EQUITY RESEARCH – NEWS FLASH

AP (THAILAND)



ยอด Presales 3Q24 โตดี y-y

THAILAND / PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT

Highlights

AP รายงานยอดขาย Presales 3Q24 อยู่ในเกณฑ์ดี 13.4 พันลบ. (-7% q-q, +15% y-y)
 ประกอบด้วยแนวราบ 68% และคอนโด 32% สูงกว่าที่เราเคยคาดไว้ที่ 12.5 พันลบ.

AP TB

- แม้ AP จะเปิดตัวโครงการแนวราบใหม่ลดลงเป็น 11 โครงการ มูลค่ารวม 8.9 พันลบ. (-50% q-q, -52% y-y) แต่ยอดขายแนวราบยังเติบโตดีที่ 9.1 พันลบ. (+8% q-q, +7% y-y) โดยได้ปัจจัยหนุนจากการเปิดตัวโครงการใหม่จำนวนมากใน 2Q24 นอกจากนี้ โครงการ ที่เปิดตัวใหม่มี take-up rate ที่ 25-30% เพิ่มขึ้นจาก 15-20% ในช่วง 1H24 หรือคิดเป็น 24% ของยอดขายแนวราบทั้งหมด
- บ้านแฝดและทาวน์โฮมสร้างสร้างยอดขายที่น่าพอใจ โดยเฉพาะแบรนด์ Pleno อาทิ โครงการ Pleno Rama 9-Krungthep kreetha 3 และ Pleno Bangna-Theparak ซึ่ง Take-up rate อยู่ที่ 30-40% นอกจากนี้ AP ขยายธุรกิจอย่างต่อเนื่องในตลาดต่างจังหวัด โดยเปิดตัว 2 โครงการใหม่ในไตรมาสนี้ ซึ่ง 1 ใน 2 โครงการดังกล่าวคือ Apitown Suphan Buri (750 ลบ.) ซึ่งประสบความสำเร็จจากการเปิดตัวในจังหวัดใหม่ด้วย take-up rate ที่ 40%
- ยอดขายคอนโดอยู่ที่ 4.3 พันลบ. เพิ่มขึ้น 35% y-y แต่ลดลง 28% q-q สอดคล้องกับ มูลค่าของโครงการใหม่ที่ลดลงจาก 2Q24 ซึ่งเปิดตัว 3 คอนโดใหม่ ทั้งนี้ ใน 3Q24 AP เปิดตัวโครงการคอนโดใหม่ 1 แห่งชื่อ Aspire Itsaraphap Station (950 ลบ., ราคาขาย 100,000 บาท/ตรม.) ในปลายเดือนก.ย. ซึ่งมี take-up rate อยู่ที่ 25% ใกล้เคียงคาด นอกจากนี้ ยังได้แรงหนุนจากความคืบหน้าขายของคอนโด Life Charoennakhon-Sathorn (2.5 พันลบ.) ซึ่งปัจจุบันมี take-up rate อยู่ที่ 55% (เทียบกับ 5% ใน 2Q24) หลังเปิดตัวในปลายเดือนมิ.ย.
- ยอด Presales 9M24 อยู่ที่ 37.5 พันลบ. (+6% y-y) คิดเป็น 66% ของเป้าในปี 2024 ของบริษัทฯ ที่ 57 พันลบ. (+11% y-y)


Outlook

- โมเมนตัมผลประกอบการ 3Q24 เป็นบวก โดยประเมินกำไรสุทธิอยู่ที่ 1.4-1.5 พันลบ. สูง กว่าที่เคยคาดไว้ที่ 1.3-1.4 พันลบ. กำไรสุทธิมีโอกาสลดลง y-y จากฐานสูงใน 3Q23 ที่ 1.7 พันลบ. แต่เทียบ q-q จะเร่งขึ้นจาก 1.27 พันลบ.ใน 2Q24 โดยได้ปัจจัยหนุนจากส่วน แบ่งกำไรจาก JV สูงขึ้นอย่างมีนัยสำคัญ เนื่องจาก AP เริ่มโอนคอนโดใหม่ JV ขนาดใหญ่ คือ Life Rama 4-Asoke (6.5 พันลบ., มียอดขาย 62%) ซึ่งคาดรับรู้ได้ 25% ของมูลค่า โครงการใน 3Q24
- เราคาดยอดโอน AP ใน 3Q24 อยู่ในเกณฑ์ดีที่ 9.5 พันลบ. (ทรงตัว q-q และ y-y) หนุน จากการรับรู้ Backlog แนวราบ ณ สิ้น 2Q24 ราว 13.5 พันลบ. รวมถึงการโอนต่อเนื่อง ของคอนโด 2 แห่งที่สร้างเสร็จใหม่ใน 2Q24 อย่างไรก็ดี การแข่งขันที่รุนแรงและอุปสงค์ที่ ชะลอตัวในตลาดอสังหาฯอาจกดดันให้อัตรากำไรขั้นตันลดลงเป็น 32-33% (เทียบกับ 33.4% ในช่วง 1H24)

THB10.80
THB9.90
+9.1%
-4.5%

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	38,045	38,300	40,301	42,309
Net profit	6,054	5,338	5,639	5,804
EPS (THB)	1.92	1.70	1.79	1.84
vs Consensus (%)	-	(1.8)	(3.3)	(4.6)
EBITDA	6,668	6,083	6,368	6,692
Recurring net profit	6,043	5,338	5,639	5,804
Core EPS (THB)	1.92	1.70	1.79	1.84
EPS growth (%)	2.9	(11.7)	5.6	2.9
Core P/E (x)	5.2	5.8	5.5	5.4
Dividend yield (%)	7.1	6.1	6.5	6.5
EV/EBITDA (x)	9.5	10.0	9.3	8.5
Price/book (x)	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6
Net debt/Equity (%)	79.4	67.3	59.0	50.3
ROE (%)	15.6	12.6	12.3	11.7



Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates




Thanyatorn Songwutti

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 101203 thanyatorn.s@fssia.com, +66 2646 9963


PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

บทวิเคราะห์ฉบับนี้แปลมาจากต้นฉบับภาษาอังกฤษ ที่ออกรายงานเมื่อวันที่ 7 ตุลาคม 2024

Exhibit 1: Quarterly presales



Sources: AP; FSSIA's compilation




Sources: AP; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 5: New low-rise launches in 3Q24




Source: AP

Exhibit 2: Quarterly new launches



Sources: AP; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 4: Yearly new launches



Sources: AP; FSSIA's compilation


Exhibit 6: Aspire Itsaraphap Station project











Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

AP (Thailand)

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	38,539	38,045	38,300	40,301	42,309
Cost of goods sold	(25,475)	(24,148)	(24,972)	(26,317)	(27,629)
Gross profit	13,064	13,897	13,328	13,984	14,681
Other operating income	0	0	0	0	C
Operating costs	(7,248)	(7,520)	(7,430)	(7,818)	(8,208)
Operating EBITDA	6,107	6,668	6,083	6,368	6,692
Depreciation	(291)	(290)	(185)	(202)	(219)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	C
Operating EBIT	5,816	6,377	5,897	6,165	6,473
Net financing costs	(99)	(247)	(517)	(485)	(453)
Associates	1,233	1,055	945	1,007	903
Recurring non-operating income	1,385	1,215	1,105	1,167	1,063
Non-recurring items	4	12	0	0	C
Profit before tax	7,106	7,358	6,485	6,848	7,082
Тах	(1,230)	(1,304)	(1,147)	(1,209)	(1,279)
Profit after tax	5,876	6,054	5,338	5,639	5,803
Minority interests	1	0	0	0	C
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	C
Other items	0	0	0	0	C
Reported net profit	5,877	6,054	5,338	5,639	5,804
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(4)	(12)	0	0	C
Recurring net profit	5,874	6,043	5,338	5,639	5,804
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	1.87	1.92	1.70	1.79	1.84
Reported EPS	1.87	1.92	1.70	1.79	1.84
DPS	0.65	0.70	0.60	0.65	0.65
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	3,146	3,146	3,146	3,146	3,146
Growth					
Revenue (%)	21.2	(1.3)	0.7	5.2	5.0
Operating EBITDA (%)	28.2	9.2	(8.8)	4.7	5.1
Operating EBIT (%)	29.7	9.6	(7.5)	4.5	5.0
Recurring EPS (%)	29.3	2.9	(11.7)	5.6	2.9
Reported EPS (%)	29.4	3.0	(11.8)	5.6	2.9
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	33.9	36.5	34.8	34.7	34.7
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	34.7	37.3	35.3	35.2	35.2
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	15.8	17.5	15.9	15.8	15.8
Operating EBIT margin (%)	15.1	16.8	15.4	15.3	15.3
Net margin (%)	15.2	15.9	13.9	14.0	13.7
Effective tax rate (%)	20.9	20.7	20.7	20.7	20.7
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	34.8	36.4	35.5	36.0	35.0
Interest cover (X)	72.7	30.8	13.5	15.1	16.6
Inventory days	743.8	971.2	1,058.4	1,019.9	993.6
Debtor days	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.4
Creditor days	41.0	47.8	44.4	43.0	43.1
Operating ROIC (%)	9.6	8.6	7.0	7.2	7.4
ROIC (%)	10.2	9.1	7.5	7.7	7.7
ROE (%)	17.0	15.6	12.6	12.3	11.7
ROA (%)	9.3	8.2	6.8	7.0	7.0
* Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted					
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Sales	37,522	36,927	37,126	39,068	41,015
Service income	1,017	1,118	1,174	1,233	1,294

Sources: AP (Thailand); FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements AP (Thailand)

AP (Thailand)					
Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring net profit	5,874	6,043	5,338	5,639	5,804
Depreciation	291	290	185	202	219
Associates & minorities	-	-	-	-	-
Other non-cash items	4	12	0	0	0
Change in working capital	(6,585)	(14,896)	(576)	(1,631)	(1,086)
Cash flow from operations Capex - maintenance	(417) 0	(8,552) 0	4,948 0	4,210 0	4,937 0
Capex - new investment	(179)	(279)	(447)	(635)	(655)
Net acquisitions & disposals	-	-	-	-	-
Other investments (net)	-	-	-	-	-
Cash flow from investing	(179)	(279)	(447)	(635)	(655)
Dividends paid	(1,572) 0	(2,045) 0	(1,895) 0	(2,030) 0	(2,031) 0
Equity finance Debt finance	1,749	11,267	(2,175)	(1,991)	(1,890)
Other financing cash flows	(1)	0	0	0	0
Cash flow from financing	176	9,221	(4,070)	(4,021)	(3,921)
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
Net other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
Movement in cash Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	(420) (491.91)	391 (8,569.86)	432 5,033.30	(445) 4,074.61	360 4,749.27
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	1,152.31	2,435.47	2,326.82	1,585.32	2,391.63
	1,102101	2,100111	2,020.02	1,000102	_,
Per share (THB)	(0.40)	(0.70)	4.00	4.00	4 54
FCFF per share FCFE per share	(0.16) 0.37	(2.72) 0.77	1.60 0.74	1.30 0.50	1.51 0.76
Recurring cash flow per share	1.96	2.02	1.76	1.86	1.91
Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
	1,904	1,671	1,636	1,725	1,816
Tangible fixed assets (gross) Less: Accumulated depreciation	(291)	(290)	(185)	(202)	(219)
Tangible fixed assets (net)	1,614	1,381	1,450	1,522	1,597
Intangible fixed assets (net)	0	0	0	0	0
Long-term financial assets	0	0	0	0	0
Invest. in associates & subsidiaries	6,481	6,702	6,894	7,254	7,616
Cash & equivalents	1,650	2,041	2,472	2,028	2,388
A/C receivable Inventories	104 55,261	145 71,705	153 72,049	161 73,901	169 75,320
Other current assets	1,874	681	72,049 766	806	75,320 846
Current assets	58,889	74,572	75,441	76,896	78,723
Other assets	1,243	1,288	1,302	1,370	1,439
Total assets	68,227	83,944	85,088	87,043	89,375
Common equity	36,747	40,757	44,200	47,809	51,581
Minorities etc.	(19)	(20)	(20)	(20)	(20)
Total shareholders' equity Long term debt	36,728 15,284	40,737 20,964	44,180 20,117	47,789 20,127	51,562 19,237
Other long-term liabilities	675	913	949	1,000	1,050
Long-term liabilities	15,959	21,877	21,066	21,127	20,287
A/C payable	3,211	3,033	2,997	3,158	3,315
Short term debt	7,841	13,428	12,099	10,099	9,099
Other current liabilities	4,487	4,868	4,745	4,869	5,111
Current liabilities Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	15,539 68,227	21,329 83,944	19,841 85,088	18,126 87,043	17,526 89,375
Net working capital	49,540	64,630	65,227	66,841	67,909
Invested capital	58,878	74,001	74,874	76,988	78,560
* Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being	g treated as debt				
Per share (THB)					
Book value per share	11.68	12.96	14.05	15.20	16.40
Tangible book value per share	11.68	12.96	14.05	15.20	16.40
Financial strength					
Net debt/equity (%)	58.5	79.4	67.3	59.0	50.3
Net debt/total assets (%) Current ratio (x)	31.5 3.8	38.5 3.5	35.0 3.8	32.4 4.2	29.0 4.5
CF interest cover (x)	3.8 14.4	12.0	6.4	4.2 5.6	4.5
Valuation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring P/E (x) *	5.3	5.2	5.8	5.5	5.4
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	5.8	5.6	6.4	6.0	5.9
Reported P/E (x)	5.3	5.1	5.8	5.5	5.4
Dividend yield (%)	6.6	7.1	6.1	6.5	6.5
Price/book (x)	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6
Price/tangible book (x)	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6
	8.6	9.5	10.0	9.3	8.5
EV/EBITDA (x) **				0 0	
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) ** EV/invested capital (x)	9.1 0.9	9.9 0.9	10.5 0.8	9.8 0.8	9.0 0.7

Sources: AP (Thailand); FSSIA estimates



AP (Thailand) PCL (AP TB)

Exhibit 9: FSSIA ESG score implication

FSSIA ESG rating	
\star \star \star	

53.36 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
$\star \star \star \star$	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 10: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA	SSIA Global ratings							Bloomberg						
	ESG score	DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
AP	53.36		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	43.30			16.91	24.00	1.89	34.26
ASW	27.00		Y	Y	5.00	4.00	Declared								
BRI	16.00				4.00	4.00	Declared								
NOBLE	30.88		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified						7.00		
ORI	53.22		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	41.33			48.60		3.82	52.01
SC	60.14		Y	Y	5.00	4.00	Certified	Low	45.20			70.85	25.00	2.34	42.60
SIRI	66.14		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	43.82	A		57.85	23.00	3.00	61.14
SPALI	59.80		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	52.93	BB		36.30	24.00	2.19	42.36

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 11: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	0.96	2.34	1.85	2.17	1.77	1.84	2.01	1.89
BESG environmental pillar score	0.00	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16
BESG social pillar score	0.00	6.19	3.48	5.30	3.14	3.57	4.68	4.32
BESG governance pillar score	3.86	4.12	3.93	3.98	3.86	3.81	3.75	3.54
ESG disclosure score	30.64	33.76	33.76	34.10	34.10	34.10	34.26	34.26
Environmental disclosure score	0.00	1.27	1.27	1.27	1.27	1.27	1.75	1.75
Social disclosure score	10.64	12.45	12.45	13.48	13.48	13.48	13.48	13.48
Governance disclosure score	81.10	87.36	87.36	87.36	87.36	87.36	87.36	87.36
Environmental								
Emissions reduction initiatives	No							
Climate change policy	No							
Climate change opportunities discussed	No							
Risks of climate change discussed	No							
GHG scope 1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
GHG scope 2 location-based	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
GHG Scope 3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Carbon per unit of production	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Biodiversity policy	No							
Energy efficiency policy	No	Yes						
Total energy consumption	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Renewable energy use	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Electricity used	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Fuel used - natural gas	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 12: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

Wase reduction policy No No No No No No No Yes Y Tatal wases -	FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Hazardox svatis -	Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No							
Tadi waste - - - - - - - - Waste reprint - - - - - - - Waste reprint No		No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Wate repúblic - <		_	—	_	_	—	_	—	_
Mass ant to landfils -		_	—	_	—	_	_	—	_
Environmental supply chain management No	-	_	—	_	—	_	_	—	_
Water colory Yes Yes <thyes< th=""> <th< td=""><td></td><td>—</td><td>—</td><td>—</td><td>—</td><td>—</td><td>—</td><td>—</td><td>_</td></th<></thyes<>		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Water consumption - - - - - - Human rights polley Yes									No
Social Unumar infity colby, Yes Yes <thyes< th=""> <thyes< th=""> Yes</thyes<></thyes<>		No	Yes						
Human fights policy Yes		_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-
Policy against child labor No No <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>									
Quality assurance and nearly policy No					Yes				Yes
Consumer data protection policy No									Yes
Equal population Yes Yes <thyes< th=""></thyes<>	Quality assurance and recall policy	No							
Gender pay gap brakout No Protocols Pett disabled in workforce — … … …<	Consumer data protection policy	No							
Pet vomen in workforce - - - - - - - - - - - - Pet disabled in workforce - Pet disabled in workforce Yes	Equal opportunity policy	Yes							
Pct disabled in workforce - - - - - - - - Business striks policy Yes	Gender pay gap breakout	No							
Business ethics policy Yes	Pct women in workforce	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	—
Anti-bribery ethics policy Yes <	Pct disabled in workforce	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	—
Health and safety policy Yes Yes <td>Business ethics policy</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td>	Business ethics policy	Yes							
Lost time incident rate - employees -	Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes							
Total recordable incident rate - employees - 0 0 Ves Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes <td>Health and safety policy</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td>	Health and safety policy	Yes							
Training policy Yes	Lost time incident rate - employees	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Fair remuneration policy No N	Total recordable incident rate - employees	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of employees - CSR 1,670 1,858 1,995 2,239 2,571 2,724 2,589 2,88 Employee turnover ptd -	Training policy	Yes							
Employee turnover pct — …	Fair remuneration policy	No							
Total hours spent by firm - employee training - </td <td>Number of employees – CSR</td> <td>1,670</td> <td>1,858</td> <td>1,995</td> <td>2,239</td> <td>2,571</td> <td>2,724</td> <td>2,589</td> <td>2,878</td>	Number of employees – CSR	1,670	1,858	1,995	2,239	2,571	2,724	2,589	2,878
Social supply chain management No No No No No No No No Governance III IIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Employee turnover pct	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Governance Second size 13 <td>Total hours spent by firm - employee training</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	Total hours spent by firm - employee training	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Board size 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 No. of independent directors (ID) 7	Social supply chain management	No							
No. of independent directors (ID) 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 No. of women on board 1	Governance								
No. of women on board 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 No. of non-executive directors on board 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Company conducts board evaluations Yes Yes <td>Board size</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td>	Board size	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
No. of non-executive directors on board 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Company conducts board evaluations Yes	No. of independent directors (ID)	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Company conducts board evaluations Yes <	No. of women on board	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
No. of board meetings for the year 11 11 13 12 11 10 10 Board meeting attendance pct 91 90 98 95 96 98 98 Board duration (years) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 Director share ownership guidelines No	No. of non-executive directors on board	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Board meeting attendance pct 91 90 98 95 96 98 98 Board duration (years) 3	Company conducts board evaluations	Yes							
Board duration (years) 3	No. of board meetings for the year	11	11	13	12	11	10	10	11
Director share ownership guidelines No	Board meeting attendance pct	91	90	98	95	96	98	98	99
Age of the youngest director 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 Age of the oldest director 72 70 71 72	Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Age of the oldest director 72 70 71 72 70 71 72 No. of executives / company managers 22 20 19 17 18 18 17 No. of female executives 4 2 3 4 4 4 4 Executive share ownership guidelines No Interview Size of compensation committee Size of como	Director share ownership guidelines	No							
Age of the oldest director 72 70 71 72 70 71 72 No. of executives / company managers 22 20 19 17 18 18 17 No. of female executives 4 2 3 4 4 4 4 Executive share ownership guidelines No Interview Size of compensation committee Size of como	Age of the youngest director	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56
No. of female executives 4 2 3 4 4 4 4 Executive share ownership guidelines No No<				71					73
No. of female executives 4 2 3 4 4 4 4 Executive share ownership guidelines No No<	No. of executives / company managers	22	20	19	17	18	18	17	17
Size of audit committee 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 No. of ID on audit committee 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 Audit committee meetings 4 5 4 4 4 4 4 Audit committee meetings 100 100 93 100 100 100 100 100 Size of compensation committee 5 <t< td=""><td></td><td>4</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>4</td></t<>		4	2	3	4	4	4	4	4
Size of audit committee 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 No. of ID on audit committee 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 Audit committee meetings 4 5 4 4 4 4 4 Audit committee meetings 100 100 93 100 100 100 100 100 Size of compensation committee 5 <t< td=""><td>Executive share ownership guidelines</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td></t<>	Executive share ownership guidelines	No							
No. of ID on audit committee 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 Audit committee meetings 4 5 4 4 4 4 4 Audit meeting attendance % 100 100 93 100 100 100 100 100 Size of compensation committee 5 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4</td>									4
Audit committee meetings 4 5 4 4 4 4 4 Audit meeting attendance % 100 100 93 100	No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4
Audit meeting attendance % 100 100 93 100 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>4</td></t<>									4
Size of compensation committee 5 5 5 5 5 5 No. of ID on compensation committee 3 </td <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100</td>	_								100
No. of ID on compensation committee 3 No. of compensation committee meetings 2 3	-								5
No. of compensation committee meetings 2 3	-								3
Compensation meeting attendance % 100 100 88 90 100 100 100 100 Size of nomination committee 5	-								2
Size of nomination committee55555No. of nomination committee meetings222222No indicate meeting attendance %1001008890100100100Sustainability governance									2 90
No. of nomination committee meetings2222222Nomination meeting attendance %1001008890100100100100Sustainability governance									90 5
Nomination meeting attendance % 100 100 88 90 100 100 100 Sustainability governance									
Sustainability governance									2
	-	100	100	88	90	100	100	100	90
Verification type No		N1-	N1 -	N1-	N1-	N1 -	N1-	N1-	No

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology				Rating				
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	process base from the annu Only the top-r inclusion.	d on the com al S&P Glob anked compa	npanies' Total Sustainabi al Corporate Sustainabil anies within each industr	parent, rules-based component selection es' Total Sustainability Scores resulting prorate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). within each industry are selected for selected from the Eligible Universe. Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Glob ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highes scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices a selected from the Eligible Universe.					an S&P Global of the highest JSI indices are
Sustainability Investment List (<u>THSI</u>) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (<u>SET</u>)	managing bus Candidates m 1) no irregular float of >150 s up capital. So 70%; 2) indep wrongdoing re	siness with tra ust pass the trading of th shareholders me key disqu endent direc elated to CG,	ility in Environmental and ansparency in Governan preemptive criteria, with he board members and e , and combined holding i ualifying criteria include: tors and free float violati , social & environmental earnings in red for > 3 year	two crucial conditions: xecutives; and 2) free must be >15% of paid- 1) CG score of below or; 3) executives' impacts; 4) equity in	minimum of 50 during the asse nature of the re <u>SETTHSI Inde</u> capitalization > >0.5% of paid-t	% for each indi ssment year. ∃ levant industry is extended f THB5b (~USE up capital for a et capitalisatio	ion, verified data cator, unless the fhe scoring will 1 v and materiality. rom the THSI cc 1150b); 2) free fl t least 9 out of 1 n-weighted inde aber of stocks.	e company is be fairly weigh ompanies who oat >20%; and 2 months. The	a part of DJSI ted against the se 1) market d 3) liquidity sETTHSI
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	annually by th	e Thai IOD, Γ). The result	h in sustainable develop with support from the Sto ts are from the perspections.	ock Exchange of	Good (80-89), 3 and not rated for equitable treat	B for Good (70- or scores below nent of shareh 5%); 4) disclos	ries: 5 for Excel 79), 2 for Fair (f v 50. Weightings olders (weight 2 sure & transpare	60-69), 1 for P include: 1) th 5% combined	ass (60-69), e rights; 2) and); 3) the role of
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	treatment are transparent au out of five the criteria cover date (45%), a <i>circulation of su</i> <i>exercised. The</i> <i>and verifiability;</i>	incorporated nd sufficiently CG compon AGM proced nd after the r fficient information second assession and 3) openne	which shareholders' right: d into business operation y disclosed. All form impu- ents to be evaluated anr lures before the meeting meeting (10%). (The first a tion for voting; and 2) facilita es 1) the ease of attending n ses for Q&A. The third involve es, resolutions and voting re	s and information is ortant elements of two nually. The assessment (45%), at the meeting assesses 1) advance ting how voting rights can be neetings; 2) transparency as the meeting minutes that			four categories: (80-89), and not		· //
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	establishment policies. The (Companies de Declaration of II Certification, inc managers and e	t of key contra- Certification i ciding to becom- ntent to kick off cluding risk asso- employees, esta	Checklist include corrupti ols, and the monitoring a is good for three years. ne a CAC certified member s an 18-month deadline to sui essment, in place of policy a ablishment of whistleblowing II stakeholders.)	and developing of start by submitting a bmit the CAC Checklist for nd control, training of	passed Checkl	st will move fo se members ar	d by a committe r granting certific e twelve highly r chievements.	cation by the C	CAC Council
<u>Morningstar</u> <u>Sustainalytics</u>	based on an a risk is unmana regulatory filings	assessment of aged. Sources	sk rating provides an ove of how much of a compa s to be reviewed include corp her media, NGO reports/web	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector			score is the sum higher ESG risk Medium		d risk. The Severe
	information, con reports, and qua		k, ESG controversies, issuer iews.	feedback on draft ESG	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+
ESG Book	positioned to the principle of helps explain	outperform o of financial ma future risk-ac g features wi	sustainable companies th wer the long term. The m ateriality including inform djusted performance. Ma th higher materiality and my basis.	nethodology considers nation that significantly ateriality is applied by	scores using m	ateriality-base	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p	core is scaled	
<u>MSCI</u>				anagement of financially their exposure to ESG ris					nethodology to
	AAA	8.571-10.00	0 Leader:	leading its industry in m	opeging the most of	onificant ESG ris	ks and opportunitie	S	
					anaging the most s				
	AA	7.143-8.570)		anaging the most s	9			
	AA A	5.714-7.142	2	a mixed or unexception		-	significant ESG ris	sks and opportur	nities relative to
	AA A BBB	5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713	2 3 Average:			-	significant ESG ris	sks and opportur	nities relative to
	AA A	5.714-7.142) 2 3 Average: 5	a mixed or unexception industry peers	al track record of ma	anaging the most	-		nities relative to
	AA A BBB BB	5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285) 2 3 Average: 5 5 6 Laggard:	a mixed or unexception	al track record of ma	anaging the most	-		nities relative to
<u>Moody's ESG</u> solutions	AA A BBB BB B CCC Moody's asset believes that a	5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 sses the deg a company in	Average: Average: Laggard: gree to which companies	a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG o to its business model and	al track record of management of the second of management of the second	anaging the most ure and failure to efinition and in	manage significan	t ESG risks their strategy	policies. It
	AA A BBB BB BB CCC Moody's asse believes that create sustain Designed to t based on pub	5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 sses the deg a company in table value for ransparently licly available	Average: Laggard: Laggard: Tree to which companies tegrating ESG factors in or shareholders over the and objectively measure e and auditable data. The	a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG o to its business model and	al track record of m ad on its high expos ojectives in the d d relatively outpe G performance, 100 on relative E	anaging the most ure and failure to efinition and in forming its per commitment ar SG performance	manage significan applementation of ers is better pos and effectiveness ce and insufficie	t ESG risks their strategy itioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of to	policies. It gate risks and in themes,
solutions Refinitiv ESG	AA A BBB BB BB CCC Moody's asset believes that create sustair Designed to t based on pub reporting mate	5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 sses the deg a company in able value for ransparently licly available erial ESG dat pal ESG Scol	Average: Average: Laggard: Companies tegrating ESG factors in preto which companies tegrating ESG factors in pr shareholders over the and objectively measure e and auditable data. The ta publicly. (Score ratings a re is a relative score mea	a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG o to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ES e score ranges from 0 to	al track record of m ad on its high expos ojectives in the d d relatively outpe G performance, 100 on relative E = satisfactory; >50 t prmance on and	anaging the most ure and failure to efinition and in forming its per commitment ar SG performance o 75 = good; and management of	manage significan aplementation of ers is better pos and effectiveness ce and insufficie 1>75 to 100 = exce	t ESG risks their strategy itioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr <i>llent.</i>)	policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in
solutions Refinitiv ESG rating	AA A BBB BB BB CCC Moody's asset believes that create sustair Designed to t based on pub reporting mate	5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 sses the deg a company in able value for ransparently licly available erial ESG dat pal ESG Scol	Average: Average: Auggard: Auguard: Auggard: Auggard: Auggard: Auguar	a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG o to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ES e score ranges from 0 to are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 assuring a company's perf	al track record of m ad on its high expose bjectives in the d d relatively outpe G performance, 100 on relative E = satisfactory; >50 t prmance on and ges from 0 to 100 regated Environm ncial materiality.	anaging the most ure and failure to efinition and in forming its per commitment ar SG performanc o 75 = good; and management o). nental, Social a The score is a	manage significan pplementation of ers is better pos nd effectiveness ce and insufficie >75 to 100 = exce of ESG risks, opp and Governance weighted gener	t ESG risks their strategy itioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr <i>llent.)</i> portunities, an (ESG) performalized mean (policies. It jate risks and in themes, ransparency in d impacts mance. The power mean)

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings. Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Thanyatorn Songwutti FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price



Thanyatorn Songwutti started covering this stock from 10-Feb-2023

13.00

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

23-Feb-2022

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
AP (Thailand)	AP TB	THB 9.90	BUY	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a higher-than-expected slowdown in the low-rise market; 2) lower-than-expected new launches and take-up rates; 3) a slow economic recovery; 4) an impact from the interest rate uptrend on purchasing power; 5) a higher rejection rate and customer cancellation; 6) construction delay and labor shortages; and 7) fierce competition.

13 20

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 04-Oct-2024 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months. **Neutral.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months. **Underweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.