

14 AUGUST 2024

EQUITY RESEARCH – RESULTS FLASH

KCE ELECTRONICS

THAILAND / ELECTRONIC COMPONENT

KCE TB

FINANSIA
FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY

BUY

UNCHANGED

2Q24 results at first glance

2Q24 core profit rebounded as expected

Highlights

- KCE posted a 2Q24 net profit of THB635m (+23% q-q, +69% y-y). Excluding an FX gain of THB46m, a gain from the sale of the Bangpoo factory totaling THB144m, and the impairment of unused assets worth THB84m, its core profit equaled THB528m (+26% q-q, +65% y-y), in line with our forecast and Bloomberg's consensus estimate.
- The good profit primarily came from improvements in internal efficiency through cost-saving initiatives, particularly in chemical production, which began at the start of 2024. This helped the gross margin to rise to 24.8% in 2Q24 – a 10-quarter high – up from 23.1% in 1Q24 and 19.1% in 2Q23.
- PCB revenue remained unexciting at USD95m (+0.3% q-q, +1.5% y-y), affected by the overall global economic outlook and still-lackluster orders in the global automotive industry.
- In terms of destination, q-q growth was seen in the US and China, while y-y growth was noted in Europe and Thailand. In terms of product segments, growth was observed in 04-layer and HDI, which have strong gross profit margins, while 02-layer saw significant declines both q-q and y-y, in line with the automotive industry.


Outlook

- KCE's 1H24 core profit equaled THB949m (+50% y-y), accounting for 42% of our full-year estimate. We expect the profit trend in 2H24 to be better than in 1H24, primarily driven by a recovery in its gross margin following the expansion of in-house chemical usage to the Lat Krabang plant, from its sole use at the Ayutthaya plant in 1H24. Meanwhile, the overall order outlook is likely to remain unexciting, with revenue in 3Q24 expected to slightly recover by 5-6% q-q due to the high season.
- KCE announced a 1H24 DPS of THB0.6, implying a dividend yield of 1.5%.

TARGET PRICE THB50.00
CLOSE THB38.75
UP/DOWNSIDE +29.0%
TP vs CONSENSUS +1.0%

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	16,344	16,483	18,214	19,707
Net profit	1,720	2,381	2,524	2,725
EPS (THB)	1.45	2.01	2.13	2.31
vs Consensus (%)	-	10.5	3.2	3.2
EBITDA	2,944	3,706	4,177	4,524
Recurring net profit	1,569	2,266	2,524	2,725
Core EPS (THB)	1.33	1.92	2.13	2.31
EPS growth (%)	(31.2)	44.4	11.4	8.0
Core P/E (x)	29.2	20.2	18.2	16.8
Dividend yield (%)	2.9	3.9	4.1	4.5
EV/EBITDA (x)	15.7	12.5	11.5	10.7
Price/book (x)	3.3	3.2	3.1	2.9
Net debt/Equity (%)	3.6	3.3	13.2	16.4
ROE (%)	11.6	16.2	17.3	17.9



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	(15.3)	2.0	(17.1)
Relative to country (%)	(13.1)	7.8	(2.0)
Mkt cap (USD m)	1,303		
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)	11.8		
Free float (%)	0		
Major shareholder	Ongkosit Group (34%)		
12m high/low (THB)	57.50/36.50		
Issued shares (m)	1,182.06		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Sureeporn Teewasuwet

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 040694
sureeporn.t@fssia.com, +66 2646 9972

Exhibit 1: KCE – 2Q24 results summary


	1Q23	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	2Q24	---- Change ----		1H24	Change	2024E	% 1H24
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	to 2024E
Sales	4,025	3,898	4,326	4,095	3,780	4,012	6.1	2.9	7,792	(1.7)	16,483	47.3
Cost of sales	3,236	3,152	3,375	3,177	2,906	3,018	3.9	(4.3)	5,923	(7.3)	12,428	47.7
Gross profit	789	746	951	917	874	994	13.7	33.3	1,868	21.7	4,055	46.1
SG&A	480	415	458	420	422	461	9.2	11.0	883	(1.4)	1,780	49.6
Operating profit	309	330	493	497	452	533	18.0	61.4	985	54.1	2,275	43.3
Interest expense	24	29	27	24	15	18	22.2	(38.4)	32	(38.3)	64	50.9
Tax expense	25	24	33	49	64	28	(56.5)	17.1	92	88.4	145	63.5
Other gain (Loss)	31	56	49	14	95	107	12.7	90.4	201	130.7	115	174.9
Reported net profit	345	376	520	478	515	635	23.2	68.8	1,150	59.4	2,381	48.3
Core profit	314	320	471	464	421	528	25.6	65.1	949	49.6	2,266	41.9

Key ratios (%)							(ppt)	(ppt)				
Gross margin	19.6	19.1	22.0	22.4	23.1	24.8	1.7	5.7	24.0	4.6	24.6	
SG&A to Sales	11.9	10.7	10.6	10.3	11.2	11.5	0.3	0.8	11.3	0.0	10.8	
Operating margin	7.7	8.5	11.4	12.1	12.0	13.3	1.3	4.8	12.6	4.6	13.8	
Net margin	8.6	9.7	12.0	11.7	13.6	15.8	2.2	6.2	14.8	5.7	14.4	
Core margin	7.8	8.2	10.9	11.3	11.1	13.2	2.0	5.0	12.2	4.2	13.7	

Operating statistics (USD m)												
PCB sales	103	93	102	94	94	95	0.3	1.5	189	(3.6)		
Non-PCB sales	16	20	21	21	12	15	26.1	(26.2)	27	(26.9)		
Total sales	119	114	124	115	106	110	3.2	(3.4)	216	(7.3)		
Europe sales	52	45	51	46	53	51	(3.6)	13.7	194	(7.9)		
USA sales	24	22	20	23	18	20	10.7	(9.0)	90	(13.5)		
Asia sales	5	5	5	4	4	4	16.8	(11.9)	20	(21.5)		
China sales	12	13	14	10	10	10	5.8	(21.0)	49	(13.9)		
Thailand sales	10	8	12	11	9	9	(9.7)	6.3	40	6.6		
02-layer sales	9	10	10	10	9	8	(10.1)	(17.7)	39	(0.4)		
04-layer sales	37	33	38	34	33	35	4.6	5.6	142	(18.7)		
06-layer sales	26	23	28	23	21	20	(3.8)	(13.5)	99	(8.9)		
Special (HDI) sales	32	27	26	28	31	31	1.7	16.9	113	0.5		
Average selling price (USD/sq.ft)												
02-layer	9.8	9.4	9.4	9.3	9.4	9.1	(3.0)	(2.9)	9.5	0.2		
04-layer	12.0	11.8	11.6	11.4	11.4	11.3	(0.4)	(3.8)	11.7	(1.4)		
06-layer	16.6	16.3	16.4	16.5	15.8	15.4	(2.8)	(5.3)	16.4	(0.4)		
Special (HDI)	18.3	19.0	18.1	18.1	16.9	16.6	(1.8)	(12.6)	18.4	(0.8)		


Sources: KCE; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 2: USD revenue and growth




Sources: KCE, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 3: Sales volume and average selling price




Sources: KCE, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 4: Revenue breakdown by destination




Sources: KCE, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 5: Revenue breakdown by product




Sources: KCE, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 6: Total revenue and growth




Sources: KCE, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 7: Gross margin




Sources: KCE, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 8: Net profit and growth




Sources: KCE, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 9: Core profit and growth




Sources: KCE, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 10: Historical P/E band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 11: Historical P/BV band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

KCE Electronics

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	18,456	16,344	16,483	18,214	19,707
Cost of goods sold	(14,254)	(12,941)	(12,428)	(13,697)	(14,820)
Gross profit	4,202	3,403	4,055	4,517	4,887
Other operating income	224	190	206	219	236
Operating costs	(1,919)	(1,774)	(1,780)	(1,967)	(2,128)
Operating EBITDA	3,622	2,944	3,706	4,177	4,524
Depreciation	(1,114)	(1,126)	(1,226)	(1,409)	(1,529)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	0
Operating EBIT	2,508	1,818	2,481	2,768	2,995
Net financing costs	(72)	(104)	(64)	(104)	(117)
Associates	19	14	19	19	21
Recurring non-operating income	19	14	19	19	21
Non-recurring items	36	150	115	0	0
Profit before tax	2,490	1,879	2,551	2,684	2,899
Tax	(144)	(131)	(145)	(133)	(144)
Profit after tax	2,347	1,747	2,406	2,551	2,755
Minority interests	(29)	(28)	(25)	(27)	(30)
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	0
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
Reported net profit	2,317	1,720	2,381	2,524	2,725
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(36)	(150)	(115)	0	0
Recurring net profit	2,281	1,569	2,266	2,524	2,725
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	1.93	1.33	1.92	2.13	2.31
Reported EPS	1.96	1.45	2.01	2.13	2.31
DPS	1.60	1.11	1.51	1.60	1.73
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	1,182	1,182	1,182	1,182	1,182
Growth					
Revenue (%)	23.6	(11.4)	0.9	10.5	8.2
Operating EBITDA (%)	1.8	(18.7)	25.9	12.7	8.3
Operating EBIT (%)	(0.5)	(27.5)	36.4	11.6	8.2
Recurring EPS (%)	1.6	(31.2)	44.4	11.4	8.0
Reported EPS (%)	(4.8)	(25.8)	38.5	6.0	8.0
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	22.8	20.8	24.6	24.8	24.8
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	28.8	27.7	32.0	32.5	32.6
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	19.6	18.0	22.5	22.9	23.0
Operating EBIT margin (%)	13.6	11.1	15.1	15.2	15.2
Net margin (%)	12.4	9.6	13.7	13.9	13.8
Effective tax rate (%)	5.8	7.0	5.7	5.0	5.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	82.9	83.3	78.8	75.0	75.0
Interest cover (X)	35.0	17.7	39.3	26.9	25.7
Inventory days	135.4	134.4	117.3	106.3	107.3
Debtor days	91.9	103.6	98.5	92.7	91.4
Creditor days	104.1	92.3	88.8	90.6	96.5
Operating ROIC (%)	13.4	10.0	14.3	14.5	14.1
ROIC (%)	12.6	9.4	13.4	13.7	13.4
ROE (%)	17.0	11.6	16.2	17.3	17.9
ROA (%)	11.0	8.4	12.1	12.7	12.7

* Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted

Revenue by Division (THB m)	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
PCB	15,134	13,554	13,798	15,358	16,727
Non PCB	3,323	2,790	2,685	2,856	2,980

Sources: KCE Electronics; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

KCE Electronics

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring net profit	2,281	1,569	2,266	2,524	2,725
Depreciation	1,114	1,126	1,226	1,409	1,529
Associates & minorities	-	-	-	-	-
Other non-cash items	(19)	(14)	(19)	(19)	(21)
Change in working capital	(1,186)	832	228	204	(392)
Cash flow from operations	2,191	3,513	3,701	4,117	3,841
Capex - maintenance	-	-	-	-	-
Capex - new investment	(993)	(402)	(2,000)	(3,660)	(2,400)
Net acquisitions & disposals	-	-	-	-	-
Other investments (net)	121	76	6	(12)	(10)
Cash flow from investing	(872)	(325)	(1,994)	(3,672)	(2,410)
Dividends paid	(2,322)	(1,419)	(1,786)	(1,893)	(2,044)
Equity finance	10	4	0	0	0
Debt finance	173	(1,150)	(39)	781	409
Other financing cash flows	(2)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
Cash flow from financing	(2,141)	(2,566)	(1,825)	(1,113)	(1,637)
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
Net other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
Movement in cash	(821)	622	(118)	(668)	(206)
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	1,391.42	3,291.81	1,771.32	548.61	1,547.75
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	1,490.66	2,036.57	1,667.94	1,224.75	1,837.87

Per share (THB)

FCFF per share	1.18	2.78	1.50	0.46	1.31
FCFE per share	1.26	1.72	1.41	1.04	1.55
Recurring cash flow per share	2.86	2.27	2.94	3.31	3.58

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Tangible fixed assets (gross)	19,658	18,912	20,912	24,572	26,972
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(10,779)	(10,758)	(11,984)	(13,392)	(14,921)
Tangible fixed assets (net)	8,878	8,154	8,928	11,180	12,051
Intangible fixed assets (net)	323	284	284	284	284
Long-term financial assets	-	-	-	-	-
Invest. in associates & subsidiaries	575	554	554	554	554
Cash & equivalents	1,145	1,767	1,649	981	775
A/C receivable	4,900	4,379	4,516	4,741	5,129
Inventories	4,908	3,793	3,405	3,753	4,060
Other current assets	127	141	148	164	177
Current assets	11,079	10,079	9,718	9,638	10,142
Other assets	138	122	115	127	138
Total assets	20,993	19,193	19,600	21,783	23,169
Common equity	13,389	13,689	14,284	14,915	15,596
Minorities etc.	56	61	60	58	57
Total shareholders' equity	13,445	13,749	14,343	14,973	15,653
Long term debt	910	515	525	1,058	1,350
Other long-term liabilities	395	367	330	382	414
Long-term liabilities	1,305	882	855	1,440	1,764
A/C payable	3,249	2,728	2,724	3,377	3,654
Short term debt	2,915	1,748	1,596	1,902	1,999
Other current liabilities	80	85	82	91	99
Current liabilities	6,244	4,562	4,402	5,370	5,752
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	20,993	19,193	19,600	21,783	23,169
Net working capital	6,606	5,499	5,263	5,189	5,614
Invested capital	16,520	14,613	15,145	17,334	18,641

* Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being treated as debt

Per share (THB)

Book value per share	11.33	11.58	12.08	12.62	13.19
Tangible book value per share	11.06	11.34	11.84	12.38	12.95

Financial strength

Net debt/equity (%)	19.9	3.6	3.3	13.2	16.4
Net debt/total assets (%)	12.8	2.6	2.4	9.1	11.1
Current ratio (x)	1.8	2.2	2.2	1.8	1.8
CF interest cover (x)	35.5	24.5	58.6	48.2	37.2

Valuation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring P/E (x) *	20.1	29.2	20.2	18.2	16.8
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	25.9	37.7	26.1	23.4	21.7
Reported P/E (x)	19.8	26.6	19.2	18.2	16.8
Dividend yield (%)	4.1	2.9	3.9	4.1	4.5
Price/book (x)	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.1	2.9
Price/tangible book (x)	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.1	3.0
EV/EBITDA (x) **	13.4	15.7	12.5	11.5	10.7
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	17.1	20.3	16.1	14.6	13.6
EV/invested capital (x)	2.9	3.2	3.1	2.8	2.6

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted ** EBITDA includes associate income and recurring non-operating income

Sources: KCE Electronics; FSSIA estimates

KCE Electronics PCL (KCE TB)

FSSIA ESG rating

★ ★ ★

Exhibit 12: FSSIA ESG score implication

45.77 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
★★★★★	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
★★★★★	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
★★★	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
★★	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
★	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 13: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA	Domestic ratings						Global ratings						Bloomberg	
	ESG score	DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
DELTA	87.59	Y	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	68.81	AA	--	91.19	74.00	4.15	--
HANA	56.35	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Negligible	56.93	BBB	--	44.01	31.00	1.81	--
KCE	45.77	--	--	--	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	52.70	--	--	61.53	16.00	2.16	52.98

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 14: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	1.34	1.35	1.35	1.36	1.37	1.70	2.06	2.16
BESG environmental pillar score	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
BESG social pillar score	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	1.44	1.45	1.58
BESG governance pillar score	4.64	4.67	4.67	4.77	4.83	4.83	4.71	4.90
ESG disclosure score	40.30	41.69	40.30	40.64	46.82	53.50	54.91	52.98
Environmental disclosure score	19.21	19.21	19.21	19.21	33.59	49.50	53.76	52.13
Social disclosure score	20.44	24.61	20.44	21.46	25.63	29.78	29.78	25.60
Governance disclosure score	81.10	81.10	81.10	81.10	81.10	81.10	81.10	81.10
Environmental								
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Climate change policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
GHG scope 1	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	3
GHG scope 2 location-based	—	—	—	—	—	—	64	70
GHG Scope 3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Carbon per unit of production	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	4
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	—	—	—	—	—	121	152	163
Renewable energy use	—	—	—	—	—	1	2	4
Electricity used	—	—	—	—	—	112	140	152
Fuel used - natural gas	—	—	—	—	—	850	1,100	1,130

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 15: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	6	8	9	12	8	3	5	—
Total waste	7	11	13	15	12	13	17	20
Waste recycled	—	—	—	—	—	11	15	16
Waste sent to landfills	—	—	—	—	—	2	3	3
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	—	—	—	—	—	1,650	2,232	2,612
Social								
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Consumer data protection policy	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Pct women in workforce	—	—	—	—	—	52	46	52
Pct disabled in workforce	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1
Total recordable incident rate - employees	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	—
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Number of employees – CSR	2,388	2,696	1,021	1,042	1,019	984	974	1,049
Employee turnover pct	—	—	—	—	—	11	10	11
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	176,855	198,156	187,310	229,906	83,545	33,247	44,164	67,795
Social supply chain management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Governance								
Board size	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
No. of independent directors (ID)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of women on board	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of non-executive directors on board	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	12	12	12	12	12	13	12	12
Board meeting attendance pct	99	97	97	94	95	96	99	99
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42
Age of the oldest director	78	79	80	81	82	74	75	76
No. of executives / company managers	9	9	10	10	10	10	10	11
No. of female executives	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Audit committee meetings	4	4	5	5	6	5	4	5
Audit meeting attendance %	100	92	100	100	83	100	75	93
Size of compensation committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on compensation committee	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
No. of compensation committee meetings	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	1
Compensation meeting attendance %	100	100	100	83	89	100	100	100
Size of nomination committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of nomination committee meetings	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	1
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	100	100	83	89	100	100	100
Sustainability governance								
Verification type	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating																				
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.																				
Sustainability Investment List (THSI) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	THSI quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for THSI inclusion , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETTHSI Index is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.																				
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).																				
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i>	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.																				
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i>	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.																				
Morningstar Sustainabilitys	The Sustainabilitys' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.</i>	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table><tr><th>NEGL</th><th>Low</th><th>Medium</th><th>High</th><th>Severe</th></tr><tr><td>0-10</td><td>10-20</td><td>20-30</td><td>30-40</td><td>40+</td></tr></table>	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+										
NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe																		
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																		
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.																				
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table><tr><td>AAA</td><td>8.571-10.000</td><td rowspan="3">Leader:</td><td rowspan="3">leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td></tr><tr><td>AA</td><td>7.143-8.570</td></tr><tr><td>A</td><td>5.714-7.142</td></tr><tr><td>BBB</td><td>4.286-5.713</td><td rowspan="3">Average:</td><td rowspan="3">a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td></tr><tr><td>BB</td><td>2.857-4.285</td></tr><tr><td>B</td><td>1.429-2.856</td></tr><tr><td>CCC</td><td>0.000-1.428</td><td>Laggard:</td><td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td></tr></table>		AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities	AA	7.143-8.570	A	5.714-7.142	BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers	BB	2.857-4.285	B	1.429-2.856	CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks
AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities																			
AA	7.143-8.570																					
A	5.714-7.142																					
BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers																			
BB	2.857-4.285																					
B	1.429-2.856																					
CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks																			
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.																					
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)</i>																					
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.																					
Bloomberg	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.																				
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.																				

[Rating](#) regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) **CG Score**; 2) **AGM Level**; 3) **Thai CAC**; and 4) **THSI**. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Sureeporn Teewasuwet FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd


The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price

KCE Electronics (KCE TB)



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
09-Feb-2023	HOLD	50.00	10-Aug-2023	BUY	52.00	14-Feb-2024	HOLD	43.00
16-May-2023	HOLD	35.50	16-Oct-2023	HOLD	60.00	05-Jul-2024	BUY	50.00

Sureeporn Teewasuwet started covering this stock from 09-Feb-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
KCE Electronics	KCE TB	THB 38.75	BUY	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) slower-than-expected global economic and car sales recoveries; 2) lower-than-expected decrease in raw material costs; 3) a weaker-than-expected in THB; and 4) a minimum wage increase or a labour shortage.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 13-Aug-2024 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as $(\text{target price}^* - \text{current price}) / \text{current price}$.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.