THAILAND / COMMERCE

EQUITY RESEARCH - RESULTS FLASH



CRC TB



FSSIA ESG rating







TARGET PRICE THB40.00 CLOSE THB26.00 **UP/DOWNSIDE** +53.8% **TP vs CONSENSUS** -3.6%

2Q24 results at first glance

CRC reported a 2Q24 core profit in line with BBG's consensus but missed our estimate by 7%

Highlights

- CRC reported a 2Q24 core profit of THB1.61b (-36% q-q, -6.1% y-y), in line with BBG's consensus but missed our estimate by 7% due to higher-than-expected interest expenses, taxes and a lower-thanexpected share of profits from associates and JVs.
- CRC reported a 2Q24 net profit of THB1.66b (-24% q-q, +6% y-y). Excluding a non-operational item gain of THB47m, the core profit was THB1.61b (-36% q-q, -6.1% y-y), dropping q-q from seasonality and down y-y due to increased interest expenses, higher taxes, and a reduced share of profits from associates and JVs.
- Total sales increased by 5% y-y in 2Q24, driven by 7% y-y growth in the fashion segment and a 10% y-y increase in the food segment, while the hardline segment declined by 1.3% y-y, primarily due to the slower economic recovery in Vietnam. However, the hardline segment in Thailand continued to grow, supported by new store openings by Thai Watsadu.
- The gross margin stood at 26.6%, stable y-y and up by 90bps q-q, due to the impact of the E-receipts in 1Q24, where most products had lower margins. Meanwhile, expenses increased by 2.5% y-y due to the expansion of new stores, leading to higher employee costs and depreciation.
- Interest expenses increased by 17% y-y due to higher borrowings. Tax expenses increased by 46% y-y, and the share of profits from associates and JVs decreased by 49% y-y due to the absence of profit sharing from Gucci and higher regional charges from Grab.
- CRC also acquired a 75% stake in Rev Edition Co., Ltd for an investment of THB468m. Rev Edition operates Rev Runnr, a leading importer and distributor of premium running shoes and sportswear, with brands such as HOKA, Saucony, and Teva.

Outlook

The 3QTD SSSG (as of 10 August) for CRC overall remains negative at around -1%. In the short term, the company lacks catalysts, and 3Q24 is expected to remain in the low season.

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	248,391	261,386	280,688	300,732
Net profit	8,016	8,524	9,365	10,135
EPS (THB)	1.33	1.41	1.55	1.68
vs Consensus (%)	-	(5.2)	(9.1)	(12.9)
EBITDA	31,000	33,906	36,503	39,474
Recurring net profit	7,868	8,524	9,365	10,135
Core EPS (THB)	1.30	1.41	1.55	1.68
EPS growth (%)	13.5	8.3	9.9	8.2
Core P/E (x)	19.9	18.4	16.7	15.5
Dividend yield (%)	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.7
EV/EBITDA (x)	9.2	8.5	8.0	7.5
Price/book (x)	2.3	2.2	2.0	1.8
Net debt/Equity (%)	177.5	167.7	159.6	150.6
ROE (%)	12.2	12.2	12.4	12.4



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	(20.0)	(19.4)	(34.2)
Relative to country (%)	(17.6)	(14.1)	(21.8)
Mkt cap (USD m)			4,491
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)			8.5
Free float (%)			55
Major shareholder	Cl	nirathivat fa	mily (67%)
12m high/low (THB)		4	2.50/25.50
Issued shares (m)			6,031.00

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Jitra Amornthum Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 014530 iitra.a@fssia.com. +66 2646 9966

Thada Jiracharoenying

Research Assistant


thada.j@fssia.com, +66 2646 9964

Exhibit 1: CRC – 2Q24 results summary

Profit & Loss Statement	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	2Q24	Cha	ange		- 1H24		2024E	Change
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	%24E	(THB m)	(y-y%)
Retail sales	53,521	53,162	58,530	60,347	56,242	(6.8)	5.1	116,589	6	50	233,780	5
Rental and services	2,331	2,360	2,573	2,416	2,476	2.5	6.2	4,892	7	50	9,865	4
Total revenue	55,853	55,522	61,103	62,763	58,718	(6.4)	5.1	121,481	6	50	243,645	5
Cost of retail sales	(39,297)	(38,947)	(42,340)	(44,849)	(41,284)	(7.9)	5.1	(86,134)	6	50	(171,859)	6
Cost of rental and services	(581)	(590)	(612)	(611)	(596)	(2.5)	2.6	(1,206)	5	50	(2,432)	3
Gross profit	15,975	15,985	18,150	17,303	16,838	(2.7)	5.4	34,141	6	49	69,354	4
Investment income	84	54	90	52	90	72.5	6.7	142	(7)	45	314	7
Other income	4,065	3,999	4,711	4,440	4,194	(5.6)	3.2	8,634	5	49	17,741	5
Operating costs	(17,258)	(17,429)	(18,337)	(17,530)	(17,695)	0.9	2.5	(35,224)	3	49	(72,378)	3
Operating profit	2,866	2,610	4,615	4,266	3,427	(19.7)	19.6	7,692	18	51	15,031	10
Interest expense	(1,117)	(1,215)	(1,278)	(1,140)	(1,303)	14.3	16.6	(2,443)	14	50	(4,879)	5
Profit before tax	1,749	1,395	3,336	3,125	2,124	(32.0)	21.5	5,250	21	52	10,151	12
Tax	(380)	(424)	(156)	(760)	(555)	(27.0)	45.9	(1,315)	36	65	(2,030)	31
Associates	322	283	86	306	164	(46.4)	(49.1)	469	(24)	50	935	(6)
Minority interests	(123)	(112)	(128)	(147)	(121)	(18.2)	(2.1)	(268)	0	50	(532)	5
Non-recurring items	(150)	(178)	527	(353)	47	(113.3)	(131.4)	(306)	53	n/a	-	(100)
Reported net profit	1,567	1,143	3,138	2,171	1,660	(23.5)	5.9	3,830	3	45	8,524	6
Normalized profit	1,717	1,321	2,611	2,524	1,613	(36.1)	(6.1)	4,137	5	49	8,524	8
EPS (THB)	0.26	0.19	0.52	0.36	0.28	(23.5)	5.9	0.64	3	45	1.41	6
Normalized EPS (THB)	0.28	0.22	0.43	0.42	0.27	(36.1)	(6.1)	0.69	5	49	1.41	8
Key ratios	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)	(%)	(ppt)		(%)	(ppt)
Retail sales margin	26.6	26.7	27.7	25.7	26.6	0.9	0.0	26.1	(0.1)		26.5	(0.2)
Rental and services margin	75.1	75.0	76.2	74.7	75.9	1.2	0.9	75.3	0.4		75.3	0.1
Gross margin	28.6	28.8	29.7	27.6	28.7	1.1	0.1	28.1	(0.1)		28.5	(0.3)
EBIT margin	5.1	4.7	7.6	6.8	5.8	(1.0)	0.7	6.3	0.7		6.2	0.2
Recurring net margin	2.5	1.7	5.2	3.8	2.7	(1.1)	0.2	3.2	0.3		3.3	0.1
SG&A / revenue	28.8	29.3	27.9	26.1	28.1	2.0	(0.7)	27.1	(2.8)		27.7	(0.5)
Retail sales breakdown	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)				(THB m)	(y-y%)
Fashion	14,632	14,692	18,260	16,265	15,649	(4)	7				64,496	3
Hardline	18,839	17,945	18,686	19,487	18,596	(5)	(1)				76,594	3
Food	20,050	20,526	21,584	24,595	21,996	(11)	10				92,689	9
Total retail sales	53,521	53,163	58,530	60,347	56,241	(7)	5				233,780	5
Revenue proportion	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)				(%)	(ppt)
Fashion	27.3	27.6	31.2	27.0	27.8	0.9	0.5				27.6	(0.6)
Hardline	35.2	33.8	31.9	32.3	33.1	0.8	(2.1)				32.8	(0.7)
Food	37.5	38.6	36.9	40.8	39.1	(1.6)	1.6				39.6	1.3
						, ,						
Operational statistics	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)						(%)	(ppt)
SSSG												
Total SSSG	4.0	0.0	(2.4)	1.0	(1.0)						0.7	(2.3)
				2.0	3.0						2.0	(10.0)
Fashion	14.0	6.0	3.0	2.0								
Fashion Hardline											(2.0)	2.0
	(2.0)	(6.0)	(8.0)	(5.0)	(8.0)						(2.0) 2.0	
Hardline Food												
Hardline Food SSSG by country	(2.0) (2.0)	(6.0) (3.0)	(8.0) (5.0)	(5.0) 4.0	(8.0) (1.0)							
Hardline Food	(2.0)	(6.0)	(8.0)	(5.0)	(8.0)							2.0 2.2


Sources: CRC; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 2: Quarterly total SSSG




Sources: CRC; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 4: Sales and GPM from sales




Sources: CRC; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 6: Profitability




Sources: CRC; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 3: SSSG by segment




Sources: CRC; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 5: Core profit



Sources: CRC; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 7: Acquisition of Rev Edition



Source: CRC

Exhibit 8: Rolling one-year forward P/E band



Exhibit 9: Rolling one-year forward P/BV band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 10: Commerce peers as of 14 Aug 2024

Company	BBG	Rec	S	hare price	;	Market	P	E	R	OE	PE	3V	- EV/ EE	ITDA -
			Current	Target	Upside	Сар	24E	25E	24E	25E	24E	25E	24E	25E
			(LCY)	(LCY)	(%)	(USD m)	(x)	(x)	(%)	(%)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
Consumer Staple														
CP All	CPALL TB	BUY	55.25	79.00	43	14,216	21.0	18.1	20.2	21.2	4.4	3.9	17.9	15.5
CP Axtra	CPAXT TB	BUY	28.00	36.00	29	8,485	27.8	23.7	3.6	4.2	1.0	1.0	10.5	9.8
Berli Jucker*	BJC TB	n/a	20.10	n/a	n/a	2,298	18.1	15.2	3.6	4.3	0.7	0.7	11.3	10.9
Consumer Staple average						25,000	22.3	19.0	9.2	9.9	2.0	1.9	13.2	12.1
Consumer Discretionary														
Com7	COM7 TB	HOLD	20.10	20.00	(0)	1,363	16.6	15.1	33.5	31.8	5.2	4.5	11.1	9.7
Central Retail Corp	CRC TB	BUY	26.00	40.00	54	4,491	18.4	16.7	12.2	12.4	2.2	2.0	8.5	8.0
Home Improvement														
Index Living Mall	ILM TB	BUY	16.30	26.70	64	236	10.5	9.6	12.9	13.5	1.3	1.3	5.9	5.4
Home Product Center	HMPRO TB	BUY	7.90	13.60	72	2,976	15.2	14.3	26.1	26.4	3.9	3.7	9.1	8.7
Siam Global House	GLOBAL TB	BUY	12.90	16.00	24	1,922	24.1	21.6	11.6	12.1	2.7	2.5	18.8	17.0
Dohome	DOHOME TB	BUY	10.00	11.80	18	925	32.9	25.7	7.7	9.2	2.4	2.3	17.0	15.0
Home Improvement avg.						6,059	20.7	17.8	14.6	15.3	2.6	2.4	12.7	11.5
Consumer Discretionary avg.						11,913	19.6	17.2	17.4	17.6	3.0	2.7	11.7	10.6
Total average						36,913	20.5	17.8	14.6	15.0	2.6	2.4	12.2	11.1

Sources: *Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Central Retail Corp

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	236,031	248,391	261,386	280,688	300,732
Cost of goods sold	(159,647)	(164,941)	(174,291)	(187,831)	(201,941)
Gross profit	76,384	83,449	87,095	92,857	98,790
Other operating income	0	0	0	0	0
Operating costs	(64,784)	(70,171)	(72,378)	(77,161)	(81,919)
Operating EBITDA	28,771	31,000	33,906	36,503	39,474
Depreciation	(17,171)	(17,722)	(19,189)	(20,808)	(22,603)
Goodwill amortisation	0	Ó	0	0	0
Operating EBIT	11,600	13,278	14,717	15,695	16,871
Net financing costs	(3,269)	(4,349)	(4,566)	(4,483)	(4,687)
Associates	820	990	935	954	973
Recurring non-operating income	824	995	935	954	973
Non-recurring items	245	148	0	0	0
Profit before tax	9,399	10,072	11,086	12,166	13,158
Tax	(1,794)	(1,550)	(2,030)	(2,242)	(2,437)
Profit after tax	7,605	8,523	9,056	9,923	10,721
Minority interests	(430)	(506)	(532)	(558)	(586)
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	0
Other items	0	0	0	0	0
Reported net profit	7,175	8,016	8,524	9,365	10,135
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(245)	(148)	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	6,930	7,868	8,524	9,365	10,135
Per share (THB)	-,,	-,	-,	-,	10,100
Recurring EPS *	1.15	1.30	1.41	1.55	1.68
Reported EPS	1.19	1.33	1.41	1.55	1.68
DPS	0.48	0.55	0.58	0.64	0.70
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	6,031	6,031	6,031	6,031	6,031
Growth	0,001	0,001	0,001	0,001	0,001
Revenue (%)	20.8	5.2	5.2	7.4	7.1
Operating EBITDA (%)	47.1	7.8	9.4	7.7	8.1
Operating EBIT (%)	360.7	14.5	10.8	6.6	7.5
					8.2
Recurring EPS (%)	11,573.4 11,985.2	13.5 11.7	8.3 6.3	9.9 9.9	8.2
Reported EPS (%)	11,905.2	11.7	0.3	9.9	0.2
Operating performance	00.4	20.0	20.0	00.4	00.0
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	32.4	33.6	33.3	33.1	32.8
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	39.6	40.7	40.7	40.5	40.4
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	12.2	12.5	13.0	13.0	13.1
Operating EBIT margin (%)	4.9	5.3	5.6	5.6	5.6
Net margin (%)	2.9	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.4
Effective tax rate (%)	20.9	17.1	20.0	20.0	20.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	41.8	42.2	41.4	41.4	41.4
Interest cover (X)	3.8	3.3	3.4	3.7	3.8
Inventory days	102.7	111.7	112.2	110.9	110.9
Debtor days	7.9	8.1	8.0	8.1	8.3
Creditor days	98.3	101.2	100.6	98.5	97.5
Operating ROIC (%)	20.5	20.3	19.5	20.0	21.2
ROIC (%)	5.0	5.8	5.9	5.9	6.1
ROE (%)	11.7	12.2	12.2	12.4	12.4
ROA (%) * Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted	3.7	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.5
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Sales of goods	211,903	221,926	233,780	251,550	270,019
Rental services	6,583	7,785	8,046	8,216	8,389
Dandarina samilasa	1,411	1,727	1,820	1,958	2,102
Rendering services	1,411	1,727	1,020	1,550	2,102

Sources: Central Retail Corp; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Central Retail Corp

Central Retail Corp					
Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	20261
Recurring net profit	6,930	7,868	8,524	9,365	10,13
Depreciation	17,171	17,722	19,189	20,808	22,60
Associates & minorities	(361)	(68)	0	0	
Other non-cash items	762	539	555	635	64
Change in working capital	(2,748)	274	(30)	147	8
Cash flow from operations	21,754	26,337	28,238	30,955	33,47
Capex - maintenance	(22,856)	(26,174)	(22,000)	(22,660)	(23,340
Capex - new investment	(==,===)		(==,===)	(,)	(==,===
Net acquisitions & disposals	(1,704)	(372)	(6,274)	(9,078)	(9,422
Other investments (net)	0	0	0	0	(0,122
Cash flow from investing	(24,561)	(26,546)	(28,274)	(31,738)	(32,762
-	• • •				
Dividends paid	(2,895)	(3,317)	(3,527)	(3,875)	(4,194
Equity finance	1,454	237	448	448	44
Debt finance	1,776	2,486	3,546	4,654	3,49
Other financing cash flows	0	0	0	0	10
Cash flow from financing	336	(594)	467	1,227	(253
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	
let other adjustments	0	0	0	0	
Movement in cash	(2,471)	(803)	431	444	45
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	672.19	4,432.31	4,843.31	4,038.27	5,759.2
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	(1,030.54)	2,276.66	3,510.28	3,871.12	4,202.7
Per share (THB)					
FCFF per share	0.11	0.73	0.80	0.67	0.9
FCFE per share	(0.17)	0.73	0.58	0.64	0.9
Recurring cash flow per share	4.06	4.32	4.69	5.11	5.5
toodiffing odoff now por offacto	1.00	4.02	1.00	0.11	0.0
Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026
angible fixed assets (gross)	116,316	128,631	150,631	173,291	196,63
ess: Accumulated depreciation	(67,271)				
•	· · ·	(71,134) 57,407	(90,323)	(111,131)	(133,734
Tangible fixed assets (net)	49,045	57,497	60,308	62,160	62,89
ntangible fixed assets (net)	0	0	0	0	
ong-term financial assets	0	0	0	0	
nvest. in associates & subsidiaries	25,588	26,190	26,720	27,267	27,83
Cash & equivalents	15,617	14,814	15,245	15,689	16,14
VC receivable	16,691	18,238	18,648	19,218	19,82
nventories	43,675	46,413	48,926	52,600	56,41
Other current assets	548	492	518	556	59
Current assets	76,532	79,956	83,337	88,063	92,98
Other assets	124,820	123,455	129,914	139,507	149,46
Total assets	275,984	287,097	300,279	316,997	333,17
Common equity	62,123	67,060	72,505	78,443	84,83
Ainorities etc.	2,762	3,201	3,732	4,290	4,87
Total shareholders' equity	64,885	70,260	76,237	82,733	89,70
ong term debt	89,032	84,662	94,163	91,383	97,96
•	13,579	13,669	14,384	15,446	16,54
Other long-term liabilities					
ong-term liabilities	102,611	98,331	108,547	106,830	114,51
A/C payable	39,828	41,831	43,657	46,461	49,31
Short term debt	48,039	54,895	48,941	56,374	53,28
Other current liabilities	20,621	21,779	22,897	24,599	26,34
Current liabilities	108,488	118,505	115,495	127,434	128,95
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	275,984	287,097	300,279	316,997	333,17
let working capital	466	1,532	1,538	1,314	1,16
nvested capital	199,919	208,673	218,480	230,248	241,36
Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is be	eing treated as debt				
Per share (THB)					
Book value per share	10.30	11.12	12.02	13.01	14.0
angible book value per share	10.30	11.12	12.02	13.01	14.0
<u> </u>	10.30	11.12	12.02	13.01	14.0
Financial strength					
Net debt/equity (%)	187.2	177.5	167.7	159.6	150
let debt/total assets (%)	44.0	43.5	42.6	41.7	40
Current ratio (x)	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0
CF interest cover (x)	0.7	1.5	1.8	1.9	1
/aluation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026
Recurring P/E (x) *	22.6	19.9	18.4	16.7	15
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	34.8	30.7	28.3	25.8	23
Reported P/E (x)	21.9	19.6	18.4	16.7	15
Dividend yield (%)	1.8	2.1	2.2	2.5	2
Del = = //s = = / () (2.5	2.3	2.2	2.0	1
Price/book (x)		2.3	2.2	2.0	1
* *	2.5				
Price/tangible book (x)	2.5 9.8	9.2	8.5	8.0	7
Price/book (x) Price/tangible book (x) EV/EBITDA (x) ** EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	9.8	9.2			7
Price/tangible book (x)			8.5 11.0 1.3	8.0 10.3 1.3	7 9 1

Sources: Central Retail Corp; FSSIA estimates

Central Retail Corporation PCL (CRC TB)



Exhibit 11: FSSIA ESG score implication

64.49 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
****	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 12: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA			Domes	stic ratings	;			Global ratings					Bloomberg	
	ESG score	DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
COM7	61.78		Υ	Υ	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	47.75			52.68	21.00	4.40	43.59
CPALL	78.53	Υ	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	47.38	Α	34.00	59.95	82.00	3.74	
CPAXT	59.46				5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	52.81			74.64	63.00	3.77	
BJC	71.33	Υ	Y	Y	4.00	4.00		Medium	55.09	Α		65.19	89.00	2.16	
CRC	64.49		Υ	Υ	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium		BBB		60.98	73.00	2.90	47.27

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 13: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	1.77	2.38	2.17	2.90
BESG environmental pillar score	1.58	1.37	1.29	1.76
BESG social pillar score	0.78	2.04	2.18	3.41
BESG governance pillar score	3.20	3.99	3.16	3.68
ESG disclosure score	37.16	43.07	43.18	49.23
Environmental disclosure score	16.25	15.37	15.71	35.25
Social disclosure score	25.82	32.62	32.62	31.23
Governance disclosure score	69.30	81.10	81.10	81.10
Environmental				
Emissions reduction initiatives	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	No	No	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	No
GHG scope 1	47	7	40	34
GHG scope 2 location-based	469	518	385	472
GHG Scope 3	71	112	37	82
Carbon per unit of production	_	_	_	_
Biodiversity policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	1,046	_	_	1,122
Renewable energy use	_	_	_	79
Electricity used	946	1,081	1,250	1,033
Fuel used - natural gas	_	_	_	_

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 14: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	0	0	0	(
Total waste	6	72	68	49,573
Waste recycled	_	_	_	_
Waste sent to landfills	_	_	_	_
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	No	Yes
Water policy	No	No	No	No
Water consumption	6,869	6,731	6,623	12,50
Social				
Human rights policy	No	Yes	Yes	Ye
Policy against child labor	No	Yes	Yes	Ye
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	No	No	N
Consumer data protection policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Equal opportunity policy	No	Yes	Yes	Ye
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	N
Pct women in workforce	_	63	62	6
Pct disabled in workforce	1	1	1	
Business ethics policy	No	Yes	Yes	Ye
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Lost time incident rate - employees	0	0	0	_
Total recordable incident rate - employees	_	_	_	_
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	Ye
Number of employees – CSR	58,425	58,610	53,143	61,33
Employee turnover pct	50	48	31	01,00
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	391,448	527,020	739,473	920,01
Social supply chain management	No	No	No	020,01 N
Governance				•
Board size	15	15	14	1
No. of independent directors (ID)	5	5	4	
No. of women on board	4	4	3	
No. of non-executive directors on board	14	13	12	1
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
No. of board meetings for the year	13	11	10	10
Board meeting attendance pct	89	96	100	g
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	3	3	•
Board duration (years)	No	No	No	N
Director share ownership guidelines				
Age of the youngest director	52	53	54	5
Age of the oldest director	79	80	79 -	8
No. of executives / company managers	6	7	7	
No. of female executives	0	1	1	
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	N
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	
Audit committee meetings	10	13	13	1
Audit meeting attendance %	97	100	100	10
Size of compensation committee	4	3	3	
No. of ID on compensation committee	2	2	1	
No. of compensation committee meetings	_	5	4	
Compensation meeting attendance %	_	100	100	10
Size of nomination committee	4	3	3	
No. of nomination committee meetings	_	5	4	
Nomination meeting attendance %		100	100	10
Sustainability governance				
Verification type	No	No	No	N

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodolog	V			Rating						
The Dour	Methodolog	-	transparent sules been	ad component aslastica		nd invited to t	ho annual COD C	Clobal Camera	ato.		
The Dow Jones Sustainability	process base from the ann	ed on the com ual S&P Glob	npanies' Total Sustaina pal Corporate Sustainal	oility Assessment (CSA).	Sustainability A ESG Score of I	ssessment (Cess than 45%	he annual S&P G SA) for DJSI. Co of the S&P Glob	mpanies with al ESG Score	an S&P Globa of the highest		
Indices (<u>DJSI</u>) By S&P Global	Only the top- inclusion.	ranked compa	anies within each indus	stry are selected for	scoring compar selected from t		fied. The constitutiverse.	uents of the D	JSI indices are		
Sustainability			ility in Environmental a	,	To be eligible for THSI inclusion, verified data must be scored at a						
nvestment ₋ist (<u>THSI</u>)				ance, updated annually. th two crucial conditions:	minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the						
y The Stock	1) no irregula	ar trading of th	ne board members and	executives; and 2) free			y and materiality.				
Exchange of Thailand				g must be >15% of paid- e: 1) CG score of below			from the THSI co				
(SET)	70%; 2) inde	pendent direc	ctors and free float viola , social & environmenta	ation; 3) executives'	capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weigh						
CG Score			earnings in red for > 3 y	rears in the last 5 years.	maximum, and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	mber of stocks. ories: 5 for Excell	lent (90-100)	4 for Very		
by Thai	annually by t	he Thai IOD, י	with support from the S	Stock Exchange of	Good (80-89), 3	for Good (70	9-79), 2 for Fair (6	60-69), 1 for P	ass (60-69),		
Institute of Directors				ctive of a third party, not			w 50. Weightings				
Association	an evaluation	n of operations	S.				olders (weight 25 sure & transpare				
(Thai IOD)					responsibilities		ouro a manoparo		0, 200.0		
AGM level By Thai			which shareholders' rigl d into business operatio				four categories: (80-89), and not				
Investors	transparent a	and sufficiently	y disclosed. All form im	portant elements of two	, (,,	(
Association				nnually. The assessment							
(TIA) with support from			meeting (10%). (<i>The fir</i> s	g (45%), at the meeting t assesses 1) advance							
he SEC	circulation of s	ufficient informat	ition for voting; and 2) facili	tating how voting rights can be							
	and verifiability	; and 3) openne		meetings; 2) transparency lves the meeting minutes that							
Thai CAC			Checklist include corru		The document	will be reviewe	ed by a committe	e of nine profe	essionals. A		
By Thai			rols, and the monitoring		passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council						
Private Sector Collective	•		is good for three years. ne a CAC certified member		approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.						
Action Against	Declaration of	Intent to kick off	f an 18-month deadline to s	submit the CAC Checklist for	protocoloridatori	i aria ourioara	ornovomonto.				
Corruption (CAC)	managers and	employees, esta	sessment, in place of policy tablishment of whistleblowii								
Morningstar		of policies to al	isk rating provides an o	verall company score	A company's F	SG risk rating	score is the sum	of unmanage	d risk The		
Sustainalytics	based on an	assessment of		any's exposure to ESG			higher ESG risk		a non. mo		
	regulatory filing	gs, news and oth	her media, NGO reports/we k, ESG controversies, issu	ebsites, multi-sector	NEGL Low Medium High Severe						
		uality & peer revi			0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+		
ESG Book			sustainable companies over the long term. The	that are better methodology considers	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0						
	the principle	of financial ma	ateriality including infor	rmation that significantly			dicating better p				
	helps explair	. f t	djusted performance. N	lateriality is applied by							
	avar waishti		the bigher meeteriality on	d rebelensing these							
			ith higher materiality an erly basis.	nd rebalancing these							
MSCI	weights on a	ng features wi rolling quarte atings aim to r	erly basis. measure a company's	nd rebalancing these management of financially it to their exposure to ESG ris					ethodology to		
MSCI	weights on a	ng features wi rolling quarte atings aim to r	erly basis. measure a company's nd laggards according to	management of financially i to their exposure to ESG ris	sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	ethodology to		
MSCI	weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indus	ng features wi rolling quarte atings aim to r stry leaders ar	erly basis. measure a company's and laggards according to the laggards. Leader:	management of financially i	sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	nethodology to		
MSCI	weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus	ng features wi rolling quarte atings aim to r stry leaders ar 8.571-10.000	measure a company's nd laggards according to Leader:	management of financially it to their exposure to ESG ris	sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	-		
MSCI	weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA	ng features wi rolling quarte atings aim to r stry leaders ar 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570	measure a company's and laggards according to the laggards.	management of financially it to their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management a mixed or unexceptional	sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	-		
MSCI	weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA A	ng features wi rolling quarte atings aim to r stry leaders ar 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142	measure a company's nd laggards according to Leader:	management of financially it to their exposure to ESG ris	sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	-		
<u>visci</u>	MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA BBB	ng features wi rolling quarte atings aim to r stry leaders ar 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713	measure a company's nd laggards according to the laggards according to	management of financially it to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in management a mixed or unexceptional industry peers	sks and how well anaging the most si	they manage gnificant ESG ris	those risks relationsks and opportunities t significant ESG risks	ive to peers. es	-		
MSCI	MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA BBB BB	ng features wi rolling quarte atings aim to r stry leaders ar 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285	measure a company's and laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard:	management of financially it to their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management a mixed or unexceptional	sks and how well anaging the most si	they manage gnificant ESG ris	those risks relationsks and opportunities t significant ESG risks	ive to peers. es	-		
Moody's ESG	MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA BBB BB CCC Moody's assi	ng features wi rolling quarte atings aim to r stry leaders ar 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the deg	measure a company's and laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard:	management of financially it to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in management a mixed or unexceptional industry peers	sks and how well anaging the most si al track record of many ad on its high expose bjectives in the d	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos ure and failure to efinition and ir	those risks relationships the same opportunities to significant ESG risks to manage significant mplementation of	ive to peers. es sks and opportur at ESG risks f their strategy	policies. It		
Moody's ESG	Meights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA BBB BB CCC Moody's assibelieves that	ng features wi rolling quarte atings aim to r stry leaders ar 8.571-10.00 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the deg a company in	measure a company's and laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: pree to which companientegrating ESG factors	management of financially it to their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base as take into account ESG of	sks and how well anaging the most si al track record of many ad on its high expose bjectives in the d	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos ure and failure to efinition and ir	those risks relationships the same opportunities to significant ESG risks on manage significant mplementation of	ive to peers. es sks and opportur at ESG risks f their strategy	policies. It		
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG	Meights on a MSCI ESG ridentify indus AAA AA BBB BB CCC Moody's assibelieves that create sustai Designed to based on pul	ng features wi rolling quarte atings aim to r stry leaders ar 8.571-10.00 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the deg a company ir inable value fo	measure a company's and laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Gree to which companientegrating ESG factors or shareholders over the and objectively measure and auditable data. T	management of financially it to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in management of unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base es take into account ESG of into its business model and e medium to long term.	anaging the most si al track record of mand ad on its high expos bjectives in the did relatively outpe G performance, 100 on relative E	they manage gnificant ESG risanaging the mosure and failure to efinition and informing its percommitment a SG performan	those risks relatively relatively sks and opportunities to significant ESG risks of manage significant representation of the risks better positively risks and effectiveness are and insufficie	es sks and opportur It ESG risks If their strategy itioned to mitig across 10 mant degree of tr	policies. It pate risks and in themes,		
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG ating	Meights on a MSCI ESG ridentify indus AAA AA BBB BB CCC Moody's assibelieves that create sustai Designed to based on pul reporting ma The S&P Glo	ng features wi rolling quarte atings aim to r stry leaders ar 8.571-10.00 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the deg a company ir inable value fo transparently blicly available terial ESG dat	measure a company's and laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Moreover the companies over the and objectively measure and auditable data. To the publicly. (Score rating over is a relative score measure is a relative score	management of financially it to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in management of unexceptional arms of unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base es take into account ESG of into its business model and e medium to long term. The a company's relative ESG in the score ranges from 0 to 25 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 1 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 2 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = easuring a company's performance	anaging the most si al track record of mand and on its high expose bjectives in the did relatively outpe G performance, 100 on relative E = satisfactory; >50 to cormance on and	they manage gnificant ESG risenaging the most ure and failure to effinition and introforming its percommitment a SG performand or 75 = good; and management	those risks relationships the same opportunities to the significant ESG risks of manage significant manage s	tve to peers. Ses Sks and opportur It ESG risks If their strategy Itioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr Ilent.)	policies. It pate risks and in themes, ansparency in		
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating S&P Global	Meights on a MSCI ESG ridentify indus AAA AA BBB BB CCC Moody's assibelieves that create sustail Designed to based on pul reporting ma The S&P Glocompared to	ng features wi rolling quarte atings aim to r stry leaders ar 8.571-10.00 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the deg a company ir inable value fo transparently blicly available terial ESG dat	measure a company's and laggards according to Leader: Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Gree to which companie at the grating ESG factors or shareholders over the and objectively measure and auditable data. Total publicly. (Score rating ore is a relative score min the same industry cleans.)	management of financially it to their exposure to ESG rist leading its industry in management of unexceptions industry peers lagging its industry base es take into account ESG of into its business model and the medium to long term. The a company's relative ESG is are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance and the score ranges from 1 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 2 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = easuring a company's performance in the score ranges from 3 to 25 = easuring a company a c	anaging the most si al track record of managing the most si al track record of managing the most si ed on its high expose bjectives in the did relatively outpe G performance, 100 on relative E = satisfactory; >50 to ormance on and ges from 0 to 100	they manage gnificant ESG ristanging the most ure and failure to efinition and informing its percommitment a SG performand or 75 = good; and management or 10.	those risks relations that is sks and opportunities that significant ESG risks of manage significant manage	ive to peers. sks and opportur at ESG risks f their strategy itioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr llent.) portunities, an	policies. It jate risks and in themes, ansparency ir d impacts		
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating	Meights on a MSCI ESG ridentify indus AAA AA BBB BB CCC Moody's assibelieves that create sustai Designed to based on pul reporting ma The S&P Glo	ng features wi rolling quarte atings aim to r stry leaders ar 8.571-10.00 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the deg a company ir inable value fo transparently blicly available terial ESG dat	measure a company's ind laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Average: Average: Series to which companie integrating ESG factors or shareholders over the and objectively measure and auditable data. To the publicly. (Score rating) ore is a relative score main the same industry cleans and some score is based on Blo	management of financially it to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in management of unexceptional arms of unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base es take into account ESG of into its business model and e medium to long term. The a company's relative ESG in the score ranges from 0 to 25 are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 1 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 2 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = easuring a company's performance of the score ranges from 3 to 25 = easuring a company's performance	anaging the most si al track record of managing the most si bjectives in the did relatively outpe G performance, 100 on relative E = satisfactory; >50 to ormance on and ges from 0 to 100 regated Environn ancial materiality.	they manage gnificant ESG ristanaging the most ure and failure to effinition and informing its percommitment a SG performant of 75 = good; and management of the score is a scor	those risks relatively the sks and opportunities to the sks and the sk	ive to peers. es sks and opportur at ESG risks f their strategy itioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr llent.) portunities, an (ESG) performalized mean (policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency ir d impacts		

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Jitra Amornthum FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Central Retail Corp	CRC TB	THB 26.00	BUY	Downside risks to our DCF-based TP include 1) a decline in domestic purchasing power, 2) lower-than-expected tourist arrivals, 3) an absence of the government's stimulus, and 4) a slower-than-expected economic recovery in Vietnam.
Com7	COM7 TB	THB 20.10	HOLD	Risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) lower/higher domestic consumption and purchasing power, 2) store cannibalization/expansion, and 3) lower/higher-than-expected gross margin.
CP All	CPALL TB	THB 55.25	BUY	The key downside risks to our DCF-derived TP include 1) lower-than-expected SSSG, 2) lower-than-expected gross margin, and 3) higher-than-expected SG&A to sales ratio.
CP Axtra	CPAXT TB	THB 28.00	BUY	Key downside risks to our DCF-based TP include 1) a lower-than-expected SSSG, 2) a lower-than-expected GPM, 3) higher-than-expected SG&A expenses, and 4) an operating loss from its overseas units.
Index Living Mall	ILM TB	THB 16.30	BUY	Risks to our DCF-based TP include 1) a lower-than-expected SSSG; 2) a lower-than-expected GPM; and 3) a higher-than-expected SG&A.
Home Product Center	HMPRO TB	THB 7.90	BUY	Key downside risks to our DCF-based TP include: 1) lower-than-expected SSSG; 2) slower-than-expected recovery in domestic consumption and tourist arrivals, and 3) operating losses from overseas units.
Siam Global House	GLOBAL TB	THB 12.90	BUY	Key risks to our DCF-based TP are 1) lower/higher farm income, which would affect purchasing power in the agricultural sector, 2) government disbursement, 3) a lower/higher private brand mix and margin, 4) higher/lower expenses than expected, and 5) a higher/lower impact than expected from the El Nino.
Dohome PCL	DOHOME TB	THB 10.00	BUY	Key risks to our DCF-based TP are 1) lower/higher farm income, which would affect purchasing power in the agricultural sector, 2) government disbursement, 3) a lower/higher private brand mix and margin, 4) higher/lower expenses than expected, and 5) a higher/lower impact than expected from the El Nino.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 14-Aug-2024 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.