## 29 OCTOBER 2024

EQUITY RESEARCH – RESULTS FLASH

# SIAM GLOBAL HOUSE

# 

FSSIA ESG rating



# 

# 3Q24 results at first glance

กำไรสุทธิ 3Q24 ต่ำกว่าที่เราคาด 25% และตลาดคาด 27% ส่วนมากจากค่าใช้จ่ายการ ขายและบริหารที่สูงเกินคาด

### Highlights

- GLOBAL รายงานกำไรสุทธิ 3Q24 อยู่ที่ 364 ลบ. (-52% q-q, -31% y-y) เมื่อหักผล ขาดทุนจากอัตราแลกเปลี่ยนหลังหักภาษีจำนวน 38 ลบ. (ก่อนภาษี 47 ลบ.) กำไรปกติ อยู่ที่ 401 ลบ. (-48% q-q, -24% y-y) ต่ำกว่าที่เราและตลาดคาด 18%/19% ตามลำดับ ส่วนมากจากค่าใช้จ่ายการขายและบริหารที่สูงเกินคาด
- ยอดขายรวมลดลง 2.2% y-y จากการเติบโตของยอดขายสาขาเดิม (SSSG) ที่ยังติดลบ
  ที่ -6.5% จากอุตสาหกรรมที่ฟื้นตัวช้าและปัญหาน้ำท่วมในบางพื้นที่
- อัตรากำไรขั้นต้น (GPM) ยังทรงตัว y-y ที่ 25.9% ในขณะที่ค่าใช้จ่ายการขายและบริหาร เพิ่ม 12% y-y จากต้นทุนพนักงานที่สูงขึ้นสำหรับ 6 สาขาใหม่ ค่าใช้จ่ายในการบริหาร คลังสินค้า ค่าใช้จ่ายในการเตรียมเปิดสาขาใหม่และผลขาดทุนจากอัตราแลกเปลี่ยน นอกจากนี้ค่าใช้จ่ายดอกเบี้ยยังเพิ่มตามอัตราการดอกเบี้ยเงินกู้
- กำไรปกติในช่วง 9M24 คิดเป็น 74% ของประมาณการทั้งปีของเรา เราคงประมาณการ กำไรปกติปี 2024 ของเราไว้ที่ 2.54พัน ลบ. (-5% y-y) โดยคาดว่า 3Q24 จะเป็นกำไรที่ ด่ำที่สุดของปีนี้ก่อนพื้นตัว q-q ใน 4Q24 และคาดกำไรปกติปี 2025 อยู่ที่ 2.96พัน ลบ. (+16% y-y) การเบิกจ่ายงบประมาณของรัฐบาลในระดับปกติน่าจะทำให้ SSSG เป็น บวกได้ที่ 3% ในปี 2025 และ GPM อาจสูงขึ้น 30bps y-y
- QTD SSSG ในช่วงเดือน ต.ค. มีแนวโน้มกลับมาทรงตัวโดยน่าจะได้ประโยชน์จากการ ฟื้นตัวหลังน้ำท่วมและมาตรการกระตุ้นเศรษฐกิจของรัฐบาลผ่านการแจกเงินจำนวน 10,000 บาท
- คงคำแนะนำถือที่ราคาเป้าหมายปี 2025 ที่ 17.3 บาท (DCF) ปัจจุบัน GLOBAL มีการ ซื้อขายที่ 27x 2025E P/E ใกล้ค่า P/E เฉลี่ย 5 ปีย้อนหลังที่ 28.3x และสูงกว่าค่าเฉลี่ย ของกลุ่มฯ ที่ 21.6x

# TARGET PRICETHB17.30CLOSETHB15.60UP/DOWNSIDE+10.9%TP vs CONSENSUS+1.7%

## **KEY STOCK DATA**

**GLOBAL TB** 

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	32,301	32,506	35,319	37,430
Net profit	2,671	2,542	2,958	3,255
EPS (THB)	0.53	0.49	0.57	0.63
vs Consensus (%)	-	(9.8)	(9.5)	(8.8)
EBITDA	3,960	3,742	4,335	4,801
Recurring net profit	2,671	2,542	2,958	3,255
Core EPS (THB)	0.53	0.49	0.57	0.63
EPS growth (%)	(26.5)	(8.5)	16.4	10.0
Core P/E (x)	29.2	31.9	27.4	24.9
Dividend yield (%)	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.6
EV/EBITDA (x)	22.6	24.6	21.4	19.3
Price/book (x)	3.4	3.3	3.1	2.9
Net debt/Equity (%)	46.6	42.2	43.3	39.3
ROE (%)	11.9	10.6	11.7	12.1



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	(0.6)	(1.9)	6.0
Relative to country (%)	(0.8)	(11.7)	1.3
Mkt cap (USD m)			2,398
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)			4.3
Free float (%)			35
Major shareholder	Suriyaw	anakul Far	nily (34%)
12m high/low (THB)		1	7.40/12.40
Issued shares (m)			5,001.80

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Thada Jiracharoenying Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 054189 thada.j@fssia.com, +66 2646 9964

PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

บทวิเคราะห์ฉบับนี้แปลมาจากต้นฉบับภาษาอังกฤษ ที่ออกรายงานเมื่อวันที่ 29 ตุลาคม 2024

### Exhibit 1: GLOBAL – 3Q24 results summary


Profit & Loss Statement	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	2Q24	3Q24	Chai	nge		- 9M24		2024E	Change
Year to Dec 31	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	%24E	(THB m)	(y-y%)				
Retail sales	7,340	7,523	8,767	8,715	7,182	(18)	(2)	24,665	(0)	76	32,506	1
Cost of retail sales	5,443	5,557	6,578	6,395	5,323	(17)	(2)	18,296	(1)	76	24,080	0
Gross profit	1,898	1,966	2,190	2,320	1,858	(20)	(2)	6,369	1	76	8,426	2
Operating costs	(1,399)	(1,423)	(1,464)	(1,574)	(1,561)	(1)	12	(4,600)	12	77	(6,012)	9
Operating profit	499	543	726	746	297	(60)	(40)	1,769	(19)	73	2,415	(12)
Other income/expenses	173	190	212	206	138	(33)	(20)	556	7	71	782	10
Interest expense	(71)	(81)	(86)	(70)	(83)	17	16	(239)	19	81	(294)	4
Profit before tax	601	651	852	882	353	(60)	(41)	2,086	(17)	72	2,902	(8)
Тах	(117)	(126)	(179)	(179)	(71)	(61)	(40)	(428)	(13)	74	(580)	(6)
Associates	44	34	51	61	79	29	81	191	89	84	227	68
Minority interests	(2)	1	2	0	3	573	(231)	5	(167)	(74)	(7)	1
Non-recurring items	0	0	0	0	(38)	n/a	n/a	(38)	n/a	n/a	0	n/a
Reported net profit	525	560	725	765	364	(52)	(31)	1,854	(12)	73	2,542	(5)
Recurring net profit	525	560	725	765	401	(48)	(24)	1,891	(10)	74	2,542	(5)
EPS (THB)	0.10	0.11	0.14	0.15	0.08	(48)	(27)	0	(15)	74	0.49	(9)
Recurring EPS (THB)	0.10	0.11	0.14	0.15	0.07	(52)	(33)	0	(17)	73	0.49	(9)
Key ratios	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)	(%)	(ppt)		(%)	(ppt)
Retail sales margin	25.9	26.1	25.0	26.6	25.9	(0.7)	0.0	25.8	0.4		25.9	0.3
Operating margin	6.8	7.2	8.3	8.6	4.1	(4.4)	(2.7)	7.1	(1.0)		7.4	(1.0)
Recurring net margin	7.2	7.4	8.3	8.8	5.6	(3.2)	(1.6)	6.6	(1.2)		7.8	(0.5)
SG&A / Sales	19.1	18.9	16.7	18.1	21.7	3.7	2.7	18.6	2.1		18.5	1.4
Effective tax rate	19.5	19.4	21.0	20.3	20.0	(0.2)	0.5	20.5	0.9		20.0	0.0
Operating stats												
SSSG (%)	(12.3)	(12.3)	(5.3)	(2.3)	(6.5)						(4.0)	
Private brand (%)	23.9	24.0	23.0	23.5	24.0						24.2	
Number of stores-TH (no.)	81	83	84	87	87						91	

Sources: GLOBAL; FSSIA estimates

### Exhibit 2: GLOBAL's SSSG




**Exhibit 3: Store expansion** 



Sources: GLOBAL; FSSIA estimates


Sources: GLOBAL; FSSIA estimates

### Exhibit 4: Quarterly revenue and %GPM



Sources: GLOBAL; FSSIA estimates

### Exhibit 6: Store breakdown by region



Sources: GLOBAL; FSSIA's compilation

### Exhibit 8: Rolling one-year forward P/E band






Exhibit 5: Quarterly revenue and %NPM




Sources: GLOBAL; FSSIA estimates

### Exhibit 7: Farm income



Sources: Office of Agricultural Economics

### Exhibit 9: Rolling one-year forward P/BV band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

## Exhibit 10: Commerce peers as of 28 October 2024

Company	BBG	Rec	S	hare price	)	Market	F	'Е	R	0E	PI	3V	EV/ EB	ITDA
			Current	Target	Upside	Сар	24E	25E	24E	25E	24E	25E	24E	25E
			(LCY)	(LCY)	(%)	(USD m)	(x)	(x)	(%)	(%)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
Consumer Staple														
CP All	CPALL TB	BUY	64.25	83.00	29	17,053	23.6	20.8	20.9	21.3	5.1	4.5	19.2	16.8
CP Axtra	CPAXT TB	BUY	32.50	42.00	29	10,013	32.5	26.1	3.5	4.3	1.1	1.1	11.1	9.7
Berli Jucker	BJC TB	BUY	24.00	31.00	29	2,842	21.8	18.8	3.7	4.2	0.8	0.8	12.1	11.7
Consumer Staple average						29,908	25.9	21.9	9.4	9.9	2.3	2.1	14.1	12.7
Consumer Discretionary														
Com7	COM7 TB	BUY	26.00	24.00	(8)	1,809	19.6	18.2	36.1	33.2	6.5	5.6	13.3	11.8
Central Retail Corp	CRC TB	BUY	31.00	40.00	29	5,524	21.9	20.0	12.2	12.4	2.6	2.4	9.4	8.9
Home Improvement														
Index Living Mall	ILM TB	BUY	17.70	26.70	51	264	11.4	10.5	12.9	13.5	1.4	1.4	6.3	5.8
Home Product Center	HMPRO TB	BUY	9.50	13.20	39	3,691	19.4	18.4	24.6	24.8	4.7	4.5	11.2	10.6
Siam Global House	GLOBAL TB	HOLD	15.60	17.30	11	2,398	31.9	27.4	10.6	11.7	3.3	3.1	24.6	21.4
Dohome	DOHOME TB	HOLD	9.80	10.50	7	935	49.8	34.1	5.1	7.0	2.5	2.3	20.0	17.2
Home Improvement avg.						7,288	28.1	22.6	13.3	14.2	3.0	2.8	15.5	13.8
Consumer Discretionary avg.						14,621	25.7	21.4	16.9	17.1	3.5	3.2	14.1	12.6
Total average						44,529	25.8	21.6	14.4	14.7	3.1	2.9	14.1	12.7

Source: FSSIA estimates

## **Financial Statements**

Siam Global House

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	35,270	32,301	32,506	35,319	37,430
Cost of goods sold	(26,166)	(24,040)	(24,080)	(26,056)	(27,528)
Gross profit	9,104	8,261	8,426	9,263	9,902
Other operating income	0	0	0	-	-
Operating costs	(5,410)	(5,524)	(6,012)	(6,371)	(6,652)
Operating EBITDA	4,941	3,960	3,742	4,335	4,801
Depreciation	(1,246)	(1,223)	(1,328)	(1,443)	(1,550)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	-	-
Operating EBIT	3,695	2,737	2,415	2,892	3,251
Net financing costs	(193)	(287)	(299)	(290)	(297)
Associates	120	135	227	234	241
Recurring non-operating income	828	848	1,013	1,046	1,064
Non-recurring items	0	0	0	0	0
Profit before tax	4,330	3,298	3,129	3,648	4,018
Tax	(824)	(620)	(580)	(683)	(755)
Profit after tax	3,506	2,678	2,549	2,965	3,263
Minority interests	(19)	(7)	(7)	(7)	(8)
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	0
Other items	0	0	0	0	0
Reported net profit	3,487	2,671	2,542	2,958	3,255
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	0	0	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	3,487	2,671	2,542	2,958	3,255
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	0.73	0.53	0.49	0.57	0.63
Reported EPS	0.73	0.53	0.49	0.57	0.63
DPS	0.30	0.21	0.20	0.23	0.25
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	4,802	5,002	5,202	5,202	5,202
Growth					
Revenue (%)	5.3	(8.4)	0.6	8.7	6.0
Operating EBITDA (%)	1.1	(19.9)	(5.5)	15.8	10.7
Operating EBIT (%)	1.9	(25.9)	(11.8)	19.8	12.4
Recurring EPS (%)	(0.1)	(26.5)	(8.5)	16.4	10.0
Reported EPS (%)	(0.1)	(26.5)	(8.5)	16.4	10.0
Operating performance	(- )	( )	()		
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	25.8	25.6	25.9	26.2	26.5
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	29.3	29.4	30.0	30.3	30.6
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	14.0	12.3	11.5	12.3	12.8
Operating EBIT margin (%)	10.5	8.5	7.4	8.2	8.7
Net margin (%)	9.9	8.3	7.8	8.4	8.7
Effective tax rate (%)	19.6	19.6	20.0	20.0	20.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	41.1	40.2	40.0	40.0	40.0
Interest cover (X)	23.5	12.5	11.5	13.6	14.5
Inventory days	233.9	238.2	224.3	214.0	214.6
Debtor days	6.8	7.8	8.5	8.2	8.3
Creditor days	35.5	42.8	49.4	48.6	50.2
Operating ROIC (%)	11.7	8.7	7.6	8.7	9.4
ROIC (%)	12.7	10.0	9.5	10.4	10.9
ROE (%)	16.9	11.9	10.6	11.7	10.3
ROA (%)	9.4	7.6	7.2	7.8	8.1
* Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted	3.4	7.0	1.2	1.0	0.1
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Sales	35,270	32,301	32,506	35,319	37,430
Others	0	0	0	0	0

Sources: Siam Global House; FSSIA estimates

### **Financial Statements**

Siam Global House

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026
Recurring net profit	3,487	2,671	2,542	2,958	3,25
Depreciation	1,246	1,223	1,328	1,443	1,55
ssociates & minorities	9	(2)	0	0	
ther non-cash items	19	7	7	7	;
hange in working capital	258	2,060	203	(751)	(462
ash flow from operations	5,019	5,959	4,079	3,658	4,35
Capex - maintenance	(1,618)	(2,556)	(2,332)	(2,682)	(1,967
apex - new investment	0	0	0	0	
let acquisitions & disposals	(549)	(53)	(173)	(344)	(317
Other investments (net)	0	0	0	0	
ash flow from investing	(2,167)	(2,608)	(2,505)	(3,026)	(2,284
lividends paid	(1,432)	(1,073)	(1,017)	(1,183)	(1,302
quity finance	172	(147)	(110)	(350)	(350
Debt finance	(2,138)	(2,226)	(395)	957	(356
Other financing cash flows	0	0	0	0	(0.000
ash flow from financing	(3,399)	(3,446)	(1,521)	(576)	(2,009
lon-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	
other adjustments	0	0	0	0	
let other adjustments	0	0	0	0	
lovement in cash	(547)	(95)	53	55	5
ree cash flow to firm (FCFF) ree cash flow to equity (FCFE)	3,044.84 713.58	3,632.82 1,124.96	1,868.20 1,179.22	916.25 1,588.60	2,358.4 1,710.0
ree cash now to equity (FCFE)	713.36	1,124.90	1,179.22	1,500.00	1,710.00
er share (THB)					
CFF per share	0.61	0.73	0.37	0.18	0.4
CFE per share	0.14	0.22	0.24	0.32	0.3
ecurring cash flow per share	0.99	0.78	0.75	0.85	0.9
alance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026
angible fixed assets (gross)	25,311	27,696	30,028	32,710	34,67
ess: Accumulated depreciation	(7,840)	(8,893)	(10,220)	(11,663)	(13,213
angible fixed assets (net)	17,471	18,804	19,808	21,047	21,46
ntangible fixed assets (net)	0	0	0	0	21,40
ong-term financial assets	0	0	0	0	
ivest. in associates & subsidiaries	1,824	1,967	2,118	2,275	2,44
ash & equivalents	1,147	1,053	1,105	1,161	1,21
/C receivable	631	757	762	828	87
iventories	15,747	14,038	13,930	14,930	15,623
Other current assets	42	216	218	237	25
Current assets	17,568	16,064	16,015	17,155	17,96
Dther assets	2,285	2,222	2,237	2,430	2,57
otal assets	39,148	39,058	40,177	42,907	44,45
common equity	21,788	23,239	24,654	26,079	27,68
linorities etc.	304	308	315	322	33
otal shareholders' equity	22,091	23,547	24,969	26,401	28,012
ong term debt	3,252	2,186	1,914	2,252	2,12
ther long-term liabilities	176	204	196	203	20
ong-term liabilities	3,428	2,390	2,110	2,455	2,32
√C payable	2,311	3,043	3,114	3,441	3,71
hort term debt	11,006	9,845	9,723	10,341	10,11
Other current liabilities	313	232	261	268	29
urrent liabilities	13,629	13,120	13,098	14,051	14,119
otal liabilities and shareholders' equity	39,148	39,058	40,177	42,907	44,45
let working capital	13,797	11,737	11,534	12,285	12,74
ivested capital	35,377	34,730	35,696	38,037	39,23
Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being	ng treated as debt				
er share (THB)					
ook value per share	4.54	4.65	4.74	5.01	5.3
angible book value per share	4.54	4.65	4.74	5.01	5.3
inancial strength					
let debt/equity (%)	59.3	46.6	42.2	43.3	39.
let debt/total assets (%)	33.5	28.1	26.2	26.6	24.
current ratio (x)	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3
F interest cover (x)	4.7	4.9	4.9	6.5	6.
aluation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026
ecurring P/E (x) *	21.5	29.2	31.9	27.4	24.
ecurring P/E @ target price (x) *	23.8	32.4	35.4	30.4	27.
eported P/E (x)	21.5	29.2	31.9	27.4	24.
ividend yield (%)	1.9	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.
rice/book (x)	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.1	2.
rice/tangible book (x)	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.1	2.
V/EBITDA (x) **	17.9	22.6	24.6	21.4	19.
		24.7	26.9	23.5	21.
V/EBITDA @ target price (x) ** V/invested capital (x)	19.5 2.5	2.6	20.9	23.3	21.

Sources: Siam Global House; FSSIA estimates



# Siam Global House PCL (GLOBAL TB)

FSSIA ESG rating

 $\star \star \star \star$ 

59.18 /100

### Exhibit 11: FSSIA ESG score implication

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
****	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
$\star\star$	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

### Exhibit 12: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA			Domes	stic ratings	\$				Glo	bal ratings	;		Bloomberg	
	ESG score	DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
DOHOME	42.34				5.00	5.00	Declared	Medium	37.50			37.19	20.00	4.17	46.91
GLOBAL	59.18		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Declared	Low	53.10			36.48	41.00	3.24	52.38
HMPRO	87.20	Y	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	66.54	AA	37.00	65.78	81.00	5.36	62.59
ILM	20.00				5.00	5.00	Certified								
MEGA	54.48		Y	Y	4.00	4.00	Declared	Medium	66.56			58.59	24.00	2.39	

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

### Exhibit 13: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	2.92	2.83	3.28	3.24
BESG environmental pillar score	1.16	0.86	0.85	0.96
BESG social pillar score	4.31	4.24	4.82	4.85
BESG governance pillar score	3.70	3.93	4.93	4.56
ESG disclosure score	38.07	41.93	44.61	52.38
Environmental disclosure score	7.58	13.17	15.98	36.70
Social disclosure score	25.39	31.38	30.35	32.95
Governance disclosure score	81.10	81.10	87.36	87.36
Environmental				
Emissions reduction initiatives	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	No	No	No	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	Yes
GHG scope 1	—	_	—	15
GHG scope 2 location-based	—	_	—	34
GHG Scope 3	_	_	_	10
Carbon per unit of production	_	_	_	_
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	No
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	_	_	_	_
Renewable energy use	_	_	_	_
Electricity used	68	133	151	149
Fuel used - natural gas	—	—	—	—

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

## Exhibit 14: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	—	—	—	—
Total waste	—	—	—	_
Waste recycled	—	—	—	2
Waste sent to landfills		_	_	_
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	No	Yes
Water policy	No	No	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	_	296	297	343
Social				
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	No	No	No
Consumer data protection policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No
Pct women in workforce	—	_	43	43
Pct disabled in workforce	1	1	1	1
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	2	1	1	1
Total recordable incident rate - employees	—	_	_	1
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No
Number of employees – CSR	9,398	9,286	9,872	10,063
Employee turnover pct	_	_	_	_
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	76,726	69,157	83,511	81,309
Social supply chain management	No	No	No	Yes
Governance				
Board size	10	10	10	10
No. of independent directors (ID)	4	4	4	4
No. of women on board	1	1	0	0
No. of non-executive directors on board	7	7	7	8
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	4	4	6	6
Board meeting attendance pct	100	98	100	98
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	49	53	33	34
Age of the oldest director	74	75	76	77
No. of executives / company managers	12	12	13	11
No. of female executives	3	1	3	3
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3
Audit committee meetings	3	5	5	4
Audit meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100
Size of compensation committee	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on compensation committee	2	2	2	2
No. of compensation committee meetings	2	2	2	2
Compensation meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100
Size of nomination committee	3	3	3	3
No. of nomination committee meetings	<b>3</b> 2	2	2	<b>3</b> 2
Notion normation committee meetings	100	100	100	100
	100	100	100	100
Sustainability governance				

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

### **Disclaimer for ESG scoring**

ESG score	Methodolog	У			Rating						
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	process base from the ann Only the top- inclusion.	ed on the con ual S&P Glob ranked comp	transparent, rules-based npanies' Total Sustainabi pal Corporate Sustainabil nanies within each industr	ility Scores resulting ity Assessment (CSA). ry are selected for	Sustainability A ESG Score of le scoring compar selected from th	ssessment (C ess than 45% ny are disquali ne Eligible Uni		mpanies with al ESG Score uents of the D	an S&P Global of the highest JSI indices are		
Sustainability Investment List ( <u>THSI</u> ) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand ( <u>SET</u> )	managing bu Candidates n 1) no irregula float of >150 up capital. So 70%; 2) indep wrongdoing n	siness with tr nust pass the ar trading of th shareholders ome key disq pendent direc elated to CG	ility in Environmental and ransparency in Governar preemptive criteria, with he board members and e s, and combined holding ualifying criteria include: ctors and free float violati , social & environmental earnings in red for > 3 year	ace, updated annually. a two crucial conditions: executives; and 2) free must be >15% of paid- 1) CG score of below on; 3) executives' impacts; 4) equity in	To be eligible for <u>THSI inclusion</u> , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. <u>SETTHSI Index</u> is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight a maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.						
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	annually by t	he Thai IOD, T). The resul	th in sustainable develop with support from the St ts are from the perspecti s.	ock Exchange of	Good (80-89), 3 and not rated for equitable treatm	6 for Good (70 or scores below nent of shareh 5%); 4) disclo	ories: 5 for Excell -79), 2 for Fair (6 w 50. Weightings olders (weight 2: sure & transpare	60-69), 1 for P include: 1) th 5% combined)	ass (60-69), e rights; 2) and ; 3) the role of		
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	treatment are transparent a out of five the criteria cover date (45%), a <i>circulation of su</i> <i>exercised. The</i> <i>and verifiability</i>	e incorporated and sufficientl e CG compor AGM proced and after the ufficient informa second assess ; and 3) openned	which shareholders' right d into business operation y disclosed. All form imp- nents to be evaluated anr dures before the meeting meeting (10%). (The first a tion for voting; and 2) facilita ses 1) the ease of attending ses for Q&A. The third involve ues, resolutions and voting re	s and information is ortant elements of two nually. The assessment (45%), at the meeting assesses 1) advance ting how voting rights can be neetings; 2) transparency es the meeting minutes that	e						
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	establishmer policies. The (Companies de Declaration of I Certification, in managers and	t of key contr Certification eciding to becor Intent to kick of cluding risk ass employees, est	Checklist include corrupt rols, and the monitoring a is good for three years. me a CAC certified member s f an 18-month deadline to su sessment, in place of policy a tablishment of whistleblowing III stakeholders.)	and developing of start by submitting a bmit the CAC Checklist for ind control, training of	passed Checkli	st will move fo e members a	ed by a committe r granting certific re twelve highly r chievements.	cation by the C	AC Council		
<u>Morningstar</u> <u>Sustainalytics</u>	based on an risk is unman regulatory filing	assessment aged. Source as, news and ot	isk rating provides an ove of how much of a compa s to be reviewed include corp her media, NGO reports/web	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and psites, multi-sector	more risk is unr	nanaged, the	score is the sum higher ESG risk	is scored.			
	information, co reports, and qu		ck, ESG controversies, issuer views.	feedback on draft ESG	0-10	Low 10-20	Medium 20-30	High 30-40	Severe 40+		
ESG Book	positioned to the principle helps explain	outperform o of financial m future risk-a ng features w	sustainable companies the over the long term. The m lateriality including inform djusted performance. Ma ith higher materiality and erly basis.	nethodology considers nation that significantly ateriality is applied by	scores using ma	ateriality-base	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p	core is scaled			
<u>MSCI</u>				anagement of financially their exposure to ESG ris					ethodology to		
	AAA	8.571-10.00	Leader:	leading its industry in ma	anaging the most si	gnificant ESG ris	ks and opportunitie	s			
	AA	7.143-8.57				-					
	Α	5.714-7.14		a mixed or unexceptiona	al track record of ma	naging the mos	significant ESG ris	ks and opportur	ities relative to		
	BBB		- Atolugo.	inductor concern							
	BBB BB	4.286-5.71 2.857-4.28	5	industry peers							
		2.857-4.28 1.429-2.85	6								
	вв	2.857-4.28	6 Laggard:	lagging its industry base	d on its high expose	ure and failure to	o manage significan	t ESG risks			
Moody's ESG solutions	BB B CCC Moody's asso believes that	2.857-4.28 1.429-2.85 0.000-1.42 esses the dec a company in	6 <b>Laggard:</b> 8 gree to which companies	lagging its industry base take into account ESG ol ito its business model and	ojectives in the de	efinition and ir	nplementation of	their strategy			
	BB B CCC Moody's asso believes that create sustai Designed to based on put	2.857-4.28 1.429-2.85 0.000-1.42 esses the deg a company in nable value fr transparently policly availabl	6 Laggard: 8 The sector of the	lagging its industry base take into account ESG ol ito its business model and	pjectives in the de I relatively outper G performance, o 100 on relative E	efinition and ir forming its pe commitment a SG performan	nplementation of ers is better posi nd effectiveness ce and insufficier	their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr	in themes,		
solutions Refinitiv ESG	BB B CCC Moody's asset believes that create sustai Designed to the based on put reporting mate The S&P Glo	2.857-4.28 1.429-2.85 0.000-1.42 esses the deg a company in nable value fr transparently blicly availabl terial ESG dat bal ESG Scc	6 Laggard: 8 Laggard: 9 gree to which companies ntegrating ESG factors in or shareholders over the and objectively measure e and auditable data. The ta publicly. (Score ratings) ore is a relative score measure	lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ES e score ranges from 0 to	ojectives in the du I relatively outper G performance, ( 100 on relative Es satisfactory; >50 to prmance on and	efinition and ir forming its pe commitment a SG performan o 75 = good; and management d	nplementation of ers is better posi- nd effectiveness ce and insufficien / >75 to 100 = exce	their strategy itioned to mition across 10 ma nt degree of tr <i>llent.</i> )	in themes, ansparency in		
solutions Refinitiv ESG rating	BB B CCC Moody's asset believes that create sustai Designed to the based on put reporting mate The S&P Glo	2.857-4.28 1.429-2.85 0.000-1.42 esses the deg a company in nable value fr transparently blicly availabl terial ESG dat bal ESG Scc	6 Laggard: 8 Laggard: gree to which companies nor shareholders over the and objectively measure e and auditable data. The ta publicly. (Score ratings) ore is a relative score meaning the same industry classified Bloomberg score evalues score is based on Bloomberg	lagging its industry base take into account ESG of to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ES e score ranges from 0 to are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = asuring a company's perfo	Djectives in the do relatively outper G performance, c 100 on relative E: <i>satisfactory; &gt;50 ti</i> prmance on and jes from 0 to 100 egated Environm ncial materiality.	efinition and ir forming its pe commitment a SG performan o 75 = good; and management o nental, Social a The score is a	nplementation of ers is better posi- nd effectiveness ce and insufficiei / >75 to 100 = exce of ESG risks, opp and Governance weighted gener	their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr <i>llent.</i> ) portunities, an (ESG) perforn alized mean (	in themes, ansparency in d impacts mance. The power mean)		

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings. Source: FSSIA's compilation

### GENERAL DISCLAIMER

### ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

### Thada Jiracharoenying FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Siam Global House	GLOBAL TB	THB 15.60	HOLD	Key risks to our DCF-based TP are 1) lower/higher farm income, which would affect purchasing power in the agricultural sector, 2) government disbursement, 3) a lower/higher private brand mix and margin, 4) higher/lower expenses than expected, and 5) a higher/lower impact than expected from the El Nino.
Index Living Mall	ILM TB	THB 17.70	BUY	Risks to our DCF-based TP include 1) a lower-than-expected SSSG; 2) a lower-than- expected GPM; and 3) a higher-than-expected SG&A.
Home Product Center	HMPRO TB	THB 9.50	BUY	Key downside risks to our DCF-based TP include: 1) lower-than-expected SSSG; 2) slower-than-expected recovery in domestic consumption and tourist arrivals, and 3) operating losses from overseas units.
Dohome	DOHOME TB	THB 9.80	HOLD	Key risks to our DCF-based TP are 1) lower/higher farm income, which would affect purchasing power in the agricultural sector, 2) government disbursement, 3) a lower/higher private brand mix and margin, 4) higher/lower expenses than expected, and 5) a higher/lower impact than expected from the El Nino.
CP All	CPALL TB	THB 64.25	BUY	The key downside risks to our DCF-derived TP include 1) lower-than-expected SSSG, 2) lower-than-expected gross margin, and 3) higher-than-expected SG&A to sales ratio.
CP Axtra	CPAXT TB	THB 32.50	BUY	Key downside risks to our DCF-based TP include 1) a lower-than-expected SSSG, 2) a lower-than-expected GPM, 3) higher-than-expected SG&A expenses, and 4) an operating loss from its overseas units.
Berli Jucker	BJC TB	THB 24.00	BUY	Key downside risks to our DCF-based TP could come from 1) competition with Lotus which could impact its sales and gross margin; and 2) a higher in raw material prices.
Com7	COM7 TB	THB 26.00	BUY	Risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) lower/higher domestic consumption and purchasing power, 2) store cannibalization/expansion, and 3) lower/higher-than-expected gross margin.
Central Retail Corp	CRC TB	THB 31.00	BUY	Downside risks to our DCF-based TP include 1) a decline in domestic purchasing power, 2) lower-than-expected tourist arrivals, 3) an absence of the government's stimulus, and 4) a slower-than-expected economic recovery in Vietnam.

Source: FSSIA estimates

#### Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 28-Oct-2024 unless otherwise stated.

### **RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE**

### **Stock ratings**

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price\* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

\* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

### **Industry Recommendations**

**Overweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months. **Neutral.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months. **Underweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

### **Country (Strategy) Recommendations**

**Overweight (O).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

**Underweight (U).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.