

GFPT GFPT TB

THAILAND / AGRIBUSINESS

BUY

UNCHANGED

Good chicken price, good 1Q profit

- 4Q24 profit was below expectations due to the low season and a significant FX loss from JV.
- Expect a recovery in 1Q25 profit, driven by chicken prices.
- The share price is trading at only 7x. We suggest BUY for speculative gains on chicken prices.

| | |
|-----------------|-----------|
| TARGET PRICE | THB12.50 |
| CLOSE | THB9.15 |
| UP/DOWNSIDE | +36.6% |
| PRIOR TP | THB12.50 |
| CHANGE IN TP | UNCHANGED |
| TP vs CONSENSUS | +3.0% |

KEY STOCK DATA

| YE Dec (THB m) | 2024 | 2025E | 2026E | 2027E |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Revenue | 19,314 | 18,773 | 19,637 | 20,501 |
| Net profit | 2,070 | 1,564 | 1,661 | 1,779 |
| EPS (THB) | 1.65 | 1.25 | 1.32 | 1.42 |
| vs Consensus (%) | - | (21.3) | (9.3) | (8.0) |
| EBITDA | 3,115 | 2,941 | 3,090 | 3,206 |
| Recurring net profit | 2,033 | 1,564 | 1,661 | 1,779 |
| Core EPS (THB) | 1.62 | 1.25 | 1.32 | 1.42 |
| Chg. In EPS est. (%) | nm | 0.0 | 0.0 | nm |
| EPS growth (%) | 47.7 | (23.1) | 6.2 | 7.1 |
| Core P/E (x) | 5.6 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 6.5 |
| Dividend yield (%) | 2.3 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.9 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 4.6 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 |
| Price/book (x) | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Net debt/Equity (%) | 13.7 | 5.0 | (1.6) | (10.2) |
| ROE (%) | 11.0 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 7.9 |

4Q24 profit was below expectations

GFPT posted a 4Q24 net profit of THB383m (-29% q-q, -6.7% y-y). Excluding an FX gain of THB17m and derivative gain of THB55n, the core profit was THB311m (-45% q-q, -23% y-y), which was below expectations. The reasons were 1) total revenue dropped q-q due to seasonal factors, especially a sharp drop in chicken carcass prices (-14% q-q); 2) gross margin declined to 12.8% from 15.5% in 3Q24; 3) a significant drop in profit sharing (-43% q-q, -40% y-y), with McKey seeing a substantial decline, primarily due to FX loss, despite an increase in chicken export volume (+9% q-q).

Targeting growth in 2025, despite a high base in 2024


GFPT's growth targets for 2025 are as follows: 1) total revenue growth of 2-3% y-y; 2) gross margin at 13-14%, close to 13.8% in 2024, despite the impact of minimum wage increases (after the minimum wage in Chonburi rises to THB400/day); 3) SG&A to sales ratio at 8-8.5%, flat y-y; and 4) capex of THB1b-1.2b, with the expansion of a new slaughterhouse, expected to begin commercial operations in 1H26.

Maintain our conservative projection in 2025

We expect 1Q25 profit to recover q-q due to higher chicken prices. In particular, 1QTD live chicken prices grew by 12% q-q to THB43-44/kg, while chicken carcass prices surged by 28% q-q to THB16/kg. We also anticipate a strong q-q profit-sharing recovery, as McKey is unlikely to face an FX loss. However, GFPT guided that chicken export volume in 1Q25 may decrease to 8,000-8,500 tonnes, down from 9,800 tonnes in 4Q24. Over the past two weeks (Feb 10-19), we have seen a rapid decline in the price of chicken carcasses to THB16-17/kg, from THB20-22/kg in January, likely due to demand factors. Nonetheless, the current 1QTD prices still align with our assumptions.

Retain BUY for speculative gains on chicken prices

We maintain our 2025E net profit at THB1.56b (-21% y-y), based on slightly lower chicken export volumes and a decline in live chicken prices by 5% and chicken carcasses by 9.7%. Therefore, we keep our TP at THB12.5 (based on a PE of 10x). With the stock price having dropped significantly, it is now trading at a 2025E PE of only 7x, and given the current strength in chicken prices, we maintain BUY for speculative gains on chicken prices. GFPT announced 2024 DPS of THB0.2/shr, yielding 2.2%



| Share price performance | 1 Month | 3 Month | 12 Month |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|----------|
| Absolute (%) | (6.6) | (15.3) | (21.8) |
| Relative to country (%) | 0.5 | (0.5) | (13.3) |
| Mkt cap (USD m) | 341 | | |
| 3m avg. daily turnover (USD m) | 0.5 | | |
| Free float (%) | 58 | | |
| Major shareholder | Sirimongkolkasem Family (52%) | | |
| 12m high/low (THB) | 13.40/8.20 | | |
| Issued shares (m) | 1,253.82 | | |

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates


Sureeporn Teewasuwet

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 040694
 sureeporn.t@fssia.com, +66 2646 9972

Investment thesis

GFPT is doubling the capacity of its slaughterhouse from 150,000 to 300,000 chickens per day. It should begin operating from 2H24 onwards, partly to cope with the processing capacity expansion of its associate McKey. GFPT is also preparing to expand its processing plant to cope with export growth since it currently runs at a 100% utilization rate.


The situation for chicken exports in 2024 appears to be quite optimistic, supporting both the volume of exports of GFPT and its associates, such as GFN and McKey. However, we have relatively conservative assumptions for our 2025 net profit forecast, partly because we have started seeing price decreases in live chicken and chicken carcasses. We will also need to monitor the chicken price trends.

Company profile

GFPT operates a vertical, fully integrated poultry business covering feed production, grandparent farms, breeder farms, broiler farms, production and distribution of chicken meat, cooked chicken products, and other chicken-related products under its own and customers' brands for both domestic and export markets. In 2022, GFPT had a chicken processing capacity of 150,000 tonnes/year and a cooked chicken product capacity of 30,000 tonnes/year.


www.gfpt.co.th

Principal activities (revenue, 2024)



Source: GFPT

Major shareholders



Source: GFPT

Catalysts

Potential catalysts for GFPT's earnings growth in 2025 are 1) chicken export volume growth; 2) a higher-than-expected chicken price; 3) the operation of a new slaughterhouse; and 4) lower raw material costs.

Risks to our call

Downside and upside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a slower or faster-than-expected consumption recovery; 2) a slower or faster-than-expected decrease in feed costs; 3) a stronger or weaker-than-expected THB; and 4) a minimum wage increase and/or a labor shortage.

Event calendar

| Date | Event |
|----------|---------------------------|
| May 2025 | 1Q25 results announcement |

Key assumptions

| | 2025E | 2026E | 2027E |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Live chicken price (THB/kg) | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Chicken carcass price (THB/kg) | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| Total sales growth (%) | (2.8) | 4.6 | 4.4 |
| Gross margin (%) | 12.7 | 12.8 | 12.9 |
| SG&A to sales (%) | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 |

Source: FSSIA estimates

Earnings sensitivity

- For every 1% increase in revenue, we estimate 2025 net profit to rise by 0.8%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 0.5% increase in GPM, we estimate 2025 net profit to rise by 4.1%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 0.5% increase in SG&A, we estimate 2025 net profit to fall by 3.8%, and vice versa, all else being equal.


Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 1: GFPT – 4Q24 earnings results

| | 4Q23 | 1Q24 | 2Q24 | 3Q24 | 4Q24 | ----- Change ----- | | 2023 | 2024 | Change |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|
| | (THB m) | (THB m) | (THB m) | (THB m) | (THB m) | (q-q%) | (y-y%) | (THB m) | (THB m) | (y-y%) |
| Sales | 4,797 | 4,527 | 4,839 | 5,051 | 4,898 | (3.0) | 2.1 | 18,963 | 19,314 | 1.9 |
| Cost of sales | 4,201 | 3,959 | 4,149 | 4,266 | 4,271 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 16,749 | 16,645 | (0.6) |
| Gross profit | 596 | 568 | 689 | 785 | 627 | (20.1) | 5.2 | 2,213 | 2,669 | 20.6 |
| SG&A | 378 | 369 | 384 | 413 | 404 | (2.1) | 6.9 | 1,495 | 1,570 | 5.0 |
| Operating profit | 218 | 199 | 305 | 372 | 223 | (40.1) | 2.2 | 718 | 1,099 | 53.1 |
| Profit sharing | 198 | 232 | 278 | 206 | 119 | (42.6) | (40.1) | 535 | 834 | 56.1 |
| McKey | 133 | 141 | 141 | 150 | 82 | (45.4) | (38.5) | 412 | 513 | 24.5 |
| GFN | 65 | 91 | 137 | 57 | 37 | (35.2) | (43.3) | 122 | 321 | 162.7 |
| Interest expense | 27 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 28 | (10.4) | 0.6 | 110 | 119 | 8.5 |
| Tax expense | 63 | 51 | 69 | 66 | 80 | 20.5 | 26.2 | 192 | 266 | 38.2 |
| Other gain (loss) | 8 | 25 | 21 | (22) | 72 | nm | nm | 84 | 96 | nm |
| Reported net profit | 410 | 466 | 583 | 542 | 383 | (29.3) | (6.7) | 1,377 | 1,974 | 43.4 |
| Core profit | 403 | 441 | 562 | 564 | 311 | (44.9) | (22.8) | 1,292 | 1,877 | 45.3 |
| Key ratios (%) | | | | | | (ppt) | (ppt) | | | |
| Gross margin | 12.4 | 12.6 | 14.2 | 15.5 | 12.8 | (2.7) | 0.4 | 11.7 | 13.8 | 2.1 |
| SG&A to sales | 7.9 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 0.2 |
| Operating margin | 4.5 | 4.4 | 6.3 | 7.4 | 4.5 | (2.8) | 0.0 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 1.9 |
| Net margin | 8.6 | 10.3 | 12.1 | 10.7 | 7.8 | (2.9) | (0.7) | 7.3 | 10.2 | 3.0 |
| Core margin | 8.4 | 9.7 | 11.6 | 11.2 | 6.3 | (4.8) | (2.1) | 6.8 | 9.7 | 2.9 |
| Operating statistics (THB/kg) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Live chicken | 37.8 | 42.5 | 44.0 | 43.0 | 38.2 | (11.2) | 1.1 | 39.5 | 42.1 | 6.7 |
| Chicken carcass | 13.5 | 16.5 | 16.0 | 14.5 | 12.5 | (13.8) | (7.4) | 14.8 | 14.4 | (2.7) |
| Chicken export price (USD/tonne) | 4,550 | 4,350 | 4,300 | 4,400 | 4,400 | 0.0 | (3.3) | 4,550 | 4,450 | (2.2) |
| Corn | 10.5 | 10.4 | 11.1 | 11.8 | 10.1 | (14.4) | (3.8) | 12.1 | 10.9 | (9.5) |
| Soybean meal | 21.2 | 21.4 | 20.7 | 19.3 | 18.5 | (4.1) | (12.7) | 22.7 | 19.7 | (13.3) |
| Chicken export volume (tonnes) | 7,700 | 8,300 | 9,000 | 9,500 | 9,800 | 3.2 | 27.3 | 29,300 | 36,000 | 22.9 |
| Indirect export volume (tonnes) | 4,700 | 5,100 | 4,600 | 4,900 | 4,600 | (6.1) | (2.1) | 17,900 | 19,100 | 6.7 |
| Chicken domestic volume (tonnes) | 16,100 | 15,000 | 15,100 | 15,700 | 15,300 | (2.5) | (5.0) | 61,000 | 60,900 | (0.2) |


Sources: GFPT, FSSIA 's compilation

Exhibit 2: Quarterly chicken export volumes




Sources: GFPT, FSSIA 's compilation


Exhibit 3: Quarterly chicken export prices




Sources: GFPT, FSSIA 's compilation

Exhibit 4: Quarterly domestic chicken prices (THB/kg)


Sources: GFPT, FSSIA 's compilation

Exhibit 5: Quarterly chicken carcass prices (THB/kg)


Sources: GFPT, FSSIA 's compilation

Exhibit 6: Quarterly soybean meal prices (THB/kg)


Sources: GFPT, FSSIA 's compilation

Exhibit 7: Quarterly corn prices (THB/kg)

Sources: GFPT, FSSIA 's compilation

Exhibit 8: Quarterly total revenue and gross margin

Sources: GFPT, FSSIA 's compilation

Exhibit 9: Quarterly profit sharing from associate companies

Sources: GFPT, FSSIA 's compilation

Exhibit 10: Maintain key assumptions for GFPT

| | Current | | | Growth | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2025E (THB m) | 2026E (THB m) | 2027E (THB m) | 2025E (%) | 2026E (%) | 2027E (%) |
| Total revenue | 18,773 | 19,637 | 20,501 | (2.8) | 4.6 | 4.4 |
| Costs | 16,389 | 17,123 | 17,856 | (1.5) | 4.5 | 4.3 |
| Gross profit | 2,384 | 2,514 | 2,645 | (10.7) | 5.4 | 5.2 |
| SG&A expenses | 1,521 | 1,591 | 1,661 | (3.1) | 4.6 | 4.4 |
| Profit sharing | 694 | 727 | 788 | (16.8) | 4.8 | 8.4 |
| Reported net profit | 1,564 | 1,661 | 1,779 | (20.8) | 6.2 | 7.1 |
| Core profit | 1,564 | 1,661 | 1,779 | (16.7) | 6.2 | 7.1 |
| Key ratios (%) | | | | | | |
| Gross margin | 12.7 | 12.8 | 12.9 | (1.1) | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| SG&A to sales | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Net margin | 8.3 | 8.5 | 8.7 | (1.9) | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Operating statistics (THB/kg) | | | | | | |
| Live chicken | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | (5.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Chicken carcass | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | (9.7) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Corn | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | (8.3) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soybean meal | 19.5 | 19.0 | 18.0 | (1.0) | (2.6) | (5.3) |

Source: FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

GFPT

| Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec | 2023 | 2024 | 2025E | 2026E | 2027E |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenue | 18,963 | 19,314 | 18,773 | 19,637 | 20,501 |
| Cost of goods sold | (16,749) | (16,645) | (16,389) | (17,123) | (17,856) |
| Gross profit | 2,213 | 2,669 | 2,384 | 2,514 | 2,645 |
| Other operating income | 349 | 342 | 329 | 344 | 349 |
| Operating costs | (1,495) | (1,570) | (1,521) | (1,591) | (1,661) |
| Operating EBITDA | 2,577 | 3,115 | 2,941 | 3,090 | 3,206 |
| Depreciation | (1,511) | (1,674) | (1,749) | (1,824) | (1,874) |
| Goodwill amortisation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operating EBIT | 1,067 | 1,441 | 1,192 | 1,267 | 1,333 |
| Net financing costs | 4 | (61) | (128) | (125) | (122) |
| Associates | 535 | 834 | 694 | 727 | 788 |
| Recurring non-operating income | 505 | 872 | 694 | 727 | 788 |
| Non-recurring items | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Profit before tax | 1,575 | 2,291 | 1,758 | 1,868 | 1,999 |
| Tax | (192) | (266) | (181) | (194) | (206) |
| Profit after tax | 1,383 | 2,025 | 1,577 | 1,674 | 1,793 |
| Minority interests | (6) | (13) | (13) | (14) | (14) |
| Preferred dividends | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other items | - | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reported net profit | 1,377 | 2,070 | 1,564 | 1,661 | 1,779 |
| Non-recurring items & goodwill (net) | 0 | (38) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recurring net profit | 1,377 | 2,033 | 1,564 | 1,661 | 1,779 |
| Per share (THB) | | | | | |
| Recurring EPS * | 1.10 | 1.62 | 1.25 | 1.32 | 1.42 |
| Reported EPS | 1.10 | 1.65 | 1.25 | 1.32 | 1.42 |
| DPS | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.35 |
| Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data) | 1,254 | 1,254 | 1,254 | 1,254 | 1,254 |
| Growth | | | | | |
| Revenue (%) | 4.1 | 1.9 | (2.8) | 4.6 | 4.4 |
| Operating EBITDA (%) | (13.3) | 20.9 | (5.6) | 5.1 | 3.8 |
| Operating EBIT (%) | (35.4) | 35.1 | (17.3) | 6.2 | 5.2 |
| Recurring EPS (%) | (32.7) | 47.7 | (23.1) | 6.2 | 7.1 |
| Reported EPS (%) | (32.7) | 50.4 | (24.5) | 6.2 | 7.1 |
| Operating performance | | | | | |
| Gross margin inc. depreciation (%) | 11.7 | 13.8 | 12.7 | 12.8 | 12.9 |
| Gross margin exc. depreciation (%) | 19.6 | 22.5 | 22.0 | 22.1 | 22.0 |
| Operating EBITDA margin (%) | 13.6 | 16.1 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 15.6 |
| Operating EBIT margin (%) | 5.6 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.5 |
| Net margin (%) | 7.3 | 10.5 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 8.7 |
| Effective tax rate (%) | 12.2 | 11.6 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 10.3 |
| Dividend payout on recurring profit (%) | 14.6 | 13.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Interest cover (X) | (391.6) | 38.1 | 14.7 | 15.9 | 17.4 |
| Inventory days | 85.8 | 89.5 | 85.6 | 82.1 | 82.1 |
| Debtor days | 19.9 | 20.1 | 20.4 | 19.6 | 19.6 |
| Creditor days | 31.8 | 33.3 | 28.8 | 27.4 | 27.4 |
| Operating ROIC (%) | 5.3 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 6.7 |
| ROIC (%) | 5.9 | 8.3 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 7.8 |
| ROE (%) | 8.1 | 11.0 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
| ROA (%) | 5.7 | 8.1 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 6.9 |

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted

| Revenue by Division (THB m) | 2023 | 2024 | 2025E | 2026E | 2027E |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| Food | 9,107 | 9,604 | 10,132 | 10,689 | 11,277 |
| Farm | 6,566 | 6,435 | 6,612 | 6,863 | 7,074 |
| Feed | 3,306 | 3,275 | 3,380 | 3,495 | 3,621 |

Sources: GFPT; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

GFPT

| Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec | 2023 | 2024 | 2025E | 2026E | 2027E |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Recurring net profit | 1,377 | 2,033 | 1,564 | 1,661 | 1,779 |
| Depreciation | 1,511 | 1,674 | 1,749 | 1,824 | 1,874 |
| Associates & minorities | (535) | (834) | (694) | (727) | (788) |
| Other non-cash items | (144) | (21) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Change in working capital | 23 | (93) | 361 | (157) | (156) |
| Cash flow from operations | 2,231 | 2,758 | 2,979 | 2,601 | 2,708 |
| Capex - maintenance | (2,651) | (2,411) | (1,484) | (1,542) | (1,042) |
| Capex - new investment | - | - | - | - | - |
| Net acquisitions & disposals | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other investments (net) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cash flow from investing | (2,651) | (2,411) | (1,484) | (1,542) | (1,042) |
| Dividends paid | (251) | (232) | (391) | (415) | (445) |
| Equity finance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Debt finance | 1,016 | 422 | (272) | (17) | 44 |
| Other financing cash flows | 6 | 13 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Cash flow from financing | 772 | 203 | (666) | (435) | (403) |
| Non-recurring cash flows | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other adjustments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net other adjustments | 0 | (59) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Movement in cash | 352 | 491 | 829 | 624 | 1,263 |
| Free cash flow to firm (FCFF) | (310.00) | 466.56 | 1,623.97 | 1,184.20 | 1,787.92 |
| Free cash flow to equity (FCFE) | 602.26 | 723.04 | 1,220.38 | 1,039.17 | 1,707.20 |
| Per share (THB) | | | | | |
| FCFF per share | (0.25) | 0.37 | 1.30 | 0.94 | 1.43 |
| FCFE per share | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.97 | 0.83 | 1.36 |
| Recurring cash flow per share | 1.76 | 2.27 | 2.09 | 2.20 | 2.28 |

| Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec | 2023 | 2024 | 2025E | 2026E | 2027E |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Tangible fixed assets (gross) | 23,142 | 24,467 | 26,215 | 28,039 | 29,913 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | (10,617) | (11,283) | (13,280) | (15,427) | (18,174) |
| Tangible fixed assets (net) | 12,525 | 13,184 | 12,935 | 12,612 | 11,738 |
| Intangible fixed assets (net) | 58 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 |
| Long-term financial assets | - | - | - | - | - |
| Invest. in associates & subsidiaries | 4,774 | 4,839 | 4,839 | 4,839 | 4,839 |
| Cash & equivalents | 1,610 | 2,101 | 2,931 | 3,555 | 4,817 |
| A/C receivable | 1,057 | 1,071 | 1,029 | 1,076 | 1,123 |
| Inventories | 3,840 | 3,500 | 3,368 | 3,518 | 3,669 |
| Other current assets | 591 | 673 | 563 | 589 | 615 |
| Current assets | 7,098 | 7,345 | 7,890 | 8,738 | 10,225 |
| Other assets | 877 | 899 | 882 | 923 | 964 |
| Total assets | 25,333 | 26,317 | 26,597 | 27,164 | 27,818 |
| Common equity | 17,605 | 19,347 | 20,520 | 21,765 | 23,099 |
| Minorities etc. | 133 | 146 | 143 | 140 | 137 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 17,738 | 19,493 | 20,662 | 21,905 | 23,236 |
| Long term debt | 3,373 | 3,792 | 2,573 | 1,956 | 1,339 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 686 | 461 | 469 | 491 | 513 |
| Long-term liabilities | 4,058 | 4,253 | 3,042 | 2,447 | 1,852 |
| A/C payable | 1,545 | 1,191 | 1,123 | 1,173 | 1,223 |
| Short term debt | 1,798 | 972 | 1,395 | 1,246 | 1,097 |
| Other current liabilities | 193 | 408 | 375 | 393 | 410 |
| Current liabilities | 3,536 | 2,571 | 2,893 | 2,812 | 2,730 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | 25,333 | 26,317 | 26,597 | 27,164 | 27,818 |
| Net working capital | 3,750 | 3,645 | 3,461 | 3,618 | 3,774 |
| Invested capital | 21,985 | 22,617 | 22,169 | 22,043 | 21,368 |

* Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being treated as debt

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Per share (THB) | | | | | |
| Book value per share | 14.04 | 15.43 | 16.37 | 17.36 | 18.42 |
| Tangible book value per share | 13.99 | 15.39 | 16.33 | 17.32 | 18.38 |
| Financial strength | | | | | |
| Net debt/equity (%) | 20.1 | 13.7 | 5.0 | (1.6) | (10.2) |
| Net debt/total assets (%) | 14.1 | 10.1 | 3.9 | (1.3) | (8.6) |
| Current ratio (x) | 2.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.7 |
| CF interest cover (x) | (149.1) | 12.9 | 10.5 | 9.3 | 15.0 |

| Valuation | 2023 | 2024 | 2025E | 2026E | 2027E |
|---|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| Recurring P/E (x) * | 8.3 | 5.6 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 6.5 |
| Recurring P/E @ target price (x) * | 11.4 | 7.7 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 8.8 |
| Reported P/E (x) | 8.3 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 6.5 |
| Dividend yield (%) | 1.7 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.9 |
| Price/book (x) | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Price/tangible book (x) | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) ** | 5.9 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 |
| EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) ** | 7.5 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 4.2 |
| EV/invested capital (x) | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 |

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted ** EBITDA includes associate income and recurring non-operating income

Sources: GFPT; FSSIA estimates

GFPT (GFPT TB)

FSSIA ESG rating


50.93 /100

Exhibit 11: FSSIA ESG score implication

| Rating | Score | Implication |
|--------|---------|--|
| ★★★★★ | >79-100 | Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability. |
| ★★★★☆ | >59-79 | A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers. |
| ★★★☆☆ | >39-59 | Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually. |
| ★★☆☆☆ | >19-39 | Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable. |
| ★☆☆☆☆ | 1-19 | The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC. |

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 12: ESG – peer comparison

| | FSSIA ESG score | Domestic ratings | | | | | | Global ratings | | | | | Bloomberg | | |
|----------|-----------------------|------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------|------|---------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | | DJSI | SET ESG | SET ESG Rating | CG score | AGM level | Thai CAC | Morningstar ESG risk | ESG Book | MSCI | Moody's | Refinitiv | S&P Global | ESG score | Disclosure score |
| SET100 | 67.71 | 5.69 | 4.38 | 4.05 | 4.77 | 4.43 | 4.02 | Medium | 57.34 | BBB | 22.70 | 60.82 | 67.31 | 1.19 | 35.34 |
| Coverage | 66.17 | 5.16 | 4.33 | 3.94 | 4.81 | 4.43 | 3.83 | Medium | 56.41 | BBB | 18.92 | 59.20 | 65.82 | 1.38 | 35.46 |
| BTG | 30.98 | -- | -- | -- | 5.00 | 4.00 | Certified | High | -- | BB | -- | -- | -- | -- | 57.48 |
| CPF | 81.76 | Y | Y | AAA | 5.00 | 5.00 | Certified | Medium | 71.84 | BBB | 44.00 | 66.97 | 85.00 | 4.43 | 67.93 |
| GFPT | 50.93 | -- | Y | BBB | 5.00 | 5.00 | Certified | High | -- | -- | -- | 62.77 | 24.00 | 4.22 | 57.60 |
| TU | 69.84 | Y | -- | -- | 5.00 | 5.00 | Certified | High | 56.97 | A | 41.00 | 74.24 | 85.00 | -- | 77.68 |
| TFG | 34.05 | -- | -- | A | 5.00 | 5.00 | Certified | High | -- | -- | -- | 42.43 | -- | -- | -- |

Sources: [SETTRADE.com](https://www.settrade.com); FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 13: ESG score by Bloomberg

| FY ending Dec 31 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | FY 2023 |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score | 3.06 | 3.32 | 4.22 |
| BESG environmental pillar score | 2.18 | 2.73 | 3.88 |
| BESG social pillar score | 3.36 | 3.42 | 4.58 |
| BESG governance pillar score | 4.20 | 4.22 | 4.19 |
| ESG disclosure score | 54.93 | 57.60 | 57.60 |
| Environmental disclosure score | 44.79 | 52.79 | 52.79 |
| Social disclosure score | 36.31 | 36.31 | 36.31 |
| Governance disclosure score | 83.59 | 83.59 | 83.59 |
| Environmental | | | |
| Emissions reduction initiatives | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Climate change policy | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Climate change opportunities discussed | No | No | No |
| Risks of climate change discussed | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| GHG scope 1 | — | 21 | 18 |
| GHG scope 2 location-based | — | 31 | 32 |
| GHG Scope 3 | — | — | — |
| Carbon per unit of production | — | — | — |
| Biodiversity policy | No | No | No |
| Energy efficiency policy | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Total energy consumption | — | 118 | 114 |
| Renewable energy use | — | — | — |
| Electricity used | 63 | 63 | 64 |
| Fuel used - natural gas | — | 176,666 | 162,480 |

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 14: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

| FY ending Dec 31 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | FY 2023 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Fuel used - crude oil/diesel | No | No | No |
| Waste reduction policy | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Hazardous waste | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total waste | 22 | 21 | 22 |
| Waste recycled | — | — | — |
| Waste sent to landfills | — | — | — |
| Environmental supply chain management | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Water policy | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Water consumption | — | — | — |
| Social | | | |
| Human rights policy | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Policy against child labor | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Quality assurance and recall policy | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Consumer data protection policy | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Equal opportunity policy | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Gender pay gap breakout | No | No | No |
| Pct women in workforce | 54 | 54 | 54 |
| Pct disabled in workforce | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Business ethics policy | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Anti-bribery ethics policy | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Health and safety policy | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Lost time incident rate - employees | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total recordable incident rate - employees | — | — | — |
| Training policy | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Fair remuneration policy | No | No | No |
| Number of employees – CSR | 4,906 | 5,367 | 5,525 |
| Employee turnover pct | 3 | 21 | 8 |
| Total hours spent by firm - employee training | 490,610 | 719,841 | 890,950 |
| Social supply chain management | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Governance | | | |
| Board size | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| No. of independent directors (ID) | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| No. of women on board | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| No. of non-executive directors on board | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Company conducts board evaluations | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No. of board meetings for the year | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Board meeting attendance pct | 100 | 99 | 75 |
| Board duration (years) | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Director share ownership guidelines | No | No | No |
| Age of the youngest director | 51 | 52 | 53 |
| Age of the oldest director | 81 | 82 | 83 |
| No. of executives / company managers | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| No. of female executives | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Executive share ownership guidelines | No | No | No |
| Size of audit committee | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| No. of ID on audit committee | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Audit committee meetings | 4 | 4 | 7 |
| Audit meeting attendance % | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Size of compensation committee | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| No. of ID on compensation committee | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| No. of compensation committee meetings | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Compensation meeting attendance % | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Size of nomination committee | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| No. of nomination committee meetings | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Nomination meeting attendance % | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Sustainability governance | | | |
| Verification type | No | No | No |

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

| ESG score | Methodology | Rating | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--------------|---------|---|--------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|----------|---|----|-------------|---|-------------|-----|-------------|----------|---|
| The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global | The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion. | Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SET ESG Ratings List (SETESG) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET) | SET ESG quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years. | To be eligible for SETESG inclusion , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETESG Index is extended from the SET ESG Ratings companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD) | An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations. | Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC | It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i> | The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC) | The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i> | The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Morningstar Sustainabilitycs | The Sustainabilitycs' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.</i> | A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table><tr><th>NEGL</th><th>Low</th><th>Medium</th><th>High</th><th>Severe</th></tr><tr><td>0-10</td><td>10-20</td><td>20-30</td><td>30-40</td><td>40+</td></tr></table> | NEGL | Low | Medium | High | Severe | 0-10 | 10-20 | 20-30 | 30-40 | 40+ | | | | | | | | | | |
| NEGL | Low | Medium | High | Severe | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-10 | 10-20 | 20-30 | 30-40 | 40+ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ESG Book | The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis. | The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MSCI | MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table><tr><td>AAA</td><td>8.571-10.000</td><td rowspan="3">Leader:</td><td rowspan="3">leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td></tr><tr><td>AA</td><td>7.143-8.570</td></tr><tr><td>A</td><td>5.714-7.142</td></tr><tr><td>BBB</td><td>4.286-5.713</td><td rowspan="3">Average:</td><td rowspan="3">a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td></tr><tr><td>BB</td><td>2.857-4.285</td></tr><tr><td>B</td><td>1.429-2.856</td></tr><tr><td>CCC</td><td>0.000-1.428</td><td>Laggard:</td><td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td></tr></table> | | AAA | 8.571-10.000 | Leader: | leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities | AA | 7.143-8.570 | A | 5.714-7.142 | BBB | 4.286-5.713 | Average: | a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers | BB | 2.857-4.285 | B | 1.429-2.856 | CCC | 0.000-1.428 | Laggard: | lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks |
| AAA | 8.571-10.000 | Leader: | leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA | 7.143-8.570 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A | 5.714-7.142 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BBB | 4.286-5.713 | Average: | a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BB | 2.857-4.285 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | 1.429-2.856 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CCC | 0.000-1.428 | Laggard: | lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Moody's ESG solutions | Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Refinitiv ESG rating | Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S&P Global | The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bloomberg | ESG Score | Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bloomberg | ESG Disclosure Score | Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

[Rating](#) regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "**CG Score**"; 2) "**AGM Level**"; 3) "**Thai CAC**"; and 4) **THSI**. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Sureeporn Teewasuwet FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd


The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price

GFPT (GFPT TB)



| Date | Rating | Target price | Date | Rating | Target price | Date | Rating | Target price |
|-------------|--------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------|
| 03-Feb-2023 | BUY | 18.00 | 18-Oct-2023 | BUY | 14.20 | 25-Oct-2024 | HOLD | 12.50 |
| 08-May-2023 | BUY | 12.40 | 21-Jun-2024 | BUY | 15.00 | 10-Jan-2025 | BUY | 12.50 |
| 03-Jul-2023 | BUY | 15.20 | 18-Jul-2024 | BUY | 17.00 | | | |

Sureeporn Teewasuwet started covering this stock from 03-Feb-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

| Company | Ticker | Price | Rating | Valuation & Risks |
|---------|---------|----------|--------|---|
| GFPT | GFPT TB | THB 9.15 | BUY | Downside and upside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a slower or faster-than-expected consumption recovery; 2) a slower or faster-than-expected decrease in feed costs; 3) a stronger or weaker-than-expected THB; and 4) a minimum wage increase and/or a labour shortage. |

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 20-Feb-2025 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as $(\text{target price}^* - \text{current price}) / \text{current price}$.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.