EQUITY RESEARCH - RESULTS FLASH

MUANGTHAI CAPITAL

THAILAND / FINANCE & SECURITIES

MTC TB









TARGET PRICE	THB50.00
CLOSE	THB40.50
UP/DOWNSIDE	+23.5%
TP vs CONSENSUS	0.0%

2Q24 results at first glance

2Q24 profit beat expectations by 3%

MTC posted a 2Q24 net profit of THB1.44b, 3% higher than our forecast and the BBG consensus, which grew by 3.9% q-q and 20.3% y-y. The key drivers were rising net interest income and declining ECLs and credit costs. PPOP also rose by 2.6% q-q and 10.3% y-y, amounting to THB2.95b. Asset quality was more solid than expected regarding credit costs, the NPL ratio, and the NPL coverage ratio. 1H24 net profit increased by 24.8% y-y, amounting to THB2.83b and accounting for 45% of our 2024E net profit. The 2H24 outlook could be better, boosted by 1) loan seasonality; and 2) declining credit costs and a lower cost-to-income ratio.

We maintain our 2024-26 forecasts and BUY call. Our 2024 GGMbased TP is THB50, which implies a P/BV of 2.82x under an expected L-T ROE of 18.6% and COE of 10.0%.

Highlights

- (+) Loans: MTC delivered loan growth of 4.9% q-q, 16.5% y-y, and 8.0% YTD, in line with its 15-20% y-y 2024 guidance despite several macro headwinds and debt-selling equivalent to 1Q24 at cTHB900m. The main growth contributors remained secured loans (+5.1% q-q, +18.0% y-y, and +8.7% YTD), two and four-wheeled vehicles combining land title loans, while the unsecured segment – personal loans and nano-finance - slowed. In addition, motorcycle hire purchases (HP) continued to contract following the unfavorable market and deteriorated customer risk profile, leading to a lower contribution of 2.7% at the end of 2Q24 vs the peak of 5.5% in 2Q22.
- (+) Net interest income and spread: 2Q24 NII was slightly higher than expected, rising by 2.5% q-q and 10.5% y-y. The loan spread was also better than expected despite a 34bp contraction to 13.54%, buoyed by the better-than-expected loan yield despite a greater lowyield land title loan concentration. However, the cost of funds rose in line with expectations, lifting the 1H24 figures to 4.10%, aligning with its 4.00-4.20% 2024 guidance.

IBD/E and D/E increased slightly to 3.60x (vs 3.51x in 1Q24) and 3.68x (vs 3.60x in 1Q24), respectively, mainly due to the THB13.6b debenture issuance to support the maturity of THB12.1b during 2Q-3Q24. Regarding the long-term borrowings from FIs, the USD contribution rose to 31% of total vs 19% at the end of 2023, when the JPY and EUR were relatively stable at 9% and 5%, respectively, on which all loans were hedged – interest rate and FX risk.

Fees and other income: 2Q24 fees and other income were lower than expected, dropping by 9.2% q-q and 12.3% y-y, mainly due to reduced loan fees (85% of total). Meanwhile, insurance brokerage income (11%) expanded by 3.9% q-q and 5.3% y-y.

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Operating profit	6,126	7,866	9,822	11,448
Net profit	4,906	6,292	7,858	9,159
EPS (THB)	2.31	2.97	3.71	4.32
vs Consensus (%)	-	6.8	12.5	8.5
Recurring net profit	4,906	6,292	7,858	9,159
Core EPS (THB)	2.31	2.97	3.71	4.32
EPS growth (%)	(3.7)	28.2	24.9	16.6
Core P/E (x)	17.5	13.6	10.9	9.4
Dividend yield (%)	0.5	0.7	8.0	1.0
Price/book (x)	2.7	2.3	1.9	1.6
ROE (%)	16.1	18.1	19.0	18.7
ROA (%)	3.5	3.9	4.1	4.2



1 Month	3 Month	12 Month		
(7.4)	(10.0)	17.4		
(4.7)	(3.2)	41.0		
		2,417		
		10.0		
		21		
Petaumpai Family (67%				
	4	8.50/33.75		
		2,120		
	(7.4)	(7.4) (10.0) (4.7) (3.2) Petaumpai Fa		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Usanee Liurut, CISA Fundamental Investment Analyst on Capital Market; License no. 017928 usanee.l@fssia.com, +66 2646 9967

Maeta Cherdsatirakul

Research Assistant maeta.c@fssia.com, +66 2646 9971

(0) Operating expenses: 2Q24 operating expenses accelerated in line with expectations, growing by 1.1% q-q and 8.0% y-y. Thanks to the fewer new branch openings in 2Q24 (192 vs 251 units in 1Q24) and no extra incentives for its collection staff, MTC managed down the cost-to-income ratio to 47.5%. The 1H24 cost-to-income ratio rose to 47.6%, aligning with its 2024 guidance of 46-47% and our estimate of 46.9%.

(+) Asset quality: We observed a more solid asset quality in 2Q24 – a lower-than-expected NPL ratio and credit costs at 2.90% and 3.08%, respectively, and a higher-than-expected coverage ratio at 125.5%. Most indicators were aligned with its 2024 guidance: NPLs < 3.20% and credit costs < 3.50%. However, the impairment losses from financial assets and HP receivables continued to rise, but the decreased ECL expenses were able to offset and led to a decline in credit costs. In addition, stage 2 loans dropped slightly both q-q and y-y, contributing 6.0% of total loans vs 6.3% in 1Q24 and 7.0% in 2Q23.

Exhibit 1: MTC – 2Q24 operating summary

	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	2Q24	Cha	nge	1H24	Change	% of	2024E	Chang
	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	2024E	(THB m)	(y-y%				
Interest income	5,754	6,063	6,287	6,353	6,580	3.6	14.4	12,933	16.0	46	27,968	19
Interest expense	(909)	(1,004)	(1,096)	(1,130)	(1,227)	8.6	35.1	(2,358)	34.2	45	(5,240)	35
Net interest income	4,845	5,059	5,191	5,223	5,353	2.5	10.5	10,576	12.6	47	22,727	15
Fee and other income	287	236	269	278	252	(9.2)	(12.3)	530	1.7	48	1,096	6
Total income	5,132	5,295	5,459	5,500	5,605	1.9	9.2	11,105	12.0	47	23,823	15
Operating expenses	(2,463)	(2,391)	(2,496)	(2,630)	(2,660)	1.1	8.0	(5,290)	9.9	47	(11,182)	15
PPOP before taxes	2,669	2,904	2,963	2,870	2,945	2.6	10.3	5,815	14.0	46	12,641	15
Expected credit losses	(1,017)	(965)	(998)	(858)	(834)	(2.8)	(18.0)	(1,692)	(14.1)	44	(3,875)	(1.
Profit after ECL	1,653	1,939	1,965	2,012	2,111	5.0	27.8	4,123	31.8	47	8,766	24
Impairment losses on financial assets and HP loans	(183)	(334)	(278)	(276)	(316)	14.5	72.4	(592)	100.8	66	(900)	(0.
Operating profit	1,469	1,605	1,687	1,736	1,796	3.4	22.2	3,532	24.6	45	7,866	28
Income tax	(269)	(320)	(336)	(347)	(351)	1.4	30.4	(698)	23.7	44	(1,573)	29
Net profit	1,200	1,285	1,351	1,389	1,444	3.9	20.3	2,834	24.8	45	6,292	28
EPS (THB)	0.57	0.61	0.64	0.66	0.68	3.9	20.3	1.34	24.8	45	2.97	28
Loans	131,257	137,081	141,559	145,859	152,934	4.9	16.5	137,081	4.4		165,408	19
nterest bearing debt	106,327	112,329	114,770	116,820	123,671	5.9	16.3	112,329	5.6		134,775	17
Key ratios	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	2Q24			1H24			2024E	
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)			(%)			(%)	
Yield on loan	18.02	18.08	18.05	17.68	17.62			21.02			18.04	
Cost of funds	3.55	3.67	3.86	3.90	4.08			4.10			4.20	
Spread	14.47	14.40	14.19	13.78	13.54			14.21			13.84	
Cost to Income ratio	47.99	45.15	45.72	47.82	47.45			47.64			46.94	
Credit cost (included losses from car repossessed)	3.76	3.87	3.66	3.16	3.08			3.21			3.08	
NPL / Loan	3.41	3.22	3.15	3.06	2.90			2.90			3.10	
LLR / Loan	3.58	3.55	3.65	3.70	3.64			3.64			2.99	
Coverage ratio	105.1	110.3	115.9	120.8	125.5			125.5			115.4	
D/E (x)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.7			3.7			3.7	
DBE (x)	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.6			3.6			3.6	
S/T debt/total debt (x)	5.3	7.7	11.1	11.6	10.5			10.5			5.3	
_oan growth q-q	5.7	4.4	3.3	3.0	4.9							
Loan growth y-y	23.6	21.1	18.9	17.4	16.5			16.5			19.5	
Loan growth YTD	10.2	15.1	18.9	3.0	8.0			8.0				

Sources: MTC; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 2: MTC - Staged loans and ECL

MTC	2022	2023	1Q24	2Q24	2022	2023	1Q24	2Q24
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)				
Loans and accrued interest					Staged loans % of to	tal loans		
Stage 1	105,097	124,874	129,909	136,981	87.1	87.1	88.0	88.6
Stage 2	12,009	13,983	13,211	13,242	10.0	9.8	9.0	8.6
Stage 3	3,507	4,461	4,467	4,449	2.9	3.1	3.0	2.9
Total	120,613	143,318	147,587	154,672	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Expected credit loss (ECL)					ECL % of stage loan	s		
Stage 1	767	1,225	1,490	1,613	0.7	1.0	1.1	1.2
Stage 2	682	1,115	1,078	1,077	5.7	8.0	8.2	8.1
Stage 3	2,237	2,829	2,831	2,871	63.8	63.4	63.4	64.5
Total	3,686	5,169	5,399	5,562	3.1	3.6	3.7	3.6
LLR / Loans	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)				
Stage 1	0.7	1.0	1.1	1.2				
Stage 2	5.7	8.0	8.2	8.1				
Stage 3	63.8	63.4	63.4	64.5				
Total	3.1	3.6	3.7	3.6				
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)				
NPL / TL	2.9	3.1	3.0	2.9				
NPL vs Stage 2 loans / TL	12.9	12.9	12.0	11.4				
LLR / NPL	105.1	115.9	120.9	125.0				
LLR / (NPL vs Stage 2 loans)	23.8	28.0	30.5	31.4				

Sources: MTC; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 3: MTC - GGM-based 2024 TP

Gordon Growth Model	2024E
Sustainable ROE	18.6%
g	5.3%
ROE-g	13.3%
Beta	1.17
Risk-free rate	3.0%
Risk premium	6.0%
COE	10.0%
COE-g	4.7%
ROE-g/COE-g (x)	2.82

Exhibit 4: Share price performance of non-banks under coverage, as of 6 August 2024

		Pri	ce performa	nce	
	1M	3M	6M	1Y	YTD
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
TIDLOR TB	(20.0)	(31.8)	(32.4)	(27.9)	(31.8)
MTC TB	(7.4)	(10.5)	(9.0)	16.5	(10.0)
SAWAD TB	(15.2)	(20.6)	(20.1)	(29.3)	(20.6)
AEONTS TB	(16.5)	(33.8)	(30.4)	(29.3)	(32.2)
KTC TB	0.6	(10.9)	(12.9)	(16.7)	(10.9)
SAK TB	(7.2)	(2.6)	15.0	5.6	18.3
ASK TB	(19.1)	(33.3)	(45.3)	(54.0)	(44.7)
THANI TB	(25.2)	(31.3)	(27.9)	(40.4)	(28.5)
JMT TB	(23.3)	(51.4)	(56.4)	(72.2)	(60.0)
BAM TB	(14.9)	(30.8)	(25.0)	(40.6)	(22.7)
CHAYO TB	(31.4)	(44.4)	(54.3)	(66.0)	(56.0)
SETFIN	(12.1)	(24.3)	(26.4)	(31.2)	(26.9)
SET	(2.9)	(7.4)	(9.0)	(16.9)	(10.0)

Source: FSSIA estimates

Source: Bloomberg

Financial Statements

Muangthai Capital

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
nterest Income	19,199	23,500	27,968	32,908	38,011
nterest expense	(2,813)	(3,857)	(5,240)	(6,102)	(6,990)
Net interest income	16,386	19,644	22,727	26,805	31,021
Net fees & commission	792	921	958	996	1,036
Foreign exchange trading income	-	-	-	-	-
Securities trading income	-	-	-	-	-
Dividend income	0	0	0	0	0
Other income	78	105	138	154	131
Non interest income	869	1,026	1,096	1,150	1,167
Total income	17,255	20,669	23,823	27,955	32,188
Staff costs	(8,069)	(9,702)	(11,182)	(13,122)	(15,109)
Other operating costs	-	-	-	-	
Operating costs	(8,069)	(9,702)	(11,182)	(13,122)	(15,109)
Pre provision operating profit	9,187	10,967	12,641	14,833	17,079
Expected credit loss	(2,433)	(3,934)	(3,875)	(4,011)	(4,632)
Other provisions	(394)	(907)	(900)	(1,000)	(999)
Operating profit	6,360	6,126	7,866	9,822	11,448
Recurring non operating income	0	0	0	0	()
Associates	0	0	0	0	0
Associates Goodwill amortization	_	-	-	-	(
	0	0	0	0	
Non recurring items Profit before tax	6,360	6,126	7,866	9,822	11,448
Fax					
	(1,267)	(1,220)	(1,573)	(1,964)	(2,290)
Profit after tax	5,093	4,906	6,292	7,858	9,159
Non-controlling interest	-	-	-	-	
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	-	
Other items		-	-		
Reported net profit	5,093	4,906	6,292	7,858	9,159
Non recurring items & goodwill (net)	-	-	0	0	(
Recurring net profit	5,093	4,906	6,292	7,858	9,159
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	2.40	2.31	2.97	3.71	4.32
Reported EPS	2.40	2.31	2.97	3.71	4.32
OPS .	0.95	0.21	0.27	0.34	0.39
Growth					
Net interest income (%)	25.4	19.9	15.7	17.9	15.7
Non interest income (%)	3.1	18.0	6.8	5.0	1.5
Pre provision operating profit (%)	32.2	19.4	15.3	17.3	15.1
Operating profit (%)	2.6	(3.7)	28.4	24.9	16.6
Reported net profit (%)	3.0	(3.7)	28.2	24.9	16.6
Recurring EPS (%)	3.0	(3.7)	28.2	24.9	16.6
Reported EPS (%)	3.0	(3.7)	28.2	24.9	16.6
ncome Breakdown	3.0	(3.1)	20.2	24.5	10.0
	05.0	05.0	05.4	05.0	00.4
Net interest income (%)	95.0	95.0	95.4	95.9	96.4
Net fees & commission (%)	4.6	4.5	4.0	3.6	3.2
Foreign exchange trading income (%)	-	-	-	-	
Securities trading income (%)	-	-	-	-	
Dividend income (%)	-	-	-	-	
Other income (%)	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.4
Operating performance					
Gross interest yield (%)	18.30	18.03	18.04	18.05	18.05
Cost of funds (%)	3.36	3.66	4.20	4.20	4.20
Net interest spread (%)	14.94	14.37	13.84	13.85	13.85
Net interest margin (%)	15.6	15.1	14.7	14.7	14.7
Cost/income(%)	46.8	46.9	46.9	46.9	46.9
Cost/assets(%)	7.1	7.0	6.8	6.9	6.8
Effective tax rate (%)	19.9	19.9	20.0	20.0	20.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	39.5	9.1	9.1	9.1	9.1
ROE (%)	18.9	16.1	18.1	19.0	18.7
	8.1	5.3	7.3	8.2	, ,
ROE - COE (%)	8.1 4.5	5.3 3.5	7.3 3.9	8.2 4.1	7.9 4.2
	8.1 4.5	5.3 3.5	7.3 3.9	4.1	4.2

Sources: Muangthai Capital; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Muangthai Capital

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Gross customer loans	119,084	141,559	168,453	196,190	224,908
Allowance for expected credit loss	(3,686)	(5,169)	(4,948)	(5,693)	(6,547)
nterest in suspense	1,675	1,969	2,363	2,765	3,180
Net customer loans	117,073	138,359	165,868	193,262	221,541
Bank loans	-	-	-	-	
Government securities	-	-	-	-	
Trading securities	-	-	-	-	
nvestment securities	0	0	0	0	C
Cash & equivalents	3,213	2,822	1,347	1,540	2,122
Other interesting assets	-	-	-	-	
Γangible fixed assets	2,037	2,134	2,348	2,582	2,840
Associates	-	-	-	-	
Goodwill	-	-	-	-	•
Other intangible assets		-	7 444	- 0.472	0.000
Other assets	5,743	6,841	7,411	8,473	9,699
Fotal assets	128,066	150,156	176,514	205,428	235,801
Customer deposits	-	-	-	-	
Bank deposits	- 06 142	- 114 770	- 134,775	- 155,806	177.000
Other interest bearing liabilities	96,143 2,833	114,770	*	•	177,032 5,581
Non interest bearing liabilities	۷,833	3,469	4,046	4,740	5,58
Hybrid Capital Fotal liabilities	98,976	- 118,239	- 138,821	160,547	182,613
Share capital	2,120	2,120	2,120	2,120	2,120
onare capital Reserves	2,120 26,971	2,120	35,573	2,120 42,761	51,068
Total equity	29,091	31,917	37,693	44,881	53,188
Non-controlling interest	25,051	31,317	37,033	44,001	33,100
Fotal liabilities & equity	128,066	150,156	176,514	205,428	235,801
Supplementary items	120,000	100,100	170,014	200,420	200,001
Risk weighted assets (RWA)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average interest earning assets	104,892	130,321	155,006	182,321	210,549
Average interest bearing liabilities	83,734	105,456	124,772	145,291	166,419
CET 1 capital	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Fotal capital	0	0	0	0	(
Gross non performing loans (NPL)	3,507	4,461	4,289	4,962	5,785
Per share (THB)	-,	, -	,	,	-, -
Book value per share	13.72	15.06	17.78	21.17	25.09
Tangible book value per share	13.72	15.06	17.78	21.17	25.09
Growth					
Gross customer loans	31.3	18.9	19.0	16.5	14.6
Average interest earning assets	30.5	24.2	18.9	17.6	15.5
Fotal asset (%)	30.2	17.2	17.6	16.4	14.8
Risk weighted assets (%)	-	-	-	-	1-1.0
Customer deposits (%)	_	_	_	_	
Leverage & capital measures					
Customer loan/deposits (%)	_	_	_	_	
Equity/assets (%)	22.7	21.3	21.4	21.8	22.6
Equity/assets (%) Fangible equity/assets (%)	22.7	21.3	21.4	21.8	22.6
RWA/assets (%)	-	21.3	Z 1.4	21.0	22.0
CET 1 CAR (%)	- -	-	-	-	
Fotal CAR (%)	-	-	-	-	
Asset Quality (FSSIA's calculation)	-		-	-	
Change in NPL (%)	174.8	27.2	(3.0)	15.7	16.6
NPL/gross loans (%)	2.9	3.2	(3.9) 2.5	2.5	2.6
Allowance for ECL/gross loans (%)	3.1	3.7	2.9	2.9	2.0
Allowance for ECL/NPL (%)	105.1	115.9	115.4	2.9 114.7	113.2
THIOWARDOO TOT LOCATE L (70)	105.1	110.8	110.4	1 14.7	113.2
/aluation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring P/E (x) *	16.9	17.5	13.6	10.9	9.4
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	20.8	21.6	16.8	13.5	11.6
	16.9	17.5	13.6	10.9	9.4
Reported P/E (x)	10.0		0.7	0.8	1.0
	2.3	เมา			
Dividend yield (%)	2.3 3.0	0.5 2.7			
Reported P/E (x) Dividend yield (%) Price/book (x) Price/tangible book (x)	3.0	2.7	2.3	1.9	1.6
Dividend yield (%)					1.6 1.6 2.0

Sources: Muangthai Capital; FSSIA estimates

Muangthai Capital PCL (MTC TB)



Exhibit 5: FSSIA ESG score implication

68.21 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
***	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 6: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA		Domestic ratings						Global ratings					Bloomberg	
	ESG score	DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
MTC	68.21		Υ	Υ	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	42.19	AA		58.09	42.00	3.31	
SAWAD	46.52		Y	Y	4.00	5.00		Medium	43.97	BB		20.18	13.00	1.93	40.04
TIDLOR	36.71				4.00	4.00	Certified	Medium	37.03			23.69	19.00	1.66	
SAK	45.28		Y	Y	4.00	4.00	Certified	High	40.10			43.87		2.02	36.23
TK	15.00				5.00	5.00	-								
HENG	20.00				5.00	5.00	Certified								
S11	13.00				4.00	4.00									
NCAP	18.00				4.00	4.00	Certified								

 $Sources: \underline{\textbf{SETTRADE.com}}; \ \textbf{FSSIA's compilation}$

Exhibit 7: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	1.36	1.51	2.07	2.16	2.39	4.00	3.27	3.31
BESG environmental pillar score	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.02	0.03	_
BESG social pillar score	0.37	0.58	1.37	1.37	1.69	4.57	3.27	_
BESG governance pillar score	4.42	4.41	4.57	4.91	4.95	4.95	4.82	_
ESG disclosure score	26.85	32.18	32.89	35.76	39.62	44.88	50.20	52.08
Environmental disclosure score	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	7.28	15.22	32.74	34.40
Social disclosure score	11.67	15.84	17.41	25.70	30.35	31.92	30.35	34.34
Governance disclosure score	68.72	80.52	81.10	81.10	81.10	87.36	87.36	87.36
Environmental								
Emissions reduction initiatives	No							
Climate change policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No							
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
GHG scope 1	_	_	_	_	2	7	6	8
GHG scope 2 location-based	_	_	_	_	_	16	18	21
GHG Scope 3	_	_	_	_	_	1	1	1
Carbon per unit of production	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Biodiversity policy	No							
Energy efficiency policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	_	_	_	_	9	55	36	69
Renewable energy use	_	_	_	_	_	_	0	_
Electricity used	_	_	_	_	_	32	36	42
Fuel used - natural gas	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 8: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No							
Waste reduction policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total waste	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Waste recycled	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Waste sent to landfills	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Water policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	_	_	_	_	_	987	_	_
Social								
Human rights policy	Yes							
Policy against child labor	Yes							
Quality assurance and recall policy	No							
Consumer data protection policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes							
Gender pay gap breakout	No	Yes						
Pct women in workforce	_	_	_	_	_	11	11	10
Pct disabled in workforce	_	_	0	0	0	0	_	_
Business ethics policy	Yes							
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes							
Health and safety policy	Yes							
Lost time incident rate - employees	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0
Total recordable incident rate - employees	_	_	_	_	1	1	0	0
Training policy	Yes							
Fair remuneration policy	No							
Number of employees – CSR	2,617	4,185	5,893	7,660	9,576	10,328	11,460	13,005
Employee turnover pct	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	29
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	_	_	_	70,395	60,328	34,082	34,036	78,030
Social supply chain management	No	Yes						
Governance								
Board size	7	7	7	7	8	8	7	7
No. of independent directors (ID)	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4
No. of women on board	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of non-executive directors on board	5	5	5	5	6	6	5	5
Company conducts board evaluations	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	6	5	7	7	6	9	8	8
Board meeting attendance pct	100	100	96	100	94	96	100	100
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No							
Age of the youngest director	56	57	58	59	36	39	40	41
Age of the oldest director	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74
No. of executives / company managers	12	12	12	13	13	12	13	13
No. of female executives	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Executive share ownership guidelines	No							
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Audit committee meetings	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	7
Audit meeting attendance %	100	100	92	100	92	100	100	100
Size of compensation committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on compensation committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2
No. of compensation committee meetings	_	1	2	2	2	2	3	2
Compensation meeting attendance %	_	100	83	100	83	83	100	100
Size of nomination committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of nomination committee meetings	_	1	2	2	2	2	1	2
Nomination meeting attendance %	_	100	83	100	83	83	100	100
Sustainability governance		100	00	100	- 00	00	100	100

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodolog	у			Rating							
The Dow			transparent rules-bas	sed component selection		nd invited to t	he annual S&P 0	Global Corpora	ate.			
Jones				ability Scores resulting			SA) for DJSI. Co					
Sustainability				ability Assessment (CSA).	ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest							
Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	Only the top- inclusion.	ranked compa	anies within each indu	ustry are selected for	scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices a selected from the Eligible Universe.							
<u> </u>				10 :1: 1								
Sustainability Investment			ility in Environmental a	and Social issues by nance, updated annually.			sion , verified data licator, unless the					
List (THSI)				vith two crucial conditions:			The scoring will I					
by The Stock				d executives; and 2) free			y and materiality.		· ·			
Exchange of				ng must be >15% of paid-			from the THSI co					
Thailand (SET)		, ,	ualitying criteria includ stors and free float viol	le: 1) CG score of below	capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI							
			, social & environment	Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight a								
	negative terri	tory; and 5) e	arnings in red for > 3	years in the last 5 years.	maximum, and	no cap for nui	mber of stocks.					
CG Score			h in sustainable devel				ories: 5 for Excel					
by Thai Institute of			with support from the		Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of							
Directors		of operations		ective of a third party, not								
Association							sure & transpare					
(Thai IOD)					responsibilities	(35%).						
AGM level			vhich shareholders' riç				four categories:					
By Thai				ions and information is	Very Good (90-	99), 3 for Fair	(80-89), and not	rated for sco	res below 79.			
Investors Association				mportant elements of two annually. The assessment								
(TIA) with				ng (45%), at the meeting								
support from			meeting (10%). (The fir									
the SEC				ilitating how voting rights can be ng meetings; 2) transparency								
			ess for Q&A. The third inve es, resolutions and voting	olves the meeting minutes that								
Thei CAC				·	The deciment	بينوا التي	ad by a samunitta	a af nina neaf	anaiamala A			
Thai CAC By Thai			onecklist include corructions, and the monitorin	uption risk assessment,			ed by a committe or granting certific					
Private Sector		•	is good for three years		passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in							
Collective			ne a CAC certified membe		professionalism	and ethical a	chievements.	·				
Action Against			f an 18-month deadline to essment, in place of polic	submit the CAC Checklist for cv and control, training of								
Corruption (CAC)	managers and	employees, esta	ablishment of whistleblow									
		of policies to all										
Morningstar Sustainalytics				overall company score pany's exposure to ESG			score is the sum		ed risk. The			
Sustamarytics				corporate publications and	more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored.							
	regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.				NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe			
					0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+			
ESG Book	The ESC sco	re identifies s	sustainable companie	s that are hetter					features			
L3G BOOK				e methodology considers	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0							
	the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly				and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.							
helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. No over-weighting features with higher materiality ar					and 100 with m							
	helps explain	future risk-ad			and 100 with m							
	helps explain over-weightin	future risk-ad ng features wit	th higher materiality a		and 100 with his							
MSCI	helps explain over-weightin weights on a	future risk-ac ng features wit rolling quarte	th higher materiality a erly basis.	and rebalancing these		cs and opports	inities It uses a	rules-hased n	nethodology to			
MSCI	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG ra	future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to r	th higher materiality a rly basis. measure a company's		relevant ESG ris				nethodology to			
MSCI	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG ra	future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to r	th higher materiality a erly basis. measure a company's nd laggards according	and rebalancing these s management of financially to their exposure to ESG ris	relevant ESG ris sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	nethodology to			
<u>MSCI</u>	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus	n future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to retry leaders an 8.571-10.000	th higher materiality a vrly basis. measure a company's nd laggards according 0 Leader:	and rebalancing these	relevant ESG ris sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	nethodology to			
MSCI	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG re identify indus	a future risk-ac ng features wit rolling quarter atings aim to r stry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570	th higher materiality a vrly basis. measure a company's nd laggards according 0 Leader:	and rebalancing these s management of financially to their exposure to ESG ris	relevant ESG ris sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	nethodology to			
MSCI	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA AA	a future risk-ac ng features wit rolling quarter atings aim to r stry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142	th higher materiality a stry basis. measure a company's and laggards according to Leader:	and rebalancing these s management of financially to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most s	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	-			
MSCI	helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA ABBB	future risk-acing features with rolling quarter atings aim to ristry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713	th higher materiality a prly basis. measure a company's and laggards according Leader: Average:	s management of financially to their exposure to ESG riseleading its industry in m	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most s	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	-			
MSCI	helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA ABBB BB	a future risk-acing features with rolling quarter atings aim to ristry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285	th higher materiality a serly basis. measure a company's and laggards according to the company's acco	and rebalancing these s management of financially to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most s	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	-			
MSCI	helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indus AAA AA BBB BB BB	n future risk-acing features with rolling quarter atings aim to ristry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856	th higher materiality a serly basis. measure a company's nd laggards according to the company's Average: Average: Laggard:	and rebalancing these s management of financially to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most s al track record of m	they manage gnificant ESG ris	those risks relationships and opportunities the significant ESG risks	ve to peers.				
	helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indus AAA AA BBB BB B CCC	n future risk-acing features with rolling quarter atings aim to ristry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428	th higher materiality a serly basis. measure a company's and laggards according to the series of th	and rebalancing these s management of financially to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception industry peers	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most s al track record of m	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos	those risks relations and opportunities the significant ESG rise to manage significant the sig	ve to peers. es sks and opportui t ESG risks	nities relative to			
Moody's ESG	helps explain over-weightin very-weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA ABBB BB BB CCC	n future risk-acing features with rolling quarter atings aim to ristry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the deg	th higher materiality a serly basis. measure a company's nd laggards according to the company's Average: Average: Laggard: Laggard: gree to which compani	and rebalancing these smanagement of financially to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base ies take into account ESG o	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most si al track record of me and on its high expose	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos ure and failure to efinition and ir	those risks relationship the sks and opportunities the significant ESG risks of manage significant mplementation of	ve to peers. ss sks and opportui t ESG risks their strategy	nities relative to			
	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA ABBB BB CCC	future risk-acing features with rolling quarter atings aim to ristry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 cesses the deg a company in	th higher materiality a strly basis. measure a company's nd laggards according 0 Leader: Average: Laggard: gree to which companintegrating ESG factors	and rebalancing these s management of financially to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception industry peers	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most si al track record of me and on its high expose	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos ure and failure to efinition and ir	those risks relationship the sks and opportunities the significant ESG risks of manage significant mplementation of	ve to peers. ss sks and opportui t ESG risks their strategy	nities relative to			
Moody's ESG solutions	helps explain over-weightin over-weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA ABBB BB BCCC Moody's assebelieves that create sustain	n future risk-acing features with rolling quarter atings aim to ristry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 besses the deg a company in nable value for	th higher materiality a serly basis. measure a company's nd laggards according to be a company's and laggards according to be a company's according to be a companied to be a	s management of financially to their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in meaning a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base ies take into account ESG os into its business model and the medium to long term.	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most si al track record of me and on its high expose bjectives in the did relatively outpe	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos ure and failure to efinition and in forming its pe	those risks relationship that it significant ESG risks and opportunitient that significant ESG risks of manage significant implementation of the risks better possible that is the significant in the risks and opportunities are significant in the risks and opportunities and opportunities are significant in the risks and opportunities are significant in the risks and opportunities are significant in the risks and opportunities are significant experiences.	ve to peers. ss sks and opportur t ESG risks their strategy tioned to mitig	r policies. It gate risks and			
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA ABBB BB BCCC Moody's assebelieves that create sustain Designed to to the service of the service o	a future risk-acting features with rolling quarter attings aim to ristry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 cesses the degal company in nable value for transparently attached transparently attached transparently at the rolling of the state of	th higher materiality a strly basis. measure a company's and laggards according to the strletch of the strlet	s management of financially to their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in manual a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base ies take into account ESG os into its business model and	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most statement and track record of metal and track record of track r	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos ure and failure to efinition and ir rforming its pe	those risks relationship to the second opportunities to the significant ESG risks of the significant experience of the second opportunities op	ve to peers. ss t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitigators 10 ma	policies. It gate risks and in themes,			
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG	helps explain over-weightin over-weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA ABBB BB B CCC Moody's assebelieves that create sustain Designed to based on pub	future risk-acing features with rolling quarter atings aim to ristry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the deg a company in nable value for transparently a colicly availables	th higher materiality a rely basis. measure a company's and laggards according to be a company's and laggards according to be a company's according to be a companity and the companity of the c	s management of financially to their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in manual a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base ies take into account ESG os into its business model and the medium to long term.	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most si al track record of mo ed on its high expos bjectives in the d d relatively outpe G performance, 100 on relative E	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos ure and failure to efinition and ir rforming its pe	those risks relatively the sks and opportunities to significant ESG risks of manage significant mplementation of the sketch significant is better positively and effectiveness are and insufficie	ve to peers. sks and opportunt t ESG risks t their strategy titioned to mitig across 10 mant degree of tr	policies. It gate risks and in themes,			
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA ABBB BB BCCC Moody's assebelieves that create sustain Designed to the based on put reporting material solutions.	a future risk-acting features with rolling quarter than the risk part of t	th higher materiality a rely basis. measure a company's and laggards according to the laggards	s management of financially to their exposure to ESG riseleading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry baseless take into account ESG of into its business model and the medium to long term. The score ranges from 0 to	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most s al track record of me ed on its high expos bjectives in the d d relatively outpe G performance, 100 on relative E = satisfactory; >50 t	they manage gnificant ESG ristanging the most urreand failure to efinition and informing its percommitment a SG performand of 75 = good; and	those risks relationship to the second of th	ve to peers. ss t ESG risks their strategy tioned to miti across 10 ma nt degree of ti	policies. It gate risks and in themes, ransparency in			
Moody's ESG solutions	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's asset believes that create sustain Designed to based on put reporting mat The S&P Glo	future risk-acing features with rolling quarter atings aim to ristry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 essess the deg a company in nable value for transparently abilicity available terial ESG data and so the second so	th higher materiality a rely basis. measure a company's and laggards according to the company's according to the companitation of the	s management of financially to their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in manifer a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base ies take into account ESG os into its business model and the medium to long term. Jure a company's relative EST he score ranges from 0 to gs are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most start track record of many and track record o	they manage gnificant ESG risenaging the most ure and failure to efinition and informing its percommitment a SG performano 75 = good; and management	those risks relationship to the second of th	ve to peers. ss t ESG risks their strategy tioned to miti across 10 ma nt degree of ti	policies. It gate risks and in themes, ransparency in			
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating S&P Global	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's asset believes that create sustain Designed to based on put reporting mat The S&P Glo	future risk-acing features with rolling quarter atings aim to ristry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the deg a company in nable value for transparently abilicity available terial ESG data and so the second support of the second	th higher materiality a rely basis. measure a company's and laggards according to the laggards according to the laggards. Average: Laggard: Laggard: Gree to which companintegrating ESG factors or shareholders over the land objectively measure and auditable data. It a publicly. (Score rating re is a relative score rein the same industry of Bloomberg score every basis.)	s management of financially to their exposure to ESG risleading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base ies take into account ESG os into its business model and the medium to long term. The score ranges from 0 to gs are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 in measuring a company's perficial classification. The score rangulating the company's aggination in the score rangulating the scor	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most start track record of many and track record of the start of the	they manage gnificant ESG risenaging the most ure and failure to effinition and informing its percommitment a SG performano 75 = good; and management of the social	those risks relatively the sks and opportunities to the sks and opportunities the sk	ve to peers. sks and opportunite t ESG risks t their strategy titioned to mitigate across 10 mant degree of transfer to the contunities, ar (ESG) perfor	policies. It gate risks and in themes, ransparency in it impacts			
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating S&P Global	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA ABBB BB B CCC Moody's assebelieves that create sustain Designed to the based on put reporting mat The S&P Glocompared to	future risk-acing features with rolling quarter atings aim to ristry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the deg a company in nable value for transparently abilicity available terial ESG data and so the second support of the second	th higher materiality a refly basis. measure a company's and laggards according to be a company's and laggards according to be a company's and laggards according to be a companity of the compa	s management of financially to their exposure to ESG riseleading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry baseless take into account ESG os into its business model and the medium to long term. The score ranges from 0 to gs are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 measuring a company's perficulassification. The score rangalloomberg's view of ESG finalessification	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most si al track record of most of the most side of the most s	they manage gnificant ESG ristanaging the most ure and failure to efinition and informing its percommitment a SG performant of 75 = good; and management of the score is a score	those risks relatively the sks and opportunities to the sks and effectiveness to the sks and insufficies to the sks and insufficies to the sks and opportunities to the sks and opportunities to the sks and opportunities the sks and opportuni	ve to peers. sks and opportunite t ESG risks t their strategy titioned to mitigate across 10 mant degree of to lent.) cortunities, ar (ESG) perfor alized mean (policies. It gate risks and in themes, ransparency in in impacts			
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating S&P Global Bloomberg	helps explain over-weightin over-weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA ABBB BB B CCC Moody's asset believes that create sustain Designed to based on put reporting mat The S&P Glocompared to ESG Score	a future risk-acting features with rolling quarter attings aim to ristry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the deg a company in nable value for transparently a colicly available terial ESG dates a bal ESG Scorits peers within	th higher materiality a rely basis. measure a company's and laggards according to be a company's and laggards according to be a company's and laggards according to be a companity of the compan	s management of financially to their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base ies take into account ESG of into its business model and the medium to long term. The score ranges from 0 to gs are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 measuring a company's perficial combergistical in the company's agginomberg's view of ESG final interest the weights are determined.	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most start rack record of many and track record on the defendance, and the start record of the start	they manage gnificant ESG ristanaging the most ure and failure to efinition and informing its percommitment a SG performant of 75 = good; and management of the score is a riority ranking.	those risks relatively the sks and opportunities to manage significant amplementation of the sks is better possible and insufficies to 175 to 100 = except of ESG risks, opportunities to 186 to 18	ve to peers. sis sks and opportunit t ESG risks t their strategy titioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of titilinent.) portunities, ar (ESG) perfor allized mean (om 0 to 10; 10	policies. It gate risks and in themes, ransparency in in impacts mance. The power mean) is the best.			
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating S&P Global	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA ABBB BB B CCC Moody's assebelieves that create sustain Designed to the based on put reporting mat The S&P Glocompared to	a future risk-acting features with rolling quarter attings aim to ristry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the deg a company in nable value for transparently a colicly available terial ESG dates a bal ESG Scorits peers within	th higher materiality a refly basis. measure a company's and laggards according to be a company's and laggards according to be a companity of the companity of	s management of financially to their exposure to ESG riseleading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry baseless take into account ESG os into its business model and the medium to long term. The score ranges from 0 to gs are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 measuring a company's perficulassification. The score rangalloomberg's view of ESG finalessification	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most si al track record of med on its high expose bjectives in the did relatively outper G performance, 100 on relative E = satisfactory; >50 to ormance on and ges from 0 to 100 regated Environmential materiality, ed by the pillar perg ESG score.	anaging the mosure and failure to efinition and informing its percommitment a SG performance of 5 = good; and management of the score is a riority ranking.	those risks relatively the sks and opportunities to the sks and estimated the sks and est	ve to peers. sks and opportunite t ESG risks t their strategy titioned to mitigate across 10 manner than the degree of the continuities, are testing to the continuities of the continui	policies. It gate risks and in themes, ransparency in d impacts mance. The power mean) is the best. disclosure of			

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Usanee Liurut, CISA FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Ratchthani Leasing	THANI TB	THB 1.69	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-derived TP include 1) an economic slowdown, especially for logistics activities and private investment; 2) deteriorating asset quality; and 3) changes in financial regulations from the Bank of Thailand. Upside risks include 1) a better-than-expected macroeconomic improvement; 2) a downtrend in the policy rate could reduce cost of funds and enhance interest spreads; and 3) a faster-than-expected decline in ECL expense.
Muangthai Capital	МТС ТВ	THB 40.50	BUY	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) a further weakening of asset quality that could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) changes in financial regulations by the Bank of Thailand and the Office of Consumer Protection Board.
Srisawad Corp	SAWAD TB	THB 29.25	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) a further weakening of asset quality that could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) changes in financial regulations by the Bank of Thailand and the Office of Consumer Protection Board. Upside risks include 1) a faster-than-expected decline in losses on sales of repossessed cars for SCAP; and 2) an interest rate downtrend could push its interest spread.
Ngern Tid Lor	TIDLOR TB	THB 14.80	BUY	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) the expansion into auto-title loans by the Government Savings Bank and Auto X (subsidiary of SCB X); 2) further weakening asset quality could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 3) tighter supervision from related regulators.
Saksiam Leasing	SAK TB	THB 4.92	HOLD	Upside risks to our GGM-derived TP include 1) loan expansion following the broad-based economic recovery 2) a reduction in cost of funds due mainly to the downward interest rate trend and 3) an accelerated decrease in credit costs owing to the rise in consumers purchasing power. Downside risks include 1) competition from existing and new players 2) regulatory changes by the Bank of Thailand (BoT) and 3) a slower-than-expected reduction in its cost of funds due to a shift toward more long-term loans.
Aeon Thana Sinsap (Thailand	i) AEONTS TB	THB 108.50	HOLD	Downside risks are: 1) regulatory actions to curb industry growth; 2) a higher-than- expected policy rate; and 3) deteriorating asset quality. Upside risks are: 1) better-than- estimated economic improvement; 2) stronger-than-estimated improvement in the overall asset quality; and 3) less pressure from the market yield and cost of funds.
Krungthai Card	KTC TB	THB 38.75	HOLD	Downside risks are: 1) regulatory actions to curb industry growth; 2) a higher-than- expected policy rate; and 3) deteriorating asset quality. Upside risks are: 1) better-than- estimated economic improvement; 2) stronger-than-estimated improvement in the overall asset quality; and 3) less pressure from the market yield and cost of funds.
Asia Sermkij Leasing PCL	ASK TB	THB 11.00	REDUCE	Upside risks include 1) a better-than-expected macroeconomic improvement; 2) downtrend of policy rate could reduce cost of funds and enhance interest spreads; and 3) a faster-than-expected decline in ECL expense.
Bangkok Commercial Asset Mngt.	BAM TB	THB 6.30	HOLD	Downside risks to our NAV-based TP include 1) lower cash collection than estimated; 2) lower-than-expected bad debt acquisition; and 3) a slowdown in the property market. Upsides include 1) better-than-expected economic conditions and debt repayment momentum; and 2) an acceleration in the demand for NPAs.
JMT Network Services	JMT TB	THB 10.20	HOLD	Downside risks to our P/BV-based TP include 1) lower cash collection from both debt management and debt tracking collection services; and 2) higher-than-expected funding costs and operating expenses. Upside risks include 1) better-than-expected purchasing power and cash collection 2) lower-than-expected operating and financing expense
Chayo Group	СНАУО ТВ	THB 2.40	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) lower-than-expected bad debt acquisition; and 2) higher-than-expected operating expenses. Upside risks include 1) better-than-expected cash collection performance and lower pressure from ECL, 2) stronger-than-expected loan growth, and 3) better cost control than expected.

The Chairman of The Board of Directors of Finansia Syrus Securities PCL is also AEONTS's Director

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 06-Aug-2024 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.