

SUPALAI SPALI TB

THAILAND / PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT

HOLD

UNCHANGED

ยอด Presales 4Q24 เพิ่มขึ้น y-y จากคอนโด

Highlights

- SPALI รายงานยอด Presales ใน 4Q24 ทรงตัว q-q และโต 25% y-y เป็น 6.7 พันลบ. แบ่งเป็นคอนโด 58% และแนวราบ 42%
- มีแรงหนุนหลักจากยอด Presales คอนโดที่โตก้าวกระโดด 93% q-q และ 160% y-y เป็น 3.9 พันลบ. จากการเปิดตัวคอนโดใหม่ระดับ Affordable ทั้งหมด 4 แห่ง มูลค่ารวม 5.8 พันลบ. ซึ่งได้รับการตอบรับดีและมี Take-up rate เฉลี่ย 46%
- บริษัทเปิดตัว 2 คอนโดใหม่ในกรุงเทพฯ อย่างโครงการ Supalai Tyme Charoen Nakhon (2.1 พันลบ., Take-up rate 60%) และ Supalai Blue Sathorn-Ratchaphruek (2.4 พันลบ., Take-up rate 40%) นอกจากนี้ ขยายไปในทำเลหัวเมืองท่องเที่ยว อาทิ ภูเก็ตและหัวหินด้วยโครงการ Supalai Sense Khao Rang Phuket (800 ลบ., Take-up rate 40%) และ Supalai Kram Khao Tao (480 ลบ., Take-up rate 30%)
- อย่างไรก็ตาม ยอด Presales แนวราบใน 4Q24 หดตัว 40% q-q และ 27% y-y เป็น 2.8 พันลบ. (จากค่าเฉลี่ย 9M24 ที่ 4.7 พันลบ./ไตรมาส) ซึ่งเป็นระดับต่ำสุดในรอบ 4 ปี สาเหตุจากการเปิดตัวโครงการแนวราบใหม่ลดลงเป็น 7 แห่ง มูลค่ารวม 9.4 พันลบ. (เทียบกับ 14.5 พันลบ. ใน 3Q24) รวมถึงการชะลอตัวของตลาดแนวราบสะท้อน Take-up rate ของโครงการใหม่ใน 4Q24 ที่ทำได้เพียง 5-10%
- ยอด Presales ปี 2024 ของ SPALI จบที่ 2.67 หมื่นลบ. (-7% y-y) ต่ำกว่าเป้าของ บริษัทที่ตั้งไว้ 3.6 หมื่นลบ. สาเหตุจากยอด Presales แนวราบต่ำกว่าคาดเป็น 1.69 หมื่นลบ. (-14% y-y) อย่างไรก็ตาม ยอด Presales คอนโดปรับขึ้นได้ +7% y-y เป็น 9.9 พันลบ.
- ปี 2024 SPALI เปิดตัวโครงการใหม่ทั้งหมด 41 แห่ง มูลค่ารวม 5.24 หมื่นลบ. (+77% y-y) ประกอบด้วยโครงการแนวราบ 37 แห่ง และคอนโด 4 แห่ง ถือว่าสูงกว่าแผนบริษัท ที่ 5 หมื่นลบ. โดยมูลค่าการเปิดตัวแนวราบเพิ่มขึ้น 99% y-y ส่วนคอนโดลดลง 7% y-y

Outlook

- เบื้องต้นเราประเมินกำไร 4Q24 ลดลง q-q และ y-y ตามยอด Presales แนวราบชะลอ ลง รวมถึงไม่มีคอนโดสร้างเสร็จใหม่ อีกทั้ง ค่าใช้จ่ายการขายและบริหารคาดว่าจะสูงขึ้นตาม การรุกเปิดตัวคอนโดใหม่มากขึ้น
- แผนการลงทุนใหม่ใน 12 โครงการในออสเตรเลีย (SPALI ถือหุ้น 49.9%) ดำเนินการ แล้วเสร็จในเดือน พ.ย. 24 ส่งผลให้ SPALI จะเริ่มรับรู้ส่วนแบ่งรายได้จาก JV ใหม่ตั้งแต่ เดือนธ.ค. 24
- เราคงประมาณการกำไรสุทธิปี 2024-25 ที่ 5.4 พันลบ. (-10% y-y) และ 5.6 พันลบ. (+ 4% y-y) ตามลำดับ คงคำแนะนำถือ ราคาเป้าหมาย 20.4 บาท (อิง PER 7.1x) โดยคาด เงินปันผลในงวด 2H24 ที่ 0.65 บาท/หุ้น คิดเป็น Yield 3.6%
- สำหรับแผนธุรกิจปี 2025 เราอยู่ระหว่างรอข้อมูลเพิ่มเติมจาก SPALI ซึ่งจะเปิดเผย ในช่วงต้นเดือนก.พ. 25

TARGET PRICE

THB20.40

CLOSE

THB18.30

UP/DOWNSIDE


+11.5%

TP vs CONSENSUS

-8.2%

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	31,177	29,909	30,267	30,939
Net profit	5,989	5,395	5,586	5,691
EPS (THB)	3.07	2.76	2.86	2.91
vs Consensus (%)	-	(8.5)	(10.5)	(11.6)
EBITDA	7,386	6,892	6,923	7,043
Recurring net profit	5,989	5,395	5,586	5,691
Core EPS (THB)	3.07	2.76	2.86	2.91
EPS growth (%)	(26.7)	(9.9)	3.5	1.9
Core P/E (x)	6.0	6.6	6.4	6.3
Dividend yield (%)	4.0	6.8	7.0	7.2
EV/EBITDA (x)	8.0	8.6	8.4	8.2
Price/book (x)	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6
Net debt/Equity (%)	43.6	41.7	37.0	33.5
ROE (%)	12.4	10.4	10.2	9.8




Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	(6.2)	(9.0)	(3.2)
Relative to country (%)	(0.7)	(4.2)	0.7
Mkt cap (USD m)	1,032		
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)	2.8		
Free float (%)	60		
Major shareholder	Tangmatitham Family (30%)		
12m high/low (THB)	21.60/14.80		
Issued shares (m)	1,953.05		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates


Thanyatorn Songwutti


 Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 101203
 thanyatorn.s@fssia.com, +66 2646 9963

Exhibit 1: Quarterly presales




Sources: SPALI; FSSIA's compilations

Exhibit 2: Quarterly new launches




Sources: SPALI; FSSIA's compilations

Exhibit 3: Yearly presales



Sources: SPALI; FSSIA's compilations

Exhibit 4: Yearly new launches




Sources: SPALI; FSSIA's compilations

Exhibit 5: Four new condo launches in 4Q24




Source: SPALI

Exhibit 6: Historical P/E band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 7: Historical P/BV band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Supalai

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	34,486	31,177	29,909	30,267	30,939
Cost of goods sold	(21,070)	(20,071)	(19,144)	(19,432)	(19,923)
Gross profit	13,416	11,106	10,766	10,836	11,016
Other operating income	0	0	0	0	0
Operating costs	(4,029)	(3,857)	(4,007)	(4,051)	(4,117)
Operating EBITDA	9,514	7,386	6,892	6,923	7,043
Depreciation	(127)	(138)	(133)	(138)	(144)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	0
Operating EBIT	9,387	7,249	6,759	6,785	6,899
Net financing costs	(277)	(467)	(594)	(553)	(531)
Associates	390	247	260	400	400
Recurring non-operating income	1,405	888	760	900	900
Non-recurring items	0	0	0	0	0
Profit before tax	10,515	7,670	6,925	7,132	7,268
Tax	(2,212)	(1,586)	(1,440)	(1,454)	(1,483)
Profit after tax	8,303	6,083	5,485	5,678	5,784
Minority interests	(130)	(94)	(90)	(91)	(93)
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	0
Other items	0	0	0	0	0
Reported net profit	8,173	5,989	5,395	5,586	5,691
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	0	0	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	8,173	5,989	5,395	5,586	5,691
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	4.18	3.07	2.76	2.86	2.91
Reported EPS	4.18	3.07	2.76	2.86	2.91
DPS	1.45	0.73	1.24	1.29	1.31
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	1,953	1,953	1,953	1,953	1,953
Growth					
Revenue (%)	18.3	(9.6)	(4.1)	1.2	2.2
Operating EBITDA (%)	12.7	(22.4)	(6.7)	0.5	1.7
Operating EBIT (%)	12.8	(22.8)	(6.8)	0.4	1.7
Recurring EPS (%)	27.0	(26.7)	(9.9)	3.5	1.9
Reported EPS (%)	27.0	(26.7)	(9.9)	3.5	1.9
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	38.9	35.6	36.0	35.8	35.6
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	39.3	36.1	36.4	36.3	36.1
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	27.6	23.7	23.0	22.9	22.8
Operating EBIT margin (%)	27.2	23.3	22.6	22.4	22.3
Net margin (%)	23.7	19.2	18.0	18.5	18.4
Effective tax rate (%)	21.8	21.4	21.6	21.6	21.6
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	34.6	23.6	45.0	45.0	45.0
Interest cover (X)	39.0	17.4	12.7	13.9	14.7
Inventory days	1,113.5	1,252.5	1,404.6	1,439.5	1,442.0
Debtor days	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7
Creditor days	47.1	56.1	60.0	58.4	58.1
Operating ROIC (%)	12.3	8.8	7.6	7.4	7.3
ROIC (%)	12.6	8.8	7.7	7.6	7.6
ROE (%)	18.5	12.4	10.4	10.2	9.8
ROA (%)	11.3	7.8	6.8	6.8	6.7

* Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted

Revenue by Division (THB m)	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Real estate	34,222	30,836	29,535	29,874	30,526
Rentals & Services	264	340	374	393	413

Sources: Supalai; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Supalai

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring net profit	8,173	5,989	5,395	5,586	5,691
Depreciation	127	138	133	138	144
Associates & minorities	-	-	-	-	-
Other non-cash items	-	-	-	-	-
Change in working capital	(5,013)	(5,562)	(3,683)	(1,701)	(2,160)
Cash flow from operations	3,288	565	1,845	4,024	3,676
Capex - maintenance	-	-	-	-	-
Capex - new investment	(4,608)	-	-	-	-
Net acquisitions & disposals	-	2,190	-	(203)	(282)
Other investments (net)	-	-	205	-	-
Cash flow from investing	(4,608)	2,190	205	(203)	(282)
Dividends paid	(5,111)	(2,476)	(2,428)	(2,514)	(2,561)
Equity finance	1,474	488	90	91	93
Debt finance	4,646	2,628	(164)	(650)	(1,068)
Other financing cash flows	0	0	0	0	0
Cash flow from financing	1,010	641	(2,502)	(3,073)	(3,535)
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
Net other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
Movement in cash	(311)	3,396	(452)	748	(142)
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	(1,043.62)	3,222.83	2,643.89	4,374.17	3,924.41
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	3,325.97	5,383.69	1,885.63	3,170.82	2,325.97

Per share (THB)

FCFF per share	(0.53)	1.65	1.35	2.24	2.01
FCFE per share	1.70	2.76	0.97	1.62	1.19
Recurring cash flow per share	4.25	3.14	2.83	2.93	2.99

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Tangible fixed assets (gross)	2,970	3,015	3,131	3,259	3,401
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(1,444)	(1,555)	(1,689)	(1,827)	(1,971)
Tangible fixed assets (net)	1,527	1,459	1,443	1,432	1,429
Intangible fixed assets (net)	0	0	0	0	0
Long-term financial assets	0	0	0	0	0
Invest. in associates & subsidiaries	8,863	6,602	6,281	6,356	6,497
Cash & equivalents	1,315	4,711	4,259	5,007	4,865
A/C receivable	38	60	60	61	62
Inventories	65,675	71,125	75,193	76,985	79,296
Other current assets	2,065	1,838	1,645	1,665	1,702
Current assets	69,093	77,734	81,157	83,717	85,925
Other assets	441	330	299	303	309
Total assets	79,924	86,126	89,180	91,809	94,161
Common equity	46,514	50,475	53,442	56,515	59,645
Minorities etc.	949	990	1,080	1,171	1,264
Total shareholders' equity	47,463	51,465	54,522	57,686	60,909
Long term debt	3,886	6,684	6,300	6,208	5,727
Other long-term liabilities	804	819	766	777	797
Long-term liabilities	4,690	7,504	7,066	6,985	6,524
A/C payable	2,939	3,192	3,063	3,109	3,188
Short term debt	20,650	20,480	20,700	20,143	19,555
Other current liabilities	4,182	3,486	3,829	3,886	3,985
Current liabilities	27,772	27,157	27,592	27,138	26,728
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	79,924	86,126	89,180	91,809	94,161
Net working capital	60,657	66,346	70,006	71,715	73,888
Invested capital	71,488	74,737	78,029	79,806	82,123

* Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being treated as debt

Per share (THB)

Book value per share	23.82	25.84	27.36	28.94	30.54
Tangible book value per share	23.82	25.84	27.36	28.94	30.54

Financial strength

Net debt/equity (%)	48.9	43.6	41.7	37.0	33.5
Net debt/total assets (%)	29.1	26.1	25.5	23.2	21.7
Current ratio (x)	2.5	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.2
CF interest cover (x)	29.7	12.5	4.2	6.7	5.4

Valuation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring P/E (x) *	4.4	6.0	6.6	6.4	6.3
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	4.9	6.7	7.4	7.1	7.0
Reported P/E (x)	4.4	6.0	6.6	6.4	6.3
Dividend yield (%)	7.9	4.0	6.8	7.0	7.2
Price/book (x)	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6
Price/tangible book (x)	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6
EV/EBITDA (x) **	6.3	8.0	8.6	8.4	8.2
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	6.7	8.6	9.2	9.0	8.7
EV/invested capital (x)	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted ** EBITDA includes associate income and recurring non-operating income

Sources: Supalai; FSSIA estimates

Supalai PCL (SPALI TB)

FSSIA ESG rating



59.80 /100

Exhibit 8: FSSIA ESG score implication

Rating	Score	Implication
★★★★★	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
★★★★★	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
★★★	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
★★	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
★	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 9: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA ESG score	Domestic ratings						Global ratings					Bloomberg		
		DJSI	SET ESG	SET ESG Rating	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
AP	53.36	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	43.30	--	--	16.91	24.00	1.89	34.26
ASW	27.00	--	Y	Y	5.00	4.00	Declared	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
BRI	16.00	--	--	--	4.00	4.00	Declared	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
NOBLE	30.88	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	--	--	--	--	--	7.00	--	--
ORI	53.22	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	41.33	--	--	48.60	--	3.82	52.01
SC	60.14	--	Y	Y	5.00	4.00	Certified	Low	45.20	--	--	70.85	25.00	2.34	42.60
SIRI	66.14	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	43.82	A	--	57.85	23.00	3.00	61.14
SPALI	59.80	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	52.93	BB	--	36.30	24.00	2.19	42.36

Sources: [SETTRADE.com](https://www.settrade.com); FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 10: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	1.80	2.22	2.06	2.11	2.06	2.05	1.98	2.19
BESG environmental pillar score	0.00	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.71	1.14
BESG social pillar score	5.95	5.91	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67
BESG governance pillar score	4.06	3.78	3.93	4.12	3.95	3.92	3.60	3.37
ESG disclosure score	40.17	41.80	41.62	41.62	41.62	41.73	42.11	42.36
Environmental disclosure score	14.80	16.49	16.49	16.49	16.49	16.82	17.97	17.97
Social disclosure score	21.95	25.15	24.61	24.61	24.61	24.61	24.61	25.36
Governance disclosure score	83.59	83.59	83.59	83.59	83.59	83.59	83.59	83.59
Environmental								
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
GHG scope 1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
GHG scope 2 location-based	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
GHG Scope 3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Carbon per unit of production	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Biodiversity policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Renewable energy use	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Electricity used	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Fuel used - natural gas	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 11: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Waste recycled	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Waste sent to landfills	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Environmental supply chain management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Social								
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Consumer data protection policy	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Pct women in workforce	49	47	47	48	48	48	49	49
Pct disabled in workforce	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total recordable incident rate - employees	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	—
Training policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Number of employees – CSR	924	1,061	1,383	1,495	1,558	1,617	1,645	1,725
Employee turnover pct	—	12	12	11	10	7	10	11
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	25,253	28,997	36,318	39,677	39,168	35,234	12,091	80,454
Social supply chain management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Governance								
Board size	11	11	11	10	10	10	10	10
No. of independent directors (ID)	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4
No. of women on board	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
No. of non-executive directors on board	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	6
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	12	12	12	13	12	13	12	12
Board meeting attendance pct	96	98	99	99	99	99	100	99
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
Age of the oldest director	73	74	77	78	79	80	81	82
No. of executives / company managers	9	10	12	10	14	14	13	13
No. of female executives	3	3	4	4	5	5	5	5
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Audit committee meetings	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Audit meeting attendance %	92	100	100	100	94	100	97	97
Size of compensation committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on compensation committee	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
No. of compensation committee meetings	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	2
Compensation meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Size of nomination committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of nomination committee meetings	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	2
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Sustainability governance								
Verification type	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating																			
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.																			
SET ESG Ratings List (SETESG) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	SET ESG quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for SETESG inclusion , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETESG Index is extended from the SET ESG Ratings companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.																			
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).																			
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i>	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.																			
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i>	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.																			
Morningstar Sustainability	The Sustainability's ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.</i>	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table><tr><th>NEGL</th><th>Low</th><th>Medium</th><th>High</th><th>Severe</th></tr><tr><td>0-10</td><td>10-20</td><td>20-30</td><td>30-40</td><td>40+</td></tr></table>	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+									
NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe																	
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																	
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.																			
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table><tr><td>AAA</td><td>8.571-10.000</td><td rowspan="3">Leader:</td><td rowspan="3">leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td></tr><tr><td>AA</td><td>7.143-8.570</td></tr><tr><td>A</td><td>5.714-7.142</td></tr><tr><td>BBB</td><td>4.286-5.713</td><td rowspan="3">Average:</td><td rowspan="3">a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td></tr><tr><td>BB</td><td>2.857-4.285</td></tr><tr><td>B</td><td>1.429-2.856</td></tr><tr><td>CCC</td><td>0.000-1.428</td><td>Laggard:</td><td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td></tr></table>	AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities	AA	7.143-8.570	A	5.714-7.142	BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers	BB	2.857-4.285	B	1.429-2.856	CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks
AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities																		
AA	7.143-8.570																				
A	5.714-7.142																				
BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers																		
BB	2.857-4.285																				
B	1.429-2.856																				
CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks																		
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.																				
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)</i>																				
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.																				
Bloomberg	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.																			
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.																			

[Rating](#) regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "**CG Score**"; 2) "**AGM Level**"; 3) "**Thai CAC**"; and 4) **THSI**. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Thanyatorn Songwutti FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd


The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price

Supalai (SPALI TB)



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
26-Jan-2022	BUY	28.00	23-Apr-2024	HOLD	22.20	17-Oct-2024	HOLD	20.40
27-Jan-2023	BUY	27.00	26-Jul-2024	HOLD	18.80			
20-Jul-2023	BUY	24.00	14-Aug-2024	BUY	18.80			

Thanyatorn Songwutti started covering this stock from 27-Jan-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Supalai	SPALI TB	THB 18.30	HOLD	Downside and upside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a weaker or stronger-than-expected take-up rate, 2) lower or higher-than-expected new launches, 3) slower or faster-than-expected economy and purchasing power recovery, 4) higher or lower-than-expected rejection and cancellation rates, 5) higher or lower-than-expected competition, and 6) weaker or stronger operating performance from the Australian projects than expected.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 06-Jan-2025 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as $(\text{target price}^* - \text{current price}) / \text{current price}$.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.