

Thailand Market Strategy

หาที่กำบังท่ามกลางสงครามการค้า

- 📱 สหรัฐฯประกาศภาษีตอบโต้การค้าสูงกว่าคาดโดยจะเรียกเก็บในอัตรา 36% จากไทย
- เราเชื่อว่าเศรษฐกิจไทยมี Downside สูง ตลาดน่าจะปรับลดประมาณการตัวเลขการเติบโตทางเศรษฐกิจของ ไทยลงเป็นต่ำกว่า 2% ใน 2Q25
- คงกลยุทธิ์ Domestic-focused โดยมีหุ้นเด่นประกอบด้วย BA BBL BTG CPALL MTC NSL PR9 SEAFCO
 และ SHR

สหรัฐฯ กำหนดภาษีนำเข้าต่างตอบแทนสูงเกินคาดที่ 36%

เมื่อวันที่ 2 เม.ย. 2024 ประธานาธิบดีโดนัลด์ ทรัมป์ได้ประกาศอัตราภาษีสินค้าตอบโต้ทั่วไปที่ 10% สำหรับสินค้า นำเข้าสู่สหรัฐฯ ทั้งหมดและเก็บภาษีนำเข้าในอัตราที่สูงขึ้นจากประเทศคู่ค้ารายใหญ่ที่สุดหลายราย สำหรับประเทศไทย สหรัฐฯจะเรียกเก็บที่ 36% สูงกว่าที่ตลาดคาดไว้ที่ประมาณ 10-20% อย่างมีนัยสำคัญ ทั้งนี้มีเพียงไม่กี่ประเทศในเอเชีย ที่ต้องเสียภาษีนำเข้าในอัตราที่สูงกว่าประเทศไทยอาทิเช่น จีน (รวมภาษีนำเข้า 20% ที่เก็บก่อนหน้า) เวียดนาม กัมพูชา พม่า ลาว ศรีลังกาและบังคลาเทศ ในขณะที่ประเทศอื่นอาทิเช่น ไต้หวัน ญี่ปุ่น อินเดีย เกาหลีใต้และมาเลเซีย ต้องจ่ายภาษีนำเข้าในอัตราที่ต่ำกว่าประมาณ 4-12%

การส่งออกและการเติบโตทางเศรษฐกิจของไทยมี Downside

ก่อนการประกาศของสหรัฐฯ กระทรวงพาณิชย์คาดว่าถ้าสหรัฐฯ เรียกเก็บภาษีนำเข้าในอัตราเดียวกันกับประเทศไทยที่ ประมาณ 10% ภาษีดังกล่าวอาจกระทบมูลค่าการส่งออกของไทยประมาณ USD7-8พันล้าน (ประมาณ 14% ของ ตัวเลขการส่งออกรวมไปยังสหรัฐฯ) นอกจากนี้กลุ่มผู้นำอุตสาหกรรมไทยยังคาดด้วยว่าภาษีดังกล่าวอาจลดการเติบโต ทางเศรษฐกิจของไทยลงประมาณ 0.2-0.6% อย่างไรก็ดีจากอัตราภาษีนำเข้าที่สูงกว่าคาดมากเราคิดว่าผลกระทบเชิง ลบต่อเศรษฐกิจไทยอาจสูงกว่าประมาณการดังกล่าว จากการคำนวนของเราตัวเลขการส่งออกของไทยไปสหรัฐฯ ที่ ลดลงทุก 1% อาจให้ Downside ประมาณ 0.1% ต่อตัวเลขการเติบโตของเศรษฐกิจไทยในขณะที่ปัจจัยอื่นคงที่ ดังนั้น เราจึงคาดว่าผลกระทบเชิงลบจากภาษีนำเข้าที่กำลังจะเรียกเก็บน่าจะฉุดเศรษฐกิจไทยลงอย่างน้อย 1% ต่อปี เราคาด ว่าตลาดจะปรับประมาณการตัวเลขการเติบโตของเศรษฐกิจไทยปี 2025 ลงจากประมาณ 2.5% ในปัจจุบันเป็นต่ำกว่า 1.5-2% ในช่วง 2Q25

กลุ่มส่งออกมีความเสี่ยงในขณะที่ Defensive และ Domestic รอด

เราคิดว่ากลุ่มส่งออกอาทิเช่น อิเล็กทรอนิกส์ อาหารสัตว์เลี้ยง ยาง อาหารและเครื่องดื่มปลายน้ำและยานยนต์จะได้รับ ผลกระทบจากภาษีนำเข้าทั้งในแง่ของการเติบโตของรายได้และกำไร ในขณะที่กลุ่ม Domestic และ Defensive อาทิ เช่น ICT การแพทย์ สาธารณูปโภค บริการก่อสร้าง พาณิชย์และการเงินน่าจะได้รับผลกระทบจำกัดและได้ประโยชน์ จากมาตรการกระตุ้นเศรษฐกิจในประเทศโดยเฉพาะจากความเป็นไปได้ที่ ธปท. จะปรับลดอัตราดอกเบี้ยนโยบายลงอีก ประมาณ 25-50 bps ในปีนี้โดยอาจเกิดขึ้นในปลายเดือน เม.ย. 2025

เลือกลงทุนท่ามกลางการชะลอตัวทางเศรษฐกิจ

เราคิดว่าเป้าหมาย SET ในปัจจุบันของเราที่ 1,390 (จาก 2025E EPS ที่ 93 บาทและ 15x PER) น่าจะมี Downside ทั้งจากกำไรต่อหุ้นและค่า Multiple ที่ลดลงจากผลกระทบจากแผ่นดินไหวและการขึ้นอัตราภาษีนำเข้าครั้งล่าสุด อย่างไรก็ดีเรากำลังรอติดตามผลประกอบการ 1Q25 ที่กำลังจะมาถึงและพัฒนาการในด้านการเจรจาทวิภาคีระหว่าง รัฐบาลไทยและสหรัฐฯ เพื่อจำกัดผลกระทบเชิงลบต่อเศรษฐกิจไทย เราคงกลยุทธ์ Domestic-focused ของเราโดยมีหุ้น เด่นประกอบด้วย BA BBL BTG CPALL MTC NSL PR9 SEAFCO และ SHR



Veeravat Virochpoka

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 047077 veeravat.v@fssia.com, +66 2646 9965

PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

Thailand Market Strategy Veeravat Virochpoka

Exhibit 1: Chart of the US' reciprocal tariffs



Source: The White House

Exhibit 2: Impact from the US' reciprocal tariffs by sector

Sectors	Companies and impacts						
Food	Revenue contribution from the US: ITC (50%), TU (30%), ASIAN (50%), AAI (67% from US & Canada), PLUS (44%), COCOCO (24%), and SAPPE (13%).						
	RBF might be indirectly impacted due to Vietnam facing a 46% US tariff. RBF derives 5% of its revenue from Vietnam, mainly from selling food coatings to shrimp exporters. The estimated impact is not more than 5%.						
	CPF, BTG, TFG, and GFPT do not export to the US, except CPF, which exports only 0.3%. There are talks about allowing US pork imports, as the US wants to sell pork in Thailand, but Thai law currently bans pork raised with ractopamine (a feed additive used in the US). While this could provide negative sentiment for pork producers (CPF, BTG, TFG), legal changes would be difficult and likely face opposition from local farmers and consumers. Further developments need to be monitored.						
Agricultural	STA has a 13% revenue contribution from the US (7% from rubber, 18% from STGT gloves).						
Electronics	Although some products including semiconductors are exempt, details are unclear on which categories qualify. Based on the definition of semiconductors, HANA (26% US sales) is the least affected as it sells 36% IC products, but 64% of sales come from PCBA, which is not exempt. DELTA (26%) and KCE (21%) are not classified under semiconductors, meaning the electronics sector faces a tariff impact.						
Industrial estate	Reciprocal tariff measures may slow foreign direct investment. Foreign investors, particularly from Japan, China, and the US, which together a for approximately half of total FDI, may hesitate to purchase land for establishing production bases. However, over the past two years, demanders investment in data centers to provide services in Thailand has increased significantly, and this sector remains unaffected. Additionally, both W AMATA currently hold record-high backlogs of over 1,500 rai each. However, Thailand still needs to accelerate trade negotiations, so further developments should be closely monitored.						
Shipping	We expect that the shipping sector, including RCL, PSL, and TTA, is likely to be impacted by a potential decline in trade volume due to higher tariffs, which could lead to a slowdown in the global economy.						

Source: FSSIA's compilation

Thailand Market Strategy Veeravat Virochpoka

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodolog	У			Rating					
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (<u>DJSI)</u> By S&P Global	process base from the ann	ed on the comunity and	transparent, rules-based i panies' Total Sustainabili al Corporate Sustainabilit anies within each industry	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.						
SET ESG Ratings List SETESG) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand	managing bu Candidates r 1) no irregula float of >150 up capital. So 70%; 2) inde wrongdoing i	usiness with tr must pass the ar trading of the shareholders ome key disquently pendent direct related to CG,	ensibility in Environmental ansparency in Governance preemptive criteria, with the board members and expanded to the combined holding mulalifying criteria include: 1 stors and free float violation, social & environmental internings in red for > 3 year	To be eligible for SETESG inclusion , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETESG Index is extended from the SET ESG Ratings companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.						
CG Score by Thai institute of Directors Association Thai IOD)	annually by t Thailand (SE	he Thai IOD,	h in sustainable developm with support from the Stor ts are from the perspective s.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).						
AGM level By Thai nvestors Association TIA) with support from he SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), and after the meeting (10%). (The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)									
Final CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. (Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)				The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.					
Morningstar Sustainalytics	The Sustainalytics' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.				A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored.					
					NEGL 0.10	Low	Medium	High	Severe	
20.5					0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+	
SG Book	positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightin	outperform o of financial m future risk-ad	sustainable companies that wer the long term. The me atteriality including informat djusted performance. Mat th higher materiality and r arly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.						
<u>MSCI</u>			measure a company's mand laggards according to t						nethodology to	
	AAA	8.571-10.00	0	nanaging the most significant ESG risks and opportunities						
	AA	7.143-8.570	Leader:	leading its industry in m	anaging the most sig	Initicant ESG ris	ks and opportunitie	es		
	Α	5.714-7.142	2							
	BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers						
	ВВ	2.857-4.285	5							
	В	1.429-2.856	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks						
	CCC	0.000-1.428		agging to industry base	sa sii ka nigii expost	and failule to	anago signinoai	00 1/383		
loody's ESG olutions	believes that	a company ir	gree to which companies to the strategrating ESG factors into or shareholders over the new strategraphs.	o its business model and						
Refinitiv ESG ating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. (Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)									
S&P Global			re is a relative score measin the same industry class				of ESG risks, op	portunities, an	d impacts	
Bloomberg	ESG Score		Bloomberg score evalua score is based on Bloom of Pillar Scores, where the	nberg's view of ESG fina	ncial materiality.	The score is a	weighted gener	ralized mean (power mean)	
	ESG Disclosure Score Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.									

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

Thailand Market Strategy Veeravat Virochpoka

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Veeravat Virochpoka FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on, unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.