EQUITY RESEARCH - COMPANY REPORT

AP (THAILAND) AP TB

คาดกำไร 3Q24 โตดี q-q

- คาดกำไร 3Q24 ที่ 1.43 พันลบ. เพิ่มขึ้น 13% q-q จากคอนโด JV สร้างเสร็จใหม่ แต่ ลดลง 16% y-y จากอัตรากำไรขั้นต้นลดลงและดอกเบี้ยจ่ายสูงขึ้น
- เราประเมินกำไรปรับดีขึ้นต่อเนื่อง q-q และ y-y ใน 4Q24 จากการรับรู้ Backlog ระดับสูง และการเน้นขายสต์อกเหลือขาย
- คงคำแนะนำซื้อ จากแนวโน้มกำไร 3Q-4Q24 แข็งแกร่ง

การเริ่มโอนคอนโดใหญ่หนุนการเติบโตยอดโอน 3Q24

ใน 3Q24 เราประเมินยอดโอนจากโครงการ JV เร่งขึ้น 97% q-q และ 9% y-y เป็น 3.5 พันลบ. ผลักดันส่วนแบ่งกำไรจาก JV ใน 3Q24 คาด 320 ลบ. (+108% q-q, +1% y-y) จากการเริ่ม โอนคอนโด JV ใหม่อย่าง Life Rama 4 – Asoke (6.5 พันลบ., มียอดขาย 62%) ซึ่งคาดรับรู้ 1.7 พันลบ. หรือ 27% ของมูลค่าโครงการ ขณะที่เราคาดว่ายอดโอน 3Q24 ที่ 9.6 พันลบ. (+ 2% q-q, -2% y-y) แบ่งเป็นแนวราบ 90% และคอนโด 10% โดยยอดโอนแนวราบคาดทรงตัว y-y แต่เพิ่มขึ้น 12% q-q เป็น 8.6 พันลบ. หนุนจากการเปิดตัวโครงการใหม่ใน 2Q24 โดยเฉพาะบ้านแฝดและทาว์นโฮม อย่างไรก็ดี ยอดโอนคอนโดคาดลดลง 45% q-q และ 13% y-y เป็น 960 ลบ.ใน 3Q24 เนื่องจากการเริ่มโอนคอนโดใหม่

คาดกำไรสุทธิ 3Q24 เร่งตัวขึ้น q-q

เราคาดว่ากำไรสุทธิ 3Q24 ฟื้นตัวต่อเนื่องเป็น 1.43 พันลบ. (+13% q-q, -16% y-y) โดยการ เติบโต q-q จากยอดโอนสูงขึ้น อย่างไรก็ดี กำไรที่ลดลง y-y มีสาเหตุจากอัตรากำไรขั้นต้น อสังหาฯ ที่ลดลงเหลือ 32% เป็นไปตามทิศทางภาพรวมตลาดอสังหาฯ เทียบกับ 33.1% ในปี 2Q24 และ 34.2% ใน 3Q23 กดดันจากการแข่งขันรุนแรง การทำโปรโมชั่น และผลของ Project mix อีกทั้ง ต้นทุนการเงินคาดเพิ่มเป็น 180 ลบ. (เทียบกับ 173 ลบ.ใน 2Q24 และ 49 ลบ.ใน 3Q23) จากการทิศทางอัตราดอกเบี้ยสูงขึ้นและการนำที่ดินไปพัฒนาโครงการใหม่ที่ เพิ่มขึ้น

ทิศทางกำไรแข็งแกร่งขึ้นใน 4Q24

หากกำไร 3Q24 ตามคาด กำไร 9M24 อยู่ที่ 3.7 พันลบ (-21% y-y) คิดเป็น 70% ของ ประมาณการทั้งปีของเรา เราประเมินกำไร 4Q24 เพิ่มขึ้น q-q และ y-y จากการโอนคอนโด ต่อเนื่องของคอนโดใหม่ใน 3Q24 และการทยอยรับรู้ Backlog แนวราบ ณ สิ้น 3Q24 ที่ 13 พัน ลบ. ซึ่งคาดใน 4Q24 จะรับรู้ราว 60-80% ของยอดทั้งหมด นอกจากนี้ เรามองว่ามาตรการลด ค่าธรรมเนียมการโอนและจดจำนองที่สิ้นสุดในปลายปีนี้ บวกกับการลดอัตราดอกเบี้ยจะช่วย หนุนบรรยากาศและกำลังซื้อ

ดงประมาณการกำไรและคำแนะนำชื้อ ราคาเป้าหมาย 10.80 บาท

เราคงคำแนะนำซื้อ ราคาเป้าหมายปี 2025 ที่ 10.80 บาท จากแนวโน้มกำไรแข็งแกร่งใน 3Q-4Q24 นอกจากนี้ ราคาหุ้นยังปรับลง 11% YTD เทียบกับ SETPROP ลดลง 5% ปัจจุบันหุ้น ซื้อขายบน PE2025 ที่ 5.6x (เทียบกับค่าเฉลี่ยของกลุ่มฯ ที่ 7.8x) และคาดให้ผลตอบแทนเงิน ปันผล 6% ในปี 2024 (จ่ายปีละครั้ง)




BUY

UNCHANGED

TARGET PRICE	THB10.80
CLOSE	THB10.10
UP/DOWNSIDE	+6.9%
PRIOR TP	THB10.80
CHANGE IN TP	UNCHANGED
TP vs CONSENSUS	-4.9%

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	38,045	38,300	40,301	42,309
Net profit	6,054	5,338	5,639	5,804
EPS (THB)	1.92	1.70	1.79	1.84
vs Consensus (%)	-	(1.2)	(2.7)	(4.5)
EBITDA	6,668	6,083	6,368	6,692
Recurring net profit	6,043	5,338	5,639	5,804
Core EPS (THB)	1.92	1.70	1.79	1.84
Chg. In EPS est. (%)	-	-	-	-
EPS growth (%)	2.9	(11.7)	5.6	2.9
Core P/E (x)	5.3	6.0	5.6	5.5
Dividend yield (%)	6.9	6.0	6.4	6.4
EV/EBITDA (x)	9.6	10.1	9.4	8.6
Price/book (x)	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6
Net debt/Equity (%)	79.4	67.3	59.0	50.3
ROE (%)	15.6	12.6	12.3	11.7



Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Thanyatorn Songwutti Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 101203 thanyatorn.s@fssia.com, +66 2646 9963

PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

บทวิเคราะห์ฉบับนี้แปลมาจากต้นฉบับภาษาอังกฤษ ที่ออกรายงานเมื่อวันที่ 22 ตุลาคม 2024

Investment thesis

AP disclosed a cautious 2024 plan, with an expected recovery in 2H24. It plans to introduce 48 new projects (78% low-rise and 22% condos) with a combined value of THB58b (-24% y-y). Along with inventory sales, they would help drive the presales target of THB57b (+11% y-y), comprising low-rises worth THB39b (+16.5% y-y) and condos totaling THB18b (flat y-y).

AP has strengths in its flexible and well-diversified portfolio. It covers low-rise and condo projects in every market segment in Bangkok and other provinces, which should help increase and sustain growth potential. Also, its aggressive strategy of continually launching new projects in multiple locations supports a high market share.

Company profile

AP develops property for sale, including condos, townhomes, detached houses, semi-detached, and home offices. They are in urban areas and business centers, offering convenience and designs that answer each customer group's demand. Also, it provides comprehensive property-related services under its affiliates.

www.apthai.com

Catalysts

Key potential growth drivers include:

- 1) The success of new condo launches;
- 2) Presales growth;
- Sooner-than-expected recovery in the economy and property market;
- 4) Property stimulus and LTV easing;
- 5) An interest rate downtrend.

Risks to our call

Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a higherthan-expected slowdown in the low-rise market; 2) lowerthan-expected new launches and take-up rates; 3) a slow economic recovery; 4) an impact from the interest rate uptrend on purchasing power; 5) a higher rejection rate and customer cancellation; 6) construction delay and labor shortages; and 7) fierce competition.

Event calendar

Date	Event
12 November 2024	3Q24 results announcement




- Sales 97.1 %
- Service income 2.9 %

Source: AP (Thailand)

Major shareholders

- Mr. Anuphong Assavabhokhin -21.3 %
- Vipavasuphakorn Family 3.5 %
- Others 75.2 %



Source: AP (Thailand)

Key assumptions

	2024E	2025E	2026E
Transfer revenue (THB m)	37,126	39,068	41,015
Service income (THB m)	1,174	1,233	1,294
Property GPM (%)	33.4	33.3	33.3
GPM (%)	34.8	34.7	34.7
SG&A to sales (%)	19.4	19.4	19.4

Source: FSSIA estimates

Earnings sensitivity

- For every 5% increase in transfers, we estimate 2024 net profit to rise by 4%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 0.5% increase in property GPM, we estimate 2024 net profit to rise by 3%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 0.5% increase in SG&A to sales, we estimate 2024 net profit to fall by 3%, and vice versa, all else being equal.

Source: FSSIA estimates



(%)

г 18

16

14

12

10

8

6

4

2

0

14.4

1.4

3Q24E

NPM (RHS)

13.0

1.3

2Q24

12.7

1.0

1Q24

Exhibit 1: AP - 3Q24 results preview

	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	2Q24	3Q24E	Change	9
	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)				
Total revenue	10,068	9,287	7,939	9,789	9,940	2	(1)
Gross profit	3,572	3,394	2,837	3,415	3,354	(2)	(6)
SG&A	(1,818)	(2,125)	(1,649)	(1,859)	(1,845)	n/a	n/a
Operating profit	1,755	1,269	1,188	1,556	1,509	(3)	(14)
Other income	22	179	29	89	80	(10)	261
Interest expense	(49)	(56)	(123)	(173)	(180)	n/a	n/a
Profit before tax	1,717	1,417	1,094	1,471	1,409	(4)	(18)
Тах	(338)	(222)	(222)	(357)	(296)	n/a	n/a
Associates	317	211	136	154	320	108	1
Reported net profit	1,696	1,417	1,008	1,269	1,433	13	(16)
Normalised profit	1,696	1,406	1,008	1,269	1,433	13	(16)
Key ratios (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)
Property gross margin	34.2	35.2	33.7	33.1	32.0	(1.1)	(2.2)
Gross margin	35.5	36.5	35.7	34.9	33.7	(1.1)	(1.7)
Operating margin	17.4	13.7	15.0	15.9	15.2	(0.7)	(2.2)
Net profit margin	16.9	15.3	12.7	13.0	14.4	1.5	(2.4)
Normalized profit margin	16.9	15.1	12.7	13.0	14.4	1.5	(2.4)
Operating statistics	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)				
Property transfers	9,765	9,009	7,607	9,444	9,600	2	(2)
Low-rise	8,664	8,433	7,088	7,699	8,640	12	(0)
High-rise	1,101	576	519	1,745	960	(45)	(13)

(THB b)

1.8

1.6

1.4

1.2

1.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

15.7

Sources: AP; FSSIA estimates




Exhibit 2: Quarterly transfers and GPM

1Q23 Sources: AP; FSSIA estimates

1.5

Exhibit 3: Quarterly net profit and NPM

16.6

1.5

2Q23

Net profit

16.9

1.7

3Q23

15.3

1.4

4Q23


Sources: AP; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 4: Newly built condo in 3Q24




Source: AP

Exhibit 5: Quarterly presales




Sources: AP; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 7: Yearly presales




Sources: AP; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 6: Quarterly new launches




Sources: AP; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 8: Yearly new launches



Sources: AP; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 9: YTD sector performances



Source: SETSMART

Exhibit 10: Historical P/E band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 11: Historical P/BV band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

AP (Thailand)

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	38,539	38,045	38,300	40,301	42,309
Cost of goods sold	(25,475)	(24,148)	(24,972)	(26,317)	(27,629)
Gross profit	13,064	13,897	13,328	13,984	14,681
Other operating income	0	0	0	0	C
Operating costs	(7,248)	(7,520)	(7,430)	(7,818)	(8,208)
Operating EBITDA	6,107	6,668	6,083	6,368	6,692
Depreciation	(291)	(290)	(185)	(202)	(219)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	C
Operating EBIT	5,816	6,377	5,897	6,165	6,473
Net financing costs	(99)	(247)	(517)	(485)	(453)
Associates	1,233	1,055	945	1,007	903
Recurring non-operating income	1,385	1,215	1,105	1,167	1,063
Non-recurring items	4	12	0	0	C
Profit before tax	7,106	7,358	6,485	6,848	7,082
Тах	(1,230)	(1,304)	(1,147)	(1,209)	(1,279)
Profit after tax	5,876	6,054	5,338	5,639	5,803
Minority interests	1	0	0	0	C
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	C
Other items	0	0	0	0	C
Reported net profit	5,877	6,054	5,338	5,639	5,804
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(4)	(12)	0	0	C
Recurring net profit	5,874	6,043	5,338	5,639	5,804
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	1.87	1.92	1.70	1.79	1.84
Reported EPS	1.87	1.92	1.70	1.79	1.84
DPS	0.65	0.70	0.60	0.65	0.65
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	3,146	3,146	3,146	3,146	3,146
Growth					
Revenue (%)	21.2	(1.3)	0.7	5.2	5.0
Operating EBITDA (%)	28.2	9.2	(8.8)	4.7	5.1
Operating EBIT (%)	29.7	9.6	(7.5)	4.5	5.0
Recurring EPS (%)	29.3	2.9	(11.7)	5.6	2.9
Reported EPS (%)	29.4	3.0	(11.8)	5.6	2.9
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	33.9	36.5	34.8	34.7	34.7
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	34.7	37.3	35.3	35.2	35.2
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	15.8	17.5	15.9	15.8	15.8
Operating EBIT margin (%)	15.1	16.8	15.4	15.3	15.3
Net margin (%)	15.2	15.9	13.9	14.0	13.7
Effective tax rate (%)	20.9	20.7	20.7	20.7	20.7
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	34.8	36.4	35.5	36.0	35.0
Interest cover (X)	72.7	30.8	13.5	15.1	16.6
Inventory days	743.8	971.2	1,058.4	1,019.9	993.6
Debtor days	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.4
Creditor days	41.0	47.8	44.4	43.0	43.1
Operating ROIC (%)	9.6	8.6	7.0	7.2	7.4
	10.2	9.1	7.5	7.7	7.7
ROE (%)	17.0	15.6	12.6	12.3	11.7
ROA (%) * Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted	9.3	8.2	6.8	7.0	7.0
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Sales	37,522	36,927	37,126	39,068	41,015
Service income	1,017	1,118	1,174	1,233	1,294

Sources: AP (Thailand); FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

AP (Thailand)

ash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026
ecurring net profit	5,874	6,043	5,338	5,639	5,804
epreciation	291	290	185	202	21
ssociates & minorities	-	-	-	-	
ther non-cash items	4	12	0	0	
hange in working capital	(6,585)	(14,896)	(576)	(1,631)	(1,086
ash flow from operations	(417)	(8,552)	4,948	4,210	4,93
apex - maintenance	0	0	0	0	(055
apex - new investment	(179)	(279)	(447)	(635)	(655
et acquisitions & disposals	-	-	-	-	
ther investments (net)	-	-	-	-	(055
ash flow from investing	(179)	(279)	(447)	(635)	(655
ividends paid	(1,572)	(2,045)	(1,895)	(2,030)	(2,031
quity finance	0	0	0	0	(4.000
ebt finance	1,749	11,267 0	(2,175) 0	(1,991) 0	(1,890
ther financing cash flows	(1) 176				
ash flow from financing	176	9,221	(4,070)	(4,021)	(3,921
on-recurring cash flows ther adjustments	- 0	- 0	- 0	- 0	
•	0	0	0	0	
et other adjustments ovement in cash		391	432		36
ree cash flow to firm (FCFF)	(420) (491.91)	(8,569.86)	432 5,033.30	(445) 4,074.61	4,749.2
ree cash flow to equity (FCFE)	1,152.31	2,435.47	2,326.82	1,585.32	2,391.6
	1,152.51	2,435.47	2,320.02	1,303.32	2,391.0
er share (THB)					
CFF per share	(0.16)	(2.72)	1.60	1.30	1.5
CFE per share	0.37	0.77	0.74	0.50	0.7
ecurring cash flow per share	1.96	2.02	1.76	1.86	1.9
alance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026
angible fixed assets (gross)	1,904	1,671	1,636	1,725	1,81
ess: Accumulated depreciation	(291)	(290)	(185)	(202)	(219
angible fixed assets (net)	1,614	1,381	1,450	1,522	1,59
tangible fixed assets (net)	0	0	1,430 0	0	1,55
ong-term financial assets	0	0	0	0	
vest. in associates & subsidiaries	6,481	6,702	6,894	7,254	7,61
ash & equivalents	1,650	2,041	2,472	2,028	2,38
/C receivable	104	145	153	161	2,30
ventories	55,261	71,705	72,049	73,901	75,32
		681	72,049	806	84
ther current assets	1,874				
urrent assets	58,889	74,572	75,441	76,896	78,72
ther assets	1,243	1,288	1,302	1,370	1,43
otal assets	68,227	83,944	85,088	87,043	89,37
ommon equity	36,747	40,757	44,200	47,809	51,58
linorities etc.	(19)	(20)	(20)	(20)	(20
otal shareholders' equity	36,728	40,737	44,180	47,789	51,56
ong term debt	15,284	20,964	20,117	20,127	19,23
ther long-term liabilities	675	913	949	1,000	1,05
ong-term liabilities	15,959	21,877	21,066	21,127	20,28
/C payable	3,211	3,033	2,997	3,158	3,31
hort term debt	7,841	13,428	12,099	10,099	9,09
ther current liabilities	4,487	4,868	4,745	4,869	5,11
urrent liabilities	15,539	21,329	19,841	18,126	17,52
otal liabilities and shareholders' equity	68,227	83,944	85,088	87,043	89,37
et working capital	49,540	64,630	65,227	66,841	67,90
vested capital	58,878	74,001	74,874	76,988	78,56
ncludes convertibles and preferred stock which is being	liealeu as debl				
er share (THB)					
pok value per share	11.68	12.96	14.05	15.20	16.4
angible book value per share	11.68	12.96	14.05	15.20	16.4
nancial strength					
et debt/equity (%)	58.5	79.4	67.3	59.0	50.
et debt/total assets (%)	31.5	38.5	35.0	32.4	29.
	3.8	3.5	3.8	4.2	4.
urrent ratio (x)	14.4	12.0	6.4	5.6	7.
	14.4			2025E	2026
= interest cover (x)		2023	2024E	ZUZJL	
F interest cover (x) aluation	2022	2023	2024E		
urrent ratio (x) F interest cover (x) aluation ecurring P/E (x) *	2022 5.4	5.3	6.0	5.6	5.
F interest cover (x) aluation ecurring P/E (x) * ecurring P/E @ target price (x) *	2022 5.4 5.8	5.3 5.6	6.0 6.4	5.6 6.0	5. 5.
<pre>= interest cover (x) aluation =curring P/E (x) * =curring P/E @ target price (x) * eported P/E (x)</pre>	2022 5.4 5.8 5.4	5.3 5.6 5.2	6.0 6.4 6.0	5.6 6.0 5.6	5. 5. 5.
<pre>= interest cover (x) aluation =curring P/E (x) * =curring P/E @ target price (x) * =ported P/E (x) vidend yield (%)</pre>	2022 5.4 5.8 5.4 6.4	5.3 5.6 5.2 6.9	6.0 6.4 6.0 6.0	5.6 6.0 5.6 6.4	5. 5. 5. 6.
<pre>= interest cover (x) aluation =curring P/E (x) * =curring P/E @ target price (x) * =ported P/E (x) vidend yield (%) ice/book (x)</pre>	2022 5.4 5.8 5.4 6.4 0.9	5.3 5.6 5.2 6.9 0.8	6.0 6.4 6.0 6.0 0.7	5.6 6.0 5.6 6.4 0.7	5. 5. 6. 0.
F interest cover (x) aluation ecurring P/E (x) * ecurring P/E @ target price (x) * eported P/E (x) vidend yield (%) rice/book (x) rice/tangible book (x)	2022 5.4 5.8 5.4 6.4 0.9 0.9	5.3 5.6 5.2 6.9 0.8 0.8	6.0 6.4 6.0 6.0 0.7 0.7	5.6 6.0 5.6 6.4 0.7 0.7	5. 5. 5. 0. 0.
F interest cover (x) aluation ecurring P/E (x) * ecurring P/E @ target price (x) * eported P/E (x) vidend yield (%) ice/book (x) ice/tangible book (x) //EBITDA (x) **	2022 5.4 5.4 6.4 0.9 0.9 8.7	5.3 5.6 5.2 6.9 0.8 0.8 9.6	6.0 6.4 6.0 0.7 0.7 10.1	5.6 6.0 5.6 6.4 0.7 0.7 9.4	5. 5. 6. 0. 0. 8.
F interest cover (x) aluation ecurring P/E (x) * ecurring P/E @ target price (x) * eported P/E (x) vidend yield (%) rice/book (x) rice/tangible book (x)	2022 5.4 5.8 5.4 6.4 0.9 0.9	5.3 5.6 5.2 6.9 0.8 0.8	6.0 6.4 6.0 6.0 0.7 0.7	5.6 6.0 5.6 6.4 0.7 0.7	5. 5. 6. 0.

Sources: AP (Thailand); FSSIA estimates



AP (Thailand) PCL (AP TB)

Exhibit 12: FSSIA ESG score implication

FSSIA ESG rating	
$\star \star \star$	

53.36 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
****	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 13: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA	Domestic ratings						Global ratings						Bloomberg		
	ESG score	DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score	
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17	
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94	
AP	53.36		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	43.30			16.91	24.00	1.89	34.26	
ASW	27.00		Y	Y	5.00	4.00	Declared									
BRI	16.00				4.00	4.00	Declared									
NOBLE	30.88		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified						7.00			
ORI	53.22		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	41.33			48.60		3.82	52.01	
SC	60.14		Y	Y	5.00	4.00	Certified	Low	45.20			70.85	25.00	2.34	42.60	
SIRI	66.14		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	43.82	A		57.85	23.00	3.00	61.14	
SPALI	59.80		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	52.93	BB		36.30	24.00	2.19	42.36	

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 14: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	0.96	2.34	1.85	2.17	1.77	1.84	2.01	1.89
BESG environmental pillar score	0.00	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16
BESG social pillar score	0.00	6.19	3.48	5.30	3.14	3.57	4.68	4.32
BESG governance pillar score	3.86	4.12	3.93	3.98	3.86	3.81	3.75	3.54
ESG disclosure score	30.64	33.76	33.76	34.10	34.10	34.10	34.26	34.26
Environmental disclosure score	0.00	1.27	1.27	1.27	1.27	1.27	1.75	1.75
Social disclosure score	10.64	12.45	12.45	13.48	13.48	13.48	13.48	13.48
Governance disclosure score	81.10	87.36	87.36	87.36	87.36	87.36	87.36	87.36
Environmental								
Emissions reduction initiatives	No							
Climate change policy	No							
Climate change opportunities discussed	No							
Risks of climate change discussed	No							
GHG scope 1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
GHG scope 2 location-based	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
GHG Scope 3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Carbon per unit of production	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—
Biodiversity policy	No							
Energy efficiency policy	No	Yes						
Total energy consumption	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Renewable energy use	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Electricity used	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Fuel used - natural gas	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 15: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

Waste reduction policyNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoYesYesHazardous waste——— <th>FY ending Dec 31</th> <th>FY 2015</th> <th>FY 2016</th> <th>FY 2017</th> <th>FY 2018</th> <th>FY 2019</th> <th>FY 2020</th> <th>FY 2021</th> <th>FY 2022</th>	FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Hazardox svatio -	Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No							
Tool washe -		No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Water serviced - - - - - - - - Water solution bindlits -		_	—	_	_	_	_	—	_
Wates ent to handlis Environment supply chain management No No Yes		_	—	_	—	_	_	—	_
Environmental supply chain management No No No No No No Water consumption — …	-	_	—	_	—	_	_	—	_
Water consumption No Yes Yes <thyes< th=""></thyes<>		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Water consumption - - - - - Social - - Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Pole, against child labor No No<									No
Social Human rights poloy Yes Yes <thyes< th=""> <thyes< th=""> Yes</thyes<></thyes<>		No	Yes						
Human rights policy Yes Yes <thyes< th=""> Yes <thyes< th=""></thyes<></thyes<>		-	_	_	_	_	-	_	-
Policy against child laborNo </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
Quality assurance and recall policyNoNoNoNoNoNoNoConsumer data protection policyYes					Yes				Yes
Consumer data protection policy No No No No No No No Equal opportunity policy Yes									Yes
Equal opportunity policy Yes Yes <thyes< th=""> Yes <thyes< th=""></thyes<></thyes<>	Quality assurance and recall policy	No							
Generator page breakout No No No No No No Pct deable in workforce Business ethics policy Yes Yes <td>Consumer data protection policy</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td>	Consumer data protection policy	No							
Pct disabled in workforce - - - - - - - Pct disabled in workforce - - - - - - - - - - - - - - Pct disabled in workforce Yes Yes <td>Equal opportunity policy</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td>	Equal opportunity policy	Yes							
Pct disabled in workforce Business affics policy Yes	Gender pay gap breakout	No							
Business ethics policyYes <td>Pct women in workforce</td> <td>—</td> <td>—</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>—</td> <td>_</td> <td>—</td> <td>—</td>	Pct women in workforce	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	—
Anti-bribery ethics policy Yes Yes <thyes< th=""> Yes <thyes< th=""> <th< td=""><td>Pct disabled in workforce</td><td>—</td><td>—</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>—</td><td>_</td><td>—</td><td>—</td></th<></thyes<></thyes<>	Pct disabled in workforce	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	—
Health and safety policyYes<	Business ethics policy	Yes							
Lost time incident rate - employeesTraining policyYes <t< td=""><td>Anti-bribery ethics policy</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td></t<>	Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes							
Total recordable incident rate - employees - 0 0 0 0 0 0 Training policy Yes	Health and safety policy	Yes							
Training policyYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesFair remuneration policyNo	Lost time incident rate - employees	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Fair remuneration policyNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNumber of employees $-$ CSR1,6701,8881,9952,2392,2712,7242,5992,17Employee turmover pct——————————Total hours spent by firm - employee training——	Total recordable incident rate - employees	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of employees - CSR 1,670 1,858 1,995 2,239 2,771 2,724 2,589 2,18 Employee turnover pct -	Training policy	Yes							
Employee turnover pctTotal hours spent by (imr - employee trainingNoNoNoNoNoSocial supply chain managementNoNoNoNoNoNoBoard size131313131313131313No. of independent directors (ID)77777777No. of women on board11 <td>Fair remuneration policy</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td>	Fair remuneration policy	No							
Total hours spent by firm - employee training Social supply chain managementSocial supply chain managementNoNoNoNoNoNoGovernance1313131313131313Board size13131313131313131313No. of independent directors (ID)777 <td>Number of employees – CSR</td> <td>1,670</td> <td>1,858</td> <td>1,995</td> <td>2,239</td> <td>2,571</td> <td>2,724</td> <td>2,589</td> <td>2,878</td>	Number of employees – CSR	1,670	1,858	1,995	2,239	2,571	2,724	2,589	2,878
Social supply chain managementNoNoNoNoNoNoGovernanceBoard size13 <th< td=""><td>Employee turnover pct</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td></th<>	Employee turnover pct	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Governance Second size 13 14 14 10 10 <td>Total hours spent by firm - employee training</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	Total hours spent by firm - employee training	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Board size13131313131313131313No. of independent directors (ID)77777777No. of non-executive directors on board11 </td <td>Social supply chain management</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td>	Social supply chain management	No							
No. of independent directors (ID)777 <t< td=""><td>Governance</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Governance								
No. of women on board11111111No. of non-executive directors on board7777777Company conducts board evaluationsYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesNo. of board meetings for the year11111312111010Board meeting attendance pct91909895969898Board duration (years)33333333Director share ownership guidelinesNoNoNoNoNoNoNoAge of the oldest director72707172707172No. of female executives / company managers22201917181817No. of female executives share ownership guidelinesNoNoNoNoNoNoSize of audit committee3334444Audit meeting attendance %10010093100100100Size of compensation committee33333333No. of ID on compensation committee5555555No. of compensation committee100100100100100100100Size of compensation committee5555555555 </td <td>Board size</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td>	Board size	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
No. of non-executive directors on board77777777Company conducts board evaluationsYe	No. of independent directors (ID)	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Company conducts board evaluationsYes <td>No. of women on board</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td>	No. of women on board	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
No. of board meetings for the year11111312111010Board meeting attendance pct91909895969898Board duration (years)3333333Director share ownership guidelinesNoNoNoNoNoNoAge of the oldest director72707172707172No. of executives / company managers22201917181817No. of female executives4234444Executive share ownership guidelinesNoNoNoNoNoNoSize of audit committee3344444Audit committee meetings10010093100100100100Size of compensation committee55555555No. of ID on compensation committee333333333No. of ID on compensation committee33 <td>No. of non-executive directors on board</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td>	No. of non-executive directors on board	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Board meeting attendance pct91909895969898Board duration (years)3333333Director share ownership guidelinesNoNoNoNoNoNoAge of the youngest director49505152535455Age of the oldest director72707172707172No. of executives / company managers22201917181817No. of female executives4234444Executive share ownership guidelinesNoNoNoNoNoNoSize of audit committee334444Audit meeting attendance %10010093100100100Size of compensation committee55555555No. of ID on compensation committee3333333No. of Do nompensation committee meetings2222222Compensation committee meetings2555 <td>Company conducts board evaluations</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td>	Company conducts board evaluations	Yes							
Board duration (years)33333333Director share ownership guidelinesNoNoNoNoNoNoAge of the youngest director49505152535455Age of the oldest director72707172707172No. of executives / company managers22201917181817No. of female executives4234444Executive share ownership guidelinesNoNoNoNoNoNoSize of audit committee3344444Audit committee meetings454444Audit meeting attendance %10010093100100100Size of compensation committee555555No. of ID on compensation committee333333No. of compensation committee555555No. of compensation committee5555555No. of compensation committee55555555No. of compensation committee5555555555555555555555555	No. of board meetings for the year	11	11	13	12	11	10	10	11
Director share ownership guidelinesNoNoNoNoNoNoAge of the youngest director49505152535455Age of the oldest director72707172707172No. of executives / company managers22201917181817No. of female executives4234444Executive share ownership guidelinesNoNoNoNoNoNoSize of audit committee3344444No. of ID on audit committee334444Audit meeting attendance %10010093100100100100Size of compensation committee55555555No. of ID on compensation committee33333333No. of compensation committee5555555555No. of compensation committee55 <td>Board meeting attendance pct</td> <td>91</td> <td>90</td> <td>98</td> <td>95</td> <td>96</td> <td>98</td> <td>98</td> <td>99</td>	Board meeting attendance pct	91	90	98	95	96	98	98	99
Age of the youngest director49505152535455Age of the oldest director72707172707172No. of executives / company managers2220191718181817No. of female executives423444	Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Age of the oldest director72707172707172No. of executives / company managers22201917181817No. of female executives4234444Executive share ownership guidelinesNoNoNoNoNoNoSize of audit committee3344444No. of ID on audit committee3344444Audit committee meetings4544444Audit committee meetings10010093100100100100Size of compensation committee55555555No. of ID on compensation committee333333333No. of compensation committee33 </td <td>Director share ownership guidelines</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td>	Director share ownership guidelines	No							
Age of the oldest director72707172707172No. of executives / company managers22201917181817No. of female executives4234444Executive share ownership guidelinesNoNoNoNoNoNoSize of audit committee3344444No. of ID on audit committee3344444Audit committee meetings4544444Audit committee meetings10010093100100100100Size of compensation committee55555555No. of ID on compensation committee333333333No. of compensation committee33 </td <td>Age of the youngest director</td> <td>49</td> <td>50</td> <td>51</td> <td>52</td> <td>53</td> <td>54</td> <td>55</td> <td>56</td>	Age of the youngest director	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56
No. of executives / company managers22201917181817No. of female executives4234444Executive share ownership guidelinesNoNoNoNoNoNoSize of audit committee3344444No. of ID on audit committee3344444Audit committee meetings45444444Audit meeting attendance %10010093100100100100100Size of compensation committee55				71					73
Executive share ownership guidelinesNoNoNoNoNoNoSize of audit committee3344444No. of ID on audit committee3344444Audit committee meetings4544444Audit neeting attendance %10010093100100100100100Size of compensation committee555555555No. of ID on compensation committee333	-	22	20	19	17	18	18	17	17
Executive share ownership guidelinesNoNoNoNoNoNoSize of audit committee3344444No. of ID on audit committee3344444Audit committee meetings4544444Audit neeting attendance %10010093100100100100100Size of compensation committee555555555No. of ID on compensation committee333	No. of female executives	4	2	3	4	4	4	4	4
Size of audit committee334444No. of ID on audit committee334444Audit committee meetings454444Audit meeting attendance %10010093100100100Size of compensation committee5555555No. of ID on compensation committee3333333No. of compensation committee meetings2222222Compensation meeting attendance %1001008890100100100Size of nomination committee meetings22222222No. of nomination committee meetings22222222No. of nomination committee meetings22222222No. of nomination committee meetings22222222No. of nomination neeting attendance %1001008890100100100		No							
No. of ID on audit committee3344444Audit committee meetings4544444Audit meeting attendance %10010093100100100100Size of compensation committee55555555No. of ID on compensation committee333333333No. of ID on compensation committee33									4
Audit committee meetings454444Audit meeting attendance %10010093100100100100Size of compensation committee55555555No. of ID on compensation committee33333333No. of compensation committee meetings22222222Compensation meeting attendance %1001008890100100100Size of nomination committee meetings2255555No. of nomination committee meetings2222222Mon of nomination committee meetings2222222No. of nomination committee meetings2222222No inf nomination neeting attendance %1001008890100100100	No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4
Audit meeting attendance % 100 100 100 100 100 100 Size of compensation committee 5 6 5									4
Size of compensation committee55555No. of ID on compensation committee3333333No. of compensation committee meetings2222222Compensation meeting attendance %1001008890100100100Size of nomination committee meetings5555555No. of nomination committee meetings2222222Nomination meeting attendance %1001008890100100100	_								100
No. of ID on compensation committee 3 No. of compensation committee meetings 2 3	-								5
No. of compensation committee meetings 2 3 100	-								3
Compensation meeting attendance % 100 100 88 90 100 100 100 Size of nomination committee 5	-								2
Size of nomination committee 5 5 5 5 5 5 No. of nomination committee meetings 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 100	· · ·								2 90
No. of nomination committee meetings 2 <th2< th=""> 2 2</th2<>									90 5
Nomination meeting attendance % 100 100 88 90 100 100									
	•								2
	-	100	100	88	90	100	100	100	90
Verification type No No No No No No No No		N1-	N1 -	N1-	N1-	N1-	N1-	N1-	No

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodolog	У			Rating							
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	process bas from the ann Only the top inclusion.	ed on the com nual S&P Glob -ranked comp	transparent, rules-based npanies' Total Sustainabi aal Corporate Sustainabil anies within each indust	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.								
Sustainability Investment List (<u>THSI</u>) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (<u>SET</u>)	managing bu Candidates (1) no irregula float of >150 up capital. S 70%; 2) inde wrongdoing	usiness with tr must pass the ar trading of th shareholders ome key disque pendent direct related to CG,	ility in Environmental and ansparency in Governar e preemptive criteria, with ne board members and e s, and combined holding ualifying criteria include: ctors and free float violati , social & environmental earnings in red for > 3 ye	To be eligible for <u>THSI inclusion</u> , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. <u>SETTHSI Index</u> is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (-USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.								
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	annually by t Thailand (SE	the Thai IOD,	h in sustainable develop with support from the Stu ts are from the perspecti s.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).								
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	treatment an transparent a out of five th criteria cove date (45%), <i>circulation of s</i> <i>exercised. The</i> <i>and verifiability</i>	e incorporatec and sufficiently e CG compon r AGM proced and after the r sufficient informa e second assess y; and 3) openne	which shareholders' right d into business operation y disclosed. All form imp itents to be evaluated and dures before the meeting meeting (10%). (The first a tion for voting; and 2) facilita ses 1) the ease of attending r ses for Q&A. The third involvi- tes, resolutions and voting re-	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.								
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	establishmen policies. The (Companies d Declaration of Certification, ir managers and	nt of key contr e Certification i eciding to becon Intent to kick off including risk ass	Checklist include corrupt rols, and the monitoring a is good for three years. me a CAC certified member sf an 18-month deadline to su ressment, in place of policy a tablishment of whistleblowing II stakeholders.)	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.								
<u>Morningstar</u> <u>Sustainalytics</u>	based on an risk is unmai regulatory filing	assessment on aged. Sources	isk rating provides an over of how much of a compa s to be reviewed include corp her media, NGO reports/web	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored.								
		ompany feedbac uality & peer rev	k, ESG controversies, issuer views.	0-10	Low 10-20	Medium 20-30	High 30-40	Severe 40+				
ESG Book	positioned to the principle helps explain over-weighti	o outperform o of financial m n future risk-ad	sustainable companies the over the long term. The m ateriality including inform djusted performance. Ma ith higher materiality and arly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.								
<u>MSCI</u>	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers.											
	AAA	8.571-10.00	0 Leader:	leading its industry in m	anaging the most si	unificant ESG ris	ks and opportunitie	s				
	AA	7.143-8.570		······································		5		-				
								al track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to				
	Α	5.714-7.142	2	a mixed or unexception	al track record of ma	anaging the most	significant ESG ris	ks and opportur	ities relative to			
	A BBB	5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713	2 3 Average:	a mixed or unexception industry peers	al track record of ma	anaging the most	significant ESG ris	sks and opportur	ities relative to			
	A BBB BB	5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285	2 3 Average: 5		al track record of ma	anaging the most	significant ESG ris	sks and opportur	ities relative to			
	A BBB BB B	5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856	2 3 Average: 5 6 Laggard:				-		ities relative to			
Moody's ESG solutions	A BBB B CCC Moody's ass believes that	5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 sesses the deg t a company in	2 3 Average: 5 6 8 8 gree to which companies	industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG o nto its business model and	ed on its high expos	ure and failure to	manage significan	t ESG risks their strategy	policies. It			
	A BBB BB CCC Moody's ass believes that create susta Designed to based on pu	5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 tesses the deg t a company in inable value for transparently blicly available	Average: Average: Laggard: Bree to which companies ntegrating ESG factors ir or shareholders over the and objectively measure e and auditable data. Th	industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG o nto its business model and	ed on its high expos bjectives in the d d relatively outpe G performance, 100 on relative E	ure and failure to efinition and in forming its pe commitment an SG performan	manage significan nplementation of ers is better posi nd effectiveness ce and insufficiel	t ESG risks their strategy itioned to mitio across 10 ma nt degree of tr	policies. It gate risks and in themes,			
solutions Refinitiv ESG	A BBB BB CCC Moody's ass believes that create susta Designed to based on pur reporting mat	5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 essess the deg t a company in inable value for transparently blicly available terial ESG da obal ESG Sco	Average: Averag	industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG o nto its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ES e score ranges from 0 to	ed on its high expos bjectives in the d d relatively outpe G performance, o 100 on relative E = satisfactory; >50 t pormance on and	ure and failure to efinition and in forming its pe commitment at SG performan o 75 = good; and management of	manage significan nplementation of ers is better posi- nd effectiveness ce and insufficier (>75 to 100 = exce	t ESG risks their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr <i>llent.</i>)	policies. It jate risks and in themes, ansparency in			
solutions Refinitiv ESG rating	A BBB BB CCC Moody's ass believes that create susta Designed to based on pur reporting mat	5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 essess the deg t a company in inable value for transparently blicly available terial ESG da obal ESG Sco	Average: Average: Average: Auggard: Auggar	industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG o to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ES e score ranges from 0 to are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 assuring a company's perf	ed on its high exposed ojectives in the d d relatively outpe G performance, of 100 on relative E = satisfactory; >50 t ormance on and ges from 0 to 1000 regated Environn ncial materiality.	ure and failure to efinition and in forming its pe commitment an SG performan- o 75 = good; and management o). nental, Social a The score is a	manage significan nplementation of ers is better posi- nd effectiveness ce and insufficien (>75 to 100 = exce- of ESG risks, opp and Governance weighted gener	t ESG risks their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr <i>llent.)</i> portunities, an (ESG) perforr alized mean (policies. It jate risks and in themes, ansparency in d impacts mance. The power mean)			

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings. Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Thanyatorn Songwutti FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price



Thanyatorn Songwutti started covering this stock from 10-Feb-2023

BUY

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

23-Feb-2022

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
AP (Thailand)	AP TB	THB 10.10	BUY	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a higher-than-expected slowdown in the low-rise market; 2) lower-than-expected new launches and take-up rates; 3) a slow economic recovery; 4) an impact from the interest rate uptrend on purchasing power; 5) a higher rejection rate and customer cancellation; 6) construction delay and labor shortages; and 7) fierce competition.

BUY

13 20

21-Feb-2024

13.00

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 21-Oct-2024 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months. **Neutral.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months. **Underweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.