EQUITY RESEARCH - RESULTS FLASH



CHAYO GROUP CHAYO TB

2Q24 results at first glance

2Q24 results bore an extra ECL

CHAYO recorded a 2Q24 net profit of THB77m, moderately lower than our estimate and the BBG consensus. The figure showed an unusually high q-q growth rate due to the 1Q24 net profit of just THB3m. However, it contracted by 16.4% y-y. As expected, the 2Q24 ECL was THB158m, down 40.4% q-q from the unusually high level in 1Q24, but up 87.9% y-y due to the pressure of a one-time ECL related to a repayment negotiation with a debtor worth approximately THB20m.

The 1H24 net profit amounted to THB80m, down 59.1% y-y and accounting for 28% of our full-year forecast. We expect CHAYO's 3Q24 net profit to rise on both a q-q and y-y basis without the extra ECL pressure that occurred throughout 1H24. We maintain our 2024-26 net profit forecasts and retain our HOLD rating with a 2024 GGM-based TP of THB3.00, implying a P/BV ratio of 0.87x (L-T ROE 9.6%, COE 9.9%).

Highlights

(+) Cash collection: In 2Q24, cash collection was THB160m, marking 9.0% q-q and 75.3% y-y growth. CHAYO showed solid collection performance throughout 1H24, totaling THB308m, which accounts for 69% of our 2024 forecast. This success was due to its strategy to acquire short-overdue unsecured NPLs since 2H23 (credit card/personal loans), which resulted in a higher success rate.

(-) **OPEX:** Operating expenses increased moderately more than expected to THB128m (up 23.3% q-q, 47.2% y-y). This increase was partly due to litigation expenses, as CHAYO expedited the legal process on newly acquired NPLs to boost its cash collection performance. However, this was offset by a higher-than-expected gain from NPA sales of THB19m, up from THB11m in 1Q24. The 2Q24 cost-to-income ratio (CIR) was at 30.5% compared to 24.3% in 1Q24, while the 1H24 CIR finished at 27.4%, remaining below our 2024 estimate of 28.0%.

(+) NPL investment: Investment in NPLs in 2Q24 reached THB168m, significantly up from just THB8m in 1Q24. Almost all acquisitions during the period were unsecured loans, which are short-overdue NPLs (5-6 months). The 1H24 investment in NPLs progressed slowly, accounting for only 18% of our 2024E of THB1b due to the BoT's stalled responsible lending policy, which slowed auction supply in 1H24. We expect CHAYO's investment to accelerate in 2H24 and follow the same profile of NPLs as in 1H24.

(-) Chayo Capital (CCAP): Loans from CCAP in 2Q24 contracted moderately by 4.2% q-q and 2.3% YTD (but grew 50.1% y-y) due to increased concerns over asset quality pressures from debtors. As a result, it has turned to stricter lending terms. Despite a lower loan base, 2Q24 interest income from CCAP remained stable at THB38m q-q, thanks to a rising loan yield of 14.1% compared to 13.7% in 1Q24. The NPL ratio from loans was 41% in 2Q24, up from 38% in 1Q24, while the coverage ratio remained low at 4%, as most loans are collateralized.

TARGET PRICE	THB3.00
CLOSE	THB2.70
UP/DOWNSIDE	+11.1%
TP vs CONSENSUS	-25.0%

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Operating profit	570	561	759	876
Net profit	391	291	451	527
EPS (THB)	0.34	0.26	0.40	0.46
vs Consensus (%)	-	(24.6)	(8.5)	2.2
Recurring net profit	391	291	451	527
Core EPS (THB)	0.34	0.26	0.40	0.46
EPS growth (%)	45.5	(25.7)	55.1	17.0
Core P/E (x)	7.8	10.6	6.8	5.8
Dividend yield (%)	-	2.4	2.2	2.6
Price/book (x)	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6
ROE (%)	12.4	8.1	11.1	11.3
ROA (%)	5.4	4.4	5.2	5.3



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	(22.9)	(33.2)	(63.0)
Relative to country (%)	(20.5)	(28.8)	(56.1)
Mkt cap (USD m)			88
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)			0.2
Free float (%)			21
Major shareholder		Yasasin Fa	mily (33%)
12m high/low (THB)			8.09/2.30
Issued shares (m)			1,137

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Usanee Liurut, CISA

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Capital Market; License no. 017928 usanee.l@fssia.com, +66 2646 9967 Maeta Cherdsatirakul Research Assistant maeta.c@fssia.com, +66 2646 9971

PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

Exhibit 1: CHAYO – 2Q24 operating summary

	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	2Q24	Cha	ange	1H24	Change	% of	2024E	Change
	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(y-y %)	24E	(THB m)	(y-y %)				
Interest income												
Interest income from debt management	311	335	405	444	430	(3.2)	38.0	874	39.1	51	1,721	25.7
Interest income from loans	26	28	31	37	38	2.5	44.8	75	58.0	42	181	69.1
Total interest income	338	363	436	482	468	(2.8)	38.6	950	40.4	50	1,902	28.9
Interest expense	65	65	75	76	81	6.5	24.8	157	37.9	48	324	27.4
Net interest income	273	298	361	406	387	(4.5)	41.8	793	41.0	50	1,578	29.2
Non- interest income	28	26	71	22	33	49.1	15.7	55	13.3	60	92	(36.8)
Total operating income	302	324	432	428	420	(1.8)	39.4	848	38.8	51	1,670	22.2
Operating expenses	87	105	119	104	128	23.3	47.2	232	40.2	50	467	19.9
Pre-provision operating profit	214	219	313	324	292	(9.8)	36.2	616	38.2	51	1,202	23.0
Expected credit loss	84	107	133	265	158	(40.4)	87.9	423	153.2	66	641	57.6
Operating profit	130	112	180	59	134	127.9	2.9	193	(30.7)	34	561	(1.6)
Income tax expenses	28	25	39	16	29	73.9	3.4	45	(21.7)	40	112	(7.5)
Profit before minority interest	103	87	141	42	106	148.8	2.8	148	(33.0)	33	449	(0.0)
Minority interest	11	11	21	39	29	(26.5)	162.5	68	167.8	43	158	174.2
Net profit	92	76	120	3	77	2,304.0	(16.4)	80	(59.1)	28	291	(25.7)
EPS (THB)	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.00	0.07	2,304.0	(16.4)	0.07	(59.1)	28	0.26	(25.7)
Key financial highlights												
Acquisition of loans to NPA	60	592	782	8	168	1886.8	177.9	176	28.6	18	1,000	(33.8)
Cash collection	92	106	137	147	160	9.0	75.3	308	56.4	69	444	1.1
Accumulated cash collection to investment (%)	43	40	37	40	41			41			38	
Key financial ratios												
D/E (x)	1.07	1.07	1.17	1.28	1.53			1.53			1.09	
IBD/E (x)	1.00	1.00	1.09	1.19	1.41			1.41			1.01	
ROAA (%)	4.37	3.54	5.34	0.13	3.16			1.77			2.88	
ROAE (%)	9.11	7.32	11.33	0.30	7.95			3.35			5.44	
Cost of funds (%)	6.30	6.32	6.81	6.18	6.51			7.07			6.52	
Cost to income (%)	28.86	32.46	27.60	24.28	30.48			27.35			28.00	
Credit cost (bp)	577	673	733	1339	766			1174			764	

Sources: CHAYO; FSSIA estimates

FINANSIA

Exhibit 2: GGM-based 2024 TP

Gordon Growth Model	2024E
	(%)
LT-ROE	9.6
Terminal growth rate	8.0
Risk-free rate	3.0
Expected market return	8.0
Market risk premium	5.0
Beta	1.4
COE	9.9
BVS (THB)	3.59
PBV (x)	0.87

Exhibit 3: Share price performance of non-banks under coverage, as of 14 August 2024

	Price performance					
	1M	3M	6M	1Y	YTD	
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
TIDLOR TB	(24.0)	(36.7)	(39.0)	(34.3)	(38.7)	
MTC TB	(2.4)	(12.1)	(10.6)	6.0	(11.1)	
SAWAD TB	(25.7)	(27.6)	(21.8)	(34.2)	(23.3)	
AEONTS TB	(5.6)	(30.2)	(27.2)	(29.9)	(31.3)	
КТС ТВ	0.6	(9.8)	(9.3)	(15.7)	(10.3)	
SAK TB	(15.2)	(8.4)	(2.1)	(6.5)	10.1	
ASK TB	(28.3)	(35.0)	(48.4)	(58.9)	(51.0)	
THANI TB	(20.9)	(26.3)	(24.0)	(38.7)	(26.4)	
JMT TB	(3.8)	(27.4)	(46.6)	(70.8)	(50.2)	
BAM TB	(11.6)	(25.3)	(20.2)	(37.5)	(20.2)	
СНАҮО ТВ	(23.1)	(32.3)	(51.9)	(65.6)	(52.3)	
SETFIN	(11.4)	(23.1)	(26.2)	(33.8)	(27.7)	
SET	(3.0)	(6.0)	(7.2)	(15.3)	(9.1)	

Sources: FSSIA estimates



CHAYO PBV (x)



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Sources: Bloomberg

Exhibit 5: CHAYO – one-year prospective PER band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Chayo Group

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
nterest Income	963	1,476	1,902	2,069	2,322
nterest expense	(160)	(254)	(324)	(370)	(430
let interest income	804	1,222	1,578	1,699	1,892
let fees & commission	-	-	-	-	
oreign exchange trading income	-	-	-	-	
Securities trading income	-	-	-	-	
Dividend income	0	0	0	0	(
Other income	94	145	92	105	128
Non interest income	94	145	92	105	128
Total income	897	1,367	1,670	1,804	2,020
Staff costs	-	-	-	-	
Other operating costs	(328)	(390)	(467)	(501)	(562
Operating costs	(328)	(390)	(467)	(501)	(562
Pre provision operating profit	569	977	1,202	1,303	1,458
Expected credit loss	(219)	(407)	(641)	(545)	(582
Other provisions	-	-	-	-	
Dperating profit	350	570	561	759	876
Recurring non operating income	0	0	0	0	(
Associates	-	-	-	-	
Goodwill amortization	-	-	-	-	
Non recurring items	0	0	0	0	(
Profit before tax	350	570	561	759	876
Fax	(75)	(121)	(112)	(152)	(175
Profit after tax	275	449	449	607	(173 70 ⁻
Non-controlling interest	(23)	(58)	(158)	(156)	(174
Preferred dividends	(23)	(50)	(130)	(130)	(174
Dther items	-	-	-	-	
Reported net profit	- 252	- 391	- 291	- 451	527
	252	391	291	431	527
Non recurring items & goodwill (net) Recurring net profit	- 252	- 391	291	451	527
	252	551	231	431	521
Per share (THB)	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.40	0.40
Recurring EPS *	0.24	0.34	0.26	0.40	0.46
Reported EPS	0.24	0.34	0.26	0.40	0.46
OPS	0.04	0.00	0.07	0.06	0.07
Growth					
Net interest income (%)	48.1	52.0	29.2	7.7	11.4
Non interest income (%)	(37.7)	55.0	(36.8)	14.8	21.6
Pre provision operating profit (%)	22.0	71.6	23.0	8.4	11.9
Operating profit (%)	21.3	62.7	(1.6)	35.3	15.5
Reported net profit (%)	5.0	55.2	(25.7)	55.1	17.0
Recurring EPS (%)	(5.3)	45.5	(25.7)	55.1	17.0
Reported EPS (%)	(5.3)	45.5	(25.7)	55.1	17.0
ncome Breakdown					
Vet interest income (%)	89.6	89.4	94.5	94.2	93.7
Net fees & commission (%)	-	-	-	-	
Foreign exchange trading income (%)	-	-	-	-	
Securities trading income (%)	-	-	-	-	
Dividend income (%)	-	-	-	-	
Dther income (%)	10.4	10.6	5.5	5.8	6.3
Derating performance			0.0		0.0
Gross interest yield (%)	-	-	-	-	
Cost of funds (%)	6.96	6.52	6.52	6.54	6.5
Vet interest spread (%)	(6.96)	(6.52)	(6.52)	(6.54)	(6.55
let interest margin (%)	(0.30)	(0.52)	(0.52)	(0.34)	(0.55
Cost/income(%)	- 36.5	- 28.5	- 28.0	- 27.8	27.8
	36.5 5.3				4.2
Cost/assets(%)		4.7	4.6	4.3	
Effective tax rate (%)	21.4	21.3	20.0	20.0	20.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	16.7	-	25.8	15.0	15.0
ROE (%)	9.1	12.4	8.1	11.1	11.3
	(0.8)	2.6	(1.7)	1.2	1.5
ROE - COE (%)					
ROE - COE (%) ROA (%) RORWA (%)	4.5	5.4	4.4	5.2	5.3

Sources: Chayo Group; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements Chayo Group

Salance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Gross customer loans	4,264	5,640	6,457	7,297	8,162
Allowance for expected credit loss	(485)	(878)	(1,504)	(2,029)	(2,587)
nterest in suspense	1,149	2,127	2,564	3,044	3,568
Net customer loans	4,928	6,889	7,517	8,312	9,143
3ank loans	-	-	-	-	-
Government securities	-	-	-	-	-
Frading securities	-	-	-	-	-
nvestment securities	0	0	0	0	0
Cash & equivalents	1,021	623	805	665	437
Other interesting assets	24	39	41	43	45
Fangible fixed assets	78	70	73	77	80
Associates	-	-	-	-	-
Goodwill	-	-	-	-	-
Other intangible assets	-	-	-	-	-
Other assets	1,152	1,704	2,400	3,247	4,463
Fotal assets	7,203	9,331	10,835	12,343	14,168
Customer deposits	-	-	-	-	-
Bank deposits	-	-	-	-	-
Other interest bearing liabilities	3,122	4,669	5,258	6,052	7,062
Non interest bearing liabilities	231	367	384	403	422
lybrid Capital	-	-		-	
Fotal liabilities	3,353	5,036	5,643	6,455	7,484
Share capital	533	569	569	569	569
Reserves	2,424	2,778	3,227	3,766	4,388
Fotal equity	2,958	3,347	3,795	4,335	4,957
Non-controlling interest	893	949	1,107	1,263	1,437
otal liabilities & equity	7,203	9,331	10,835	12,343	14,168
Supplementary items					
Risk weighted assets (RWA)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
verage interest earning assets	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average interest bearing liabilities	2,298	3,896	4,964	5,655	6,557
CET 1 capital	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Fotal capital	0	0	0	0	0
Gross non performing loans (NPL)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Per share (THB)				/	
Book value per share	2.77	2.94	3.34	3.81	4.36
angible book value per share	2.77	2.94	3.34	3.81	4.36
Growth					
Gross customer loans	65.9	32.3	14.5	13.0	11.9
Average interest earning assets	-	-	-	-	-
Total asset (%)	41.5	29.5	16.1	13.9	14.8
Risk weighted assets (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Customer deposits (%)	-	-	-	-	-
everage & capital measures					
Customer loan/deposits (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Equity/assets (%)	41.1	35.9	35.0	35.1	35.0
angible equity/assets (%)	41.1	35.9	35.0	35.1	35.0
RWA/assets (%)	-	-	-	-	-
CET 1 CAR (%)	-	-	-	-	-
otal CAR (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Asset Quality (FSSIA's calculation)					
Change in NPL (%)	-	-	-	-	-
IPL/gross loans (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Illowance for ECL/gross loans (%)	11.4	15.6	23.3	27.8	31.7
Ilowance for ECL/NPL (%)	-	-	-	-	-
aluation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring P/E (x) *	11.4	7.8	10.6	6.8	5.8
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	12.7	8.7	11.7	7.6	6.5
Reported P/E (x)	11.4	7.8	10.6	6.8	5.8
Dividend yield (%)	1.5	-	2.4	2.2	2.6
Price/book (x)	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6
Price/book (x) Price/tangible book (x) Price/tangible book @ target price (x)	1.0 1.0 1.1	0.9 0.9 1.0	0.8 0.8 0.9	0.7 0.7 0.8	0.6 0.7

Sources: Chayo Group; FSSIA estimates

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology				Rating				
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (<u>DJSI</u>) By S&P Global	process based of from the annual Only the top-ran inclusion.	on the com I S&P Globa nked compa	transparent, rules-based panies' Total Sustainabi al Corporate Sustainabil anies within each industr	lity Scores resulting ity Assessment (CSA). y are selected for	scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices a selected from the Eligible Universe.				
Sustainability Investment List (<u>THSI</u>) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (<u>SET</u>)	managing busin Candidates mus 1) no irregular tu float of >150 sh up capital. Som 70%; 2) indepen wrongdoing rela	ness with tra st pass the trading of th nareholders, ne key disqu ndent direct ated to CG,	lity in Environmental and ansparency in Governan preemptive criteria, with le board members and e , and combined holding i Jalifying criteria include: tors and free float violati social & environmental arrnings in red for > 3 yes	ice, updated annually. two crucial conditions: xecutives; and 2) free must be >15% of paid- 1) CG score of below on; 3) executives' impacts; 4) equity in	To be eligible for THSI inclusion , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJS during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against in nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETTHSI Index is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weigh maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.				
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	annually by the	Thai IOD, v . The result	h in sustainable develop with support from the St is are from the perspecti s.	ock Exchange of	Good (80-89), 3 and not rated for equitable treatm	for Good (70 r scores below ent of shareh 5%); 4) disclos	ories: 5 for Excell -79), 2 for Fair (6 v 50. Weightings olders (weight 2 sure & transpare	60-69), 1 for P include: 1) th 5% combined	ass (60-69), e rights; 2) and); 3) the role of
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	treatment are in transparent and out of five the C criteria cover AC date (45%), and circulation of suffic exercised. The see and verifiability; ar	ncorporated d sufficiently CG compone GM procedu d after the n cient informat cond assesse nd 3) openne.	which shareholders' right I into business operation y disclosed. All form imp- ents to be evaluated anr ures before the meeting meeting (10%). (The first a tion for voting; and 2) facilita es 1) the ease of attending rss for Q&A. The third involve es, resolutions and voting re	s and information is ortant elements of two nually. The assessment (45%), at the meeting issesses 1) advance ting how voting rights can be neetings; 2) transparency as the meeting minutes that			four categories: (80-89), and not		(),
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	establishment o policies. The Ce (Companies decid Declaration of Inte Certification, includ	of key contro ertification is ding to becom ent to kick off uding risk asse pployees, esta	Checklist include corrupti ols, and the monitoring a s good for three years. an 18-month deadline to sui essment, in place of policy a ablishment of whistleblowing I stakeholders.)	and developing of tart by submitting a bmit the CAC Checklist for nd control, training of	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.				
<u>Morningstar</u> Sustainalytics	based on an as risk is unmanag regulatory filings, r	ssessment o ged. Sources news and oth	sk rating provides an ove of how much of a compa s to be reviewed include corp ner media, NGO reports/web	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector			score is the sum higher ESG risk Medium		d risk. The Severe
	reports, and qualit		k, ESG controversies, issuer iews.	reedback on drait ESG	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+
ESG Book	positioned to ou the principle of t helps explain fu	utperform ov financial ma uture risk-ad features wit	sustainable companies th ver the long term. The m ateriality including inform djusted performance. Ma th higher materiality and rly basis.	ethodology considers nation that significantly teriality is applied by	scores using ma	ateriality-base	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p	core is scaled	
	weights on a for								
<u>MSCI</u>	MSCI ESG ratir	ngs aim to r		anagement of financially their exposure to ESG ris					ethodology to
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratir identify industry AAA	ngs aim to r / leaders an 8.571-10.000	nd laggards according to 0 Leader:		sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	ethodology to
<u>MSCI</u>	MSCI ESG ratir identify industry AAA AA	ngs aim to r / leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570	nd laggards according to	their exposure to ESG ris	sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	ethodology to
<u>MSCI</u>	MSCI ESG ratir identify industry AAA AA A	ngs aim to r y leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142	nd laggards according to	their exposure to ESG ris	sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers. es	-
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratir identify industry AAA AA A BBB	ngs aim to r y leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713	nd laggards according to D Leader: Average:	their exposure to ESG ris	sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers. es	-
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratir identify industry AAA AA BBB BB BB	ngs aim to r / leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285	nd laggards according to D Leader: Average:	their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception	sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers. es	
<u>MSCI</u>	MSCI ESG ratir identify industry AAA AA A BBB	ngs aim to r y leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713	nd laggards according to D Leader: Average: Laggard:	their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception	sks and how well anaging the most sig al track record of ma	they manage gnificant ESG ris naging the most	those risks relati	ve to peers.	-
<u>MSCI</u> <u>Moody's ESG</u> <u>solutions</u>	MSCI ESG ratin identify industry AAA AA BBB BB BB BB CCC Moody's assess believes that a d	ngs aim to r / leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 ses the deg company in	Ind laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: Iree to which companies	their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG o to its business model and	sks and how well anaging the most sig al track record of ma d on its high expose ojectives in the de	they manage gnificant ESG ris naging the most ure and failure to efinition and in	those risks relati	ve to peers. ss sks and opportur t ESG risks their strategy	nities relative to
Moody's ESG	MSCI ESG ratir identify industry AAA A BBB BB BB B CCC Moody's assess believes that a of create sustainal Designed to tran based on public	ngs aim to r / leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 ses the deg ses the deg company in ble value for insparently is a set of the	Ind laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: Interpret to which companies tegrating ESG factors in por shareholders over the and objectively measure and auditable data. The	their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG o to its business model and	sks and how well anaging the most sig al track record of ma d on its high expose ojectives in the da d relatively outper G performance, c 100 on relative Es	they manage gnificant ESG ris naging the most are and failure to efinition and in forming its pe commitment at SG performan	those risks relati ks and opportunitie significant ESG ris manage significan nplementation of ers is better posi d effectiveness ce and insufficie	ve to peers. ss sks and opportur t ESG risks their strategy titoned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr	policies. It gate risks and in themes,
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG	MSCI ESG ratir identify industry AAA A BBB BB B CCC Moody's assess believes that a create sustainal Designed to tran based on public reporting materi The S&P Globa	ngs aim to r / leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 ses the deg ses the deg company in ble value for insparently cly available ial ESG dat	Average: Leader: Leader: Leader: Laggard: Laggard: Tree to which companies tegrating ESG factors in or shareholders over the and objectively measure and auditable data. The ta publicly. (Score ratings a re is a relative score measure)	their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m a mixed or unexceptiona industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG o to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ES e score ranges from 0 to	sks and how well anaging the most sig al track record of ma ed on its high expose ojectives in the de d relatively outper G performance, c 100 on relative Es <i>satisfactory</i> ; >50 to pormance on and a	they manage gnificant ESG ris naging the most are and failure to efinition and in forming its pe commitment at SG performan o 75 = good; and management of	those risks relati ks and opportunitie significant ESG ris manage significan nplementation of ers is better posi nd effectiveness ce and insufficie (>75 to 100 = exce	ve to peers. ss sks and opportur t ESG risks their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr <i>llent.</i>)	policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating	MSCI ESG ratir identify industry AAA A BBB BB B CCC Moody's assess believes that a create sustainal Designed to tran based on public reporting materi The S&P Globa	ngs aim to r / leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 ses the deg ses the deg company in ble value for insparently cly available ial ESG dat	Average: Average: Average: Laggard: Tree to which companies tegrating ESG factors in or shareholders over the and objectively measure and additable data. The ta publicly. (Score ratings a re is a relative score mea- in the same industry class Bloomberg score evalue score is based on Bloo	their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m a mixed or unexceptiona industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG o to its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ES e score ranges from 0 to are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 assuring a company's perfi	sks and how well anaging the most sig- al track record of ma do n its high expose ojectives in the de relatively outper G performance, c 100 on relative E <i>satisfactory; >50 tc</i> ormance on and i ges from 0 to 1000 regated Environm ncial materiality.	they manage gnificant ESG ris naging the most ure and failure to efinition and in forming its pe commitment at SG performan o 75 = good; and management of ental, Social a The score is a	those risks relati ks and opportunitie significant ESG ris manage significan nplementation of ers is better posi- deffectiveness ce and insufficie (>75 to 100 = exce of ESG risks, opp- and Governance weighted gener	ve to peers. ss sks and opportur t ESG risks their strategy titoned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr <i>llent.</i>) portunities, an (ESG) perfor ralized mean (policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in d impacts mance. The power mean)

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings. Source: FSSIA's compilation

FINANSIA

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Usanee Liurut, CISA FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Chayo Group	CHAYO TB	THB 2.70	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) lower-than-expected bad debt acquisition; and 2) higher-than-expected operating expenses. Upside risks include 1) better-than- expected cash collection performance and lower pressure from ECL, 2) stronger-than- expected loan growth, and 3) better cost control than expected.
Bangkok Commercial Asset Mngt.	BAM TB	THB 6.50	BUY	Downside risks to our NAV-based TP include 1) lower cash collection than estimated; 2) lower-than-expected bad debt acquisition; and 3) a slowdown in the property market.
Saksiam Leasing	SAK TB	THB 4.42	BUY	Downside risks include 1) competition from existing and new players; 2) regulatory changes by the Bank of Thailand (BoT); and 3) a slower-than-expected reduction in its cost of funds due to a shift toward more long-term loans.
Srisawad Corp	SAWAD TB	THB 28.00	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) a further weakening of asset quality that could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) changes in financial regulations by the Bank of Thailand and the Office of Consumer Protection Board. Upside risks include 1) a faster-than-expected decline in losses on sales of repossessed cars for SCAP; and 2) an interest rate downtrend could push its interest spread.
JMT Network Services	JMT TB	THB 13.20	HOLD	Downside risks to our P/BV-based TP include 1) lower cash collection from both debt management and debt tracking collection services; and 2) higher-than-expected funding costs and operating expenses. Upside risks include 1) better-than-expected purchasing power and cash collection 2) lower-than-expected operating and financing expense.
Ngern Tid Lor	TIDLOR TB	THB 13.50	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) further weakening asset quality could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) tighter supervision from related regulators. Upside risks are 1) lower-than-expected credit costs from better asset quality management; and 2) higher-than-expected loan and insurance premium growth from the positive effects of a more favorable economic situation.
Ratchthani Leasing	THANI TB	THB 1.75	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-derived TP include 1) an economic slowdown, especially for logistics activities and private investment; 2) deteriorating asset quality; and 3) changes in financial regulations from the Bank of Thailand. Upside risks include 1) a better-than- expected macroeconomic improvement; 2) a downtrend in the policy rate could reduce cost of funds and enhance interest spreads; and 3) a faster-than-expected decline in ECL expense.
Muangthai Capital	MTC TB	THB 40.25	BUY	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) a further weakening of asset quality that could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) changes in financial regulations by the Bank of Thailand and the Office of Consumer Protection Board.
Aeon Thana Sinsap (Thailand	3) AEONTS TB	THB 110.50	HOLD	Downside risks are: 1) regulatory actions to curb industry growth; 2) a higher-than- expected policy rate; and 3) deteriorating asset quality. Upside risks are: 1) better-than- estimated economic improvement; 2) stronger-than-estimated improvement in the overall asset quality; and 3) less pressure from the market yield and cost of funds.
Krungthai Card	КТС ТВ	THB 39.00	HOLD	Downside risks are: 1) regulatory actions to curb industry growth; 2) a higher-than- expected policy rate; and 3) deteriorating asset quality. Upside risks are: 1) better-than- estimated economic improvement; 2) stronger-than-estimated improvement in the overall asset quality; and 3) less pressure from the market yield and cost of funds.
Asia Sermkij Leasing PCL	ASK TB	THB 10.10	REDUCE	Upside risks include 1) a better-than-expected macroeconomic improvement; 2) downtrend of policy rate could reduce cost of funds and enhance interest spreads; and 3) a faster-than-expected decline in ECL expense.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 14-Aug-2024 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months. **Neutral.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months. **Underweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

