EQUITY RESEARCH - COMPANY REPORT

NGERN TID LOR TIDLOR TB

THAILAND / FINANCE & SECURITIES

คาดกำไร 3Q24 จะลดลง q-q พร้อมคุณภาพสินทรัพย์ที่เสื่อมลง

- เราคาดว่ากำไรสุทธิ 3Q24 จะลดลงเล็กน้อย q-q แต่กำไรก่อนหักสำรองจะเพิ่มขึ้น เล็กน้อย q-q
- แม้ว่าคุณภาพสินทรัพย์จะอยู่ในระดับที่จัดการได้แต่ยังเสื่อมลงต่อเนื่องโดยคาดว่า สัดส่วนหนี้ด้อยคุณภาพและต้นทุนความเสี่ยงในการปล่อยสินเชื่อจะเพิ่มขึ้นใน 3Q24
- คงคำแนะนำถือหลังปรับราคาเป้าหมายเป็นปี 2025 ที่ 17.64 บาท (GGM)

คาดกำไร 3Q24 จะลดลงจาก ECL และ OPEX ที่สูงขึ้น

เราคาดกำไรสุทธิ 3Q24 อยู่ที่ 1.07พัน ลบ. (-1.7% q-q, +6.6% y-y) โดยมีปัจจัยกดดันสำคัญที่ ทำให้กำไรลดลง q-q ประกอบด้วยผลขาดทุนทางเครดิตที่คาดว่าจะเกิดขึ้น (ECL) ที่สูงขึ้นตาม การเสื่อมของคุณภาพสินทรัพย์และค่าใช้จ่ายในการดำเนินงานที่สูงขึ้น คาดกำไรก่อนหักสำรอง (PPOP) ที่ 2.30พัน ลบ. (+1.1% q-q, +18.2% y-y) โดยมีปัจจัยผลักดันจากการเติบโตของ สินเชื่อที่คาดว่าจะอยู่ที่ 2.4% q-q, 14.8% y-y, และ 8.2% YTD เราคาดว่า Loan spread จะ ปรับเพิ่ม 15bp q-q เป็น 15.13% แม้ว่าต้นทุนเงินกู้จะสูงขึ้นเราคาดว่าผลกระทบจากปัจจัย ดังกล่าวจะชดเชยได้โดยผลตอบแทนสินเชื่อที่คาดว่าจะปรับตัวดีขึ้นบางส่วนจากการคิดอัตรา ดอกเบี้ยที่สูงขึ้นในกลุ่มลูกค้าบางประเภทโดยเฉพาะในกลุ่มสินเชื่อทะเบียนรถยนต์และสินเชื่อ รถบรรทุกเพื่อสะท้อนความเสียงที่เพิ่มขึ้น เราคาดว่ารายได้ค่าธรรมเนียมจะโต q-q และ y-y โดยได้ปัจจัยหนุนจากรายได้ธุรกิจนายหน้าประกันภัย สัดส่วนค่าใช้จ่ายในการดำเนินงานต่อ รายได้ (Cost-to-income ratio) น่าจะอยู่ที่ 54.5% เพิ่มขึ้นเล็กน้อย q-q ซึ่งจะทำให้ดัวเลข ในช่วง 9M24 อยู่ที่ 54.0% ใกล้เคียงกับเป้าประมาณการปี 2024 ที่ระดับ 50% กลาง

ดุณภาพสินทรัพย์ยังเสื่อมต่อเนื่อง

คุณภาพสินทรัพย์ใน 3Q24 น่าจะยังเสื่อมต่อเนื่องโดยเราคาดว่าสัดส่วนหนี้ด้อยคุณภาพ (NPL ratio) และ ECL จะยังคงปรับตัวขึ้น ทั้งนี้ NPL ratio น่าจะเร่งตัวขึ้นเป็น 1.95% จาก 1.89% ใน 2Q24 ส่วนมากจากกลุ่มสินเชื่อทะเบียนรถยนต์และรถบรรทุก ขณะที่คุณภาพสินทรัพย์ของ สินเชื่อรถจักรยานยนต์น่าจะค่อนข้างทรงตัวจากมาตรการให้ความช่วยเหลือของรัฐผ่านเงิน ดิจิตอลจำนวน 10,000 บาทให้แก่กลุ่มเปราะบาง Credit cost น่าจะเพิ่มเป็น 3.72% เทียบกับ 3.62% ใน 2Q24 ซึ่งจะช่วยรักษาสัดส่วนสำรองต่อหนี้ด้อยคุณภาพไว้ที่ 227.2% อย่างไรก็ดี คาดการณ์ภาพรวมคุณภาพสินทรัพย์ยังสอดคล้องกับเป้าประมาณการปี 2024 ของบริษัทฯ และเรามองว่าอยู่ในระดับที่จัดการได้

คงประมาณการปี 2024-26 การเติบโตของสินเชื่อและรายได้ค่าธรรมเนียมน่าจะเป็น

เราคงประมาณการกำไรสุทธิปี 2024-26 ของเราซึ่งคิดเป็นอัตราการเติบโตเฉลี่ยอยู่ที่ 16.3% CAGR โดยมีปัจจัยหนุนจาก 1) สินเชื่อที่คาดว่าจะโตเฉลี่ย 12.5% ต่อปีโดยได้ปัจจัยหนุนจาก แผนการขยายสาขาอย่างต่อเนื่อง; 2) รายได้ค่าธรรมเนียมที่คาดว่าจะโตเฉลี่ย 18% ต่อปี ส่วนมากจากธุรกิจนายหน้าประกันภัยภายใต้แบรนด์อันเป็นที่รู้จัก; และ 3) Credit cost ที่คาด ว่าจะลดลงจาก 380bp ในปี 2024 เป็น 361bp ภายในปี 2026

ดงคำแนะนำถือที่ราคาเป้าหมายปี 2025 ที่ 17.64 บาท

เราคงคำแนะนำถือหลังปรับราคาเป้าหมายของเราเป็นปี 2025 ที่ 17.64 บาท (GGM) โดยมี สมมติฐานจากค่า P/BV ที่ 1.44x พร้อมค่า LT-ROE ที่ 15.0% และ a COE ที่ 11.0% ปัจจุบัน หุ้นไม่มี Upside แต่ให้ผลตอบแทนในรูปเงินปันผลเฉลี่ยที่ 2.0-3.0% ต่อปี



TARGET PRICE	THB17.64
CLOSE	THB17.90
UP/DOWNSIDE	-1.5%
PRIOR TP	THB15.80
CHANGE IN TP	+11.6%
TP vs CONSENSUS	-6.2%

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Operating profit	4,744	5,491	6,400	7,450
Net profit	3,790	4,393	5,120	5,960
EPS (THB)	1.35	1.51	1.76	2.05
vs Consensus (%)	-	2.0	2.0	1.9
Recurring net profit	3,790	4,393	5,120	5,960
Core EPS (THB)	1.35	1.51	1.76	2.05
Chg. In EPS est. (%)	-	-	-	-
EPS growth (%)	(7.4)	11.8	16.5	16.4
Core P/E (x)	13.3	11.9	10.2	8.7
Dividend yield (%)	2.3	2.5	3.0	3.4
Price/book (x)	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.3
ROE (%)	14.1	14.5	15.1	15.7
ROA (%)	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.5



Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates

Usanee Liurut, CISA

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Capital Market; License no. 017928 usanee.l@fssia.com, +66 2646 9967

Maeta Cherdsatirakul Research Assistant maeta.c@fssia.com, +66 2646 9971

PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

บทวิเคราะห์ฉบับนี้แปลมาจากต้นฉบับภาษาอังกฤษ ที่ออกรายงานเมื่อวันที่ 24 ตุลาคม 2024

Investment thesis

We maintain a neutral outlook on the overall business in 3Q24 and reiterate our HOLD call, as the current share price primarily reflects the fundamental outlook, leaving no upside but an average dividend yield of 2-3% p.a.

- 1. TIDLOR's asset quality is deteriorating regarding the NPL ratio and credit costs, but it aligns with expectations and is manageable.
- 2. Loans have not yet been able to expand fully, even though they are in line with guidance; however, they remain at the lower end of the target range. This is due to a focus on cleaning up the balance sheet through strict credit policies on quality and the impact of proactive write-offs.

Company profile

TIDLOR, founded in October 2006, is a non-bank financial services provider and an operator of technology-enabled, inclusive financial services. The company offers a full suite of vehicle title loans (motorcycles, cars, pickup trucks and tractors), hirepurchase financing for used trucks, and insurance brokerage services under the brand "Ngern Tid Lor".

www.ngerntidlor.com

Catalysts


- 1) A faster-than-expected economic recovery in Thailand;
- 2) Government stimulus acceleration;
- 3) Higher-than-expected growth of non-life insurance premiums.

Risks to our call

Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) further weakening asset quality could potentially hit both loan yields and credit costs; and 2) tighter supervision from related regulators. Upside risks are 1) lower-than-expected credit costs from better asset quality management; and 2) higherthan-expected loan and insurance premium growth from the positive effects of more favorable economic situations.

Event calendar

Date	Event
Nov 2024	3Q24 results announcement



Source: Ngern Tid Lor

Key assumptions

	2024E	2025E	2026E
Net profit (THB m)	4,393	5,120	5,960
Net profit growth (%)	12.71	12.28	12.83
Spread (%)	15.51	15.52	15.56
Loan growth (%)	12.55	12.44	12.54
Net interest income growth (%)	22.61	12.64	12.75
Fee growth (%)	17.83	18.00	18.00
NPL ratio (%)	2.00	2.06	2.07
Credit cost (%)	3.80	3.70	3.61
Cost to income (%)	55.00	55.00	55.00

Source: FSSIA estimates

Earnings sensitivity

			2025E	
Loan growth	±2ppt	10.44	12.44	14.44
% change in net profit		(1.0)		1.0
Interest spread (%)	±5bp	15.47	15.52	15.57
% change in net profit		(0.3)		0.3
Credit cost (bp)	±10bp	3.60	3.70	3.80
% change in net profit		1.8		(1.8)

Source: FSSIA estimates



Weaker 3Q24E profit; rising ECLs and OPEX are key drags

We expect the 3Q24 net profit to be THB1.07b, representing a slight 1.7% q-q decline but a 6.6% y-y increase. The critical pressures q-q include the anticipated rise in ECLs following an asset quality deterioration and higher operating expenses. The expected PPOP is THB2.30b, reflecting a 1.1% q-q and 18.2% y-y increase, primarily driven by continued loan growth of 2.4% q-q, 14.8% y-y, and 8.2% YTD. This expansion is mainly attributed to motorcycle and car title loans, which aligns with TIDLOR's loan growth guidance of 10-20% y-y (expected to be at the lower bound) and our forecast of 12.5% y-y growth.

We anticipate the loan spread to rise to 15.13% in 3Q24; a 15bp increase q-q. Despite rising funding costs, we project that the impact will be offset by improved loan yields, partly due to increased interest rates for specific customer groups, particularly within the car title and truck loan segments, to reflect their risk profiles. We expect fee income to grow q-q and y-y, primarily driven by insurance brokerage income. The expected 9M24 fee income growth of 15.3% y-y remains in line with its 10-20% y-y growth guidance.

The expected cost-to-income ratio for 3Q24 is 54.5%, marking a slight q-q increase and bringing the 9M24 cost-to-income ratio to 54.00%, in line with its mid-50% target for 2024.

The expected 9M24 net profit is THB3.27b, growing by 13.1% y-y and accounting for 74% of our full-year forecast.

Exhibit 1: TIDLOR – 3Q24 earnings preview

	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	2Q24	3Q24E	Cha	nge	9M24E	Change	% of	2024E	Change
	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	24E	(THB m)	(у-у%				
Interest income	4,000	4,233	4,374	4,566	4,745	3.9	18.6	13,685	21.0	71	19,295	24.
Interest expense	(484)	(537)	(557)	(590)	(620)	5.1	28.2	(1,767)	35.4	71	(2,494)	35.
Net interest income	3,517	3,696	3,818	3,976	4,125	3.8	17.3	11,918	19.1	71	16,801	22.
Fee income	822	1,021	922	890	910	2.3	10.6	2,721	15.3	68	3,983	17.
Other income	11	9	13	9	10	14.2	(11.9)	32	(16.6)	80	40	(15.1
Total income	4,351	4,726	4,752	4,874	5,045	3.5	16.0	14,672	18.3	70	20,823	21.
Operating expenses	(2,409)	(2,602)	(2,569)	(2,604)	(2,750)	5.6	14.1	(7,923)	16.5	69	(11,453)	21.
Pre-provision operating profit	1,941	2,124	2,183	2,270	2,295	1.1	18.2	6,749	20.4	72	9,371	21.
Expected credit loss	(681)	(1,000)	(809)	(905)	(954)	5.4	40.1	(2,669)	34.4	69	(3,879)	29.
Profit after ECL	1,260	1,124	1,374	1,365	1,341	(1.8)	6.4	4,080	12.7	74	5,491	15.
Operating profit	1,260	1,124	1,374	1,365	1,341	(1.8)	6.4	4,080	12.7	74	5,491	15.
Income tax	(253)	(223)	(270)	(274)	(268)	(2.0)	5.9	(812)	11.1	74	(1,098)	15.
Net profit	1,007	901	1,104	1,091	1,073	(1.7)	6.6	3,268	13.1	74	4,393	15.
EPS (THB)	0.36	0.32	0.38	0.37	0.37	(1.7)	2.7	1.12	9.1	74	1.51	11.
NPL	1,391	1,412	1,607	1,916	2,025	5.7	45.6	2,025	45.6		2,166	53.
Loans	90,506	96,020	98,666	101,463	103,934	2.4	14.8	103,934	14.8		108,068	12.
Interest bearing debt	64,664	68,980	71,460	72,828	75,241	3.3	16.4	75,241	16.4		77,750	12.
Key ratios	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	2Q24	3Q24E			9M24E			2024E	
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)			(THB m)			(THB m)	
Yield on loan	18.14	18.16	17.97	18.25	18.48			18.77			18.91	
Cost of funds	3.07	3.21	3.17	3.27	3.35			3.37			3.40	
Spread	15.08	14.94	14.80	14.98	15.13			15.40			15.51	
Cost to income	55.38	55.06	54.06	53.42	54.51			54.00			55.00	
Credit costs	3.09	4.29	3.33	3.62	3.72			3.66			3.80	
NPL / Loan	1.54	1.47	1.63	1.89	1.95			1.95			2.00	
LLR / Loan	4.06	4.15	4.30	4.29	4.43			4.43			3.81	
Coverage ratio	264.4	282.1	264.1	227.3	227.2			227.2			190.3	
D/E (x)	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5			2.5			2.5	
BD/E (x)	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4			2.4			2.4	
IBD/Total debt (%)	96.6	96.2	96.2	96.5	96.6			96.6			96.8	
S/T debt/IBD (%)	35.6	47.5	43.2	41.3	48.2			48.2			40.6	
Loan growth q-q	5.4	6.1	2.8	2.8	2.4							
Loan growth y-y	21.4	20.2	20.8	18.1	14.8			14.8			12.5	
Loan growth YTD	13.3	20.2	2.8	5.7	8.2			8.2				

Sources: TIDLOR; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 2: TIDLOR – Staged loans and ECL

	2022	2023	1Q24	2Q24	2022	2023	1Q24	2Q24
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Loans and accrued interest								
Stage 1	65,700	80,023	82,054	83,333	80.8	82.1	81.9	80.9
Stage 2	14,280	16,022	16,473	17,792	17.6	16.4	16.5	17.3
Stage 3	1,285	1,412	1,607	1,916	1.6	1.4	1.6	1.9
Total loans	81,265	97,457	100,133	103,042	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Expected credit loss (ECL)								
Stage 1	1,075	1,390	1,524	1,616	1.6	1.7	1.9	1.9
Stage 2	1,311	1,604	1,654	1,691	9.2	10.0	10.0	9.5
Stage 3	813	988	1,066	1,049	63.2	70.0	66.3	54.8
Total ECL	3,199	3,981	4,244	4,356	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.2
LLR / Loans	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)				
Stage 1	1.6	1.7	1.9	1.9				
Stage 2	9.2	10.0	10.0	9.5				
Stage 3	63.2	70.0	66.3	54.8				
Total LLR / Loans	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.2				
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)				
NPL / TL	1.58	1.45	1.60	1.86				
LLR / NPL	249	282	264	227				
NPL+S2/loans	19.15	17.89	18.06	19.13				
LLR/(NPL+S2)	20.6	22.8	23.5	22.1				

Sources: TIDLOR; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 3: TIDLOR – GGM-based 2024 TP


Gordon Growth Model	2025E
Sustainable ROE	15.0%
g	2.0%
ROE-g	13.0%
Beta	1.34
Risk free rate	3.0%
Risk premium	6.0%
COE	11.0%
COE-g	9.0%
ROE-g/COE-g (P/BV)	1.44
BVS	12.28
Fair value	17.64

Exhibit 4: Share price performance of non-banks under coverage, as of 22 October 2024

		Prie	ce performai	n ce -	
	1M	3M	6M	1Y	YTD
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
TIDLOR TB	(3.2)	9.8	(13.5)	(5.3)	(17.5)
MTC TB	2.0	32.9	13.2	41.1	14.4
SAWAD TB	0.6	25.2	16.2	10.0	14.8
SAK TB	(4.8)	0.0	(1.0)	23.8	20.2
AEONTS TB	(2.8)	25.3	(14.8)	(7.4)	(13.4)
KTC TB	3.2	22.9	12.9	7.8	10.9
ASK TB	(13.4)	11.8	(26.8)	(40.0)	(38.2)
THANI TB	(1.9)	6.7	(15.6)	(19.6)	(12.8)
JMT TB	7.5	81.8	(6.1)	(36.5)	(21.6)
BAM TB	(3.2)	32.4	(6.3)	(0.6)	10.4
CHAYO TB	(10.8)	32.1	(22.0)	(38.1)	(33.6)
SETFIN	(1.0)	24.1	(0.3)	(3.5)	(5.5)
SET	1.5	13.0	8.3	5.1	3.8

Source: FSSIA estimates





Source: Bloomberg

Exhibit 6: TIDLOR - one-year prospective PER band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 7: Peer comparisons, as of 22 October 2024

Company name	BBG	Rec	Share	Target	Up	F	РЕ	PE	3V	R(DE	Div :	yld
	code		price	price	side	24E	25E	24E	25E	24E	25E	24E	25E
			(LCY)	(LCY)	(%)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(%)	(%)	(x)	(x)
Auto title													
Muangthai Capital	MTC TB	BUY	51.50	62.00	20.4	17.4	13.4	2.9	2.4	18.1	19.7	0.5	0.7
Srisawad Corp	SAWAD TB	HOLD	42.25	37.00	(12.4)	12.2	10.9	1.9	1.7	17.0	16.2	0.3	0.9
Ngern Tid Lor	TIDLOR TB	HOLD	17.90	17.64	(1.5)	11.9	10.2	1.6	1.5	14.5	15.1	2.5	3.0
Saksiam Leasing	SAK TB	BUY	5.00	5.28	5.6	12.6	11.0	1.7	1.5	13.7	14.4	3.3	3.8
Unsecured finance													
AEON Thana Sinsap (Thailand)	AEONTS TB	HOLD	138.50	142.00	2.5	11.7	10.7	1.3	1.2	11.7	11.9	3.6	3.9
Krungthai Card	KTC TB	HOLD	48.25	44.00	(8.8)	16.7	15.7	3.1	2.8	19.8	18.9	2.7	2.9
Hire-purchase truck													
Asia Sermkij Leasing	ASK TB	HOLD	12.30	9.60	(22.0)	14.6	11.5	0.6	0.6	4.2	5.3	3.4	4.3
Ratchthani Leasing	THANI TB	HOLD	2.06	2.00	(2.9)	11.2	10.3	0.9	0.9	8.5	8.9	4.9	5.3
AMCs													
Bangkok Commercial Asset Mgmt.	BAM TB	BUY	9.00	11.00	22.2	16.0	14.8	0.7	0.7	4.1	4.4	5.0	5.4
JMT Network Services	JMT TB	HOLD	20.00	11.00	(45.0)	17.8	14.7	1.1	1.0	6.1	7.2	3.4	4.1
Chayo Group	CHAYO TB	HOLD	3.62	3.00	(17.1)	14.2	9.1	1.1	0.9	8.1	11.1	1.8	1.6
Average						14.2	12.0	1.5	1.4	11.4	12.1	2.9	3.3

The Chairman of The Board of Directors of Finansia Syrus Securities PCL is also AEONTS's Director Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Ngern Tid Lor

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
nterest Income	12,532	15,545	19,295	21,771	24,542
nterest expense	(1,235)	(1,842)	(2,494)	(2,847)	(3,205
let interest income	11,297	13,703	16,801	18,924	21,337
let fees & commission	2,710	3,380	3,983	4,700	5,546
oreign exchange trading income	-	-	-	-	
Securities trading income	-	-	-	-	
Dividend income	0	0	0	0	(
Dther income	32	47	40	40	40
Ion interest income	2,742	3,427	4,023	4,740	5,586
Total income	14,039	17,130	20,823	23,664	26,923
Staff costs	(7,923)	(9,401)	(11,453)	(13,015)	(14,807
Other operating costs	-	-	-	-	
Dperating costs	(7,923)	(9,401)	(11,453)	(13,015)	(14,807
Pre provision operating profit	6,116	7,729	9,371	10,649	12,11
Expected credit loss	(1,583)	(2,986)	(3,879)	(4,249)	(4,665
Dther provisions	0	0	0	0	(
Dperating profit	4,533	4,744	5,491	6,400	7,450
Recurring non operating income	0	0	0	0	(
Associates	0	0	0	0	(
Goodwill amortization	-	-	-	-	·
Non recurring items	-	-	-	-	
Profit before tax	4,533	4,744	5,491	6,400	7,450
ax	(893)	(953)	(1,098)	(1,280)	(1,490
Profit after tax	3,640	3,790	4,393	5,120	5,96
Non-controlling interest	0	0,100	0	0,120	0,00
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	,
Dther items	_	_	_	_	
Reported net profit	3,640	3,790	4,393	5,120	5,960
	3,040	3,790	4,393	0	5,900
Non recurring items & goodwill (net)	3,640	2 700	4,393		
Recurring net profit	3,040	3,790	4,393	5,120	5,960
Per share (THB)		4.05		. = .	
Recurring EPS *	1.46	1.35	1.51	1.76	2.0
Reported EPS	1.46	1.35	1.51	1.76	2.0
OPS .	0.75	0.41	0.45	0.53	0.62
Growth					
Net interest income (%)	29.8	21.3	22.6	12.6	12.
Non interest income (%)	23.4	25.0	17.4	17.8	17.8
Pre provision operating profit (%)	40.0	26.4	21.2	13.6	13.8
Operating profit (%)	14.7	4.6	15.8	16.5	16.4
Reported net profit (%)	14.9	4.1	15.9	16.5	16.4
Recurring EPS (%)	6.7	(7.4)	11.8	16.5	16.4
Reported EPS (%)	6.7	(7.4)	11.8	16.5	16.4
ncome Breakdown					
Net interest income (%)	80.5	80.0	80.7	80.0	79.3
Net fees & commission (%)	19.3	19.7	19.1	19.9	20.
Foreign exchange trading income (%)	-	-	-	-	
Securities trading income (%)	-	-	-	-	
Dividend income (%)	-	-	-	-	
Other income (%)	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1
Operating performance					
Gross interest yield (%)	17.87	17.67	18.91	18.97	19.0
Cost of funds (%)	2.51	2.93	3.40	3.45	3.4
Vet interest spread (%)	15.36	14.74	15.51	15.52	15.5
let interest margin (%)	16.1	15.6	16.5	16.5	16.
Cost/income(%)	56.4	54.9	55.0	55.0	55.0
Cost/assets(%)	10.5	10.2	10.8	10.9	11.
Effective tax rate (%)	19.7	20.1	20.0	20.0	20.
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	51.8	30.2	30.2	30.2	30.2
ROE (%)	15.2	14.1	14.5	15.1	15.1
ROE - COE (%)	4.4	3.3	3.7	4.3	4.9
10E - COE (%) 10A (%) 10RWA (%)	4.4 4.8	3.3 4.1	3.7 4.1	4.3	4.

Sources: Ngern Tid Lor; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Ngern Tid Lor

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	20265
Gross customer loans	79,898	96,020	108,068	121,514	136,748
Allowance for expected credit loss	(3,199)	(3,981)	(4,122)	(5,104)	(5,785
nterest in suspense	1,367	1,436	1,637	1,859	2,109
Net customer loans	78,067	93,475	105,583	118,269	133,073
Bank loans	-	-	-	-	
Government securities	-	-	-	-	
rading securities	-	-	-	-	
nvestment securities	0	0	0	0	(
Cash & equivalents	2,191	1,656	1,598	1,770	1,958
Other interesting assets	-	-	-	-	
Fangible fixed assets	1,686	1,623	1,704	1,789	1,878
Associates	-	-	-	-	
Goodwill	294	294	300	300	300
Other intangible assets	257	252	255	258	260
Other assets	2,232	2,848	2,930	3,370	3,888
otal assets	84,727	100,148	112,370	125,755	141,357
Customer deposits	3,600	6,643	6,000	6,000	6,000
Bank deposits	-	-	-	-	
Other interest bearing liabilities	53,013	62,337	71,750	81,300	92,500
Non interest bearing liabilities	2,692	2,745	2,583	2,687	2,801
Hybrid Capital	-	-	-	-	
Total liabilities	59,305	71,724	80,333	89,987	101,301
Share capital	9,240	10,395	10,780	10,780	10,780
Reserves	16,182	18,028	21,257	24,988	29,276
Total equity	25,422	28,424	32,037	35,768	40,056
Non-controlling interest	0	0	0	0	(
Total liabilities & equity	84,727	100,148	112,370	125,755	141,357
Supplementary items					
Risk weighted assets (RWA)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
verage interest earning assets	70,118	87,959	102,044	114,791	129,13 [,]
verage interest bearing liabilities	49,254	62,796	73,365	82,525	92,90
CET 1 capital	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Total capital	0	0	0	0	(
Gross non performing loans (NPL)	1,285	1,412	2,166	2,501	2,833
Per share (THB)					
Book value per share	10.18	10.12	11.00	12.28	13.75
angible book value per share	9.96	9.92	10.81	12.09	13.50
Growth					
Gross customer loans	32.4	20.2	12.5	12.4	12.5
Average interest earning assets	26.2	25.4	16.0	12.5	12.
Fotal asset (%)	27.4	18.2	12.2	11.9	12.4
Risk weighted assets (%)	-	-	-	-	
Customer deposits (%)	9.1	84.5	(9.7)	-	
everage & capital measures					
Customer Ioan/deposits (%)	2,168.5	1,407.1	1,759.7	1,971.1	2,217.9
Equity/assets (%)	30.0	28.4	28.5	28.4	28.3
angible equity/assets (%)	29.4	27.8	28.0	28.0	27.9
XWA/assets (%)	-	-	-	-	_/
CET 1 CAR (%)		-	-	-	
Total CAR (%)	-	-	-	-	
Asset Quality (FSSIA's calculation)					
Change in NPL (%)	75.5	9.8	53.5	15.4	13.3
IPL/gross loans (%)	1.6	9.8 1.5	2.0	2.1	2.
Novance for ECL/gross loans (%)	4.0	4.1	3.8	4.2	4.3
Illowance for ECL/NPL (%)	248.9	282.1	190.3	4.z 204.1	204.2
	240.3	202.1	130.3	204.1	204.
aluation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026
ecurring P/E (x) *	12.3	13.3	11.9	10.2	8.
ecurring P/E @ target price (x) *	12.3	13.1	11.5	10.2	8.0
Reported P/E (x)	12.1				
		13.3	11.9	10.2	8.3
vividend yield (%)	4.2	2.3 1.8	2.5 1.6	3.0 1.5	3.4 1.3
rice/hook (x)			1 h	1.5	1:
	1.8				
Price/book (x) Price/tangible book (x) Price/tangible book @ target price (x)	1.8 1.8 1.8	1.8 1.8	1.7 1.6	1.5 1.5 1.5	1.3

Sources: Ngern Tid Lor; FSSIA estimates

Ngern Tid Lor PCL (TIDLOR TB)



36.71 /100

Exhibit 8: FSSIA ESG score implication

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
$\star\star\star\star$	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 9: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA			Domes	stic ratings	;		Global ratings					Bloomberg		
	ESG score	DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
MTC	68.21		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	42.19	AA		58.09	42.00	3.31	
SAWAD	46.52		Y	Y	4.00	5.00		Medium	43.97	BB		20.18	13.00	1.93	40.04
TIDLOR	36.71				4.00	4.00	Certified	Medium	37.03			23.69	19.00	1.66	
SAK	45.28		Y	Y	4.00	4.00	Certified	High	40.10			43.87		2.02	36.23
тк	15.00				5.00	5.00									
HENG	20.00				5.00	5.00	Certified								
S11	13.00				4.00	4.00									
NCAP	18.00				4.00	4.00	Certified								

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 10: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	1.61	1.66
BESG environmental pillar score	0.00	_
BESG social pillar score	1.00	_
BESG governance pillar score	3.67	_
ESG disclosure score	30.71	—
Environmental disclosure score	1.75	—
Social disclosure score	6.59	_
Governance disclosure score	83.59	—
Environmental		
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	No
Climate change policy	No	No
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No
GHG scope 1	_	2
GHG scope 2 location-based	_	5
GHG Scope 3	_	1
Carbon per unit of production	_	—
Biodiversity policy	No	No
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	No
Total energy consumption	_	8,923
Renewable energy use	_	_
Electricity used	_	9
Fuel used - natural gas	_	_

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 11: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2021	FY 202
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	N
Waste reduction policy	Yes	N
Hazardous waste	—	
Total waste	—	
Waste recycled	—	-
Waste sent to landfills	—	-
Environmental supply chain management	No	N
Water policy	Yes	N
Water consumption	_	2
Social		
Human rights policy	No	N
Policy against child labor	No	N
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	N
Consumer data protection policy	Yes	N
Equal opportunity policy	No	Ν
Gender pay gap breakout	No	N
Pct women in workforce	—	7
Pct disabled in workforce	—	-
Business ethics policy	Yes	١
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Y
Health and safety policy	No	1
Lost time incident rate - employees	—	
Total recordable incident rate - employees	—	
Training policy	No	1
Fair remuneration policy	No	1
Number of employees – CSR	_	6,6
Employee turnover pct	_	
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	_	309,9
Social supply chain management	No	Ν
Governance		
Board size	12	
No. of independent directors (ID)	4	
No. of women on board	1	
No. of non-executive directors on board	11	
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Y
No. of board meetings for the year	14	
Board meeting attendance pct	96	
Board duration (years)	3	
Director share ownership guidelines	No	I
Age of the youngest director	30	:
Age of the oldest director	61	
No. of executives / company managers	9	
No. of female executives	5	
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	1
Size of audit committee	3	
No. of ID on audit committee	3	
Audit committee meetings	4	
Audit meeting attendance %	100	10
Size of compensation committee	5	
No. of ID on compensation committee	1	
No. of compensation committee meetings	6	
Compensation meeting attendance %	97	
Size of nomination committee	5	
No. of nomination committee meetings	6	
Nomination meeting attendance %	97	
Sustainability governance	51	

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodolog	<u>ay</u>			Rating						
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (<u>DJSI</u>) By S&P Global	process bas from the anr	ed on the con nual S&P Glob	i transparent, rules-basec npanies' Total Sustainabi pal Corporate Sustainabil panies within each industr	ility Scores resulting ity Assessment (CSA).	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.						
Sustainability Investment List (THSI) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	managing bu Candidates 1) no irregul float of >150 up capital. S 70%; 2) inde wrongdoing	usiness with t must pass the ar trading of t shareholders ome key disp pendent dire related to CG	bility in Environmental and ransparency in Governar e preemptive criteria, with he board members and e s, and combined holding jualifying criteria include: ctors and free float violati b, social & environmental earnings in red for > 3 year	ace, updated annually. a two crucial conditions: executives; and 2) free must be >15% of paid- 1) CG score of below on; 3) executives' impacts; 4) equity in	To be eligible for <u>THSI inclusion</u> , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. <u>SETTHSI Index</u> is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.						
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	annually by Thailand (SI	the Thai IOD,	th in sustainable develop with support from the St Its are from the perspecti Is.	ock Exchange of	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).						
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	treatment an transparent out of five th criteria cove date (45%), <i>circulation of s</i> <i>exercised. The</i> <i>and verifiabilit</i>	e incorporate and sufficient e CG compor r AGM proced and after the sufficient informa e second assess y; and 3) openn	which shareholders' right d into business operation ly disclosed. All form imp ments to be evaluated anr dures before the meeting meeting (10%). (The first a ation for voting; and 2) facilita ses 1) the ease of attending ri- ess for Q&A. The third involve uses, resolutions and voting re-	is and information is ortant elements of two nually. The assessment (45%), at the meeting assesses 1) advance ting how voting rights can be neetings; 2) transparency es the meeting minutes that	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.						
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	establishme policies. The (Companies of Declaration of Certification, ii managers and	nt of key cont e Certification leciding to becon Intent to kick of Including risk ass d employees, es	Checklist include corrupt rols, and the monitoring a is good for three years. me a CAC certified member si fan 18-month deadline to su sessment, in place of policy a tablishment of whistleblowing all stakeholders.)	and developing of start by submitting a bmit the CAC Checklist for and control, training of	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.						
<u>Morningstar</u> Sustainalytics	based on an risk is unma	assessment naged. Source	isk rating provides an ove of how much of a compa as to be reviewed include con ther media, NGO reports/web	ny's exposure to ESG	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored.						
	information, co		ck, ESG controversies, issuer		0-10	Low 10-20	Medium 20-30	High 30-40	Severe 40+		
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.								features		
<u>MSCI</u>			measure a company's m and laggards according to						ethodology to		
	AAA	8.571-10.00	DO Leader:	leading its industry in m	anaging the most si	unificant ESG ris	sks and opportunitie	s			
	AA	7.143-8.57	0								
	Α	5.714-7.14		a mixed or unexception	al track record of ma	naging the mos	t significant ESG ris	ks and opportur	ities relative to		
	BBB	4.286-5.71	-	industry peers		3 3	5 <u>-</u> 00 m				
	BB	2.857-4.28									
	B CCC	1.429-2.85 0.000-1.42	Laggard:	lagging its industry base	ed on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks						
Moody's ESG solutions	believes that	esses the de t a company i	gree to which companies ntegrating ESG factors in for shareholders over the	to its business model and	•		•		•		
Refinitiv ESG rating	based on pu	blicly availabl	and objectively measure le and auditable data. The ata publicly. <i>(Score ratings</i>	e score ranges from 0 to	100 on relative E	SG performan	ce and insufficie	nt degree of ti			
<u>S&P Global</u>	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.										
Bloomberg	ESG Score Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.										
3											

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings. Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Usanee Liurut, CISA FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Ngern Tid Lor	TIDLOR TB	THB 17.90	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) further weakening asset quality could potentially hit both loan yields and credit costs; and 2) tighter supervision from related regulators. Upside risks are 1) lower-than-expected credit costs from better asset quality management; and 2) higher-than-expected loan and insurance premium growth from the positive effects of more favorable economic situations.
Krungthai Card	КТС ТВ	THB 48.25	HOLD	Downside risks are: 1) regulatory actions to curb industry growth; 2) a higher-than- expected policy rate; and 3) deteriorating asset quality. Upside risks are: 1) better-than- estimated economic improvement; 2) stronger-than-estimated improvement in the overall asset quality; and 3) less pressure from the market yield and cost of funds.
Aeon Thana Sinsap (Thailanc	I) AEONTS TB	THB 138.50	HOLD	Downside risks are: 1) regulatory actions to curb industry growth; 2) a higher-than- expected policy rate; and 3) deteriorating asset quality. Upside risks are: 1) better-than- estimated economic improvement; 2) stronger-than-estimated improvement in the overall asset quality; and 3) less pressure from the market yield and cost of funds.
Muangthai Capital	MTC TB	THB 51.50	BUY	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) a further weakening of asset quality that could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) changes in financial regulations by the Bank of Thailand and the Office of Consumer Protection Board.
Srisawad Corp	SAWAD TB	THB 42.25	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) a further weakening of asset quality that could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) changes in financial regulations by the Bank of Thailand and the Office of Consumer Protection Board. Upside risks include 1) a faster-than-expected decline in losses on sales of repossessed cars for SCAP; and 2) an interest rate downtrend could push its interest spread.
Saksiam Leasing	SAK TB	THB 5.00	BUY	Downside risks include 1) competition from existing and new players 2) regulatory changes by the Bank of Thailand (BoT) and 3) a slower-than-expected reduction in its cost of funds due to a shift toward more long-term loans.
Asia Sermkij Leasing PCL	ASK TB	THB 12.30	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-derived TP include 1) an economic slowdown, especially for logistics activities and private investment; 2) deteriorating asset quality; and 3) changes in financial regulations from the Bank of Thailand. Upside risks include 1) a better-than-expected macroeconomic improvement; 2) downtrend of policy rate could reduce cost of funds and enhance interest spreads; and 3) a faster-than-expected decline in ECL expense.
Ratchthani Leasing	THANI TB	THB 2.06	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-derived TP include 1) an economic slowdown, especially for logistics activities and private investment; 2) deteriorating asset quality; and 3) changes in financial regulations from the Bank of Thailand. Upside risks include 1) a better-than-expected macroeconomic improvement; 2) a downtrend in the policy rate could reduce cost of funds and enhance interest spreads; and 3) a faster-than-expected decline in ECL expense.
Bangkok Commercial Asset Mngt.	BAM TB	THB 9.00	BUY	Downside risks to our NAV-based TP include 1) lower cash collection than estimated; 2) lower-than-expected bad debt acquisition; and 3) a slowdown in the property market.
JMT Network Services	JMT TB	THB 20.00	HOLD	Downside risks to our P/BV-based TP include 1) lower cash collection from both debt management and debt tracking collection services; and 2) higher-than-expected funding costs and operating expenses. Upside risks include 1) better-than-expected purchasing power and cash collection 2) lower-than-expected operating and financing expense.
Chayo Group	СНАҮО ТВ	THB 3.62	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) lower-than-expected bad debt acquisition; and 2) higher-than-expected operating expenses. Upside risks include 1) better-than- expected cash collection performance and lower pressure from ECL, 2) stronger-than- expected loan growth, and 3) better cost control than expected.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 22-Oct-2024 unless otherwise stated.



RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months. **Neutral.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months. **Underweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.