EQUITY RESEARCH - COMPANY REPORT



S HOTELS AND RESORTS SHR TB

THAILAND / TOURISM & LEISURE

BUY

UNCHANGED

TARGET PRICE THB3.80
CLOSE THB2.04
UP/DOWNSIDE +86.3%
PRIOR TP THB4.00
CHANGE IN TP -5.0%
TP vs CONSENSUS +3.1%

Dawn comes after the darkness

- Expect a smaller core loss of THB83m in 2Q24 (vs THB119m loss in 2Q23); excluding SO/ Maldives and renovation impact of SAii Laguna Phuket, organic earnings would turn profitable.
- Expect earnings to grow q-q and y-y for the next three quarters.
- Maintain BUY with a lower DCF-TP of THB3.8/shr.

Strong organic RevPAR growth in all portfolios in 2Q24

We expect the RevPAR of Maldives hotels (excluding SO/ Maldives) to grow by 11-12% y-y in 2Q24, outperforming industry numbers – with Maldives tourist arrivals growing by 2% y-y – driven by a higher OCC rate from a better guest-mix profile. Outrigger hotels' RevPAR should jump by 60-65% y-y from the robust growth of Fiji hotels and the reopening of the Mauritius hotel (since Nov-23). RevPAR of UK hotels should also grow by 5-6% y-y thanks to higher ADR. On a negative note, RevPAR of Thai hotels should drop by 5-7% y-y in the quarter, pressured by the partial renovation of SAii Laguna Phuket (68% of room inventory).

EBITDA margin to improve in 2Q24

We estimate the 2Q24 EBITDA margin to improve to 23% (vs 19% in 2Q23). SHR should book a share of loss from SO/ Maldives of THB60m, relatively in line with the 1Q24 loss, with RevPAR slightly improving by 2% q-q. Thus, we forecast a core loss of THB83m in 2Q24 (vs the THB119m loss in 2Q23). Excluding SO/ Maldives and the impact from the renovation of SAii Laguna Phuket, earnings would turn profitable in 2Q24.

Expect strong growth q-q and y-y during 3Q24-1Q25

We believe 2Q24 will mark the bottom and 3Q24 earnings should turn profitable. Outrigger hotel operations should improve q-q from the peak season of Fiji hotels, while the OCC rate of Mauritius hotels should normalize at 70-80% in 2H24 (vs 50% in 2Q24). Maldives and UK hotels' performance should also improve due to seasonality. However, Thai hotel operations should be flat q-q in 3Q24 before improving in 4Q24, with SAii Laguna Phuket scheduled to complete renovations in Dec-24. Overall, we expect SHR's earnings to grow both q-q and y-y in 3Q24 to 1Q25.

Trading at a very cheap valuation

We trim our 2024-26 core profit forecasts by 6-13% to reflect the slower-than-expected ramp-up of SO/ Maldives' RevPAR, and derive a new 2024 DCF-TP of THB3.8. We believe this is a good entry point, as we expect to see an earnings improvement for the next three consecutive quarters. In addition, SHR trades at a deep-discount valuation of 0.4x 2024E P/BV and 8x 2024E EV/EBITDA (vs peers' averages of 1.7x and 19x), implying a limited downside in our view.

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	9,701	10,714	11,193	11,483
Net profit	87	271	457	566
EPS (THB)	0.02	0.08	0.13	0.16
vs Consensus (%)	-	19.4	8.3	-
EBITDA	2,226	2,665	2,822	2,890
Recurring net profit	80	271	457	566
Core EPS (THB)	0.02	0.08	0.13	0.16
Chg. In EPS est. (%)	-	(11.8)	(13.1)	(6.2)
EPS growth (%)	nm	239.6	68.5	23.9
Core P/E (x)	91.9	27.1	16.1	13.0
Dividend yield (%)	-	1.5	2.5	3.1
EV/EBITDA (x)	10.4	8.3	7.5	7.0
Price/book (x)	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
Net debt/Equity (%)	98.2	91.1	83.6	76.0
ROE (%)	0.5	1.7	2.8	3.3



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	2.0	(20.9)	(26.6)
Relative to country (%)	0.4	(16.8)	(16.1)
Mkt cap (USD m)			202
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m))		0.3
Free float (%)			33
Major shareholder	S Hotels a	nd Resorts I	nter (49%)
12m high/low (THB)			3.08/1.97
Issued shares (m)			3,593.64

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Teerapol Udomvej, CFAFundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 080523 teerapol.udo@fssia.com, +66 2646 9969

Investment thesis

SHR raised THB7.5b from its THB5.20/shr IPO in Nov-19. However, its share price is still below its IPO price due mainly to a loss from the new Maldives hotel (SO/Maldives) and the renovation of its major hotel (SAii Laguna Phuket).

We expect SHR's core profit to jump in 2024, driven by a recovery of its Maldives hotels since the Maldives government targets tourist arrivals of 2.0m this year (vs 1.7m in 2019 and 1.9m in 2023). In addition, the reopening of Outrigger Mauritius and the completed renovation of Outrigger Fiji should improve SHR's profit margin.

SHR's share price is attractive as it trades at a lower P/BV than its peers. Also, it has a potential upside from M&A deals, given its strong balance sheet with only 0.9x IBD/E as of 4Q23.


Company profile

SHR is the holding company of all of the resorts and hotels under the Singha Estate (S TB, not rated) group.

www.shotelsresorts.com

Principal activities (revenue, 2023)


- Self-managed 18.0 %
- Outrigger 15.0 %
- Crossroads Ph1 (Maldives hotels) -26.2 %
- UK 40.7 %



Source: S Hotels and Resorts

Major shareholders

- S Hotels and Resorts Inter 48.6
- S Hotels and Resorts (SG) 11.4
- SINGHA PROPERTY
 MANAGEMENT 4.2 %
- Others 35.8 %



Source: S Hotels and Resorts

Catalysts

Key potential growth drivers include 1) a faster OCC rampup rate following a global tourism recovery; 2) an uplift and renovation program to increase the ADR; and 3) M&A deals.

Risks to our call

Downside risks to our DCF-based target price include 1) extraordinary events such as political turmoil and natural disasters; 2) a higher hotel room supply, which may result in price competition; and 3) the slower-than-expected recovery of international tourist numbers.

Event calendar

Date	Event
Aug 2024	2Q24 results announcement

Key assumptions

	2024E	2025E	2026E
	(%)	(%)	(%)
Self-Managed - Number of rooms (no.)	604	604	604
Self-Managed - Occupancy rate (OCC)	63.6	66.6	67.1
Self-Managed - RevPAR growth	0.3	7.9	2.8
Project Crossroads - Number of rooms (no.)	376	376	376
Project Crossroads - Occupancy rate (OCC)	73.0	74.0	75.0
Project Crossroads - RevPAR growth	25.0	5.4	3.4
UK - Number of rooms (no.)	2,940	2,940	2,940
UK - Occupancy rate (OCC)	70.0	70.5	71.0
UK - RevPAR growth	4.7	3.7	2.7


Source: FSSIA estimates

Earnings sensitivity

- For every 1% increase in OCC rate, we project a 2024 profit increase of 20%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 1% increase in EBITDA margin, we project a 2024 profit increase of 26%, and vice versa, all else being equal.


Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 1: Maldives industry - monthly tourist arrivals




Sources: Ministry of Tourism, Republic of Maldives

Exhibit 3: SHR's Crossroads (Maldives) hotels RevPAR




Note: Excludes SO/ Maldives Sources: SHR; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 5: SHR's self-managed (Thailand) hotels RevPAR




Sources: SHR; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 2: Maldives industry – tourist arrival breakdown




Sources: Ministry of Tourism, Republic of Maldives

Exhibit 4: SHR's SO/ Maldives RevPAR



Sources: SHR; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 6: Outrigger (Mauritius, Fiji) hotels RevPAR




Sources: SHR; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 7: SHR's UK hotels RevPAR

(THB) (%) UK hotels RevPAR Growth y-y (RHS) 45 3,500 60 34 23 40 3,000 8 20 (1) 2,500 0 2,000 (20) 1,500 (40) 1,000 (60) 2,055 2,312 3,039 2,866 500 (80) (100) 0 2Q24E 2Q22 2023 1Q24 1Q22 3022 4Q22 1023 3023 4Q23

Exhibit 8: Core profit



Sources: SHR; FSSIA estimates

Sources: SHR; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 9: SHR - 2Q24 results preview

	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	2Q24E	Cha	nge	2023	2024E	Chang
	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y %				
Sales	2,277	2,401	2,479	2,743	2,461	(10)	8	9,701	10,714	1
- Self-Managed Hotels	363	320	423	739	317	(57)	(13)			
- Outrigger Hotels	334	402	419	353	514	45	54			
- Project Crossroads Hotels	518	519	693	911	559	(39)	8			
- UK	1,062	1,161	943	739	1,072	45	1			
COGS (Incl. depreciation)	(1,599)	(1,548)	(1,462)	(1,633)	(1,629)	(0)	2	(6,213)	(6,694)	
Gross profit	678	853	1,017	1,109	832	(25)	23	3,488	4,019	1
SG&A	(571)	(597)	(675)	(687)	(591)	(14)	3	(2,419)	(2,589)	
Operating profit	107	256	343	422	241	(43)	126	1,068	1,430	3
Other income	42	91	36	59	45	(23)	6	205	185	(1
- Interest income from JV	1	(3)	(1)	(0)						
- Other income	41	95	37	59	45	(23)	9	205	185	(1)
Interest expense	(273)	(304)	(299)	(298)	(298)	0	9	(1,124)	(1,150)	
Pretax profit	(124)	44	79	183	(12)	(107)	90	150	465	21
Income Tax	10	(42)	51	9	(10)	(215)	(198)	18	(47)	(36
Associates	(6)	(12)	(66)	(63)	(61)	(3)	907	(88)	(147)	6
Minority interest	0	0	0	0				0	0	
Core profit	(119)	(10)	65	129	(83)	(165)	30	80	271	24
Extraordinaries, GW & FX	2	25	(1)	(17)				7	0	
Net unrealized (loss)/gain on FX	2	25	(11)	(17)				(4)	0	
Non-recurring items	0.4	0.3	10	(0)				11		
Reported net profit	(117)	15	64	112	(83)	(174)	29	87	271	21
Shares out (end Q, m)	3,664	3,664	3,664	3,664	3,664	0	0	3,664	3,664	
Core EPS	(0.03)	(0.00)	0.02	0.04	(0.02)	(165)	30	0.02	0.08	24
EPS	(0.03)	0.00	0.02	0.03	(0.02)	(174)	29	0.02	0.08	21
COGS (Excl. depreciation)	(1,302)	(1,248)	(1,179)	(1,298)	(1,294)	(0)	(1)	(5,056)	(5,460)	
Depreciation	(297)	(301)	(283)	(335)	(335)	0	13	(1,158)	(1,234)	
EBITDA	440	636	596	753	560	(26)	27	2,343	2,702	1
Key ratios	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)	(%)	(%)	(pp
Gross margin	30	36	41	40	34	7	4	36	38	
SG&A/Revenue	25	25	27	25	24	1	(1)	25	24	(
EBITDA margin	19	26	24	27	23	5	3	24	25	
Net profit margin	(5)	1	3	4	(3)	7	2	1	3	
Operating stats										
Self-Managed Hotels										
OCC (%)	64.1	59.2	56.6	88.5	57.0					
ADR (THB)	6,528	6,344	9,012	11,200	6,812					
RevPAR (THB)	4,184	3,724	5,104	9,914	3,883					
Outrigger Hotels										
OCC (%)	45.5	43.3	61.6	54.2	72.0					
ADR (THB)	9,582	13,024	10,176	8,590	9,927					
RevPAR (THB)	4,362	5,643	6,273	4,657	7,147					
Project Crossroads Hotels										
OCC (%)	53.7	63.2	68.0	88.9	61.0					
ADR (THB)	13,521	10,584	14,947	16,175	13,200					
RevPAR (THB)	7,258	6,690	10,167	14,378	8,052					
UK Hotels										
	74.1	76.1	62.2	59.1	71.0					
UK Hotels OCC (%) ADR (THB)	74.1 3,866	76.1 4,237	62.2 3,716	59.1 3,439	71.0 4,280					

 $Sources: SHR; \, FSSIA \, estimates$

Exhibit 10: Forecast revisions

		Current			- Previous		Change			
	2024E	2025E	2026E	2024E	2025E	2026E	2024E	2025E	2026E	
	(THB)	(THB)	(THB)	(THB)	(THB)	(THB)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
Self-managed - OCC rate (%)	63.6	66.6	67.1	63.6	66.6	67.1	-	-	-	
Self-managed - RevPAR	5,407	5,831	5,993	5,407	5,831	5,993	-	-	-	
Outrigger - OCC rate (%)	71.0	71.5	72.0	71.0	71.5	72.0	-	-	-	
Outrigger - RevPAR	5,957	6,179	6,347	5,957	6,179	6,347	-	-	-	
Project Crossroads - OCC rate (%)	73.0	74.0	75.0	73.0	74.0	75.0	-	-	-	
Project Crossroads - RevPAR	11,097	11,699	12,094	11,097	11,699	12,094	-	-	-	
UK -OCC rate (%)	70	71	71	70	71	71	-	-	-	
UK -RevPAR	2,740	2,843	2,920	2,740	2,843	2,920	-	-	-	
So/ Maldives - OCC rate (%)	50	65	70	55	70	75				
So/ Maldives - RevPAR	11,250	15,925	18,008	14,025	19,992	22,491				
Revenue (THB b)	10.7	11.2	11.5	10.7	11.2	11.5	-	-	-	
EBITDA margin (%)	24.9	25.2	-	24.9	25.2	-	-	-	-	
Share income of SO/ Maldives (THB m)	(157)	(67)	1	(120)	2	38	nm	nm	nm	
Core earnings (THB m)	271	457	566	307	525	603	(11.8)	(13.1)	(6.2)	

Note: Change in % terms is represented in ppt change

Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 11: DCF valuation

Cost of equity assumptions	(%)	Cost of debt assumptions	(%)
Risk-free rate	3.0	Pre-tax cost of debt	4.0
Market risk premium	8.0	Marginal tax rate	20.0
Stock beta	1.3		
Cost of equity, Ke	13.2	Net cost of debt, Kd	3.2
Weight applied	60.0	Weight applied	40.0
WACC	9.2		

DCF valuation estimate	(THB b)	(THB/share)	Comments
NPV	13.3	3.7	WACC 9.2%, risk-free rate 3%, risk premium 8%
Terminal value	14.4	4.0	Terminal growth 2.5%
Cash & liquid assets	2.7	0.8	At end-2024E
Investments	0.8	0.2	At end-2024E
Debt	(17.6)	(4.9)	At end-2024E
Minorities	0.0	0.0	At end-2024E
Residual ordinary equity	13.6	3.8	

Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 12: Historical EV/EBITDA band

SHR EV/EBITDA (x) 40 std +2 = 32.9x35 30 std +1 = 24.8x25 20 4Y-avg = 16.8x15 std - 1 = 8.8x10 5 std -2 = 0.8x0 2021 2022 2023 2024 2020

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 13: Historical P/BV band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 14: Peer comparisons as of 15 July 2024

Company	BBG	Rec	:	Share price	e	Market		PE		R	OE	PE	3V	EV/ E	BITDA
			Current	Target	Upside	сар	24E	25E	26E	24E	25E	24E	25E	24E	25E
			(LCY)	(LCY)	(%)	(USD m)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(%)	(%)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
Thailand															
Asset World Corp	AWC TB	BUY	3.88	5.50	42	3,429	56.6	47.4	38.5	2.4	2.8	1.4	1.3	32.4	29.3
Minor International	MINT TB	BUY	30.25	44.00	45	4,736	21.0	18.8	16.9	10.7	11.3	2.2	2.0	8.0	7.2
Central Plaza Hotel	CENTEL TB	BUY	41.75	50.00	20	1,556	36.8	29.4	25.1	7.5	8.8	2.7	2.5	16.1	14.8
Erawan Group	ERW TB	BUY	4.22	6.50	54	569	24.4	22.4	20.7	11.6	10.8	2.5	2.3	14.0	12.7
S Hotels & Resorts	SHR TB	BUY	2.04	3.80	86	202	27.1	16.1	13.0	1.7	2.8	0.4	0.4	8.3	7.5
Dusit Thani	DUSIT TB	BUY	10.70	15.00	40	251	169.8	8.3	5.6	1.5	24.8	2.6	1.7	45.2	10.6
Bound and Beyond	BEYOND TB	BUY	9.05	20.00	121	72	26.7	12.2	10.1	1.6	3.4	0.4	0.4	8.9	7.3
Thailand average						10,817	51.8	22.1	18.6	5.3	9.2	1.7	1.5	19.0	12.8
Regional															
BTG Hotels Group	600258 CH	n/a	12.77	n/a	n/a	1,938	18.0	15.4	13.7	7.2	7.8	1.3	1.2	8.7	8.1
SH Jinjiang Intl Hotels	900934 CH	n/a	1.50	n/a	n/a	3,183	10.2	8.0	7.0	6.5	8.2	0.7	0.6	11.3	10.0
Huangshan Tourism Dev.	900942 CH	n/a	0.74	n/a	n/a	933	10.4	8.5	7.8	8.5	9.6	0.9	8.0	9.5	7.0
Genting Bhd	GENT MK	n/a	4.70	n/a	n/a	3,917	17.8	10.2	8.7	3.9	5.0	0.6	0.5	6.4	5.8
Huazhu Group	HTHT US	n/a	31.79	n/a	n/a	10,134	18.5	16.7	14.3	34.4	30.0	5.5	4.8	11.0	10.2
Indian Hotels	IH IN	n/a	591.65	n/a	n/a	10,027	90.8	64.6	50.6	12.6	14.8	10.6	9.2	47.6	38.4
Lemon Tree Hotels	LEMONTRE IN	n/a	146.77	n/a	n/a	1,385	100.4	84.1	42.8	12.3	14.6	12.7	11.8	33.5	27.7
Lippo Karawaci	LPKR IJ	n/a	64.00	n/a	n/a	276	n/a	9.0	3.3	n/a	3.2	n/a	0.3	n/a	5.9
Regional average						31,792	38.0	27.1	18.5	12.2	11.6	4.6	3.6	18.3	14.1
Global															
NH Hotel Group	NHH SM	n/a	4.20	n/a	n/a	1,993	17.1	12.6	11.9	12.9	14.4	1.9	1.7	7.2	6.6
Melia Hotels International	MEL SM	n/a	7.45	n/a	n/a	1,788	16.1	12.9	12.0	21.4	20.5	3.2	2.6	8.9	8.2
Intercontinental Hotels G.	IHG LN	n/a	8,384	n/a	n/a	17,522	28.9	25.2	22.1	(34.6)	(32.9)	n/a	n/a	18.3	16.7
Whitbread	WTB LN	n/a	2,937	n/a	n/a	6,856	20.7	13.5	13.9	6.8	10.6	1.4	1.4	11.5	9.3
Accor	ACRFF US	n/a	41.98	n/a	n/a	10,227	21.3	16.7	14.9	11.3	12.6	1.9	2.0	11.9	10.7
Choice Hotels	CHH US	n/a	128.06	n/a	n/a	6,168	21.2	19.7	18.9	242.2	481.9	68.3	91.6	14.6	13.6
Hilton Worldwide Holdings	HLT US	n/a	222.99	n/a	n/a	55,758	36.5	31.5	27.2	(95.3)	(58.6)	n/a	n/a	21.2	18.9
Hyatt Hotels Corp	H US	n/a	157.17	n/a	n/a	15,903	70.9	40.3	36.2	6.1	12.9	4.6	4.1	17.8	15.5
Marriott International	MAR US	n/a	246.67	n/a	n/a	70,454	28.7	26.0	22.8	(914.9)	(235.3)	n/a	n/a	17.9	16.4
Global average						186,669	29.1	22.1	20.0	(82.7)	25.1	13.5	17.2	14.4	12.9
Overall average						229,278	38.7	23.7	19.1	(27.0)	16.0	6.3	6.8	17.0	13.3

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

S Hotels and Resorts

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	8,693	9,701	10,714	11,193	11,483
Cost of goods sold	(5,807)	(6,213)	(6,694)	(6,937)	(7,104)
Gross profit	2,885	3,488	4,019	4,256	4,379
Other operating income	-	-	-	-	-
Operating costs	(2,142)	(2,419)	(2,589)	(2,692)	(2,773)
Operating EBITDA	1,859	2,226	2,665	2,822	2,890
Depreciation	(1,116)	(1,158)	(1,234)	(1,259)	(1,284)
Goodwill amortisation	-	-	-	-	-
Operating EBIT	743	1,068	1,430	1,564	1,606
Net financing costs	(842)	(1,124)	(1,150)	(1,137)	(1,105)
Associates	(21)	(88)	(147)	(57)	11
Recurring non-operating income	73	117	38	132	203
Non-recurring items	53	7	0	0	0
Profit before tax	27	69	318	558	705
Tax	(13)	18	(47)	(102)	(139)
Profit after tax	14	87	271	457	566
Minority interests	0	0	0	0	0
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	<u>-</u>	-
Other items	-	_	_	_	-
Reported net profit	14	87	271	457	566
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(53)	(7)	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	(39)	80	271	457	566
Per share (THB)	, ,				
Recurring EPS *	(0.01)	0.02	0.08	0.13	0.16
Reported EPS	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.13	0.16
DPS	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.06
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	3,594	3,594	3,594	3,594	3,594
Growth	- 7,		-,	-,	-,
Revenue (%)	92.6	11.6	10.4	4.5	2.6
Operating EBITDA (%)	411.7	19.7	19.7	5.9	2.4
Operating EBIT (%)	nm	43.8	33.9	9.3	2.7
Recurring EPS (%)	nm	nm	239.6	68.5	23.9
Reported EPS (%)	nm	502.2	212.9	68.5	23.9
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	33.2	36.0	37.5	38.0	38.1
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	46.0	47.9	49.0	49.3	49.3
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	21.4	22.9	24.9	25.2	25.2
Operating EBIT margin (%)	8.5	11.0	13.4	14.0	14.0
Net margin (%)	(0.4)	0.8	2.5	4.1	4.9
Effective tax rate (%)	(289.8)	(12.1)	10.1	16.5	20.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)			40.0	40.0	40.0
Interest cover (X)	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.6
Inventory days	16.6	16.6	16.1	16.4	16.5
Debtor days	18.7	19.5	18.8	19.3	20.0
Creditor days	130.1	121.9	115.9	118.0	118.9
Operating ROIC (%)	9.7	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.4
ROIC (%)	9.7	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.5
ROE (%)	(0.2)	0.5	1.7	2.8	3.3
ROA (%)	8.8	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.8
* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
	1,098	1,749	1,754	1,891	1,937
Self-managed Outrigger					
Outrigger Crossreds Rh1 (Maldives hetals)	1,486	1,457	1,823	1,879	1,925
Crossroads Ph1 (Maldives hotels)	2,445	2,545	3,000	3,131	3,228
UK	3,664	3,951	4,137	4,292	4,393

Financial Statements

S Hotels and Resorts

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026
Recurring net profit	(39)	80	271	457	56
Depreciation	1,116	1,158	1,234	1,259	1,28
Associates & minorities	-	-	-	-	
Other non-cash items	109	409	(8)	(8)	(8
Change in working capital	1,052	370	32	2	(12
Cash flow from operations	2,238	2,017	1,530	1,710	1,83
Capex - maintenance	(116)	(3,077)	(635)	(664)	(681
Capex - new investment let acquisitions & disposals	(277)	(60)	0	0	
Other investments (net)	(211)	(00)	Ū	0	
Cash flow from investing	(393)	(3,137)	(635)	(664)	(681
Dividends paid	0	0	0	(108)	(183
Equity finance	0	(461)	0	(100)	(100
Debt finance	(1,418)	1,337	(400)	0	(1,000
Other financing cash flows	139	(19)	0	0	(1,000
Cash flow from financing	(1,280)	857	(400)	(108)	(1,183
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	()
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	
let other adjustments	0	0	0	0	
Novement in cash	566	(263)	495	937	(34
ree cash flow to firm (FCFF)	2,686.85	3.35	2,044.53	2,182.71	2,253.2
ree cash flow to equity (FCFE)	565.63	198.21	494.51	1,045.69	148.7
er share (THB)					
CFF per share	0.75	0.00	0.57	0.61	0.6
FCFE per share	0.16	0.06	0.14	0.29	0.0
Recurring cash flow per share	0.33	0.46	0.42	0.48	0.5
Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026
Tangible fixed assets (gross)	36,291	41,369	42,012	42,684	43,37
ess: Accumulated depreciation	(7,323)	(10,474)	(11,708)	(12,967)	(14,251
angible fixed assets (net)	28,968	30,895	30,304	29,717	29,12
ntangible fixed assets (net)	1,630	1,628	1,628	1,628	1,62
ong-term financial assets	-	-	-	-	7.0
nvest. in associates & subsidiaries	704	764	764	764	76
Cash & equivalents	2,479	2,216	2,711	3,648	3,61
VC receivable	501	535	571	610	64
nventories	229	231	249	259	26
Other current assets Current assets	1,324 4,533	790 3,772	872 4,404	912 5,429	93 5,46
Other assets	4,533 615	664	664	664	5,46 66
Total assets	36,450	37,723	37,763	38,201	37,64
Common equity	16,019	16,091	16,362	16,710	17,09
Minorities etc.	0	0	0	0	17,00
Fotal shareholders' equity	16,019	16,091	16,362	16,710	17,09
ong term debt	16,674	18,012	17,612	17,612	16,61
Other long-term liabilities	1,612	1,604	1,604	1,604	1,60
ong-term liabilities	18,286	19,616	19,216	19,216	18,21
A/C payable	1,711	1,667	1,800	1,872	1,91
Short term debt	0	0	0	0	
Other current liabilities	433	349	386	403	41
Current liabilities	2,144	2,016	2,186	2,275	2,33
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	36,450	37,723	37,763	38,201	37,64
Net working capital	(90)	(461)	(493)	(495)	(482
nvested capital	31,826	33,490	32,867	32,278	31,69
Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being	ng treated as debt				
Per share (THB)					
Book value per share	4.46	4.48	4.55	4.65	4.7
angible book value per share	4.00	4.02	4.10	4.20	4.3
inancial strength					
let debt/equity (%)	88.6	98.2	91.1	83.6	76.
Net debt/total assets (%)	38.9	96.2 41.9	39.5	36.6	34
Current ratio (x)	2.1	1.9	2.0	2.4	2.
CF interest cover (x)	1.7	1.2	1.4	1.9	1.
/aluation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026
Recurring P/E (x) *	(188.4)	91.9	27.1	16.1	13.
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	(350.9)	171.1	50.4	29.9	24.
Reported P/E (x)	509.7	84.6	27.1	16.1	13.
Dividend yield (%)	-	-	1.5	2.5	3.
Price/book (x)	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.
Price/tangible book (x)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.
EV/EBITDA (x) **	11.6	10.4	8.3	7.5	7.
		13.2	10.7	9.8	9.
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) ** EV/invested capital (x)	15.0 0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.

Sources: S Hotels and Resorts; FSSIA estimates

S HOTELS AND RESORTS PCL (SHR TB)

FSSIA ESG rating

Exhibit 15: FSSIA ESG score implication

25.0 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
***	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 16: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA	Domestic ratings						Global ratings					Bloomberg		
	ESG score	DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
BEYOND	24.98				5.00	5.00	Certified		39.83						
CENTEL	62.78		Υ	Υ	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	57.54			71.56	48.00	2.93	54.19
DUSIT	24.81				5.00	4.00	Certified		46.50						
ERW	39.65				4.00	4.00	Certified		54.22			51.15	21.00	3.90	
MINT	84.43	Υ	Y	Υ	5.00	4.00	Certified	Medium	57.57	AA	37.00	91.18	69.00	5.00	
SHR	25.00		Υ	Υ	5.00	5.00									

Sources: <u>SETTRADE.com</u>; * FSSIA estimate; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 17: ESG disclosure from the company's one report

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2022	FY ending Dec 31	FY 2022
Environmental		Governance	
Climate change policy	Yes	Board size / Independent directors (ID) / Female	9/5/1
Climate change opportunities discussed		No. of board meetings for the year / % attendance	8 / 97.22
GHG scope 2 location-based policy	Yes	Company conducts board evaluations	Yes
Biodiversity policy	Yes	Number of non-executive directors on board	7
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Director share ownership guidelines	No
Electricity used	Yes	Board age limit	No
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel		Age of the youngest / oldest director	53 / 69
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Number of executives / female	6/3
Water policy	Yes	Executive share ownership guidelines	No
Water consumption	Yes	Size of audit committee / ID	3/3
Social		Audit committee meetings	4
Human rights policy	Yes	Audit committee meeting attendance (%)	100
Policy against child labor	Yes	Size of compensation committee / ID	3/3
Quality assurance and recall policy	Yes	Number of compensation committee meetings	4
Consumer data protection policy	Yes	Compensation committee meeting attendance (%)	100
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Size of nomination committee / ID	3 /3
Gender pay gap breakout		Number of nomination committee meetings	4
Pct women in workforce	33.8	Nomination committee meeting attendance (%)	100
Business ethics policy	Yes	Board compensation (THB m)	10.33
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Executive compensation (THB m)	53.96
Health and safety policy	Yes	Auditor fee (THB m)	2.2
Lost time incident rate - employees		(PricewaterhouseCoopers ABAS Limited)	
Training policy	Yes	Total employee (no.)	2663
Fair remuneration policy	Yes	Employee compensation (THB m)	1379.4
Number of employees - CSR	Yes	Sustainability governance	
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	1700	Verification type	
Social supply chain management	Yes	Board duration (years)	3

Source: FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodolog	V			Rating						
The Dow		-	ansparent, rules-based	component selection	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate						
Jones			anies' Total Sustainabili		Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global						
Sustainability			l Corporate Sustainabilit				of the S&P Glob				
ndices (<u>DJSI</u>)	, ,	ranked compar	nies within each industry			fied. The constitu	uents of the D	JSI indices ar			
By S&P Global	inclusion.				selected from the	e Eligible Uni	verse.				
Sustainability	THSI quantif	ies responsibilit	ty in Environmental and	Social issues by	To be eligible for THSI inclusion, verified data must be scored at a						
nvestment			nsparency in Governand		minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI						
ist (<u>THSI</u>)			preemptive criteria, with		during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality.						
y The Stock Exchange of			board members and ex								
Thailand			and combined holding n alifying criteria include: 1		<u>SETTHSI Index</u> is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity						
SET)		, ,	ors and free float violation	,	>0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI						
			social & environmental in		Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight a						
	negative terr	itory; and 5) ea	rnings in red for > 3 yea	rs in the last 5 years.	ars. maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.						
CG Score			in sustainable developn				ories: 5 for Excell				
by Thai			ith support from the Sto				-79), 2 for Fair (6				
Institute of Directors		: I). The results n of operations.	are from the perspectiv	e of a third party, not			w 50. Weightings olders (weight 2				
Association	an evaluation	ii oi operations.	•				sure & transpare				
Thai IOD)				responsibilities		ouro a tranoparo	1103 (1070), ai	ia o, boara			
AGM level	It quantifies t	the extent to wh	nich shareholders' rights	and equitable	The scores are	classified into	four categories:	5 for Exceller	nt (100), 4 for		
By Thai			into business operations				(80-89), and not				
nvestors	transparent a	and sufficiently	disclosed. All form impo	rtant elements of two	•		•				
Association			nts to be evaluated annu	•							
(TIA) with support from		•	res before the meeting (eeting (10%). (<i>The first as</i>	, ,							
the SEC				ing how voting rights can be							
			s 1) the ease of attending m								
			s for Q&A. The third involve: s, resolutions and voting res								
Thai CAC			hecklist include corruption		The document	vill be reviewe	ed by a committe	e of nine prof	essionals A		
By Thai			ls, and the monitoring a	,			or granting certific				
Private Sector	policies. The	Certification is	good for three years.	. •	approvals whos	e members a	re twelve highly r	espected indi	viduals in		
Collective			a CAC certified member st		professionalism	and ethical a	chievements.				
Action Against			in 18-month deadline to sub ssment, in place of policy an								
Corruption (CAC)			blishment of whistleblowing								
(6) (6)	communication	of policies to all	stakeholders.)								
<u>Morningstar</u>			G risk rating provides an overall company score A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The sum of unmanaged risk.								
<u>Sustainalytics</u>	based on an	assessment of	how much of a compan		more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored.						
					more risk is unr	nanaged, the	higher ESG risk	is scorea.			
	risk is unmar regulatory filing	naged. Sources t gs, news and othe	to be reviewed include corpo er media, NGO reports/webs	orate publications and ites, multi-sector					Course		
	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co	naged. Sources t gs, news and othe Impany feedback,	to be reviewed include corpo er media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer t	orate publications and ites, multi-sector	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe		
	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu	naged. Sources t gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie	to be reviewed include corpor er media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer t ws.	orate publications and ites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG	NEGL 0-10	Low 10-20	Medium 20-30	High 30-40	40+		
ESG Book	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu The ESG sco	naged. Sources to gs, news and othe ampany feedback, uality & peer review ore identifies su	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer t ws. ustainable companies the	orate publications and ites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s	Low 10-20 core is calcul	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight	High 30-40 ed sum of the	40+ features		
ESG Book	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu The ESG so positioned to	naged. Sources to gs, news and othe empany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies su o outperform ove	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer to ws. ustainable companies the er the long term. The me	orate publications and ites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base	Medium 20-30 ated as a weightd weights. The s	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	40+ features		
ESG Book	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu The ESG sco positioned to the principle	naged. Sources to gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies su o outperform ove of financial mat	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer to ws. Instainable companies the er the long term. The meteriality including information	orate publications and ites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	40+ features		
ESG Book	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu The ESG so positioned to the principle helps explair	naged. Sources to gs, news and other impany feedback, uality & peer reviet ore identifies su o outperform over of financial math in future risk-adj	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer to ws. ustainable companies the er the long term. The me	orate publications and rites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers attorn that significantly teriality is applied by	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base	Medium 20-30 ated as a weightd weights. The s	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	40+ features		
ESG Book	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, cor reports, and qu The ESG so positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightii	naged. Sources to gs, news and other impany feedback, uality & peer reviet ore identifies su o outperform over of financial math in future risk-adj	to be reviewed include corporate media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer to ws. Instainable companies the er the long term. The meteriality including informative usted performance. Matan higher materiality and in	orate publications and rites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers attorn that significantly teriality is applied by	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using ma	Low 10-20 core is calculateriality-base	Medium 20-30 ated as a weightd weights. The s	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	40+ features		
	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, cor reports, and qua- The ESG soc positioned to the principle helps explair over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG r	naged. Sources to a specific part of the specific p	to be reviewed include corporate media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer in ws. Instainable companies the territal ty including information usted performance. Mat in higher materiality and in y basis.	orate publications and ites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially is agreement of financially in the second section is a second second section to the second section in the second section is a second section se	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better policies. It uses a	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scalecerformance.	40+ features I between 0		
	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, cor reports, and qua- The ESG soc positioned to the principle helps explair over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG r	naged. Sources to a specific part of the specific p	to be reviewed include corporate media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer in ws. Instainable companies the territal ty including information usted performance. Mat in higher materiality and in y basis.	orate publications and rites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers attorn that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better policies. It uses a	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scalecerformance.	40+ features d between 0		
	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, cor reports, and qua- The ESG soc positioned to the principle helps explair over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG r	naged. Sources to a specific part of the specific p	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer to ws. Instainable companies the er the long term. The me teriality including informates and performance. Mat in higher materiality and to y basis. Itelasure a company's mat diaggards according to the	orate publications and lites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially itheir exposure to ESG rise	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his relevant ESG risks and how well	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in as and opportuthey manage	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positional dicating better positions. It uses a those risks relations	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	40+ features d between 0		
	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, cor reports, and que The ESG sor positioned to the principle helps explair over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify indus	naged. Sources to specific participation of the control of the con	to be reviewed include corporate media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer in ws. Instainable companies the territal ty including information usted performance. Mat in higher materiality and in y basis.	orate publications and ites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially is agreement of financially in the second section is a second second section to the second section in the second section is a second section se	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his relevant ESG risks and how well	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in as and opportuthey manage	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positional dicating better positions. It uses a those risks relations	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	40+ features d between 0		
	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial and a MAA	naged. Sources to specific participation of the control of the con	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer to ws. Instainable companies the er the long term. The me teriality including informates and performance. Mat in higher materiality and to y basis. Itelasure a company's mat diaggards according to the	orate publications and lites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially itheir exposure to ESG rise	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his relevant ESG risks and how well	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in as and opportuthey manage	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positional dicating better positions. It uses a those risks relations	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	40+ features d between 0		
	risk is unmar regulatory filing information, correports, and quere positioned to the principle helps explair over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA AA	naged. Sources to ps, news and other mpany feedback, uality & peer review of financial material features with rolling features with rolling aims to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer in ws. Instainable companies the rethe long term. The meteriality including informated performance. Mat in higher materiality and it y basis. Interest a company's materiality and it is a company's materiality and its accompany's materiality and its accompany's materiality and its accompany's materiality and its accompany and its accompa	at are better ethodology considers attion that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in magerials and a mixed or unexceptional sites.	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with higher relevant ESG risk sand how well anaging the most significant states.	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in s and opportu they manage	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relations.	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based n ve to peers.	features d between 0		
	risk is unman regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightli weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA AA BBB	naged. Sources to present a content of the content	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer to ws. Instainable companies the er the long term. The me teriality including informates and performance. Mat in higher materiality and to y basis. Itelasure a company's mat diaggards according to the	orate publications and rites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of significant their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of significant their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of significant sig	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with higher relevant ESG risk sand how well anaging the most significant states.	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in s and opportu they manage	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relations.	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based n ve to peers.	features d between 0		
	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explair over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB	naged. Sources to present a content of the content	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer in ws. Instainable companies the rethe long term. The meteriality including informated performance. Mat in higher materiality and it y basis. Interest a company's materiality and it is a company's materiality and its accompany's materiality and its accompany's materiality and its accompany's materiality and its accompany and its accompa	at are better ethodology considers attion that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in magerials and a mixed or unexceptional sites.	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with higher relevant ESG risk sand how well anaging the most significant states.	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in s and opportu they manage	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relations.	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based n ve to peers.	features d between 0		
	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB B	naged. Sources to present a content of the content	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer in ws. Instainable companies the rethe long term. The meteriality including informated performance. Mat in higher materiality and it y basis. Interest a company's materiality and it is a company's materiality and its accompany's materiality and its accompany's materiality and its accompany's materiality and its accompany and its accompa	at are better ethodology considers attion that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in magerials and a mixed or unexceptional sites.	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his relevant ESG risk sks and how well anaging the most significant for the state of the state	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in s and opport they manage quificant ESG ris	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relations sks and opportunities t significant ESG ris	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based n ve to peers.	features d between 0		
<u>MSCI</u>	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB BB BCCC	naged. Sources to sps, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revieure to entire the sps. news and othe outperform out of financial materials are the sps. news and the future risk-adjung features with rolling quarter atings aim to mostry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer i ws. Instainable companies the ter the long term. The meteriality including information in the second of the secon	at are better ethodology considers attion that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially is leading its industry in management of unexceptional industry peers	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG's scores using mand 100 with his relevant ESG risks and how well anaging the most side and track record of mand don its high expose	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base gher scores in as and opportut they manage gnificant ESG ris naging the mos	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positive process of the second	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based n ve to peers. es	features di between 0 nethodology to		
MSCI Moody's ESG	risk is unman regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightli weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB B CCC Moody's ass	naged. Sources to ps, news and other mpany feedback, uality & peer review of financial main future risk-adjing features with crolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree dealers feedback.	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer is ws. Instainable companies the rethe long term. The meteriality including informated performance. Materiality and it is because a company's materiality and it is a company to the companies of t	at are better ethodology considers at are better ethodology considers attorn that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially it leading its industry in management or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his selection and 100 with his selection with his selection and 100 with his selection w	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in s and opport they manage gnificant ESG ris naging the mos	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better policy unities. It uses a those risks relations t significant ESG ris o manage significant mplementation of	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based n ve to peers. es eks and opportun t ESG risks	features dispersion de la descripción de la desc		
ESG Book MSCI Moody's ESG solutions	risk is unman regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB CCC Moody's assibelieves that	naged. Sources to sps, news and othe impany feedback, ality & peer review of financial material future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree and other thanks and other thanks and the series of the series of the series and the series of the series of the series of the series and the series of t	to be reviewed include corporate media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer in ws. Instainable companies the rethe long term. The meteriality including informated performance. Materiality and it is a company's materiality and it is a co	at are better ethodology considers at are better ethodology considers attorn that significantly repalancing these anagement of financially it leading its industry in management or unexceptions industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of the subusiness model and to its business model and to its business model and the sufficiency and the subusiness model and the subusiness	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his selection and 100 with his selection with his selection and 100 with his selection w	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in s and opport they manage gnificant ESG ris naging the mos	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better policy unities. It uses a those risks relations t significant ESG ris o manage significant mplementation of	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based n ve to peers. es eks and opportun t ESG risks	features dispersion de la features dispersion de la features dispersion de la feature		
MSCI Moody's ESG solutions	risk is unman regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's assibelieves that create susta	naged. Sources to present a company feedback, uality & peer revier or eidentifies substitution of financial material future risk-adjing features with rolling quarter atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company interial features and financial formation of the company interial features and financial features are company interial features.	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer is ws. Instainable companies the retaility including informate usted performance. Mat in higher materiality and ity basis. Itelator: Leader: Average: Laggard: Lee to which companies is egrating ESG factors into shareholders over the results with the review of the results with the results wit	at are better ethodology considers at are better ethodology considers attion that significantly rebalancing these anagement of financially it leading its industry in management or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of the into a	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his selective and 100 with his selection and 100	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in s and opport they manage gnificant ESG ris naging the mos ure and failure to	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positions unities. It uses a those risks relati sks and opportunities t significant ESG ris o manage significan mplementation of ters is better positions	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based n ve to peers. es eks and opportun t ESG risks t test risks	features dispersion de la features dispersion de la features dispersion de la feature		
MSCI Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG	risk is unman regulatory filing regulatory filing information, correports, and quere positioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA AABBB BB CCC Moody's ass believes that create sustan Designed to	naged. Sources to sps, news and othe impany feedback, ality & peer review of financial material future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company internal control of transparently a	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer is ws. Instainable companies the ret the long term. The meteriality including informated performance. Materiality including informated performance. Materiality and it is a company's materiality a	at are better ethodology considers attained better ethodology considers attorn that significantly repalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these leading its industry in management of the significant their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the significant their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the significant their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the significant three significan	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his and 100 with his relevant ESG risks and how well anaging the most side all track record of mand and on its high exposition of the elatively outper G performance, o	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in s and opport they manage gnificant ESG ris ure and failure to efinition and ir forming its pe	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relations t significant ESG ris manage significan mplementation of ters is better posi nd effectiveness	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based n ve to peers. s ks and opportun t ESG risks f their strategy itioned to mitig across 10 ma	features I between 0 methodology to nities relative to y policies. It gate risks and ain themes,		
MSCI Moody's ESG solutions	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB CCC Moody's ass believes that create susta	naged. Sources to sps, news and othe impany feedback, ality & peer review or in the interest of the interest o	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer is ws. Instainable companies the ter the long term. The meteriality including information usted performance. Materiality and it is a passive as company's materiality and it is a company's materia	at are better ethodology considers at are better ethodology considers attion that significantly rebalancing these anagement of financially it leading its industry in management or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of the into a	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his and 100 with his relevant ESG risks and how well anaging the most side all track record of mand and on its high expose pjectives in the did relatively outper G performance, and on relative Estate total track record of mand and on its high expose pjectives in the did relatively outper G performance, and on relative Estate total relative	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in s and opport they manage gnificant ESG ris maging the mos ure and failure to effinition and ir forming its pe	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relationship to the significant ESG rise of manage significant mplementation of the significant errors is better positive and insufficie	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scalece erformance. rules-based n ve to peers. ss t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 mant degree of tr	features I between 0 methodology to nities relative to y policies. It gate risks and ain themes,		
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating	risk is unman regulatory filim information, correports, and question and the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a message of the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a message of the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a message of the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a message of the principle helps explain and the principle	naged. Sources to present the company feedback, ality & peer review of financial material future risk-adjung features with color for identifies and future risk-adjung features with color for identified at the color features with color features and stry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company intrinable value for transparently a blicly available terial ESG data	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer is ws. Instainable companies there the long term. The meteriality including informates and in higher materiality and it is a company's made laggards according to the Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Lee to which companies the egrating ESG factors into the shareholders over the read objectively measure and auditable data. The apublicly. (Score ratings a	at are better ethodology considers attained better ethodology considers attorn that significantly repalancing these anagement of financially rebalancing these leading its industry in management of the significant their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the significant their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the significant their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the significant their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry base lake into account ESG of the significant to long term. The significant is a company's relative ESG of the significant is a comp	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his and 100 with his relevant ESG risks and how well anaging the most side and track record of mand and on its high exposion of the control of the	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in s and opport they manage gnificant ESG ris ure and failure to efinition and ir forming its pe	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relations t significant ESG rise manage significan mplementation of ters is better posi nd effectiveness ce and insufficie	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based n ve to peers. s t ESG risks f their strategy itioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of to	features I between 0 methodology to policies lt gate risks and ain themes, ransparency in		
MSCI Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to positioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB CCC Moody's ass believes that create sustand Designed to based on pureporting main and the service of the servic	naged. Sources to sps, news and othe impany feedback, ality & peer review or in the total peer review of financial material future risk-adjing features with prolling quarter atings aim to mostry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company into inable value for transparently a blicity available terial ESG data obtal ESG Score	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer is ws. Instainable companies the ter the long term. The meteriality including information in higher materiality and it is a basis. It is a company's made in higher materiality and it is a laggards according to it. Leader: Average: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard:	at are better ethodology considers attained better ethodology considers attorn that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially it their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the second better their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the second better their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the second better the second better the second the second better the second the second better the second th	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG scores using mand 100 with his and 100 with his relevant ESG risks and how well anaging the most side on its high expose opjectives in the did relatively outper G performance, and on relative Est	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in s and opport they manage gnificant ESG ric are and failure to esfinition and ir forming its pe commitment a 6G performan 175 = good; and management	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relations t significant ESG rise manage significan mplementation of ters is better posi nd effectiveness ce and insufficie	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based n ve to peers. s t ESG risks f their strategy itioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of to	features I between 0 methodology to policies lt gate risks and ain themes, ransparency in		
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating S&P Global	risk is unmain regulatory filling information, correports, and question to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain to the principle helps explain the principle helps explain to the principle helps explain the principle helps ex	naged. Sources to so, news and othe impany feedback, ality & peer review of financial material future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company intrinable value for transparently a blicly available terial ESG data obal ESG Score its peers within	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer is ws. Instainable companies there the long term. The meteriality including informates and in higher materiality and it is a company's made laggards according to the Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Lee to which companies the electron of the companies of th	at are better ethodology considers attorn that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially it their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the singular to leading its industry base large into account ESG of the singular to long term. The score ranges from 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = 10 suring a company's perfection. The score ranges from the singular to long term.	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his and 100 with his relevant ESG risks and how well anaging the most side and track record of mand don its high exposion of the control on relatively outper the satisfactory; >50 to formance on and the specific of the control of the con	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in s and opport they manage gnificant ESG ris ure and failure to efinition and ir forming its pe commitment a SG performan 75 = good; and management	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relations t significant ESG ris manage significan mplementation of ters is better posi nd effectiveness ce and insufficie t > 75 to 100 = exce of ESG risks, opp	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based n ve to peers. t ESG risks f their strategy itioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of ti llent.) portunities, ar	features I between 0 methodology to policies. It gate risks and ain themes, ransparency ir ad impacts		
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG ating S&P Global	risk is unmai regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to positioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA AA BBB BB CCC Moody's ass believes that create sustand Designed to based on pureporting main and the service of the servic	naged. Sources to sps, news and othe impany feedback, ality & peer review or financial material future risk-adjing features with colling quarterlatings aim to mostry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company interial experience of the special exper	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer is ws. Instainable companies the ter the long term. The meteriality including information in higher materiality and it is a passible. Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Lag	at are better ethodology considers attained better ethodology considers attorn that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially it their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in management of the industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of the its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG of the control of th	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his and 100 with his relevant ESG risk sks and how well anaging the most side on its high expose opjectives in the did relatively outper and on relative E satisfactory; >50 to the present of the total register on and the period of the total register on and the period of the total register on the total register on and the period of the total register on and the period register on the total register on and the period register of the total register of	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base pher scores in s and opport they manage quificant ESG ric naging the mos ure and failure to efinition and ir forming its pe commitment a 6G performan 175 = good; and management ental, Social	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positions are those risks relatively to the series of the series	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based n ve to peers. ss t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr llent.) cortunities, ar (ESG) perfor	features If between 0 Inethodology to Inethodo		
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating	risk is unmain regulatory filling information, correports, and question and the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain and the principle helps explain the principle helps ex	naged. Sources to present an appearance of the property of the	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer is ws. Instainable companies the retriel the long term. The meteriality including informate usted performance. Materiality and my basis. The seasure a company's mediaggards according to the laggards according to the laggards. Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard: Leader: Average: Laggard: Le is a relative score meanned a publicly. (Score ratings a publicly. (Score ratings a publicly. (Score ratings a publicly. (Score ratings a publicly. (Score evalua score is based on Bloor	at are better ethodology considers attained better ethodology considers attor feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers attorn that significantly teriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of the into account	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his and 100 with his sks and how well anaging the most size at track record of mand on its high expose piectives in the definition on relative Escatisfactory; >50 to the formance on and the state of	Low 10-20 core is calcul ateriality-base gher scores in as and opport they manage gnificant ESG ris are and failure to efinition and ir forming its pe commitment a SG performan 75 = good; and management ental, Social The score is a	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better positions of the second	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based n ve to peers. sis et ESG risks f their strategy titioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr lient.) contunities, ar (ESG) perfor alized mean (features dependent of the second of the seco		
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG ating S&P Global	risk is unmain regulatory filling information, correports, and question and the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a most of the principle helps explain and the principle helps explain the principle helps ex	naged. Sources to sps, news and othe impany feedback, ality & peer review of financial material features with colling features with colling quarter atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company interial experience of the colling available terial ESG data obtal ESG Score its peers within	to be reviewed include corporer media, NGO reports/webs ESG controversies, issuer is ws. Instainable companies the ter the long term. The meteriality including information in higher materiality and it is a basis. It is a company's media a company's media laggards according to the companies of	at are better ethodology considers attained that significantly rebalancing these anagement of financially it their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in management of the significantly rebalancing these anagement of financially it their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in management of the significant industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of so its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ES' is score ranges from 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = suring a company's perfesification. The score rangeating the company's aggrenberg's view of ESG final	NEGL 0-10 The total ESG s scores using mand 100 with his and 100 with his and 100 with his arelevant ESG risk sks and how well anaging the most sit all track record of mand and on its high expose piectives in the did relatively outper and on relative E satisfactory; >50 to the ormance on and the ormance on and the pes from 0 to 100 engated Environmential materiality, ed by the pillar preserved.	Low 10-20 core is calcul atteriality-base pher scores in s and opport they manage gnificant ESG ris naging the mos ure and failure to sfinition and ir forming its pe commitment a SG performan o 75 = good; and management ental, Social The score is a iority ranking.	Medium 20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relation sks and opportunities t significant ESG ris or manage significant mplementation of hers is better positive in the significant and effectiveness are and insufficie to 75 to 100 = exce of ESG risks, opportunities and Governance a weighted gener Values range from	High 30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance. rules-based n ve to peers. ss t ESG risks t their strategy titioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr llent.) cortunities, ar (ESG) perfor alized mean (om 0 to 10; 10	features dependent of the state		

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Teerapol Udomvej, CFA FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
S Hotels and Resorts	SHR TB	THB 2.04	BUY	Downside risks to our DCF-based target price include 1) extraordinary events such as political turmoil and natural disasters; 2) a higher hotel room supply, which may result in price competition; and 3) the slower-than-expected recovery of international tourist numbers.
Asset World Corp	AWC TB	THB 3.88	BUY	Downside risks to our DCF-based target price include 1) extraordinary events such as political turmoil and natural disasters; 2) a higher hotel room supply, which may result in price competition; and 3) the slower-than-expected recovery of international tourist numbers.
Minor International	MINT TB	THB 30.25	BUY	Downside risks to our DCF-based target price include 1) extraordinary events such as political turmoil and natural disasters; 2) a higher hotel room supply and higher competition in the F&B business, which may result in price competition; and 3) the slower-than-expected recovery of international tourist numbers.
Central Plaza Hotel	CENTEL TB	THB 41.75	BUY	Downside risks to our DCF-based target price include 1) extraordinary events such as political turmoil and natural disasters; 2) a higher hotel room supply and more intense competition in the F&B business, which may result in price competition; and 3) a slower-than-expected recovery of international tourist numbers.
The Erawan Group	ERW TB	THB 4.22	BUY	Downside risks to our DCF-based target price include 1) extraordinary events such as political turmoil and natural disasters; 2) a higher hotel room supply, which may result in price competition; and 3) the slower-than-expected recovery of international tourist numbers.
Dusit Thani	DUSIT TB	THB 10.70	BUY	Downside risks to our DCF-based target price include 1) extraordinary events such as political turmoil and natural disasters; 2) a higher hotel room supply, which may result in price competition; and 3) the slower-than-expected recovery of international tourist numbers.
Bound and Beyond	BEYOND TB	THB 9.05	BUY	Downside risks to our DCF-based target price include 1) extraordinary events such as political turmoil and natural disasters; 2) a higher hotel room supply, which may result in price competition; and 3) the slower-than-expected recovery of international tourist numbers.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 15-Jul-2024 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.