3	75.0	8.2	
Corn price-TH	10.9	10.9	12.1	10.0	10.9	8.7	(0.3)	11.0	10.5	(4.4)	
Soybean meal price-TH	22.2	21.5	20.5	18.9	16.8	(11.2)	(24.2)	20.8	16.0	(22.9)	
Swine price-VN (VND/kg.)	53,400	62,848	61,708	60,237	65,132	8.1	22.0	59,548	60,000	0.8	
Swine price-CH (RMB/kg.)	14.9	16.2	19.6	16.6	15.0	(9.2)	0.9	16.8	15.5	(7.8)	


Sources: CPF, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 2: Quarterly China swine prices




Sources: Bloomberg, CPF, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 3: Quarterly Vietnam swine prices




Source: CPF, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 4: Quarterly domestic meat prices




Source: CPF, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 6: Quarterly total revenue and growth




Sources: CPF, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 8: Quarterly share of profit/(loss)




Sources: CPF, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 5: Quarterly raw material prices




Source: CPF, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 7: Quarterly gross margin and SG&A to sales



Sources: CPF, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 9: Quarterly net profit




Sources: CPF, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 10: Changes in key assumptions for CPF

		Current			Previous			- Change	
	2025E	2026E	2027E	2025E	2026E	2027E	2025E	2026E	2027E
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(%)	(%)	(%)				
Total revenue	616,173	633,426	649,261	591,654	608,220	623,426	4.1	4.1	4.1
Cost of sales	523,747	539,679	553,171	511,781	526,110	539,263	2.3	2.6	2.6
Gross profit	92,426	93,747	96,091	79,873	82,110	84,162	15.7	14.2	14.2
SG&A expenses	53,607	55,108	56,486	51,474	52,915	54,238	4.1	4.1	4.1
Operating profit	41,653	41,553	42,592	31,653	32,540	33,353	31.6	27.7	27.7
Other income	2,834	2,914	2,987	3,254	3,345	3,429	(12.9)	(12.9)	(12.9)
Interest expense	24,496	24,214	23,932	25,184	25,212	24,246	(2.7)	(4.0)	(1.3)
Profit (loss) sharing	12,567	13,241	13,411	12,575	13,249	13,411	(0.1)	(0.1)	0.0
- CPALL	9,332	10,506	10,661	9,332	10,506	10,661	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reported net profit	21,855	22,410	23,446	14,053	15,168	16,596	55.5	47.7	41.3
Core profit	21,855	22,410	23,446	14,053	15,168	16,596	55.5	47.7	41.3
Key ratios (%)									
Total revenue growth	6.1	2.8	2.5	4.2	2.8	2.5			
Net profit growth	11.7	2.5	4.6	(25.7)	7.9	9.4			
Core profit growth	14.8	2.5	4.6	(12.3)	7.9	9.4			
Gross margin	15.0	14.8	14.8	13.5	13.5	13.5	1.5	1.3	1.3
SG&A to sales	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Operating margin	6.8	6.6	6.6	5.4	5.4	5.4	1.4	1.2	1.2
Net margin	3.5	3.5	3.6	2.4	2.5	2.7	1.2	1.0	0.9
Core margin	3.5	3.5	3.6	2.4	2.5	2.7	1.2	1.0	0.9
Price									
Broiler price-TH (THB/kg.)	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Swine price-TH (THB/kg.)	75.0	72.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	7.1	2.9	0.0
Shrimp price-70 unit (THB/kg.)	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Corn price-TH (THB/kg.)	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Soybean meal price-TH (THB/kg.)	16.0	17.0	18.0	19.5	19.0	18.0	(17.9)	(10.5)	0.0
Swine price-VN (VND)	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	55,000	55,000	0.0	9.1	9.1
Swine price-CH (RMB)	15.5	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	(3.1)	0.0	0.0


Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 11: Yearly China swine prices




Sources: CPF, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 12: Yearly Vietnam swine prices




Sources: CPF, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 13: Yearly domestic meat prices




Sources: CPF, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 15: Yearly total revenue and growth




Sources: CPF, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 17: Share of profit breakdown by CPALL and others




Sources: CPF, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 14: Yearly raw material prices




Sources: CPF, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 16: Yearly net profit and gross margin



Sources: CPF, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 18: Historical P/E band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Charoen Pokphand Foods

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	585,844	580,747	616,173	633,426	649,261
Cost of goods sold	(524,643)	(495,704)	(523,747)	(539,679)	(553,171)
Gross profit	61,201	85,043	92,426	93,747	96,091
Other operating income	1,110	2,854	2,834	2,914	2,987
Operating costs	(55,875)	(50,434)	(53,607)	(55,108)	(56,486)
Operating EBITDA	39,667	71,662	76,853	77,752	79,791
Depreciation	(33,232)	(34,200)	(35,200)	(36,200)	(37,200)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	(
Operating EBIT	6,435	37,463	41,653	41,553	42,592
Net financing costs	(24,348)	(22,708)	(22,646)	(22,409)	(22,198)
Associates	(810)	12,699	12,567	13,241	13,411
Recurring non-operating income	(810)	12,699	12,567	13,241	13,411
Non-recurring items	16,792	519	0	0	(
Profit before tax	(1,931)	27,972	31,575	32,385	33,805
-ax	(600)	(5,673)	(6,946)	(7,125)	(7,437)
Profit after tax	(2,531)	22,299	24,628	25,260	26,368
Minority interests	(2,676)	(2,741)	(2,773)	(2,850)	(2,922)
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	(
Other items	-	-	-	-	
Reported net profit	(5,207)	19,558	21,855	22,410	23,446
lon-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(16,792)	(519)	0	0	(
Recurring net profit	(22,000)	19,039	21,855	22,410	23,446
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	(2.61)	2.26	2.60	2.66	2.79
Reported EPS	(0.62)	2.32	2.60	2.66	2.79
OPS .	0.00	1.06	1.30	1.33	1.39
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	8,414	8,414	8,414	8,414	8,414
Growth					
Revenue (%)	(4.6)	(0.9)	6.1	2.8	2.5
Operating EBITDA (%)	(37.1)	80.7	7.2	1.2	2.6
Operating EBIT (%)	(79.1)	482.2	11.2	(0.2)	2.5
Recurring EPS (%)	nm	nm	14.8	2.5	4.6
Reported EPS (%)	nm	nm	11.7	2.5	4.6
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	10.4	14.6	15.0	14.8	14.8
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	16.1	20.5	20.7	20.5	20.5
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	6.8	12.3	12.5	12.3	12.3
Operating EBIT margin (%)	1.1	6.5	6.8	6.6	6.6
Net margin (%)	(3.8)	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.6
Effective tax rate (%)	(31.1)	20.3	22.0	22.0	22.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	. ,	46.8	50.0	50.0	50.0
nterest cover (X)	0.2	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.5
nventory days	56.7	53.1	51.0	52.8	53.0
Debtor days	26.7	26.1	24.8	25.1	25.2
Creditor days	32.5	28.2	27.0	27.5	27.5
Operating ROIC (%)	1.2	7.3	8.5	8.8	9.3
ROIC (%)	0.6	5.1	5.5	5.6	5.7
ROE (%)	(8.9)	7.8	8.7	8.5	8.9
ROA (%)	0.0	4.5	4.9	4.8	4.9
Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted	0.0	4.0	4.0	1.0	т.,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027
evenue by Division (THB m)					
• • •		102 384	202 106	208 866	21/ 026
Thailand livestock	193,310	192,384	202,196	208,666	214,926
Revenue by Division (THB m) Thailand livestock Thailand aquatic Dverseas livestock		192,384 24,932 322,064	202,196 25,431 346,352	208,666 26,194 355,106	214,926 26,979 362,592

Sources: Charoen Pokphand Foods; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Charoen Pokphand Foods

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
ecurring net profit	(22,000)	19,039	21,855	22,410	23,446
epreciation	33,232	34,200	35,200	36,200	37,200
ssociates & minorities	-	-	-		
ther non-cash items	3,360	(8,770)	10,141	(9,707)	(9,877)
hange in working capital	(3,219)	13,251	(3,020)	(3,149)	(2,816)
ash flow from operations	11,373	57,719	64,176	45,753	47,952
apex - maintenance	(25,883)	(39,520)	(19,743)	(20,000)	(20,000)
capex - new investment let acquisitions & disposals	2,796	2,307	0	0	- C
Other investments (net)	8,051	3,549	285	(604)	(554)
Cash flow from investing	(15,035)	(33,664)	(19,457)	(20,604)	(20,554)
Dividends paid	(13,027)	(1,720)	(10,389)	(11,205)	(11,723)
Equity finance	1,371	(3)	4	0	Ò
Debt finance	(2,424)	(22,281)	(30,096)	(5,320)	(5,320)
Other financing cash flows	9,783	2,319	(528)	3,310	776
Cash flow from financing	(4,298)	(21,685)	(41,009)	(13,215)	(16,267)
lon-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	(
let other adjustments	0	0	0	0	C
Movement in cash	(7,960)	2,371	3,709	11,934	11,131
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	21,844.06	48,630.88	69,214.36	49,363.15	51,330.08
ree cash flow to equity (FCFE)	3,696.24	4,094.00	14,094.72	23,139.27	22,854.03
er share (THB)					
CFF per share	2.60	5.78	8.23	5.87	6.10
FCFE per share	0.44	0.49	1.68	2.75	2.72
Recurring cash flow per share	1.73	5.29	7.99	5.81	6.03
Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
rangible fixed assets (gross)	333,873	326,168	311,968	296,769	280,569
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(33,232)	(34,200)	(35,200)	(36,200)	(37,200)
Fangible fixed assets (net)	300,641	291,968	276,769	260,569	243,370
ntangible fixed assets (net)	73,428	71,121	71,121	71,121	71,121
ong-term financial assets					,
nvest. in associates & subsidiaries	272,548	283,542	296,109	309,350	322,76
Cash & equivalents	28,255	30,625	34,335	46,269	57,400
VC receivable	42,351	40,674	43,014	44,218	45,324
nventories	69,508	64,771	71,746	73,929	75,777
Other current assets	69,002	63,989	61,617	63,343	64,926
Current assets	209,116	200,060	210,712	227,759	243,427
Other assets	31,485	30,033	29,466	30,070	30,624
Total assets	887,218	876,724	884,177	898,869	911,303
Common equity	239,416	246,041	257,487	268,692	280,415
Ainorities etc.	45,617	47,183	69,891	73,425	76,959
Total shareholders' equity	285,033	293,224	327,378	342,117	357,374
ong term debt	316,785	291,911	289,211	286,511	283,811
Other long-term liabilities .ong-term liabilities	25,936 342,722	28,256	27,728	31,038 317,549	31,814 315,62 5
VC payable	36,527	320,167 34,840	316,939 37,308	38,443	39,404
Short term debt	198,326	200,372	37,306 172,976	170,356	167,736
Other current liabilities	24,610	28,122	29,576	30,404	31,165
Current liabilities	259,463	263,334	239,860	239,203	238,304
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	887,218	876,724	884,177	898,869	911,303
Net working capital	119,724	106,473	109,493	112,642	115,458
nvested capital	797,826	783,137	782,958	783,753	783,33
Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is bei	ing treated as debt				
er share (THB)					
Book value per share	28.46	29.24	30.60	31.94	33.33
angible book value per share	19.73	20.79	22.15	23.48	24.88
inancial strength					
Net debt/equity (%)	170.8	157.4	130.7	120.0	110.3
Net debt/total assets (%)	54.9	52.7	48.4	45.7	43.3
Current ratio (x)	0.8	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.0
CF interest cover (x)	1.2	1.2	1.6	2.0	2.0
aluation	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Recurring P/E (x) *	(9.1)	10.5	9.1	8.9	8.5
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	(11.5)	13.3	11.5	11.3	10.8
Reported P/E (x)	(38.3)	10.2	9.1	8.9	8.9
Dividend yield (%)	-	4.5	5.5	5.6	5.9
Price/book (x)	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.
Price/tangible book (x)	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0
	18.5	9.9	9.1	8.8	8.4
EV/EBITDA (x) **	10.5				
EV/EBITDA (x) ** EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	19.8 0.9	10.6 0.9	9.8	9.5	9.1

Sources: Charoen Pokphand Foods; FSSIA estimates

Charoen Pokphand Foods PCL (CPF TB)



Exhibit 19: FSSIA ESG score implication

81.76 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
****	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 20: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA		Domestic ratings						Global ratings					Bloomberg	
	ESG score	DJSI	SET ESG	SET ESG Rating	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	67.71	5.69	4.38	4.05	4.77	4.43	4.02	Medium	57.34	BBB	22.70	60.82	67.31	1.19	35.34
Coverage	66.17	5.16	4.33	3.94	4.81	4.43	3.83	Medium	56.41	BBB	18.92	59.20	65.82	1.38	35.46
BTG	30.98				5.00	4.00	Certified	High		BB					57.48
CPF	81.76	Υ	Y	AAA	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	71.84	BBB	44.00	66.97	85.00	4.43	67.93
GFPT	50.93		Y	BBB	5.00	5.00	Certified	High				62.77	24.00	4.22	57.60
TU	69.84	Υ			5.00	5.00	Certified	High	56.97	Α	41.00	74.24	85.00		77.68
TFG	34.05			Α	5.00	5.00	Certified	High				42.43			

Sources: <u>SETTRADE.com</u>; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 21: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	2.57	2.01	2.32	4.20	3.82	4.48	4.59	4.43
BESG environmental pillar score	2.50	1.35	1.44	5.60	4.55	5.58	5.69	5.12
BESG social pillar score	1.77	1.49	2.38	3.05	2.82	3.26	3.26	3.55
BESG governance pillar score	3.59	3.67	3.65	3.68	3.94	4.38	4.61	4.46
ESG disclosure score	51.20	46.05	46.05	55.11	52.85	60.28	62.66	67.93
Environmental disclosure score	35.00	17.97	17.97	41.53	38.15	51.98	53.70	65.51
Social disclosure score	31.11	32.68	32.68	36.31	32.92	38.88	44.32	48.34
Governance disclosure score	87.36	87.36	87.36	87.36	87.36	89.86	89.86	89.86
Environmental								
Emissions reduction initiatives	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Risks of climate change discussed	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
GHG scope 1	240	_	_	250	238	222	288	362
GHG scope 2 location-based	670	_	_	590	647	596	1,198	1,193
GHG Scope 3	_	_	_	_	3,565	4,132	4,412	11,377
Carbon per unit of production	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Biodiversity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	2,664	_	_	3,072	2,296	2,284	3,373	3,312
Renewable energy use	550	_	_	800	_	853	1,503	1,564
Electricity used	1,211	_	_	_	1,353	1,395	2,243	2,267
Fuel used - natural gas	_	_	_	36,310	1,315,790	1,221,200	1,503,630	1,403,530

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 22: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	1	_	_	_	1	1	1	0
Total waste	440	_	_	920	463	456	636	551
Waste recycled	_	_	_	27		25	42	41
Waste sent to landfills	_	_	_	_		42.54	73.89	27.29
Environmental supply chain management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	_	_	_	_	6,670	6,032.59	10,685.90	10,129.00
Social								
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Consumer data protection policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Pct women in workforce	51	51	52	52	42	44.46	44.56	44.84
Pct disabled in workforce	_	1	1	1	_	0.56	0.69	0.53
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	_	_	_	0	0	0.38	1.00	0.18
Total recordable incident rate - employees	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.74
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Number of employees – CSR	66,087	71,131	72,319	73,941	71,318	128,548.00	135,284.00	135,446.00
Employee turnover pct	19	18	20	34	_	13.28	10.98	14.64
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	985,230	1,138,100	1,012,470	887,292	2,781,400	1,331,690.00	1,772,550.00	4,991,190.00
Social supply chain management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Governance								
Board size	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	14
No. of independent directors (ID)	5	5	5	5	5	6	5	4
No. of women on board	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
No. of non-executive directors on board	10	10	10	9	9	9	10	9
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	11	9	7	7	13	11	9	8
Board meeting attendance pct	96	87	96	94	96	99	99	98
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	61	62	63	58	53	53	53	54
Age of the oldest director	80	82	83	81	82	76	76	77
No. of executives / company managers	14	17	7	11	9	27	31	31
No. of female executives	2	2	1	2	2	4	7	7
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
No. of ID on audit committee	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Audit committee meetings	10	8	9	9	10	11	8	9
Audit meeting attendance %	95	91	94	100	98	100	100	97
Size of compensation committee	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	3
No. of ID on compensation committee	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1
•	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
No. of compensation committee meetings	100	100	100	100	92	100	100	100
Compensation meeting attendance %	3	3	3	4				
Size of nomination committee					4	4	4	3
No. of nomination committee meetings	100	100	2	2	3	100	100	100
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	92	100	100	100
Sustainability governance	V-	V	V	V	V	V-	V-	V.
Verification type	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodolog	у			Rating					
The Dow	_		ansparent, rules-based	component selection		nd invited to th	ne annual S&P (Slobal Corpora	ate.	
Jones			anies' Total Sustainabil		Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global					
Sustainability			Corporate Sustainabili		ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are					
ndices (DJSI)	, ,	ranked compar	nies within each industr	y are selected for				uents of the D	JSI indices ar	
By S&P Global	inclusion.				selected from th	e Eligible Uni	verse.			
SET ESG	SET ESG qu	antifies respons	sibility in Environmental	I and Social issues by	To be eligible for					
Ratings List			nsparency in Governan		minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETESG Index is extended from the SET ESG Ratings companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The					
SETESG) by The Stock			reemptive criteria, with board members and ex							
Exchange of			and combined holding r							
hailand			alifying criteria include:							
SET)	70%; 2) inde	pendent directo	ors and free float violation	on; 3) executives'						
			social & environmental i		SETTHSI Index					
			rnings in red for > 3 yea				and no cap for r			
CG Score by Thai			in sustainable developr ith support from the Sto		Scores are rate		ries: 5 for Excell -79), 2 for Fair (6			
Institute of			are from the perspective				v 50. Weightings			
Directors	,	of operations.		7, 7,	equitable treatm			,	• ,	
Association					stakeholders (2	, , ,	sure & transpare	ncy (15%); an	d 5) board	
Thai IOD)					responsibilities	35%).				
AGM level			ich shareholders' rights		The scores are					
By Thai		•	nto business operations		Very Good (90-	99), 3 for Fair	(80-89), and not	rated for scor	es below 79.	
nvestors Association			disclosed. All form impo nts to be evaluated ann							
TIA) with			res before the meeting							
support from			eeting (10%). (The first a							
the SEC				ting how voting rights can be						
			s 1) the ease of attending m s for Q&A. The third involve	es the meeting minutes that						
			s, resolutions and voting res							
Thai CAC			necklist include corruption		The document v					
By Thai			ls, and the monitoring a	and developing of	passed Checklis		0 0	,		
Private Sector Collective			good for three years. a CAC certified member so	tart hy submitting a	approvals whos professionalism			especied indi	viduais iri	
Action Against			n 18-month deadline to sub		professionalism	and cumour a	onicvenients.			
Corruption			ssment, in place of policy ar							
(CAC)		of policies to all s	olishment of whistleblowing stakeholders.)	crianneis, and						
Morningstar	The Sustaina	llytics' ESG risk	rating provides an ove	erall company score	A company's ES	G risk rating	score is the sum	of unmanage	d risk. The	
Sustainalytics	based on an		how much of a compar	ny's exposure to ESG	more risk is unn	nanaged, the	nigher ESG risk	is scored.		
						•				
			to be reviewed include corp or media. NGO reports/webs							
	regulatory filing information, co.	is, news and othe mpany feedback,	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer	sites, multi-sector	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	
	regulatory filing information, co.	s, news and othe	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer	sites, multi-sector		_	Medium 20-30	High 30-40	Severe 40+	
ESG Book	regulatory filing information, correports, and quare	is, news and other impany feedback, rality & peer review ore identifies su	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies th	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s	Low 10-20 core is calcula	20-30 ated as a weight	30-40 ed sum of the	40+ features	
ESG Book	regulatory filing information, co. reports, and quare The ESG scc positioned to	is, news and other mpany feedback, rality & peer review ore identifies su- outperform over	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The m	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	40+ features	
ESG Book	regulatory filing information, correports, and queen The ESG scorpositioned to the principle	is, news and other mpany feedback, rality & peer review ore identifies sure outperform ove of financial mat	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The m teriality including inform	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers aation that significantly	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base	20-30 ated as a weight	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	40+ features	
ESG Book	regulatory filing information, correports, and queen The ESG second positioned to the principle helps explain	is, news and other mpany feedback, lality & peer review ore identifies su outperform ove of financial mat future risk-adju	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The meteriality including informusted performance. Mai	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	40+ features	
ESG Book	regulatory filing information, coreports, and queen The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir	is, news and other mpany feedback, lality & peer review ore identifies su outperform ove of financial mat future risk-adju	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The m eriality including inform usted performance. Mai higher materiality and	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	40+ features	
	regulatory filing information, coreports, and quere The ESG scopositioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a	is, news and other mpany feedback, vality & peer review ore identifies su outperform ove of financial mat future risk-adjug features with rolling quarterly	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The m teriality including inform usted performance. Mat a higher materiality and y basis.	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with hig	Low 10-20 core is calcula teriality-base ther scores in	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	40+ features between 0	
	regulatory filing information, co. reports, and quarter The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra	is, news and other mpany feedback, ality & peer review outperform ove of financial mat future risk-adjug features with rolling quarterly atings aim to me	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The meriality including inform usted performance. Man higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's man	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with higher than 100 with higher than 100 with 100	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base in scores in scores in sand opportures.	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	40+ features between 0	
	regulatory filing information, co. reports, and quarter The ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra	is, news and other mpany feedback, ality & peer review outperform ove of financial mat future risk-adjug features with rolling quarterly atings aim to me	or media, NGO reports/webst ESG controversies, issuer ws. Instainable companies the er the long term. The meteriality including inform susted performance. Mai in higher materiality and by basis. The stain of the	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially retheir exposure to ESG rise	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with higher the score of the score	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base in scores in scores in sand opporture they manage	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- nities. It uses a those risks relati	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based mye to peers.	40+ features between 0	
	regulatory filing information, correports, and quarter than the ESG scopositioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial information.	is, news and othe mpany feedback, aality & peer review ore identifies su outperform oor of financial mat infuture risk-adjung geatures with rolling quarterly atings aim to mottry leaders and	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The meriality including inform usted performance. Man higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's man	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with higher the score of the score	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base in scores in scores in sand opporture they manage	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- nities. It uses a those risks relati	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based mye to peers.	40+ features between 0	
	regulatory filing information, co. reports, and quarter than the ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG reidentify indus AAA AA	is, news and othe mpany feedback, aality & peer review ore identifies su outperform ove of financial mata future risk-adjung geatures with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000	or media, NGO reports/webst ESG controversies, issuer ws. Instainable companies the er the long term. The meteriality including inform susted performance. Mai in higher materiality and by basis. The stain of the	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially retheir exposure to ESG rise	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with higher the score of the score	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base in scores in scores in sand opporture they manage	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- nities. It uses a those risks relati	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based mye to peers.	40+ features between 0	
	regulatory filing information, co. reports, and quarter the ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG reidentify indus AAA AA A	ors, news and other mpany feedback, ality & peer review or eidentifies su outperform over of financial mat future risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to mattry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The m teriality including inform usted performance. Mai in higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's mai il laggards according to Leader:	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers ration that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repair their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in many a mixed or unexceptional	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high selevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most significant in the selevant ESG risk selevan	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base inher scores in s and opportunitely manage	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- nities. It uses a those risks relation	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers.	features between 0	
	regulatory filing information, co. reports, and queries and querie	ors, news and other mpany feedback, ality & peer review or eidentifies sure outperform over of financial marting features with rolling quarterly atings aim to mattry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713	or media, NGO reports/webst ESG controversies, issuer ws. Instainable companies the er the long term. The meteriality including inform susted performance. Mai in higher materiality and by basis. The stain of the	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repair their exposure to ESG rise	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high selevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most significant in the selevant ESG risk selevan	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base inher scores in s and opportunitely manage	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- nities. It uses a those risks relation	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers.	features between 0	
	regulatory filing information, coreports, and quarter the ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB	rs, news and other mpany feedback, ality & peer review or eidentifies su outperform ove of financial mat future risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The m teriality including inform usted performance. Mai in higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's mai il laggards according to Leader:	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers ration that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repair their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in many a mixed or unexceptional	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high selevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most significant in the selevant ESG risk selevan	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base inher scores in s and opportunitely manage	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- nities. It uses a those risks relation	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers.	features between 0	
	regulatory filing information, coreports, and quarter the ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG reidentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB BB BB	rs, news and other mpany feedback, ality & peer review or eidentifies su outperform over of inancial mat future risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to matry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The m teriality including inform usted performance. Mai in higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's mai il laggards according to Leader:	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers ration that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repair their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in many a mixed or unexceptional	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high elevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most significant for the second of maintain the second of maintain the second of the second	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base inher scores in s and opportunity manage unificant ESG ris	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- nities. It uses a those risks relation ks and opportunities	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers.	features between 0	
<u>MSCI</u>	regulatory filing information, coreports, and quarter the ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB	rs, news and other mpany feedback, ality & peer review or eidentifies su outperform ove of financial mat future risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The meriality including inform usted performance. Man in higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's man I laggards according to Leader: Average:	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially r their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma a mixed or unexceptiona industry peers	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high elevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most significant for the second of maintain the second of maintain the second of the second	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base inher scores in s and opportunity manage unificant ESG ris	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- nities. It uses a those risks relation ks and opportunities	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers.	features between 0	
MSCI Moody's ESG	regulatory filing information, co. reports, and quarter than the ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG reidentify indus AAA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's asset	is, news and othe mpany feedback, aality & peer review ore identifies su outperform ove of financial mata future risk-adjung geatures with rolling quarterly atings aim to matry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The m teriality including inform usted performance. Man in higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's ma I laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: ee to which companies	isites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repart their exposure to ESG rise. I leading its industry in management of the management of the second industry peers. I lagging its industry base take into account ESG of	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 100 with high anaging the most signal track record of mand d on its high exposurations.	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in sand opportute they manage unificant ESG rise and failure to finition and in	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positives. It uses a those risks relative ks and opportunities significant ESG ris manage significan uplementation of	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. es eks and opportunt t ESG risks	features between 0 nethodology to hities relative to	
MSCI Moody's ESG	regulatory filing information, con reports, and quarter than the ESG scopositioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's assistantial assistantial modern than the modern than the ESG scope and the ESG sco	rs, news and other mpany feedback, ality & peer review outperform outperform outperform of financial material future risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company interesting features with the company interesting features and features with the company interesting features are set to the company interesting features are	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The me teriality including inform usted performance. Mai higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's ma I laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: ee to which companies egrating ESG factors in	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repeals their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in material material to the industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 100 with high anaging the most signal track record of mand d on its high exposurations.	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in sand opportute they manage unificant ESG rise and failure to finition and in	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positives. It uses a those risks relative ks and opportunities significant ESG ris manage significan uplementation of	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. es eks and opportunt t ESG risks	features between 0 nethodology to hities relative to	
ESG Book MSCI Moody's ESG solutions	regulatory filing information, correports, and quarteristic positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB CCC Moody's asset believes that create sustain	is, news and othe mpany feedback, aality & peer review ore identifies su outperform ove of financial mata future risk-adjung geatures with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company intenable value for	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The m teriality including inform usted performance. Mai higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's ma I laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: ee to which companies egrating ESG factors in shareholders over the i	isites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repart their exposure to ESG rise. I leading its industry in management or unexceptional industry peers. I lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term.	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 100 with high anaging the most signal track record of mand d on its high exposure of the delivery outperson.	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in sand opportute they manage inificant ESG rise and failure to effinition and informing its pe	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- nities. It uses a those risks relati ks and opportunitie significant ESG ris manage significan uplementation of ers is better posi	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. es eks and opportunt t ESG risks f their strategy tioned to mitig	features between 0 nethodology to nities relative to policies. It gate risks and	
MSCI Moody's ESG	regulatory filing information, con reports, and quare the ESG scopositioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's assisted believes that create sustain Designed to separate programmer.	rs, news and other many feedback, ality & peer review outperform over of financial material future risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 besses the degree a company intenable value for transparently ality & transparently & tran	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The me teriality including inform usted performance. Mai higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's ma I laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: ee to which companies egrating ESG factors in shareholders over the Ind objectively measure	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers action that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repeals their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in material material to the industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high elevant ESG risk is and how well anaging the most signal track record of main and d on its high exposure opectives in the department of the departmen	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in s and opportute they manage inificant ESG rise and failure to efficition and informing its performing its performance in the	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- nities. It uses a a those risks relati ks and opportunities significant ESG ris manage significan riplementation of ers is better posi and effectiveness	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. es eks and opportune t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig	features between 0 nethodology to nities relative to policies. It gate risks and in themes,	
MSCI Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG	regulatory filing information, con reports, and quare the ESG scc positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA BBBB BB CCC Moody's asset believes that create sustain Designed to based on put	rs, news and other mpany feedback, ality & peer review or identifies su outperform ove of financial material future risk-adjug features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company intenable value for transparently arollicly available actions and the second contract of the second contract o	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The me eriality including inform usted performance. Man higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's ma I laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: ee to which companies egrating ESG factors in shareholders over the Ind objectively measure and auditable data. The	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers atton that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repart their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in material and a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term.	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high elevant ESG risk ks and how well anaging the most significant for the desired of the state of the st	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base inher scores in s and opportunity manage inificant ESG ris maging the most re and failure to finition and informing its pe ommitment at GG performan	20-30 ated as a weight diveights. The sidicating better positions and opportunities are significant ESG risumanage significant polementation of the significant esteroposition of errors is better position of effectiveness and insufficie	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. ss sks and opportunt t ESG risks their strategy tioned to mittig across 10 mant degree of tr	features between 0 nethodology to nities relative to policies. It gate risks and in themes,	
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG ating	regulatory filing information, con reports, and quare reports reports reports reports reports regular reports regular reports regular reports rep	rs, news and other mpany feedback, ality & peer review outperform over of financial material future risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company internable value for transparently arblicly available aterial ESG data abal ESG Score	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The m eriality including inform usted performance. Man higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's ma I laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: ee to which companies egrating ESG factors in shareholders over the and objectively measure and auditable data. The publicly. (Score ratings a	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers attorn that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these leading its industry in materiality in their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in materiality in the second industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG obto its business model and medium to long term. In a company's relative ESG obto its secore ranges from 0 to 1 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = asuring a company's performance in the second industry performance in the second industry peers as a company's performance in the second industry peers in the second ind	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with high and 100 with high exposure of the lating of the lating high and 100 with high exposure of the lating high and 100 with high exposure of the lating high and 100 with high exposure of the lating high and 100 with high exposure of the lating high and 100 with high exposure of the lating high exp	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base inher scores in s and opportunity manage s and opportunity manage inificant ESG ris maging the most re and failure to finition and informing its pe commitment and GG performan 75 = good; and management of	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- nities. It uses a a those risks relati ks and opportunities significant ESG ris manage significan replementation of ers is better posi and effectiveness and effectiveness and insufficie >75 to 100 = exce	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based mye to peers. es eks and opportunt t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mittig across 10 mant degree of trillent.)	features between 0 nethodology to policies. It pate risks and in themes, ansparency ir	
MSCI Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG	regulatory filing information, con reports, and quare reports reports reports reports reports regular reports regular reports regular reports rep	rs, news and other mpany feedback, ality & peer review outperform over of financial material future risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company internable value for transparently arblicly available aterial ESG data abal ESG Score	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The m eriality including inform usted performance. Man higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's ma I laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: ee to which companies egrating ESG factors in shareholders over the and objectively measure and auditable data. The publicly. (Score ratings a	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers attorn that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these leading its industry in materiality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these leading its industry in materiality peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG of secore ranges from 0 to 1 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 =	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with high and 100 with high exposure of the lating of the lating high and 100 with high exposure of the lating high and 100 with high exposure of the lating high and 100 with high exposure of the lating high and 100 with high exposure of the lating high and 100 with high exposure of the lating high exp	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base inher scores in s and opportunity manage s and opportunity manage inificant ESG ris maging the most re and failure to finition and informing its pe commitment and GG performan 75 = good; and management of	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- nities. It uses a a those risks relati ks and opportunities significant ESG ris manage significan replementation of ers is better posi and effectiveness and effectiveness and insufficie >75 to 100 = exce	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based mye to peers. es eks and opportunt t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mittig across 10 mant degree of trillent.)	features between 0 nethodology to nities relative to policies. It gate risks and in themes, cansparency in	
MSCI Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating	regulatory filing information, con reports, and quare reports reports reports reports reports regular reports regular reports regular reports rep	rs, news and other mpany feedback, ality & peer review outperform over of financial material future risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company internable value for transparently arbitical esses data abal ESG score its peers within	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The me eriality including inform usted performance. Man higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's ma I laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: ee to which companies egrating ESG factors in shareholders over the ind objectively measure and auditable data. The publicly. (Score ratings a is a relative score mea in the same industry clas Bloomberg score evaluates.	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers attorn that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repart their exposure to ESG rise. I leading its industry in material and a mixed or unexceptional industry peers. I lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. I a company's relative ESG of the score ranges from 0 to 1 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = assuring a company's performant of the score range atting the company's aggreating the compan	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with high and 100	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base inher scores in sand opportunithely manage inificant ESG rise and failure to estimate and failure to estimate and informing its performant and infor	20-30 ated as a weight diveights. The sidicating better positives. It uses a athose risks relatives and opportunities significant ESG rismanage significant polementation of the significant estimates and insufficience and insufficience and insufficience of ESG risks, opposition of the significant estimates and insufficience and insuff	across 10 mant degree of trillent.)	features between 0 methodology to mittee relative to policies. It gate risks and in themes, mansparency in d impacts mance. The	
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG ating S&P Global	regulatory filing information, con reports, and quare positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's assisted believes that create sustain Designed to based on put reporting main The S&P Glocompared to	rs, news and other mpany feedback, ality & peer review outperform over of financial mat in future risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company internable value for transparently and olicity available at least Schotz Garanter Schotz Schot	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The m eriality including inform usted performance. Man higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's man laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard:	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers attain that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these anagement of financially repart their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG obto its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG are one of the industry peers according to the industry peers according to the industry peers are one of the industry	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 100 with high analysis and how well analysis	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in sand opportutible ymanage inficant ESG rismaging the most reand failure to efficient and informing its performant and informing its performant and informing its performant and informing its performant.	20-30 ated as a weight: d weights. The s dicating better process mities. It uses a those risks relati ks and opportunities significant ESG ris manage significant applementation of the significant and effectiveness are and insufficie >75 to 100 = exce of ESG risks, opportunities and Governance weighted gener	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. es esks and opportunt t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 mant degree of tr flent.) cortunities, an (ESG) performalized mean (features between 0 nethodology to nethodology to policies. It pate risks and in themes, ansparency ir d impacts	
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG ating S&P Global	regulatory filing information, con reports, and quare positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's assisted believes that create sustain Designed to based on put reporting main The S&P Glocompared to	rs, news and other mpany feedback, ality & peer review outperform over of financial mat in future risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company internable value for transparently and olicity available at least Schotz Garanter Schotz Schot	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The m eriality including inform usted performance. Man higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's man laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard: Leader: Laggard:	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers attorn that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially repart their exposure to ESG rise. I leading its industry in material and a mixed or unexceptional industry peers. I lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. I a company's relative ESG of the score ranges from 0 to 1 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = assuring a company's performant of the score range atting the company's aggreating the compan	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 100 with high analysis and how well analysis	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in sand opportutible ymanage inficant ESG rismaging the most reand failure to efficient and informing its performant and informing its performant and informing its performant and informing its performant.	20-30 ated as a weight: d weights. The s dicating better process mities. It uses a those risks relati ks and opportunities significant ESG ris manage significant applementation of the significant and effectiveness are and insufficie >75 to 100 = exce of ESG risks, opportunities and Governance weighted gener	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based m ve to peers. es esks and opportunt t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 mant degree of tr flent.) cortunities, an (ESG) performalized mean (features between 0 hethodology to hethodology to policies. It pate risks and in themes, ansparency in d impacts	
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG ating 8&P Global	regulatory filing information, con reports, and quare positioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's assisted believes that create sustain Designed to based on put reporting main The S&P Glocompared to	rs, news and other mpany feedback, ality & peer review outperform over of financial mat in future risk-adjung features with rolling quarterly atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company internable value for transparently auticity available atterial ESG data bal ESG Score its peers within	r media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer ws. Istainable companies the er the long term. The m eriality including inform usted performance. Man higher materiality and y basis. easure a company's man laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: average: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Lagga	sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers attain that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these anagement of financially repart their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG obto its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG are one of the industry peers according to the industry peers according to the industry peers are one of the industry	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma and 100 with high and 100 with high analysis and how well analysis and track record of ma d on its high exposure of the control	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base ther scores in sand opportutible ymanage inificant ESG rismaging the most reand failure to effinition and informing its performant and an anagement of the score is a cority ranking. The score ran	20-30 ated as a weight: d weights. The s dicating better process mities. It uses a those risks relati ks and opportunities significant ESG ris manage significant applementation of the significant applementat	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based move to peers. ss sks and opportunt t ESG risks their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma and degree of troportunities, and (ESG) performalized mean (com 0 to 10; 10 one to 100 for	features between 0 hethodology to he	

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION


Sureeporn Teewasuwet FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
05-May-2022	HOLD	28.00	09-Oct-2023	HOLD	22.00	18-Apr-2024	BUY	20.00
23-Sep-2022	BUY	35.00	13-Dec-2023	BUY	22.00	20-May-2024	BUY	25.00
08-May-2023	HOLD	22.30	24-Jan-2024	HOLD	20.00	08-Jul-2024	BUY	28.00

Sureeporn Teewasuwet started covering this stock from 08-May-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Charoen Pokphand Foods	CPF TB	THB 23.70	BUY	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) slow purchasing power, 2) a slower-than- expected meat price recovery, 3) higher feed costs, 4) Baht strength, and 5) a minimum wage increase and labor shortages.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 03-Apr-2025 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.