

7 NOVEMBER 2024

EQUITY RESEARCH – ANALYST MEETING

THAI UNION GROUP
THAILAND / FOOD & BEVERAGE

TU TB

FINANSIA

FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY

FSSIA ESG rating



BUY
UNCHANGED

Key takeaways from analyst meeting

TARGET PRICE THB19.40
CLOSE THB15.30
UP/DOWNSIDE +26.8%
TP vs CONSENSUS +10.6%

Highlights


- Management guided for a positive 4Q24 outlook, with the revenue trend continuing to improve. Although it is the low season, the outlook for the ambient and pet food segments remains strong, and the frozen segment is expected to complete its business downsizing by the end of 2024. Management expects tuna prices in 2025 to remain stable or increase slightly compared to 2024, driven by improved demand. The average price of tuna in 2024 is projected to be USD1,419/tonne.
- TU also addressed a lawsuit filed by Fortress Credit Corp (Fortress), the new owner of Red Lobster since 16 September, against Thai Union North America (TUNA) for allegedly violating a limited guarantee by failing to pay Fortress USD65m. This case is currently in legal proceedings. TU believes it has met all the conditions of the agreement and will not recognize any damage provision in the financial statements until a final legal decision is made.
- The guarantee contract was established in 2022, where TUNA guaranteed a loan of USD65m for Red Lobster (RL), with conditions including 1) RL's minimum EBITDA; 2) the qualifications of RL's CEO; and 3) the qualifications of RL's CFO (information from TU).

Comments

- In the short term, we expect 4Q24 profit to remain stable or slightly increase q-q, supported by the positive revenue trend. Although TU has not yet disclosed its growth targets for 2025, we maintain our previous assumption of 4% y-y revenue growth in 2025, using an FX rate of THB33/USD, and expect a 2025 profit of THB5.59b (+11%).
- TU has entered a significant organizational restructuring phase that will take about 3 years. This restructuring aims to improve efficiency, reduce redundancy, and create sustainable growth in the future. There may be a downside risk to our 2025 profit estimate due to transformation costs, which are already starting to be recognized in 3Q24, amounting to about 0.6% of revenue, or THB234m.
- Management is not concerned about Donald Trump's tax policies, having already navigated the trade war period. If import taxes on China rise, it could be positive for TU, as US customers are likely to shift their purchasing to products from Thailand. For context, during Trump's presidency (2017-21), TU's US revenue grew by 3.6% CAGR, higher than the overall revenue growth of 1.1% CAGR, while Europe and Japan saw a decline (-1.4% and -3.4% CAGR).
- Regarding the RL lawsuit, it has not caused any immediate harm, and TU is in the process of legal defense to protect its interests. However, we view this issue as a pressure on the stock price moving forward. The USD65m value is equivalent to cTHB0.5/shr.

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	136,153	136,911	142,388	149,507
Net profit	(13,933)	5,043	5,593	6,150
EPS (THB)	(2.99)	1.08	1.20	1.32
vs Consensus (%)	-	(7.1)	(6.8)	(7.7)
EBITDA	12,163	12,357	13,067	13,887
Recurring net profit	(13,933)	5,043	5,593	6,150
Core EPS (THB)	(2.99)	1.08	1.20	1.32
EPS growth (%)	nm	nm	10.9	10.0
Core P/E (x)	(5.1)	14.1	12.7	11.6
Dividend yield (%)	3.5	3.9	4.3	4.4
EV/EBITDA (x)	10.9	10.2	9.6	9.1
Price/book (x)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1
Net debt/Equity (%)	81.0	72.9	70.4	67.2
ROE (%)	(20.0)	8.7	9.5	9.9



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	3.4	9.3	9.3
Relative to country (%)	1.7	(5.1)	5.5
Mkt cap (USD m)	1,905		
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)	7.9		
Free float (%)	65		
Major shareholder	Chansiri Family (12%)		
12m high/low (THB)	16.00/13.60		
Issued shares (m)	4,655.13		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Sureeporn Teewasuwet

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 040694
sureeporn.t@fssia.com, +66 2646 9972

PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

Exhibit 1: TU – 3Q24 results summary

	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	2Q24	3Q24	----- Change -----		9M23	9M24	Change	2024E	Change	%9M24
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	to 2024E
Sales in THB m	33,915	35,529	33,220	35,283	34,840	(1.3)	2.7	100,624	103,343	2.7	136,911	0.6	75.5
Cost of sales	27,682	29,201	27,478	28,748	28,047	(2.4)	1.3	83,727	84,273	0.7	113,226	0.3	74.4
Gross profit	6,233	6,327	5,742	6,535	6,793	4.0	9.0	16,897	19,070	12.9	23,686	2.0	80.5
SG&A	4,044	4,173	4,197	4,582	4,693	2.4	16.0	12,141	13,472	11.0	16,703	2.4	80.7
Operating profit	2,447	2,521	1,772	2,290	2,382	4.0	(2.6)	5,451	6,445	18.2	7,941	(0.4)	81.2
Profit sharing	(234)	819	159	179	275	53.6	(217.5)	(140)	614	(538.7)	0	nm	nm
Interest expense	589	630	647	620	627	1.2	6.5	1,672	1,895	13.3	2,526	9.7	75.0
Tax expense	(140)	(40)	128	44	208	369.8	(248.1)	(580)	380	(165.6)	325	nm	117.1
FX gain (loss)	(268)	68	209	(237)	(54)	nm	nm	(303)	(82)	(72.9)	0	nm	nm
Other gain (loss)	0	(18,413)	44	68	0	nm	nm	0	112	nm	0	nm	nm
Reported net profit	1,206	(17,189)	1,153	1,219	1,400	14.9	16.1	3,256	3,772	15.8	5,043	nm	74.8
Core profit	1,474	1,177	900	1,456	1,454	(0.1)	(1.3)	3,559	3,810	7.0	5,043	6.5	75.5
Key ratios (%)						(ppt)	(ppt)						
Gross margin	18.4	17.8	17.3	18.5	19.5	1.0	1.1	16.8	18.5	1.7	17.3		
SG&A / sales	11.9	11.7	12.6	13.0	13.5	0.5	1.5	12.1	13.0	1.0	12.2		
Operating margin	7.2	7.1	5.3	6.5	6.8	0.3	(0.4)	5.4	6.2	0.8	5.8		
Net margin	3.6	(48.4)	3.5	3.5	4.0	0.6	0.5	3.2	3.6	0.4	3.7		
Core margin	4.3	3.3	2.7	4.1	4.2	0.0	(0.2)	3.5	3.7	0.1	3.7		
Operating statistics (THB m)													
Ambient sales	15,851	15,693	17,156	17,376	17,920	3.1	13.1	48,212	52,452	8.8	63,263	(1.0)	82.9
Frozen, chilled seafood sales	11,593	12,525	9,618	10,842	9,836	(9.3)	(15.2)	34,770	30,296	(12.9)	47,295	0.0	64.1
Pet care sales	3,773	4,622	3,955	4,456	4,352	(2.3)	15.3	10,437	12,763	22.3	16,112	7.0	79.2
Value added, others sale	2,698	2,689	2,490	2,609	2,732	4.7	1.3	7,206	7,831	8.7	10,241	3.5	76.5
Gross margin (%)													
Ambient	20.4	17.4	16.6	18.9	20.1	1.2	(0.3)	19.3	18.5	(0.8)	18.5	(0.5)	
Frozen, chilled seafood	12.9	14.4	11.8	10.7	12.0	1.3	(0.9)	10.1	11.5	1.4	12.0	0.7	
Pet care	19.4	23.4	25.7	31.3	30.6	(0.7)	11.2	19.2	29.2	10.0	22.0	1.5	
Value added, others	28.9	26.2	29.5	26.5	25.1	(1.4)	(3.8)	27.3	27.0	(0.3)	27.0	(0.1)	
Tuna price (USD/tonne)	1,800	1,533	1,335	1,478	1,410	(4.6)	(21.7)	1,873	1,408	(24.9)	1,449	(18.8)	
Shrimp 60 pcs/kg (THB/kg)	123	134	137	130	141	8.7	14.6	139	136	(2.4)	150	8.7	
Salmon (NOK/kg)	83	84	108	110	78	(29.1)	(6.0)	99	99	0.0	90	(5.3)	

Sources: TU, FSSIA's compilation

Financial Statements

Thai Union Group

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	155,586	136,153	136,911	142,388	149,507
Cost of goods sold	(128,380)	(112,928)	(113,226)	(117,612)	(123,343)
Gross profit	27,206	23,225	23,686	24,775	26,164
Other operating income	974	1,060	958	997	1,047
Operating costs	(19,156)	(16,313)	(16,703)	(17,371)	(18,240)
Operating EBITDA	13,348	12,163	12,357	13,067	13,887
Depreciation	(4,324)	(4,191)	(4,416)	(4,666)	(4,916)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	0
Operating EBIT	9,024	7,972	7,941	8,401	8,970
Net financing costs	(1,998)	(2,302)	(2,526)	(2,414)	(2,357)
Associates	(1,030)	679	638	677	681
Recurring non-operating income	(1,030)	(19,490)	638	677	681
Non-recurring items	567	0	0	0	0
Profit before tax	6,564	(13,820)	6,053	6,664	7,295
Tax	840	620	(325)	(359)	(397)
Profit after tax	7,403	(13,200)	5,728	6,305	6,898
Minority interests	(265)	(733)	(685)	(712)	(748)
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	-	-
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
Reported net profit	7,138	(13,933)	5,043	5,593	6,150
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(567)	0	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	6,571	(13,933)	5,043	5,593	6,150
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	1.38	(2.99)	1.08	1.20	1.32
Reported EPS	1.50	(2.99)	1.08	1.20	1.32
DPS	0.85	0.53	0.60	0.66	0.67
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	4,772	4,655	4,655	4,655	4,655
Growth					
Revenue (%)	10.3	(12.5)	0.6	4.0	5.0
Operating EBITDA (%)	0.7	(8.9)	1.6	5.7	6.3
Operating EBIT (%)	(0.5)	(11.7)	(0.4)	5.8	6.8
Recurring EPS (%)	8.3	nm	nm	10.9	10.0
Reported EPS (%)	(10.9)	nm	nm	10.9	10.0
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	17.5	17.1	17.3	17.4	17.5
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	20.3	20.1	20.5	20.7	20.8
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	8.6	8.9	9.0	9.2	9.3
Operating EBIT margin (%)	5.8	5.9	5.8	5.9	6.0
Net margin (%)	4.2	(10.2)	3.7	3.9	4.1
Effective tax rate (%)	(12.8)	4.5	5.4	5.4	5.4
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	61.9	(17.7)	55.0	55.0	51.0
Interest cover (X)	4.0	(5.0)	3.4	3.8	4.1
Inventory days	146.0	173.0	162.7	153.3	152.6
Debtor days	39.7	45.0	41.3	39.0	38.9
Creditor days	63.8	68.5	65.3	66.4	66.1
Operating ROIC (%)	9.5	7.9	8.0	8.5	8.9
ROIC (%)	4.6	(6.7)	5.5	6.0	6.2
ROE (%)	9.4	(20.0)	8.7	9.5	9.9
ROA (%)	4.8	(6.5)	4.8	5.2	5.4

* Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted

Revenue by Division (THB m)	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Ambient seafood	66,510	63,904	63,263	64,977	67,721
Frozen & chilled seafood	56,964	47,295	47,295	48,714	50,662
Pet food & others	32,113	24,953	26,353	28,696	31,124

Sources: Thai Union Group; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Thai Union Group

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring net profit	6,571	(13,933)	5,043	5,593	6,150
Depreciation	4,324	4,191	4,416	4,666	4,916
Associates & minorities	(1,030)	679	638	677	681
Other non-cash items	1,134	(20,169)	0	0	0
Change in working capital	(8,270)	2,378	4,743	(1,679)	(2,189)
Cash flow from operations	2,729	(26,854)	14,841	9,257	9,558
Capex - maintenance	(5,986)	(5,557)	(4,500)	(5,000)	(5,000)
Capex - new investment	-	-	-	-	-
Net acquisitions & disposals	23,560	34,495	(5,722)	(677)	(475)
Other investments (net)	(1,731)	(851)	5,861	(1,260)	(1,637)
Cash flow from investing	15,843	28,087	(4,361)	(6,937)	(7,112)
Dividends paid	(4,281)	(6,811)	(2,620)	(2,922)	(2,994)
Equity finance	0	(29)	0	1	1
Debt finance	(10,684)	7,631	(4,834)	(2,806)	(1,428)
Other financing cash flows	(389)	223	(1,498)	329	427
Cash flow from financing	(15,354)	1,015	(8,952)	(5,399)	(3,994)
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
Net other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
Movement in cash	3,218	2,248	1,527	(3,078)	(1,548)
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	20,570.44	3,535.97	13,005.66	4,734.29	4,802.91
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	7,499.62	9,088.36	4,147.31	(156.86)	1,445.28

Per share (THB)

FCFF per share	4.42	0.76	2.79	1.02	1.03
FCFE per share	1.61	1.95	0.89	(0.03)	0.31
Recurring cash flow per share	2.30	(6.28)	2.17	2.35	2.52

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Tangible fixed assets (gross)	60,730	64,122	68,847	74,097	79,347
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(30,711)	(32,736)	(37,378)	(42,294)	(47,460)
Tangible fixed assets (net)	30,020	31,385	31,469	31,803	31,887
Intangible fixed assets (net)	0	0	0	0	0
Long-term financial assets	-	-	-	-	-
Invest. in associates & subsidiaries	28,425	10,885	10,885	10,885	10,885
Cash & equivalents	12,241	14,490	16,017	12,939	11,391
A/C receivable	17,525	16,031	14,929	15,526	16,302
Inventories	52,622	50,482	46,531	48,334	50,689
Other current assets	5,236	4,827	7,234	7,387	7,586
Current assets	87,625	85,829	84,710	84,185	85,968
Other assets	36,500	37,351	31,490	32,749	34,387
Total assets	182,569	165,450	158,554	159,623	163,127
Common equity	80,642	58,628	57,575	60,247	63,404
Minorities etc.	7,489	7,389	7,119	7,119	7,326
Total shareholders' equity	88,131	66,017	64,695	67,366	70,730
Long term debt	48,125	39,248	39,172	38,972	37,909
Other long-term liabilities	9,489	9,712	8,215	8,543	8,970
Long-term liabilities	57,614	48,960	47,386	47,515	46,879
A/C payable	22,018	18,798	20,163	20,945	21,965
Short term debt	12,232	28,740	23,982	21,376	21,011
Other current liabilities	2,574	2,935	2,327	2,421	2,542
Current liabilities	36,824	50,473	46,473	44,741	45,518
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	182,569	165,450	158,554	159,623	163,127
Net working capital	50,792	49,607	46,203	47,882	50,071
Invested capital	145,736	129,228	120,046	123,319	127,229

* Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being treated as debt

Per share (THB)

Book value per share	16.90	12.59	12.37	12.94	13.62
Tangible book value per share	16.90	12.59	12.37	12.94	13.62

Financial strength

Net debt/equity (%)	54.6	81.0	72.9	70.4	67.2
Net debt/total assets (%)	26.4	32.3	29.7	29.7	29.1
Current ratio (x)	2.4	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9
CF interest cover (x)	4.8	4.9	2.6	0.9	1.6

Valuation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring P/E (x) *	11.1	(5.1)	14.1	12.7	11.6
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	14.1	(6.5)	17.9	16.1	14.7
Reported P/E (x)	10.2	(5.1)	14.1	12.7	11.6
Dividend yield (%)	5.6	3.5	3.9	4.3	4.4
Price/book (x)	0.9	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1
Price/tangible book (x)	0.9	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1
EV/EBITDA (x) **	9.6	10.9	10.2	9.6	9.1
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	11.1	12.4	11.7	11.1	10.5
EV/invested capital (x)	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted ** EBITDA includes associate income and recurring non-operating income

Sources: Thai Union Group; FSSIA estimates

Thai Union Group PCL (TU TB)

FSSIA ESG rating

★★★★

Exhibit 2: FSSIA ESG score implication

68.12 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
★★★★★	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
★★★★★	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
★★★	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
★★	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
★	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 3: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA	Domestic ratings						Global ratings						Bloomberg	
	ESG score	DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
BTG	8.00	--	--	--	--	--	Declared	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
CPF	78.94	Y	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	High	50.25	BBB	43.00	70.21	85.00	4.08	62.09
GFPT	53.67	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	High	56.79	--	--	61.87	19.00	--	55.41
TU	68.12	Y	--	--	5.00	5.00	Certified	High	44.49	A	35.00	66.15	87.00	2.99	--
TFG	33.01	--	--	Y	4.00	5.00	Certified	High	--	--	--	42.11	--	--	--
RBF	34.45	--	--	--	5.00	4.00	Declared	High	51.04	--	--	30.50	--	2.34	--

Sources: [SETTRADE.com](#); FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 4: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	2.37	2.62	2.56	2.86	2.84	3.00	3.01	2.99
BESG environmental pillar score	2.52	2.49	2.51	3.33	3.36	3.58	3.54	—
BESG social pillar score	0.95	1.32	1.28	1.41	1.36	1.53	1.53	—
BESG governance pillar score	3.94	4.42	4.21	3.95	3.89	3.93	4.02	—
ESG disclosure score	50.93	56.98	56.98	60.30	60.75	63.74	64.21	—
Environmental disclosure score	38.75	44.64	44.64	48.32	48.66	48.66	50.08	—
Social disclosure score	35.34	42.59	42.59	42.59	43.62	52.60	52.60	—
Governance disclosure score	78.60	83.59	83.59	89.86	89.86	89.86	89.86	—
Environmental								
Emissions reduction initiatives	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Climate change policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
GHG scope 1	385	423	367	370	337	311	323	—
GHG scope 2 location-based	161	176	179	170	173	183	188	—
GHG Scope 3	—	—	—	—	—	—	3,786	—
Carbon per unit of production	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	—
Biodiversity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Total energy consumption	1,129	1,328	1,251	1,196	1,097	1,181	1,209	—
Renewable energy use	25	54	48	40	53	75	122	—
Electricity used	310	360	369	350	354	379	384	—
Fuel used - natural gas	4,142	8,254	7,918	7,030	3,052	7,182	8,309	—

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 5: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	—	0	0	1	1	1	3	—
Total waste	—	41	27	57	45	84	66	—
Waste recycled	—	—	—	27	26	51	32	—
Waste sent to landfills	—	41	27	22	14	14	14	—
Environmental supply chain management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Social								
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Consumer data protection policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
Pct women in workforce	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	65
Pct disabled in workforce	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	—
Total recordable incident rate - employees	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	—
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Number of employees – CSR	39,864	49,177	51,143	47,314	44,551	44,101	44,705	44,705
Employee turnover pct	15	14	15	13	16	17	13	—
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	305,452	885,186	767,145	264,958	209,390	260,196	299,524	168,216
Social supply chain management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Governance								
Board size	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	11
No. of independent directors (ID)	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5
No. of women on board	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
No. of non-executive directors on board	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	8	8	6	5	6	7	5	8
Board meeting attendance pct	90	94	89	85	90	92	95	92
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	41	42	42	43	44	45	46	47
Age of the oldest director	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	80
No. of executives / company managers	10	10	12	11	12	12	11	11
No. of female executives	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Audit committee meetings	23	23	21	14	21	12	5	13
Audit meeting attendance %	—	97	89	93	97	94	100	97
Size of compensation committee	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
No. of ID on compensation committee	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
No. of compensation committee meetings	4	3	2	2	2	2	4	3
Compensation meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Size of nomination committee	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
No. of nomination committee meetings	4	3	2	2	2	2	4	3
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Sustainability governance								
Verification type	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating																				
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.																				
Sustainability Investment List (THSI) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	THSI quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for THSI inclusion , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETTHSI Index is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.																				
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).																				
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i>	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.																				
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i>	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.																				
Morningstar Sustainabilitytics	The Sustainabilitytics' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.</i>	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table><tr><th>NEGL</th><th>Low</th><th>Medium</th><th>High</th><th>Severe</th></tr><tr><td>0-10</td><td>10-20</td><td>20-30</td><td>30-40</td><td>40+</td></tr></table>	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+										
NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe																		
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																		
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.																				
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table><tr><td>AAA</td><td>8.571-10.000</td><td rowspan="3">Leader:</td><td rowspan="3">leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td></tr><tr><td>AA</td><td>7.143-8.570</td></tr><tr><td>A</td><td>5.714-7.142</td></tr><tr><td>BBB</td><td>4.286-5.713</td><td rowspan="3">Average:</td><td rowspan="3">a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td></tr><tr><td>BB</td><td>2.857-4.285</td></tr><tr><td>B</td><td>1.429-2.856</td></tr><tr><td>CCC</td><td>0.000-1.428</td><td>Laggard:</td><td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td></tr></table>		AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities	AA	7.143-8.570	A	5.714-7.142	BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers	BB	2.857-4.285	B	1.429-2.856	CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks
AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities																			
AA	7.143-8.570																					
A	5.714-7.142																					
BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers																			
BB	2.857-4.285																					
B	1.429-2.856																					
CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks																			
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.																					
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)</i>																					
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.																					
Bloomberg	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.																				
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.																				

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) **"CG Score"**; 2) **"AGM Level"**; 3) **"Thai CAC"**; and 4) **THSI**. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Sureeporn Teewasuwet FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd


The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price

Thai Union Group (TU TB)



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
23-Jan-2023	BUY	24.00	10-Jul-2023	BUY	18.00	20-Feb-2024	BUY	17.30
09-May-2023	BUY	20.00	23-Jan-2024	BUY	19.00	03-Oct-2024	BUY	19.40

Sureeporn Teewasuwet started covering this stock from 23-Jan-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Thai Union Group	TU TB	THB 15.30	BUY	Downside risks to our SoTP-based 2025 TP include 1) a slower-than-expected revenue recovery; 2) a disruption on shipping routes, resulting in higher-than-expected freight costs; 3) a slower decrease in raw material costs than expected; 4) higher-than-expected labor costs and labor shortages; and 5) stronger Baht than expected.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 06-Nov-2024 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as $(\text{target price}^* - \text{current price}) / \text{current price}$.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.