

Thailand Property

A cloudy 2024 and persisting challenges in 2025

- Aggregate 4Q24 presales dropped (-14% q-q, -24% y-y) due to the delay of new launches and sluggish demand. 2024 presales ended at THB266b (-10% y-y), below the target by 20%.
- We project lackluster earnings in 4Q24 due to weaker presales and fierce competition.
- Maintain our Neutral rating with a potentially single-digit profit growth rate. 2025 business plans might be set with a cautious view due to several challenges.

4Q24 presales contract due to the delay of new launches and soft demand

The 12 property stocks under our coverage posted aggregate 4Q24 presales which dropped by 14% q-q and 24% y-y to THB61b, comprising 51% low-rises and 49% condos. Developers have postponed several projects, especially low-rises, due to the unfavorable market. Specifically, their new launches totaled 68 projects with a combined value of THB112b in 4Q24 (56% low-rise and 44% condos), below the target by 23%. Low-rise presales remained weak at THB31b (-13% q-q, -20% y-y) in 4Q24, representing a y-y decrease for the eighth straight quarter due to soft demand and fierce competition. Condo presales amounted to THB30b (-15% q-q, -27% y-y) following fewer new launches. However, condos had stronger momentum than low-rises as their take-up rate averaged 34% for new projects in the quarter, driven by the expansion into tourist destinations, especially Phuket.

Most developers missed their 2024 presales targets

The aggregate 2024 presales decreased by 10% y-y to THB266b, below the target by 20%. 52% were low-rises, amounting to THB140b (-13% y-y), while 48% were condos, equaling THB126b (-7% y-y), mainly due to the sluggish low-rise market and the delay of new launches. Developers introduced a total of 215 projects during the year with a combined value of THB325b (-18% y-y), including 168 low-rises worth THB223b (-14% y-y) and 47 condos worth THB102b (-25% y-y). Among them, only ASW achieved presales growth of 17% y-y, higher than its target by 9%, led by the success of new launches in Phuket.

4Q24 profit should be unexciting following weaker presales

We expect the aggregate 4Q24 net profit to weaken by 17% y-y due to lower transfers and a weaker GPM amid rising competition as well as higher interest expenses. However, we anticipate a 13% q-q recovery since LH should book an extra gain from asset sales. Excluding this item, the aggregate core profit should drop q-q following weaker presales. As a result, we project a combined 2024 profit of THB28b (-18% y-y), the second consecutive year of decline.

Maintain Neutral rating; cautious business plans anticipated in 2025

In 2025, we anticipate that the property market might continue to face a number of challenges amid the slow economic recovery, with a potentially single-digit profit growth rate. We are waiting for the companies to disclose their business plans from late Jan to early Mar, which should be cautious with a lower value of new launches. New condos should rise y-y, but there will likely be fewer low-rise launches due to the increased supply since 2022 – out of balance with the flat demand. They will likely focus on inventory clearing and cost control. However, their share prices plunged by 21% within three months to trade on an undemanding valuation of 6.8x 2025E P/E. We project a dividend yield of 3-6% for 2H24, except for AP and ASW, which pay annually at 7-8% in 2024. Our top picks are AP (flexible portfolio) and SC (2025E profit growth).



Thanyatorn Songwutti

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 101203
 thanyatorn.s@fssia.com, +66 2646 9963

Exhibit 1: Total presales in 4Q24 and 2024

Company	4Q23	3Q24	4Q24	Change		2023	2024	Change	% to 2024 target
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y %)	(%)
AP	16,067	13,418	9,249	(31.1)	(42.4)	51,391	46,752	(9.0)	82%
ASW	4,702	3,848	4,752	23.5	1.1	16,486	19,330	17.3	109%
BRI	4,065	1,359	1,378	1.4	(66.1)	12,561	6,544	(47.9)	82%
LH	8,646	4,764	4,100	(13.9)	(52.6)	23,013	18,757	(18.5)	61%
LPN	1,978	2,168	1,555	(28.3)	(21.4)	9,966	8,447	(15.2)	77%
NOBLE	4,197	8,018	3,300	(58.8)	(21.4)	14,929	16,952	13.6	82%
ORI	10,330	8,518	8,586	0.8	(16.9)	47,267	35,435	(25.0)	89%
PSH	4,299	3,690	2,846	(22.9)	(33.8)	18,540	14,006	(24.5)	52%
QH	1,200	1,670	1,420	(15.0)	18.3	7,554	7,106	(5.9)	77%
SC	7,264	6,486	6,875	6.0	(5.4)	27,944	25,000	(10.5)	89%
SIRI	11,889	10,321	10,237	(0.8)	(13.9)	37,588	40,794	8.5	91%
SPALI	5,352	6,703	6,679	(0.4)	24.8	28,864	26,743	(7.3)	74%
Total	79,989	70,963	60,977	(14.1)	(23.8)	296,103	265,866	(10.2)	80%

Source: FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 2: Low-rise presales in 4Q24 and 2024

Company	4Q23	3Q24	4Q24	Change		2023	2024	Change
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y %)
AP	8,190	9,113	6,677	(26.7)	(18.5)	33,483	30,988	(7.5)
ASW	371	223	35	(84.3)	(90.6)	1,326	1,336	0.7
BRI	4,065	1,359	1,378	1.4	(66.1)	12,561	6,544	(47.9)
LH	3,360	3,091	3,650	18.1	8.6	16,814	15,372	(8.6)
LPN	380	576	338	(41.3)	(11.1)	1,851	1,903	2.8
NOBLE	770	481	495	2.9	(35.7)	3,200	1,682	(47.4)
ORI	4,065	1,359	1,378	1.4	(66.1)	12,561	6,544	(47.9)
PSH	2,937	2,350	2,040	(13.2)	(30.5)	13,816	10,180	(26.3)
QH	1,100	1,392	1,260	(9.5)	14.5	6,657	6,089	(8.5)
SC	4,357	5,233	3,874	(26.0)	(11.1)	17,297	16,500	(4.6)
SIRI	5,596	5,932	7,177	21.0	28.3	21,109	25,758	22.0
SPALI	3,861	4,692	2,803	(40.3)	(27.4)	19,628	16,848	(14.2)
Total	39,052	35,801	31,105	(13.1)	(20.3)	160,302	139,744	(12.8)

Source: FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 3: Condo presales in 4Q24 and 2024

Company	4Q23	3Q24	4Q24	Change		2023	2024	Change
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y %)
AP	7,877	4,305	2,572	(40.3)	(67.3)	17,908	15,764	(12.0)
ASW	4,331	3,625	4,717	30.1	8.9	15,160	17,994	18.7
BRI	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	0	0	n/a
LH	5,286	1,673	450	(73.1)	(91.5)	6,199	3,385	(45.4)
LPN	1,598	1,592	1,217	(23.6)	(23.8)	8,115	6,544	(19.4)
NOBLE	3,427	7,537	2,805	(62.8)	(18.2)	11,729	15,270	30.2
ORI	6,265	7,159	7,208	0.7	15.1	34,706	28,891	(16.8)
PSH	1,362	1,340	806	(39.9)	(40.8)	4,724	3,826	(19.0)
QH	100	278	160	(42.4)	60.0	897	1,017	13.4
SC	2,907	1,253	3,001	139.5	3.2	10,647	8,500	(20.2)
SIRI	6,293	4,389	3,060	(30.3)	(51.4)	16,479	15,036	(8.8)
SPALI	1,491	2,011	3,876	92.7	159.9	9,236	9,895	7.1
Total	40,938	35,162	29,872	(15.0)	(27.0)	135,800	126,122	(7.1)

Source: FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 4: New launch values in 4Q24 and 2024

Company	4Q23	3Q24	4Q24	Change		2023	2024	Change
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y %)
AP	36,440	9,850	7,660	(22.2)	(79.0)	76,000	48,020	(36.8)
ASW	15,100	4,500	18,300	306.7	21.2	29,810	39,570	32.7
BRI	6,050	0	1,300	n/a	(78.5)	16,850	4,900	(70.9)
LH	29,685	7,850	12,265	56.2	(58.7)	43,460	30,850	(29.0)
LPN	1,150	1,370	0	(100.0)	(100.0)	11,725	4,190	(64.3)
NOBLE	11,300	0	15,900	n/a	40.7	18,900	19,280	2.0
ORI	11,040	0	6,850	n/a	(38.0)	45,900	19,400	(57.7)
PSH	5,530	2,750	8,046	192.6	45.5	14,128	18,305	29.6
QH	3,406	0	5,989	n/a	75.8	8,263	9,804	18.6
SC	8,290	8,130	5,250	(35.4)	(36.7)	36,690	31,830	(13.2)
SIRI	35,700	8,750	15,400	76.0	(56.9)	65,150	46,500	(28.6)
SPALI	7,620	14,500	15,190	4.8	99.3	29,640	52,380	76.7
Total	171,311	57,700	112,150	94.4	(34.5)	396,516	325,029	(18.0)

Source: FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 5: New low-rise project values in 4Q24 and 2024

Company	4Q23	3Q24	4Q24	Change		2023	2024	Change
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y %)
AP	29,140	8,900	6,510	(26.9)	(77.7)	65,200	38,120	(41.5)
ASW	4,200	3,300	0	n/a	n/a	5,250	7,500	42.9
BRI	6,050	0	1,300	n/a	(78.5)	16,850	4,900	(70.9)
LH	14,685	7,850	12,265	56.2	(16.5)	28,460	30,850	8.4
LPN	1,150	1,370	0	n/a	n/a	7,750	3,210	(58.6)
NOBLE	1,800	0	900	n/a	(50.0)	7,000	4,280	(38.9)
ORI	6,050	0	1,300	n/a	(78.5)	16,850	4,900	(70.9)
PSH	1,830	940	8,046	756.0	339.7	5,094	16,495	223.8
QH	3,406	0	5,989	n/a	75.8	8,263	9,804	18.6
SC	6,690	5,130	5,250	2.3	(21.5)	29,090	28,830	(0.9)
SIRI	23,300	2,300	11,710	409.1	(49.7)	46,880	27,350	(41.7)
SPALI	6,726	14,500	9,390	(35.2)	39.6	23,416	46,580	98.9
Total	105,027	44,290	62,660	41.5	(40.3)	260,103	222,819	(14.3)

Source: FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 6: New condo project values in 4Q24 and 2024


Company	4Q23	3Q24	4Q24	Change		2023	2024	Change
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y %)
AP	7,300	14,414	1,150	21.1	(84.2)	10,800	9,900	(8.3)
ASW	10,900	4,461	18,300	1,425.0	67.9	24,560	32,070	30.6
BRI	0	2,038	0	n/a	n/a	0	0	n/a
LH	15,000	4,286	0	n/a	n/a	15,000	0	n/a
LPN	0	2,866	0	n/a	n/a	3,975	980	(75.3)
NOBLE	9,500	2,273	15,000	n/a	57.9	11,900	15,000	26.1
ORI	4,990	10,192	5,550	n/a	11.2	29,050	14,500	(50.1)
PSH	3,700	4,100	0	n/a	n/a	9,034	1,810	(80.0)
QH	0	2,329	0	n/a	n/a	0	0	n/a
SC	1,600	5,679	0	n/a	n/a	7,600	3,000	(60.5)
SIRI	12,400	10,672	3,690	(42.8)	(70.2)	18,270	19,150	4.8
SPALI	894	6,434	5,800	n/a	548.5	6,224	5,800	(6.8)
Total	66,284	69,744	49,490	269.1	(25.3)	136,413	102,210	(25.1)

Source: FSSIA's compilation


Exhibit 7: New condo launches in 4Q24

Developer	Project	Location	Project value (THB m)	Take-up rate (%)
AP	Good Day Sukhumvit63	Bangkok	1,100	43
ASW	Aquarous Jomtien Pattaya	Pattaya	5,000	25
	Kavalon (Bangkok University)	Bangkok	4,500	30
	THE MODEVA BANG-TAO	Phuket	6,200	30
	THE TITLE ARTRIO BANG-TAO	Phuket	2,600	10
NOBLE	Nue Evo Pattanakarn	Bangkok	2,500	10
	Nue Epic Asok-Rama 9	Bangkok	12,500	55
ORI	SO Origin Kata Beach Condo	Phuket	1,650	20
	Origin Place Centre Phuket	Phuket	1,800	30
	SO Lagoon Chengtalay by Origin	Phuket	2,100	20
SIRI	The Base Wongwawang	Bangkok	2,300	10
	The Base Srichan Khonkaen	Khon Kaen	800	50
	The Muve Bangkhae	Bangkok	500	15
SPALI	Supalai Tyme Charoen Nakhon	Bangkok	2,100	60
	Supalai Blue Sathorn-Ratchaphruek	Bangkok	2,400	40
	Supalai Sense Khao Rang Phuket	Phuket	800	40
	Supalai Kram Khao Tao	Hua Hin	480	30
Total			49,330	34


Source: FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 8: Quarterly presales


Source: FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 10: Yearly presales

Source: FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 9: Quarterly new launches

Source: FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 11: Yearly new launches

Source: FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 12: 4Q24E net profit

Net profit	4Q23	3Q24	----- 4Q24E -----	
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)
AP	1,335	1,450	-	+
ASW	484	451	-	-
BRI	101	53	-	-
LH	3,490	650	+	-
LPN	17	46	-	-
NOBLE	191	(3)	+	0
ORI	47	402	+	+
PSH	123	373	-	+
QH	607	573	-	-
SC	851	506	+	-
SIRI	1,300	1,307	-	-
SPALI	2,018	1,989	-	-
Total	10,563	7,796	+	-

Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 13: New condo values to be transferred in 4Q24

Developer	Project	Value (THB m)	% sold (%)
ASW	Kave Embryo Rangsit	800	75
NOBLE	Nue Core Khukhot Station (JV)	2,410	89
	Nue Mega Plus Bangna	3,110	79
ORI	Knightsbridge Space Sukhumvit-Rama4 (JV)	2,400	91
	SO ORIGIN PHAHOL 69 STATION (JV)	1,870	62
PSH	Plum Condo Chaengwattana-Donmuang	1,169	23
SC	SCOPE Thong lor	2,800	61
	Reference Sathorn-Wongwianyai (JV)	4,000	61
SIRI	Condo Me Sinsakhon Building E	63	3
	dcondo Shine Rangsit (JV)	993	26
	PYNN Soonvijai	249	61
	dcondo air (JV)	1,055	55
Total		20,918	65

Source: FSSIA's compilation


Exhibit 14: 2H24E dividend

	2H24E DPS (THB/share)	Dividend yield (%)
AP	0.55*	7.1%
ASW	0.62*	7.9%
BRI	0.20	5.8%
LH	0.15	3.1%
LPN	0.05	2.4%
NOBLE	0.03	1.0%
ORI	0.20	5.9%
PSH	0.30	4.4%
QH	0.10	5.8%
SC	0.14	5.5%
SIRI	0.10	5.8%
SPALI	0.64	3.8%

*Note: AP and ASW paid annually


Sources: Company data; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 15: 2024 sector performances



Source: SETSMART

Exhibit 16: 3-month sector performances



Source: SETSMART

Exhibit 17: Peer comparisons as of 20 Jan 2025

Company	Rec	Share price		Rec profit growth		PE		DivYld		ROE		PBV		PE
		Current (LCY)	Target (LCY)	24E (%)	25E (%)	24E (x)	25E (x)	24E (%)	25E (%)	24E (x)	25E (x)	24E (x)	25E (x)	5Y-avg (x)
ASW	BUY	7.80	10.80	69.9	5.1	5.3	5.0	8.0	8.0	19.8	18.4	1.0	0.9	7.2
NOBLE	U.R.	2.48	3.70	3.9	11.7	5.0	4.5	12.2	13.4	10.3	11.1	0.5	0.5	11.7
SC	BUY	2.52	3.20	(23.3)	15.5	5.6	4.9	7.5	8.2	8.1	8.8	0.4	0.4	6.2
SPALI	HOLD	16.60	20.40	(9.9)	3.5	6.0	5.8	7.5	7.8	10.4	10.2	0.6	0.6	6.4
SIRI	BUY	1.70	2.20	(2.4)	3.5	5.8	5.6	9.7	9.9	10.4	10.1	0.6	0.6	5.7
AP	BUY	7.70	9.70	(19.2)	4.5	5.0	4.7	7.1	7.8	11.5	11.2	0.6	0.5	5.5
BRI	HOLD	3.34	4.20	(62.5)	12.7	6.9	6.1	10.0	10.1	7.5	7.8	0.5	0.5	10.1
ORI	HOLD	3.32	4.20	(52.3)	35.7	9.1	6.7	8.6	8.9	4.6	5.9	0.4	0.4	11.6
LH	n/a	4.78	n/a	(31.1)	5.7	10.4	10.5	7.7	7.6	10.6	10.4	1.1	1.1	13.4
LPN	n/a	2.12	n/a	(14.2)	(3.3)	9.7	10.4	4.9	4.7	2.5	2.4	0.3	0.3	13.1
PSH	n/a	6.60	n/a	(41.8)	16.2	10.8	9.7	8.6	9.5	2.8	3.4	0.3	0.3	10.1
QH	n/a	1.72	n/a	(6.5)	7.4	7.7	7.0	7.9	8.4	8.0	8.2	0.6	0.6	11.1
Average				(13.4)	7.0	7.3	6.8	8.3	8.7	8.9	9.0	0.6	0.5	9.3

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating																				
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.																				
SET ESG Ratings List (SETESG) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	SET ESG quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for SETESG inclusion , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETESG Index is extended from the SET ESG Ratings companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.																				
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).																				
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i>	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.																				
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i>	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.																				
Morningstar Sustainabilitycs	The Sustainabilitycs' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.</i>	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table><tr><th>NEGL</th><th>Low</th><th>Medium</th><th>High</th><th>Severe</th></tr><tr><td>0-10</td><td>10-20</td><td>20-30</td><td>30-40</td><td>40+</td></tr></table>	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+										
NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe																		
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																		
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.																				
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table><tr><td>AAA</td><td>8.571-10.000</td><td rowspan="3">Leader:</td><td rowspan="3">leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td></tr><tr><td>AA</td><td>7.143-8.570</td></tr><tr><td>A</td><td>5.714-7.142</td></tr><tr><td>BBB</td><td>4.286-5.713</td><td rowspan="3">Average:</td><td rowspan="3">a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td></tr><tr><td>BB</td><td>2.857-4.285</td></tr><tr><td>B</td><td>1.429-2.856</td></tr><tr><td>CCC</td><td>0.000-1.428</td><td>Laggard:</td><td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td></tr></table>		AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities	AA	7.143-8.570	A	5.714-7.142	BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers	BB	2.857-4.285	B	1.429-2.856	CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks
AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities																			
AA	7.143-8.570																					
A	5.714-7.142																					
BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers																			
BB	2.857-4.285																					
B	1.429-2.856																					
CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks																			
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.																					
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)</i>																					
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.																					
Bloomberg	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.																				
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.																				

[Rating](#) regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "**CG Score**"; 2) "**AGM Level**"; 3) "**Thai CAC**"; and 4) **THSI**. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Thanyatorn Songwutti FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Assetwise	ASW TB	THB 7.80	BUY	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) slower backlog realization than planned and a delay in new condo transfers, 2) lower new project launches than planned, 3) a lower-than-expected take-up rate of new projects, 4) a slowdown in the economy and purchasing power, 5) a construction delay and fierce competition, and 6) a higher rejection and cancellation rate.
Noble Development	NOBLE TB	THB 2.50	HOLD	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) weaker-than-expected purchasing power; 2) cancellation of presales; 3) bank loan rejections; 4) delays in construction work and transfers; and 5) fierce competition. An upside risk would be 1) a stronger-than-expected presales; and 2) a sooner-than-expected overseas buyer recovery; and 3) selling other projects to investors so that it might book extra gains from sales.
SC Asset Corporation	SC TB	THB 2.54	BUY	Downside and upside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a weaker or stronger-than-expected take-up rate, 2) lower or higher-than-expected new launches, 3) slower or faster-than-expected economy and purchasing power recovery, 4) higher or lower-than-expected rejection and cancellation rates, and 5) higher or lower-than-expected competition.
Supalai	SPALI TB	THB 16.90	HOLD	Downside and upside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a weaker or stronger-than-expected take-up rate, 2) lower or higher-than-expected new launches, 3) slower or faster-than-expected economy and purchasing power recovery, 4) higher or lower-than-expected rejection and cancellation rates, 5) higher or lower-than-expected competition, and 6) weaker or stronger operating performance from the Australian projects than expected.
Sansiri	SIRI TB	THB 1.71	BUY	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) lower-than-expected take-up rates of new launches; 2) a slowdown in the economy and purchasing power, 3) fierce competition, 4) a higher loan rejection rate and customer cancellation, 5) a construction delay and labor shortages, and 6) a higher D/E ratio than property peers.
AP (Thailand)	AP TB	THB 7.75	BUY	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a higher-than-expected slowdown in the low-rise market; 2) lower-than-expected new launches and take-up rates; 3) a slow economic recovery; 4) an impact from the interest rate uptrend on purchasing power; 5) a higher rejection rate and customer cancellation; 6) construction delay and labor shortages; and 7) fierce competition.
Britania	BRI TB	THB 3.42	HOLD	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) lower-than-expected presales and higher contraction in the low-rise market than expected, 2) lower or slower new launches than planned, 3) lower-than-expected JV projects, 4) an economic slowdown, lower purchasing power, and fierce competition, 5) higher rejection and cancellation rates, and 6) construction delay and labor shortages.
Origin Property	ORI TB	THB 3.40	HOLD	Downside and upside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) lower or higher-than-expected presales and new launches, 2) slower or faster-than-expected realization from the backlog due to construction delays, 3) lower or higher-than-expected new JV projects, 4) slower or faster-than-expected economic recovery, 5) higher or lower-than-expected rejection and cancellation rates, and 6) weaker or stronger-than-expected performance of its subsidiaries.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 21-Jan-2025 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as $(\text{target price}^* - \text{current price}) / \text{current price}$.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.