**EQUITY RESEARCH - RESULTS FLASH** 



BH TB

THAILAND / HEALTH CARE SERVICES



**TARGET PRICE** THB310.00 **CLOSE UP/DOWNSIDE TP vs CONSENSUS** 

THB256.00 +21.1% +7.6%

## 2Q24 results at first glance

### BH reported a strong 2Q24 with core profit growth of 15% v-v to THB1.94b, beating our estimate

### **Highlights**

- BH reported a strong core profit of THB1.94b (+15% y-y, -2% q-q) in 2Q24, beating our estimate by 5% mainly due to a record high EBITDA margin of 40.7% (vs previous record high of 40.6% in
- Revenue grew 4% y-y in 2Q24. International patient revenue grew by 5% y-y. Thai patient revenue grew 2% y-y.
- As a result, the revenue contribution from Thai patients was 34% and international patients was 66% (vs 35%:65% in 2Q23).
- COGS declined by 1% y-y in the quarter thanks to better cost control. SG&A increased only 2% y-y thanks to flat y-y admin expenses. Thus, the EBITDA margin improved to 40.7% (vs 38.3% in 2Q23 and 40.6% in 1Q24). Depreciation was also flat y-y.
- 1H24 core profit grew by 20% y-y to THB3.9b and accounted for 50% of our 2024 forecast of THB7.8b.

#### **Outlook and valuation**

- We see an upside to our forecast given that we assume an EBITDA margin of only 40% in 2024 (vs 41% in 1H24).
- We expect 3Q24 core profit to hit a record high due to the high healthcare season (vs current record high at THB1.98b in 1Q24).
- BH is trading at an attractive valuation of 26x 2024E P/E (vs 5-yr avg of 29x).

### **KEY STOCK DATA**

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	25,376	27,172	28,543	29,983
Net profit	7,006	7,802	8,265	8,576
EPS (THB)	8.80	9.81	10.39	10.78
vs Consensus (%)	-	3.3	3.1	1.9
EBITDA	9,509	10,748	11,402	11,855
Recurring net profit	6,918	7,802	8,265	8,576
Core EPS (THB)	8.69	9.81	10.39	10.78
EPS growth (%)	40.0	12.8	5.9	3.8
Core P/E (x)	29.4	26.1	24.6	23.8
Dividend yield (%)	1.4	1.8	2.3	2.4
EV/EBITDA (x)	20.3	17.6	16.3	15.4
Price/book (x)	8.6	7.3	6.4	5.8
Net debt/Equity (%)	(44.2)	(51.5)	(56.1)	(60.7)
ROE (%)	31.8	30.1	27.7	25.7



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	3.6	1.6	13.8
Relative to country (%)	5.4	8.3	35.1
Mkt cap (USD m)			5,707
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)			13.7
Free float (%)			65
Major shareholder		Thai N\	/DR (13%)
12m high/low (THB)		272	.00/212.00
Issued shares (m)			922.70

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Teerapol Udomvej, CFA Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 080523 teerapol.udo@fssia.com, +66 2646 9969

Exhibit 1: BH – 2Q24 results summary

	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	2Q24	Char	nge	2024E	Change
	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(y-y %)				
Sales	6,049	6,741	6,516	6,537	6,303	(4)	4	27,172	7
COGS (incl. depreciation)	(3,041)	(3,371)	(3,332)	(3,146)	(3,008)	(4)	(1)	(13,313)	3
Gross profit	3,008	3,370	3,184	3,391	3,294	(3)	10	13,859	11
SG&A	(986)	(1,040)	(1,140)	(1,020)	(1,007)	(1)	2	(4,313)	3
Operating profit	2,021	2,330	2,044	2,371	2,288	(3)	13	9,546	15
Net other income	32	28	29	29	28	(4)	(13)	114	3
Interest income	30	38	49	61	79	28	167	161	14
Interest expense	(0)	(0)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(3)	335	(3)	14
Pretax profit	2,083	2,395	2,121	2,459	2,393	(3)	15	9,819	15
Income Tax	(382)	(438)	(408)	(469)	(432)	(8)	13	(1,964)	24
Share gain/loss from JV			(0)	(0)	(0)				
Share gain/loss from associates	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)			(1)	5
Minority interest	(18)	(9)	(11)	(15)	(24)	67	34	(52)	6
Core profit	1,682	1,948	1,702	1,975	1,937	(2)	15	7,802	13
Extraordinaries, GW & FX	66	6	19	10	(5)			0	
Reported net profit	1,748	1,954	1,721	1,985	1,932	(3)	11	7,802	11
Outstanding shares (m)	795	795	795	795	795	0	0	795	0
Core EPS (THB)	2.12	2.45	2.14	2.48	2.44	(2)	15	9.82	13
EPS (THB)	2.20	2.46	2.16	2.50	2.43	(3)	11	9.82	11
COGS (excl. depreciation)	2,766	3,099	3,058	2,879	2,742	(5)	(1)	12,225	4
Depreciation	275	272	274	267	267	(0)	(3)	1,087	(1)
EBITDA	2,329	2,630	2,348	2,667	2,582	(3)	11	10,748	13
Key ratios	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)	(%)	(ppt)
Gross margin	50	50	49	52	52	0	3	51	2
SG&A/Revenue	16	15	17	16	16	0	(0)	16	(1)
EBITDA margin	38.3	39	36	41	41	0	2	40	2
Net profit margin	29	29	26	30	31	0	2	29	1
Operating stats	(y-y %)								
Hospital revenue growth	23	18	9	8	4				
OPD revenue growth	9	12	2	8	N/A				
IPD revenue growth	39	26	16	8	N/A				
International patient revenue growth	29	20	12	7	5				
Thai patient revenue growth	14	18	3	9	2				

Sources: BH; FSSIA estimates

### **Financial Statements**

Bumrungrad Hospital

-					
Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	20,721	25,376	27,172	28,543	29,983
Cost of goods sold	(11,099)	(12,895)	(13,313)	(14,004)	(14,819)
Gross profit	9,621	12,481	13,859	14,539	15,164
Other operating income	187	111	114	118	121
Operating costs	(3,798)	(4,180)	(4,313)	(4,473)	(4,759)
Operating EBITDA	7,127	9,509	10,748	11,402	11,855
Depreciation  Conduit amortination	(1,117)	(1,097)	(1,087)	(1,219)	(1,329)
Goodwill amortisation	6.040	9.442	0.660	-	- 40 E26
Operating EBIT Net financing costs	6,010	8,412	9,660	10,183	10,526
Associates	42	139	158 (1)	218	268
Recurring non-operating income	(1) (1)	(1) (1)	(1)	(1) (1)	(1) (1)
Non-recurring items	(3)	88	0	0	0
Profit before tax	6,049	8,638	9,818	10,400	10,793
Tax	(1,072)	(1,583)	(1,964)	(2,080)	(2,159)
Profit after tax	4,977	7,055	7,854	8,320	8,634
Minority interests	(39)	(49)	(52)	(55)	(58)
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	-	-
Other items	_	-	_	_	_
Reported net profit	4,938	7,006	7,802	8,265	8,576
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	3	(88)	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	4,941	6,918	7,802	8,265	8,576
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	6.21	8.69	9.81	10.39	10.78
Reported EPS	6.21	8.80	9.81	10.39	10.78
DPS	3.20	3.69	4.50	5.88	6.23
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	796	796	796	796	796
Growth					
Revenue (%)	66.3	22.5	7.1	5.0	5.0
Operating EBITDA (%)	158.5	33.4	13.0	6.1	4.0
Operating EBIT (%)	281.0	40.0	14.8	5.4	3.4
Recurring EPS (%)	287.9	40.0	12.8	5.9	3.8
Reported EPS (%)	306.2	41.9	11.4	5.9	3.8
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	46.4	49.2	51.0	50.9	50.6
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	51.8	53.5	55.0	55.2	55.0
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	34.4	37.5	39.6	39.9	39.5
Operating EBIT margin (%)	29.0	33.1	35.6	35.7	35.1
Net margin (%)	23.8	27.3	28.7	29.0	28.6
Effective tax rate (%)	17.7	18.5	20.0	20.0	20.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	51.5	42.5	45.9	56.6	57.8
Interest cover (X)	(141.7)	(60.7)	(61.0)	(46.7)	(39.3)
Inventory days	11.9	10.6	11.0	11.0	10.9
Debtor days	36.3	50.8	59.2	56.4	53.7
Creditor days	34.6	33.5	32.8	32.6	32.5
Operating ROIC (%)	40.6	52.9 51.0	55.6	57.3 55.3	58.7
ROIC (%) ROE (%)	39.1 26.7	51.0 31.8	53.5 30.1	55.2 27.7	56.5 25.7
ROA (%)	20.7	31.8 25.6	24.5	27.7	25.7
* Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted	21.9	25.0	24.5	22.0	21.3
7 7 9	2022	2022	20245	20255	20265
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Thai patient revenue	7,536	8,348	8,849	9,202	9,479
International patient revenue	13,110	16,948	18,244	19,260	20,425
Other revenue	75	80	80	80	80

Sources: Bumrungrad Hospital; FSSIA estimates

### **Financial Statements**

Bumrungrad Hospital

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026
Recurring net profit	4,941	6,918	7,802	8,265	8,57
Depreciation	1,117	1,097	1,087	1,219	1,32
Associates & minorities	-	-	-	-	
Other non-cash items	26	(16)	52	55	5
Change in working capital	131	(1,021)	233	192	20
Cash flow from operations	6,216	6,978	9,174	9,730	10,17
Capex - maintenance Capex - new investment	(1,170)	(1,617)	(1,630)	(1,713)	(1,499
Net acquisitions & disposals	0	(12)	0	0	
Other investments (net)	-	(12)	-	-	
Cash flow from investing	(1,170)	(1,629)	(1,630)	(1,713)	(1,499
Dividends paid	(2,543)	(2,938)	(3,581)	(4,681)	(4,959
Equity finance	(2,040)	0	0,001)	0	(1,000
Debt finance	(8)	28	0	0	
Other financing cash flows	(155)	31	0	0	
Cash flow from financing	(2,705)	(2,880)	(3,581)	(4,681)	(4,959
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	• •
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	
Net other adjustments	0	0	0	0	
Movement in cash	2,341	2,469	3,963	3,336	3,71
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	5,049.59	5,351.60	7,546.62	8,020.44	8,675.0
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	4,882.92	5,407.78	7,543.89	8,017.71	8,672.3
Per share (THB)					
FCFF per share	5.47	5.80	8.18	8.69	9.4
FCFE per share	5.29	5.86	8.18	8.69	9.4
Recurring cash flow per share	7.65	10.05	11.24	11.99	12.5
Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026
Tangible fixed assets (gross)	26,652	27,899	29,530	31,242	32,74
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(14,236)	(14,921)	(16,009)	(17,227)	(18,556
Tangible fixed assets (net)	12,416	12,978	13,521	14,015	14,18
ntangible fixed assets (net)	61	61	61	61	6
ong-term financial assets	-	-	-	-	
nvest. in associates & subsidiaries	1	13	13	13	24.75
Cash & equivalents	8,276	10,745	14,708	18,045	21,75
A/C receivable	2,658	4,408	4,408	4,408	4,40
nventories Other current assets	326 159	362 169	375 181	393 190	41 19
Ourrent assets	11,419	15,685	19,673	23,035	26,78
Other assets	362	475	475	<b>23,039</b> 475	<b>26,76</b>
Total assets	24,259	29,212	33,743	37,600	41,51
Common equity	19,705	23,801	28,023	31,607	35,22
Minorities etc.	300	297	348	403	46
Fotal shareholders' equity	20,005	24,098	28,371	32,010	35,68
Long term debt	63	91	91	91	9
Other long-term liabilities	799	856	856	856	85
ong-term liabilities	862	947	947	947	94
VC payable	1,085	1,078	1,118	1,169	1,23
Short term debt	0	0	0	0	
Other current liabilities	2,306	3,089	3,308	3,474	3,65
Current liabilities	3,391	4,167	4,425	4,643	4,88
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	24,259	29,212	33,743	37,600	41,51
Net working capital	(249)	772	539	348	13
nvested capital	12,591	14,299	14,609	14,912	14,87
Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is be	ng treated as debt				
Per share (THB)					
Book value per share	24.76	29.91	35.21	39.72	44.2
angible book value per share	24.69	29.83	35.14	39.64	44.1
inancial strength					
Net debt/equity (%)	(41.1)	(44.2)	(51.5)	(56.1)	(60.
Net debt/total assets (%)	(33.9)	(36.5)	(43.3)	(47.7)	(52.
Current ratio (x)	3.4	3.8	4.4	5.0	5
CF interest cover (x)	(114.1)	(38.0)	(46.6)	(35.8)	(31.
/aluation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026
Recurring P/E (x) *	41.2	29.4	26.1	24.6	23
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	49.9	35.7	31.6	29.8	28
Reported P/E (x)	41.3	29.1	26.1	24.6	23
Dividend yield (%)	1.2	1.4	1.8	2.3	2
Price/book (x)	10.3	8.6	7.3	6.4	5
Note to the constitute of the Constitution of	10.4	8.6	7.3	6.5	5
• , ,					
V/EBITDA (x) **	27.5	20.3	17.6	16.3	
Price/tangible book (x) EV/EBITDA (x) ** EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) ** EV/invested capital (x)		20.3 24.9 13.5	17.6 21.6 13.0	16.3 20.1 12.5	15 19 12

Sources: Bumrungrad Hospital; FSSIA estimates

# **Bumrungrad Hospital PCL (BH TB)**

FSSIA ESG rating

★ ★ ★

### **Exhibit 2: FSSIA ESG score implication**

51.21 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
****	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

### Exhibit 3: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA			Domes	stic ratings	;				Glo	bal ratings			BI	oomberg
	ESG score	DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
BCH	39.71				4.00	5.00	Certified	High	48.21			27.19	18.00	3.52	47.60
BDMS	74.00	Υ	Y	Υ	5.00	4.00		Medium	61.06	AA	34.00	59.83	72.00	3.45	58.92
ВН	51.21				4.00	4.00		Medium	64.29	Α	29.00	59.03	27.00	5.08	47.79
CHG	38.25				4.00	5.00		High	55.35			59.57	21.00	2.34	50.24
PR9	54.08		Υ	Υ	5.00	5.00	Certified	High	71.12			62.39		2.43	37.90
PRINC	18.00				4.00	4.00	Certified								
RAM	11.75				3.00			High							
THG	18.75				5.00	5.00		High							
VIBHA	20.88				4.00	3.00	Declared	High					17.00		

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

### Exhibit 4: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	0.85	1.30	1.60	1.49	1.73	3.35	3.58	5.08
BESG environmental pillar score	0.00	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	3.21	3.73	3.73
BESG social pillar score	0.18	0.18	0.38	0.38	0.85	3.19	3.25	6.43
BESG governance pillar score	3.25	3.57	4.35	3.81	3.55	3.73	4.07	3.97
ESG disclosure score	31.24	32.94	35.78	35.78	36.40	47.68	47.79	47.79
Environmental disclosure score	0.42	5.53	8.91	8.91	9.76	35.43	35.76	35.76
Social disclosure score	12.03	12.03	17.17	17.17	18.20	26.39	26.39	26.39
Governance disclosure score	81.10	81.10	81.10	81.10	81.10	81.10	81.10	81.10
Environmental								
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No							
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
GHG scope 1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
GHG scope 2 location-based	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
GHG Scope 3	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Carbon per unit of production	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Biodiversity policy	No							
Energy efficiency policy	Yes							
Total energy consumption	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Renewable energy use	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Electricity used	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Fuel used - natural gas	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

 $Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's \ compilation$ 

**Exhibit 5: ESG score by Bloomberg** (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No							
Waste reduction policy	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total waste	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Waste recycled	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Waste sent to landfills	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Social								
Human rights policy	Yes							
Policy against child labor	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Consumer data protection policy	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Ye						
Gender pay gap breakout	No							
Pct women in workforce	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Pct disabled in workforce	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Business ethics policy	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Ye
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Ye						
Health and safety policy	Yes	Ye						
Lost time incident rate - employees	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Total recordable incident rate - employees	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Training policy	Yes	Ye						
Fair remuneration policy	No	N						
Number of employees – CSR	_	_	3,984	3,980	4,088	3,782	3,455	4,21
Employee turnover pct	_	_	_	_	_	7	7	
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	_	_	247,805	268,252	283,707	207,353	195,380	234,48
Social supply chain management	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Ye
Governance								
Board size	11	11	11	11	10	10	11	1
No. of independent directors (ID)	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	
No. of women on board	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
No. of non-executive directors on board	8	8	9	9	9	9	10	1
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Ye						
No. of board meetings for the year	5	4	6	4	4	7	4	
Board meeting attendance pct	91	93	97	87	88	87	95	9
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Director share ownership guidelines	No	N						
Age of the youngest director	42	43	49	50	51	52	27	2
Age of the oldest director	75	75	78	79	79	80	78	7
No. of executives / company managers	7	6	6	6	4	4	4	
No. of female executives	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	N						
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	
Audit committee meetings	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	
Audit meeting attendance %	100	92	92	87	100	100	87	10
Size of compensation committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
No. of ID on compensation committee	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
No. of compensation committee meetings	1	1	5	3	2	2	3	
Compensation meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100	83	100	10
Size of nomination committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	10
No. of nomination committee meetings	1	1	5	3	2	2	3	
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100	83	100	10
Sustainability governance	100	700	100	100	100		100	10

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

### **Disclaimer for ESG scoring**

ESG score					Rating							
The Dour	Methodolog	-	rependent wiles be	Loomponent selection		nd invited to "	ho oppusi COD C	Slobal Camara	ato			
The Dow Jones Sustainability	process base	ed on the comp	ransparent, rules-based panies' Total Sustainabi al Corporate Sustainabili	lity Scores resulting	Sustainability A	ssessment (C	he annual S&P G SA) for DJSI. Co of the S&P Glob	mpanies with	an S&P Globa			
ndices ( <u>DJSI</u> ) By S&P Global	Only the top- inclusion.	ranked compa	nies within each industr	y are selected for	scoring compar selected from the		fied. The constitution	uents of the D	JSI indices are			
Sustainability nvestment			ity in Environmental and ansparency in Governan	•			<b>sion</b> , verified data icator, unless the					
ist ( <u>THSI</u> )			preemptive criteria, with				The scoring will be					
y The Stock	1) no irregula	ar trading of the	e board members and e	xecutives; and 2) free			y and materiality.					
Exchange of Thailand			and combined holding realifying criteria include:		<ul> <li><u>SETTHSI Index</u> is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) marked capitalization &gt; THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float &gt;20%; and 3) liquidity</li> </ul>							
SET)	70%; 2) inde	pendent direct related to CG,	ors and free float violations and free float violations	on; 3) executives' impacts; 4) equity in	>0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETT Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weighted index.							
CG Score	An indicator	of CG strength	arnings in red for > 3 yean n in sustainable developi	ment, measured	maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.  Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very							
by Thai Institute of			vith support from the Sto s are from the perspecti				-79), 2 for Fair (6 w 50. Weightings					
Directors		n of operations		ve of a tillid party, flot			olders (weight 2					
Association					stakeholders (2	5%); 4) disclo	sure & transpare					
Thai IOD)	11 115				responsibilities	` '			1 (400) 4 5			
<b>AGM level</b> By Thai			hich shareholders' right into business operation				four categories: (80-89), and not		· //			
Investors			disclosed. All form impo		,	00), 0 .0 u	(00 00), and not					
Association			ents to be evaluated ann									
(TIA) with support from			ures before the meeting neeting (10%). ( <i>The first a</i>	· //								
the SEC	circulation of s	ufficient informati	ion for voting; and 2) facilitat	ting how voting rights can be								
	and verifiability	; and 3) opennes	es 1) the ease of attending noise for Q&A. The third involve	es the meeting minutes that								
Γhai CAC			es, resolutions and voting re- Checklist include corrupti		The document	will be reviewe	ed by a committe	e of nine prof	essionals A			
By Thai			ols, and the monitoring a	,			or granting certific					
Private Sector	policies. The	Certification is	s good for three years.		approvals whos	e members a	re twelve highly r					
Collective Action Against			e a CAC certified member s an 18-month deadline to sul		professionalism	and ethical a	chievements.					
Corruption	Certification, in	cluding risk asse	essment, in place of policy a	nd control, training of								
(CAC)		employees, esta n of policies to all	ablishment of whistleblowing   stakeholders.)	channels, and								
<u>Morningstar</u>		alytics' ESG ris	sk rating provides an ove	erall company score	A company's E	SG risk rating	ecore is the sum	of unmanage	ed risk. The			
Sustamarytics	risk is unmar	naged. Sources	of how much of a compart to be reviewed include corp	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and			higher ESG risk					
<u>Sustamarytics</u>	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, co	naged. Sources gs, news and oth impany feedback	to be reviewed include corp er media, NGO reports/web c, ESG controversies, issuer	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector					Severe			
<u>Sustamarytics</u>	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, co	naged. Sources gs, news and oth	to be reviewed include corp er media, NGO reports/web c, ESG controversies, issuer	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector	more risk is unr	nanaged, the	higher ESG risk	is scored.				
	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu The ESG so positioned to	naged. Sources gs, news and oth impany feedback uality & peer revie ore identifies so o outperform ov	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web r, ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the ret the long term. The media or the song term.	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better lethodology considers	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	Low 10-20 score is calculateriality-base	Medium 20-30 ated as a weightd weights. The s	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	Severe 40+ features			
	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu The ESG so positioned to the principle	naged. Sources gs, news and oth impany feedback uality & peer revie ore identifies so outperform ov of financial ma	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web r., ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the ver the long term. The materiality including inform	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG that are better nethodology considers nation that significantly	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	Low 10-20 score is calculateriality-base	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	Severe 40+ features			
	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, cor reports, and qu The ESG so positioned to the principle helps explain	naged. Sources gs, news and oth impany feedback uality & peer revie ore identifies s o outperform ov of financial man in future risk-ad	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web r., ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the ver the long term. The materiality including informity including informi	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG mat are better pethodology considers pation that significantly iteriality is applied by	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	Low 10-20 score is calculateriality-base	Medium 20-30 ated as a weightd weights. The s	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	Severe 40+ features			
	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, cor reports, and qu The ESG so positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightii	naged. Sources gs, news and oth impany feedback uality & peer revie ore identifies s o outperform ov of financial man in future risk-ad	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web to, ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Mah higher materiality and	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG mat are better pethodology considers pation that significantly iteriality is applied by	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	Low 10-20 score is calculateriality-base	Medium 20-30 ated as a weightd weights. The s	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	Severe 40+ features			
ESG Book	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu The ESG sci positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightii weights on a	naged. Sources ys, news and oth impany feedback uality & peer revie ore identifies s o outperform of of financial man future risk-ad ng features with rolling quarter atings aim to n	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web to, ESG controversies, issuer the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and ly basis.	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG mat are better pethodology considers pation that significantly iteriality is applied by	NEGL 0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with his	Low 10-20 score is calcul ateriality-base gher scores in	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better purities. It uses a	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	Severe 40+ features d between 0			
ESG Book	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu The ESG sci positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightii weights on a	naged. Sources ys, news and oth impany feedback uality & peer revie ore identifies s o outperform of of financial man future risk-ad ng features with colling quarter atings aim to n	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web r, ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the ret the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and hybasis.  neasure a company's material to be a comp	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers nation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially their exposure to ESG ris	NEGL 0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with his	Low 10-20 score is calculateriality-base gher scores in	higher ESG risk  Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positions. It uses a those risks relations.	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scalecerformance.	Severe 40+ features d between 0			
ESG Book	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, cor reports, and que The ESG sor positioned to the principle helps explair over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify indus	naged. Sources ys, news and oth impany feedback ality & peer revie ore identifies s o outperform on of financial man future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to no stry leaders an	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web (, ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and rily basis.  neasure a company's materiality and laggards according to Leader:	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG mat are better pethodology considers pation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially	NEGL 0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with his	Low 10-20 score is calculateriality-base gher scores in	higher ESG risk  Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positional point of the second point of the se	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scalecerformance.	Severe 40+ features d between 0			
ESG Book	risk is unmar regulatory filing regulatory filing information, correports, and quere positioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrials.	naged. Sources ys, news and oth mpany feedback ality & peer review or either the control of financial man future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to nestry leaders an 8.571-10.000	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web (, ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and rely basis.  neasure a company's materiality and laggards according to Leader:	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG and are better pethodology considers pation that significantly atteriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in management.	NEGL 0-10  The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his	Low 10-20 Ecore is calcul ateriality-base gher scores in	higher ESG risk  Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positions. It uses a those risks relations and opportunities.	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	Severe 40+ features d between 0			
ESG Book	risk is unmar regulatory filim information, correports, and quere positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightli weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA AA	naged. Sources ys, news and oth mpany feedback uality & peer revie to outperform ov of financial man future risk-ad ng features wit rolling quarter atings aim to n stry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web (, ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and hybasis.  neasure a company's materiality and laggards according to Leader:	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers nation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially their exposure to ESG ris	NEGL 0-10  The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his	Low 10-20 Ecore is calcul ateriality-base gher scores in	higher ESG risk  Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positions. It uses a those risks relations and opportunities.	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	Severe 40+ features d between 0			
ESG Book	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightli weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA  AA  AA	naged. Sources ys, news and oth mpany feedback vality & peer revieurle for eight of the country	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web r., ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and hybasis.  measure a company's materiality and laggards according to Leader:  Average:	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers nation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management or unexceptional a mixed or unexceptional sites.	NEGL 0-10  The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his	Low 10-20 Ecore is calcul ateriality-base gher scores in	higher ESG risk  Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positions. It uses a those risks relations and opportunities.	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	Severe 40+ features d between 0			
ESG Book	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightli weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA  AA  BBB	naged. Sources ys, news and oth mpany feedback vality & peer revieure identifies so outperform on of financial man future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to nestry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web to, ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the ver the long term. The materiality including informity including informity that is a company is materiality and the second in	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG  nat are better nethodology considers nation that significantly iteriality is applied by rebalancing these  anagement of financially i their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in many a mixed or unexceptional industry peers	NEGL 0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with his relevant ESG risks and how well anaging the most significant and track record of materials.	Low 10-20 Score is calcul ateriality-base gher scores in as and opport they manage gnificant ESG ris	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positive and those risks relations at those risks relations to significant ESG risks.	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	Severe 40+ features d between 0			
ESG Book	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA  AA  BBB  BB	naged. Sources ys, news and oth mpany feedback vality & peer review of financial man future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to n stry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web r., ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and hybasis.  measure a company's materiality and laggards according to leader:  Average:  Laggard:	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better ethodology considers nation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management or unexceptional a mixed or unexceptional sites.	NEGL 0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with his relevant ESG risks and how well anaging the most significant and track record of materials.	Low 10-20 Score is calcul ateriality-base gher scores in as and opport they manage gnificant ESG ris	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positive and those risks relations at those risks relations to significant ESG risks.	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	Severe 40+ features d between 0			
ESG Book  MSCI  Moody's ESG	risk is unmar regulatory filing regulatory filing information, correports, and quere positioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA  AA  BBB  BB  CCC  Moody's ass believes that	naged. Sources ys, news and oth impany feedback ality & peer review of financial man future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to nestry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the degit a company in	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web r., ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and hybasis.  measure a company's materiality and laggards according to Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  ree to which companies	ny's exposure to ESG parate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG and at are better lethodology considers nation that significantly iteriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially itheir exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of the its business model and	NEGL 0-10  The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his relevant ESG risk sand how well anaging the most significant track record of mand d on its high expose ojectives in the do	Low 10-20 score is calcul ateriality-base gher scores in they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos ure and failure to	higher ESG risk  Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The sidicating better positions of the properties of the second of the	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based n ve to peers. es sks and opportuit t ESG risks	Severe 40+ features d between 0 methodology to			
MSCI  Moody's ESG solutions	risk is unman regulatory filing regulatory filing information, coreports, and quere positioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA  AA  BBB  BB  CCC  Moody's ass believes that create susta	naged. Sources ys, news and oth mpany feedback vality & peer revier or identifies so outperform on of financial man future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to n stry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degic a company in inable value for each of the same of the sa	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web to, ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and hybasis.  neasure a company's materiality and laggards according to Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  ree to which companies tegrating ESG factors in r shareholders over the	ny's exposure to ESG parate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG and are better lethodology considers nation that significantly iteriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially itheir exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in mandatory peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of its business model and medium to long term.	NEGL 0-10  The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his relevant ESG risk sks and how well anaging the most significant for the sky and	Low 10-20 score is calcul ateriality-base gher scores in they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos ure and failure to efinition and ir forming its pe	higher ESG risk  Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The sidicating better positions are those risks relations and opportunities to significant ESG risks and opportunities of manage significant mplementation of ters is better positions.	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based n ve to peers. es sks and opportunt tests fisks if their strategy tioned to mitig	Severe 40+ features f between 0 nethodology to			
MSCI  Moody's ESG solutions  Refinitiv ESG	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA  AA  BBB  BB  CCC  Moody's ass believes that create susta	naged. Sources ys, news and oth impany feedback vality & peer review or eidentifies so outperform on of financial man future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to not stry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the degic a company in inable value fo transparently ablicly available	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web to, ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and rely basis.  neasure a company's materiality and laggards according to Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  ree to which companies tegrating ESG factors in r shareholders over the and objectively measure and auditable data. The	ny's exposure to ESG parate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG and at are better lethodology considers nation that significantly iteriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially itheir exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of the its business model and	NEGL 0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with his analy seed to the s	Low 10-20 Score is calcul ateriality-base gher scores in s and opport they manage gnificant ESG ris unaging the mos ure and failure to effinition and ir forming its pe	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The sidicating better produced in the second of the second	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based n ve to peers. es t ESG risks t their strategy titioned to mittig across 10 mant degree of ti	Severe 40+ features d between 0 methodology to nities relative to v policies. It gate risks and ain themes,			
ESG Book  MSCI  Moody's ESG solutions  Refinitiv ESG rating  S&P Global	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA  AA  BBB  B  CCC  Moody's ass believes that create sustand Designed to based on pureporting maintenance.	naged. Sources ys, news and oth impany feedback ality & peer review of financial man future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to nistry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the degic a company in inable value for transparently a bilicity available terial ESG dat obbal ESG Score	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web to, ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the ver the long term. The materiality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and rely basis.  neasure a company's materiality and laggards according to laggards.  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  ree to which companies tegrating ESG factors in r shareholders over the land objectively measure and auditable data. The laguality is a relative score median.	ny's exposure to ESG parate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG and are better nethodology considers nation that significantly iteriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially iteriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially iteriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially iteriality is applied by rebalancing its industry in management of the second of t	NEGL 0-10  The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his and 100 with his anaging the most side anaging the m	Low 10-20 score is calculateriality-base gher scores in as and opportutely manage gnificant ESG ris unaging the mos ure and failure to efinition and ir forming its pe commitment a SG performan o 75 = good; and management	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The sidicating better provided in the second of the second	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based n ve to peers. es sks and opportunt t ESG risks t their strategy itioned to mitting across 10 mant degree of to the strategy them.	Severe 40+ features d between 0 methodology to nities relative to y policies. It gate risks and ain themes, ransparency in			
MSCI  Moody's ESG solutions  Refinitiv ESG rating	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA  AA  BBB  B  CCC  Moody's ass believes that create sustand Designed to based on pureporting maintenance.	naged. Sources ys, news and oth impany feedback ality & peer review of financial man future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to nistry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the degic a company in inable value for transparently a bilicity available terial ESG dat obbal ESG Score	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web remedia, NGO reports/web resources, ESG controversies, issuer ews.  ustainable companies the retriality including inform justed performance. Math higher materiality and rely basis.  higher materiality and rely basis.  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  ree to which companies tegrating ESG factors in reshareholders over the and auditable data. The apublicly. (Score ratings are is a relative score mean the same industry classes on Bloomberg score evaluations.)	ny's exposure to ESG parate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG mat are better lethodology considers nation that significantly iteriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially itheir exposure to ESG riscussive leading its industry in materiality peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of the its business model and medium to long term. It is a company's relative ESG are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = 25 assuring a company's perfection. The score ranguating the company's aggregation of the company's aggregation	NEGL 0-10  The total ESG scores using mand 100 with his and 100 with his anaging the most side altrack record of mand the first of the	Low 10-20 score is calculateriality-base gher scores in sand opports they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos ure and failure to effinition and informing its performan or 75 = good; and management . lental, Social The score is a	Medium 20-30 ated as a weighted weights. The sidicating better positive and opportunities to significant ESG rises and opportunities to manage significant escape and insufficie to 7-75 to 100 = except of ESG risks, opportunities and Governance a weighted generations.	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scalecerformance.  rules-based in ve to peers.  sis t ESG risks  t their strategy itioned to milti across 10 ma int degree of ti llent.) cortunities, ar  (ESG) perfor allized mean (	Severe 40+ features I between 0 methodology to methodology to policies. It gate risks and ain themes, ransparency in methodology to mance. The (power mean)			
MSCI  Moody's ESG solutions  Refinitiv ESG rating  S&P Global	risk is unmain regulatory filling information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain the principle helps expla	naged. Sources ys, news and oth impany feedback ality & peer review or eidentifies so outperform on of financial man future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to not stry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the deging a company in inable value fo transparently a blicly available terial ESG dat obal ESG Scorits peers within	to be reviewed include corper media, NGO reports/web for Exemples.  Justainable companies the ver the long term. The materiality including informity including informity basis.  Justed performance. Math higher materiality and thy basis.  Leader:  Laggard:  Laggard:  Laggard:  Tee to which companies tegrating ESG factors in reshareholders over the and auditable data. The apublicly. (Score ratings at a relative score mean the same industry classed in Bloomberg score evaluated in the same industry classed in Bloomberg score evaluated in Bloomberg score evaluated in Bloomberg scores, where	ny's exposure to ESG parate publications and sites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG nat are better nethodology considers nation that significantly iteriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially itheir exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the industry peers lagging its industry based take into account ESG of ito its business model and medium to long term. The accompany's relative ESG of the industry peers accompany's perfects accompany's perfects accompany's aggregating the company's aggregating accompany's aggregating accompany accompany's aggregating accompan	NEGL 0-10  The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his and 100 with his anaging the most side anaging the m	Low 10-20 score is calculateriality-base gher scores in they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos are and failure to efinition and ir forming its pe commitment a SG performan or 75 = good; and management the score is a ciority ranking.	Medium 20-30 ated as a weighted weights. The sidicating better positive in the second of the second	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based in ve to peers.  ss  t ESG risks  t their strategy titioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of ti llent.) cortunities, ar  (ESG) perfor allized mean ( om 0 to 10; 10	Severe 40+  features I between 0  methodology to methodology to mittee relative to  y policies. It gate risks and ain themes, ransparency in ind impacts  mance. The (power mean) 0 is the best.			

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

#### **GENERAL DISCLAIMER**

### ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION


### Teerapol Udomvej, CFA FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

#### History of change in investment rating and/or target price



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
20-Aug-2021	BUY	155.00	01-Jul-2022	BUY	210.00	09-May-2023	BUY	280.00
04-Nov-2021	BUY	170.00	22-Aug-2022	BUY	235.00	17-Aug-2023	BUY	300.00
04-Mar-2022	BUY	190.00	10-Nov-2022	BUY	255.00	05-Mar-2024	BUY	305.00
09-May-2022	BUY	205.00	21-Feb-2023	BUY	260.00	03-May-2024	BUY	310.00

Teerapol Udomvej, CFA started covering this stock from 30-Jul-2020

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Bumrungrad Hospital	ВН ТВ	THB 256.00	BUY	Downside risks to our DCF-based target price include 1) a slowdown in international patients due to economic concerns, political protests or floods; 2) regulatory risks from drug prices and medical bill controls; and 3) higher medical fee discount promotions, leading to a weaker EBITDA margin.

Source: FSSIA estimates

#### **Additional Disclosures**

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 07-Aug-2024 unless otherwise stated.

#### RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

### Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price\* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

\* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

### **Industry Recommendations**

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

#### **Country (Strategy) Recommendations**

**Overweight (O).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

**Neutral (N).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

**Underweight (U).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.