

Thailand Market Strategy

Seeking shelter amid trade war

- The US announced higher-than-expected reciprocal tariffs, with 36% on Thailand.
- We believe the downside to the Thai economy is wide open. The street is likely to cut TH GDP growth to below 2% in 2Q25.
- Reaffirm our domestic-focused strategy; our top picks include BA, BBL, BTG, CPALL, MTC, NSL, PR9, SEAFCO, and SHR.

Higher-than-expected reciprocal tariff from the US of 36%

On 2 April 2024, President Donald Trump announced reciprocal tariffs of 10% baseline on all imports to the US and higher duties on many of its biggest trading partners. For Thailand, the US will impose a 36% tariff, significantly higher than the market's expectation of c10-20%. There are only a few Asian countries that are receiving higher US tariffs than Thailand, i.e. China (including the previous 20% tariffs), Vietnam, Cambodia, Myanmar, Laos, Sri Lanka, and Bangladesh. Meanwhile, other peers such as Taiwan, Japan, India, South Korea, and Malaysia have lower US tariffs by c4-12%.

Downside is open on Thai exports and GDP growth

Before the US announcement, the Ministry of Commerce expected that if the US were to impose tariffs at the same level as Thailand at c10%, it could hit the Thai export value by USD7-8b (c14% of total exports to the US). In addition, a group of Thai industry leaders anticipated that it could reduce TH GDP growth by 0.2-0.6%. However, from the much higher tariff imposed, we think the negative impact on the Thai economy might be larger than these numbers. Based on our calculation, we estimate that every 1% decrease in Thai exports to the US could result in a downside of c0.1% to Thai GDP growth, all else being equal. Hence, we anticipate that the negative effect from the upcoming tariffs should drag the Thai economy down by at least c1% on a full-year basis. We expect the market to revise down the projected TH GDP growth from c2.5% currently to below 1.5-2% in 2Q25.

Export sectors at risk, while defensive and domestic-oriented sectors survive

We think export sectors such as electronics, pet food, rubber, downstream food & beverage, and automotive, will be impacted by the tariffs in terms of both revenue growth and earnings. Meanwhile, domestic and defensive sectors, i.e. ICT, healthcare, utilities, construction services, commerce, and finance, should see a limited impact and benefit from domestic stimulus packages, especially from the Bank of Thailand's potential rate cut of another 25-50bps this year, which might come as soon as late April 2025.

Selective is key amidst economic slowdown

We think our current SET Index target of 1,390 (based on 2025E EPS of THB93 and a 15x PER) is likely to have some downside risk, both from lower EPS and a lower multiple, due to the impact of the earthquake and the latest US tariff hikes. However, we are still waiting for the upcoming 1Q25 earnings results and developments in bilateral negotiations between the Thai and US governments in order to limit the negative impact on the Thai economy. We reaffirm our domestic-focused strategy. Our top picks include BA, BBL, BTG, CPALL, MTC, NSL, PR9, SEAFCO, and SHR.



Veeravat Virochpoka
Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 047077
veeravat.v@fssia.com, +66 2646 9965

Thailand Market Strategy Veeravat Virochpoka

Exhibit 1: Chart of the US' reciprocal tariffs



Source: The White House

Exhibit 2: Impact from the US' reciprocal tariffs by sector

Sectors	Companies and impacts						
Food	Revenue contribution from the US: ITC (50%), TU (30%), ASIAN (50%), AAI (67% from US & Canada), PLUS (44%), COCOCO (24%), and SAPPE (13%).						
	RBF might be indirectly impacted due to Vietnam facing a 46% US tariff. RBF derives 5% of its revenue from Vietnam, mainly from selling food coatings to shrimp exporters. The estimated impact is not more than 5%.						
	CPF, BTG, TFG, and GFPT do not export to the US, except CPF, which exports only 0.3%. There are talks about allowing US pork imports, as the US wants to sell pork in Thailand, but Thai law currently bans pork raised with ractopamine (a feed additive used in the US). While this could provide negative sentiment for pork producers (CPF, BTG, TFG), legal changes would be difficult and likely face opposition from local farmers and consumers. Further developments need to be monitored.						
Agricultural	STA has a 13% revenue contribution from the US (7% from rubber, 18% from STGT gloves).						
Electronics	Although some products including semiconductors are exempt, details are unclear on which categories qualify. Based on the definition of semiconductors, HANA (26% US sales) is the least affected as it sells 36% IC products, but 64% of sales come from PCBA, which is not exempt. DELTA (26%) and KCE (21%) are not classified under semiconductors, meaning the electronics sector faces a tariff impact.						
Industrial estate	Reciprocal tariff measures may slow foreign direct investment. Foreign investors, particularly from Japan, China, and the US, which together account for approximately half of total FDI, may hesitate to purchase land for establishing production bases. However, over the past two years, demand for investment in data centers to provide services in Thailand has increased significantly, and this sector remains unaffected. Additionally, both WHA and AMATA currently hold record-high backlogs of over 1,500 rai each. However, Thailand still needs to accelerate trade negotiations, so further developments should be closely monitored.						
Shipping	We expect that the shipping sector, including RCL, PSL, and TTA, is likely to be impacted by a potential decline in trade volume due to higher tariffs, which could lead to a slowdown in the global economy.						

Source: FSSIA's compilation

Thailand Market Strategy Veeravat Virochpoka

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodolog	у			Rating					
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (<u>DJSI</u>) By S&P Global	process bas from the anr Only the top inclusion.	sed on the com nual S&P Glob -ranked comp	transparent, rules-based on panies' Total Sustainability al Corporate Sustainability anies within each industry	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.						
SET ESG Ratings List (SETESG) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	managing b Candidates 1) no irregul float of >150 up capital. S 70%; 2) inde wrongdoing	usiness with tr must pass the lar trading of th shareholders some key disque ependent direct related to CG,	possibility in Environmental ransparency in Governance preemptive criteria, with the board members and es, and combined holding mulalifying criteria include: 1 ctors and free float violation, social & environmental in parnings in red for > 3 year	To be eligible for <u>SETESG inclusion</u> , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. <u>SETESG Index</u> is extended from the SET ESG Ratings companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.						
CG Score by Thai institute of Directors Association Thai IOD)	annually by Thailand (SI	the Thai IOD,	th in sustainable developm with support from the Stor ts are from the perspective s.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).						
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). (The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)									
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption CAC)	establishme policies. The (Companies of Declaration of Certification, in managers and	ent of key contr e Certification in leciding to becon f Intent to kick off including risk ass	Checklist include corruption of the monitoring and the monitoring are is good for three years. The a CAC certified member stef an 18-month deadline to subsessment, in place of policy and tablishment of whistleblowing of the stakeholders.)	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.						
Morningstar Sustainalytics	The Sustainalytics' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.				A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored.					
					NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	
					0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+	
SG Book	positioned to the principle helps explai over-weighti	o outperform o of financial m n future risk-ad	sustainable companies the over the long term. The me atteriality including informa djusted performance. Mate ith higher materiality and r erly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.						
<u>MSCI</u>			measure a company's ma nd laggards according to t						nethodology to	
	AAA	8.571-10.00	0			·r . ==== :				
	AA	7.143-8.570	Leader:	leading its industry in m	anaging the most si	yınıncarın ESG fis	къ ани орропипіте	5 5		
	Α	5.714-7.142	2		aminal an unavantional track around of managing the most direction to CO district and around 100 districts.					
	BBB	4.286-5.713	3 Average:	a mixed or unexception industry peers	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers					
	ВВ	2.857-4.285	5							
	В	1.429-2.856	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks						
	CCC	0.000-1.428	3 33							
Moody's ESG solutions	believes tha	t a company ir	gree to which companies to integrating ESG factors into or shareholders over the n	o its business model and	,		•	0,	•	
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. (Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)									
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.									
Bloomberg	ESG Score		Bloomberg score evalua score is based on Bloom of Pillar Scores, where the	nberg's view of ESG fina	ncial materiality.	The score is a	weighted gener	ralized mean (power mean)	
	ESG Disclosure Score Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.									

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

Thailand Market Strategy Veeravat Virochpoka

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Veeravat Virochpoka FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on, unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months. **Neutral.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.