EQUITY RESEARCH - COMPANY REPORT



AEON THANA SINSAP (THAILAND)

THAILAND / FINANCE & SECURITIES

Disappointing 1QFY24 profit

- 1QFY24 profit nosedived, dragged by a rise in ECLs; asset quality turned negative.
- 2QFY24E net profit to rebound depending on its asset quality improvement.
- Maintain our HOLD call with FY24 TP of THB145.

A sharp rise in ECLs with flat loans dragged 1QFY24 profit

AEONTS surprised the market with a disappointing 1QFY24 net profit of THB524m, 30% below our estimate and the BBG consensus, marking a plunge of 52.1% q-q and 15.1% y-y; accounting for 16% of our full-year net profit forecast. A sharp increase in ECLs and credit costs aligning with our estimate were the critical factors that worsened its business recovery. The PPOP increase was lower than expected at 2.9% q-q, but declined by 4.8% y-y, amounting to THB2.76b. The main growth contributor q-q was the non-NII, particularly the bad debt recovery following the aggressive write-offs in FY23. The loan spread was lower than expected at 16.90% despite an increase of 33bp from 4QFY23, due mainly to the higher-than-expected cost of funds at 3.50% (we expected 3.25%). The cost-to-income ratio narrowed to 39.70% on relatively flat q-q operating expenses with a slight increase in operating income.

Deteriorated asset quality: rising NPLs, declining coverage ratio

The 1QFY24 NPL ratio jumped higher than expected to 5.39% from 4.97% in 4QFY23, particularly in the credit card segment, mainly due to the increase in the minimum payment for credit cards to 8%. Credit costs also rose sharply to 9.15% from the abnormal low of 5.61% in 4QFY23, dropping the coverage ratio to 168%.

Maintain forecast; recovery on asset quality improvement

We maintain our FY24-26 net profit forecast, expecting the FY24 net profit to contract by 0.4% y-y, followed by an increase of 6.0% y-y in FY25 and 8.2% y-y in FY26. Regarding the FY24 guidance, the driver should come from recovering loan growth, particularly in the credit card cashing segment and HP lending. The 2QFY24 net profit could recover depending on the asset quality improvement, for which we expect the ECLs to reduce at an average of THB1.7-1.8b a quarter.

Reiterate our HOLD call with FY24 TP of THB145

We reiterate our HOLD call and FY24 GGM-TP of THB145. We maintain our unfavorable view on the unsecured loan segment with the anticipated headwinds continuing in FY24-25, which could limit business growth to protect the asset quality, and stricter credit card business regulations (minimum payment raised to 10%).

AEONTS TB

HOLD

UNCHANGED

TARGET PRICE	THB145.00
CLOSE	THB130.00
UP/DOWNSIDE	+11.5%
PRIOR TP	THB145.00
CHANGE IN TP	UNCHANGED
TP vs CONSENSUS	-17.7%

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Feb (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Operating profit	4,161	4,150	4,398	4,753
Net profit	3,259	3,245	3,440	3,721
EPS (THB)	13.04	12.98	13.76	14.88
vs Consensus (%)	-	(9.3)	(11.4)	4.0
Recurring net profit	3,259	3,245	3,440	3,721
Core EPS (THB)	13.04	12.98	13.76	14.88
Chg. In EPS est. (%)	-	-	-	-
EPS growth (%)	(14.6)	(0.4)	6.0	8.2
Core P/E (x)	10.0	10.0	9.4	8.7
Dividend yield (%)	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.8
Price/book (x)	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.1
ROE (%)	13.8	12.8	12.6	12.7
ROA (%)	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.5



1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
(11.6)	(17.2)	(29.2)
(9.8)	(13.2)	(18.5)
		889
D m)		1.3
		41
AEON Financia	al Service Co	Ltd (32%)
	187	.50/122.50
		250
	(11.6) (9.8) SD m)	(11.6) (17.2) (9.8) (13.2) SD m) AEON Financial Service Co

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Usanee Liurut, CISA
Fundamental Investment Analyst on Capital Market; License no. 017928
usanee.l@fssia.com, +66 2646 9967

Maeta Cherdsatirakul

Research Assistant maeta.c@fssia.com, +66 2646 9971 The Chairman of The Board of Directors of Finansia Syrus Securities PCL is also AEONTS's Director.

Investment thesis

We maintain our unfavourable view on AEONTS's business and reiterate our HOLD call at the current price due to:

- The credit card and personal loan segments should continue to experience headwinds in FY24, particularly the credit card segment, which should persist until FY25 on the lifting of the minimum payment to 10% from 8% in FY24.
- 2) Despite its effort to reduce NPLs and credit costs with a less stringent policy, we view this as neutral since it could lead to higher expected credit losses (ECLs) and NPL migration afterward amid the sluggish economic outlook.
- 3) We expect FY24-26 net profit to grow by just c4.5% p.a. CAGR.

Company profile

AEONTS's main business activity is providing a variety of retail finance services, including credit cards, personal loans, hire purchase, a life-insurance broker business, non-life insurance broker business, debt collection and others. As of 28 Feb 2023, AEONTS had a total of 104 branches. 31 branches are in Bangkok and the vicinity while the remaining are distributed nationwide. As of 31 Aug 2023, the company had 3.33m ready-to-use credit cards and 6.61m membership cards for personal loans.

www.aeon.co.th

Principal activities (revenue, 1QFY24)

■ Net interest income - 82.8 %



Non-interest income - 17.2 %

Source: Aeon Thana Sinsap (Thailand)

Major shareholders

- AEON Financial Service Co Ltd -32 2 %
- ACS Capital Corp Co Ltd 19.2 %
- Aeon Holding Thailand Co Ltd -8.8 %
- Others 39.9 %



Source: Aeon Thana Sinsap (Thailand)

Catalysts

- 1) Better-than-expected loan growth.
- 2) Better-than-expected spread.
- 3) Lower-than-expected NPLs and credit cost.
- 4) Lower-than-expected operating expenses.

Risks to our call

Downside risks are: 1) regulatory actions to curb industry growth; 2) a higher-than-expected policy rate; and 3) deteriorating asset quality. Upside risks are: 1) better-than-estimated economic improvement; 2) stronger-than-estimated improvement in the overall asset quality; and 3) less pressure from the market yield and cost of funds.

Event calendar

Date	Event
Oct 2024	2QFY24 results announcement

Key assumptions

	2024E	2025E	2026E
Loan growth	7.01%	8.82%	8.90%
Interest spread	16.66	16.76	16.74
Net fee income growth	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%
Cost to income	38.25%	38.24%	38.21%
Credit cost (bp)	776	782	772
NPL ratio	5.20%	5.50%	5.50%
ROE	12.78%	12.59%	12.66%
ROA	3.43%	3.42%	3.43%

Source: FSSIA estimates

Earnings sensitivity

			FY2024	
Loan growth	±2ppt	5.01	7.01	9.01
% change in net profit		(1.1)		1.1
Spread (%)	±10bp	16.56	16.66	16.76
% change in net profit		(0.7)		0.7
Credit cost (bp)	±10bp	766	776	786
% change in net profit		2.4		(2.4)

Source: FSSIA estimates

A sharp rise in ECLs and flat loans dragged 1QFY24 profit

AEONTS surprised the market with a disappointing 1QFY24 net profit of merely THB524m, 30% below our estimate and the Bloomberg consensus, marking a sharp drop of 52.1% q-q and 15.1% y-y; accounting for 16% of our full-year net profit forecast. A sharp increase in ECLs and credit costs aligning with our estimate were the critical factors that worsened its business recovery. The PPOP increase was lower than expected at 2.9% q-q, but declined by 4.8% y-y, amounting to THB2.76b. The main growth contributor q-q was the non-NII, particularly the bad debt recovery following the aggressive write-offs during FY22-23, totaling THB18.2b.

The overseas subsidiaries in Cambodia, Myanmar (already suspended loan disbursement to new customers from 24 Feb 2024), and Laos generated revenue and a net profit in 1QFY24, totaling THB396m (8% of the group's operating income) and THB26m (5% of total), respectively.

Loan growth was flat q-q as expected, but a decline of 2.5% y-y followed its significant bad debt write-offs, particularly in the personal and credit card segments. Consequently, personal loans (48%) reported flat growth q-q, whereas credit card receivables (44%) contracted for three consecutive quarters. In contrast, the motorcycle and used car hire-purchase (HP) segment (8% of total) grew aggressively for five straight quarters, following their attractive loan yields.

The loan spread was lower than expected at 16.90% despite an increase of 33bp from 4QFY23, due mainly to the higher-than-expected cost of funds at 3.50% (we expected 3.25%). The cost-to-income ratio narrowed to 39.70% following its relatively flat q-q operating expenses with a slight increase in operating income.

Exhibit 1: Quarterly loan growth, 1QFY22-24

	1QFY22	2QFY22	3QFY22	4QFY22	1QFY23	2QFY23	3QFY23	4QFY23	1QFY24
	(THB m)								
Hire purchase receivables	5,641	5,495	5,645	5,511	5,712	6,009	6,343	6,647	7,567
q-q %	-4.3%	-2.6%	2.7%	-2.4%	3.6%	5.2%	5.6%	4.8%	13.8%
у-у %	-8.0%	-7.6%	-6.9%	-6.5%	1.3%	9.4%	12.4%	20.6%	32.5%
YTD %	-4.3%	-6.7%	-4.2%	-6.5%	3.6%	9.0%	15.1%	20.6%	13.8%
Personal loans	46,194	46,218	45,982	44,878	44,361	43,999	43,975	43,421	43,639
q-q %	1.1%	0.1%	-0.5%	-2.4%	-1.2%	-0.8%	-0.1%	-1.3%	0.5%
у-у %	3.0%	2.8%	0.8%	-1.8%	-4.0%	-4.8%	-4.4%	-3.2%	-1.6%
YTD %	1.1%	1.1%	0.6%	-1.8%	-1.2%	-2.0%	-2.0%	-3.2%	0.5%
Credit card receivables	40,807	42,181	43,399	43,474	43,649	43,879	43,496	41,162	40,177
q-q %	3.8%	3.4%	2.9%	0.2%	0.4%	0.5%	-0.9%	-5.4%	-2.4%
у-у %	14.7%	16.9%	14.9%	10.6%	7.0%	4.0%	0.2%	-5.3%	-8.0%
YTD %	3.8%	7.3%	10.4%	10.6%	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%	-5.3%	-2.4%
Total loans	92,641	93,894	95,026	93,864	93,721	93,886	93,814	91,231	91,382
q-q %	1.9%	1.3%	1.2%	-1.2%	-0.2%	0.2%	-0.1%	-2.8%	0.2%
y-y %	7.0%	7.9%	6.2%	3.2%	1.2%	0.0%	-1.3%	-2.8%	-2.5%
YTD %	1.9%	3.2%	4.5%	3.2%	-0.2%	0.0%	-0.1%	-2.8%	0.2%

 $Sources: AEONTS; FSSIA's \ compilation$

Deteriorated asset quality: rising NPLs, declining coverage ratio

The 1QFY24 NPL ratio jumped higher than expected to 5.39% from 4.97% in 4QFY23, particularly in the credit card segment, mainly due to the increase in the minimum payment for credit cards to 8%. Credit costs also rose sharply to 9.15% from the abnormal low of 5.61% in 4QFY23, dropping the coverage ratio to 168%.

Maintain forecast; recovery on asset quality improvement

We maintain our FY24-26 net profit forecast, expecting the FY24 net profit to contract by 0.4% y-y, followed by an increase of 6.0% y-y in FY25 and 8.2% y-y in FY26. Regarding the FY24 guidance, the driver should come from recovering loan growth, particularly in the credit card cashing segment and HP lending. The 2QFY24 net profit could recover depending on the asset quality improvement, for which we expect the ECLs to reduce at an average of THB1.7-1.8b a quarter.

Key takeaways from the 1QFY24 analyst meeting

AEONTS's analyst meeting today (July 8) with the management team, led by the new MD Mr. Toshiya Shimakata, focused mainly on the 1QFY24 net profit hiccup, asset quality development, the outlook for the rest of FY24, and the new business that will drive its future growth.

AEONTS emphasized that the disappointing 1QFY24 net profit was partly due to the seasonal impact from fewer working days. The critical drag was a rise in ECLs following the new credit card NPL formation, which aligned with the minimum payment raise to 8% from 5%. However, the company has already projected the impact in advance by setting up a management overlay (MO) of THB120m, resulting in the remaining MO at the end of 1QFY24 totaling cTHB329m (THB200m supports the increase in the minimum payment in 2025 to 10% and the rest is for the suspension of business operations in Myanmar).

Regarding asset quality, AEONTS stated that NPLs would trend down in 2QFY24 due mainly to the planned aggressive write-offs and sales (scheduled in 2Q and 4Q), despite expecting continued credit card loan slippages to stage 3, but not as much as in 1QFY24. In addition, stage 2 loans have declined for three consecutive quarters to 3.06%, the lowest among our diversified finance coverage. Meanwhile, improving debt collection, particularly with stage 1 loans, could help offset the expected increase in credit card ECLs in 2QFY24. AEONTS reiterated its FY24 NPL ratio guidance of 5-5.50% and ECL lower than FY23. However, we maintain our conservative ECL forecast of a slight increase in FY24, with an expected decline in ECLs to a quarterly average of THB1.7-1.8b, compared to THB2.09b in 1QFY24.

For the outlook for the rest of FY24, AEONTS expects operations to recover in 2HFY24, aligning with our forecast, due mainly to the high season and the lower expected ECL, as mentioned above. However, it plans to review the loan growth guidance of 7% y-y after the 1HFY24 results announcement, following the relatively flat growth in 1QFY24. Credit cards and HP loans remain the crucial drivers for FY24. AEONTS reaffirmed the HP asset quality – domestic used cars with its selectively solid dealers and close-monitoring strategy.

AEONTS mentioned potential new businesses in three loan segments: auto quick cash (to drive loan growth), AMC (to enhance fee base income), and PICO finance (to increase the amount of new customers and support the group's ESG policy). Given that there is no guidance on FY24-25, we have not factored these businesses in and expect no significant impacts on our forecast.

Aeon Thana Sinsap (Thailand) AEONTS TB Usanee Liurut, CISA

Exhibit 2: AEONTS – 1QFY24 operations summary

AEONTS	1QFY23	2QFY23	3QFY23	4QFY23	1QFY24	Cha	Change		FY23	FY24E	Change
	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	FY24E	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y%)				
Interest income	4,726	4,770	4,650	4,622	4,657	0.8	(1.5)	25	18,767	18,802	0.2
Interest expense	527	574	511	550	558	1.6	6.0	27	2,162	2,084	(3.6)
Net interest income	4,199	4,196	4,139	4,072	4,098	0.6	(2.4)	25	16,605	16,717	0.7
Non-interest income	734	907	755	793	851	7.2	16.0	27	3,189	3,174	(0.5)
Operating income	4,932	5,102	4,894	4,866	4,949	1.7	0.3	25	19,794	19,891	0.5
Operating expenses	2,033	2,071	2,114	2,183	2,188	0.2	7.6	26	8,394	8,407	0.2
PPOP	2,899	3,031	2,780	2,682	2,760	2.9	(4.8)	24	11,400	11,484	0.7
Expected credit loss	2,094	1,949	1,899	1,298	2,089	60.9	(0.2)	29	7,240	7,327	1.2
Profit before tax	805	1,082	881	1,394	672	(51.8)	(16.6)	16	4,161	4,150	(0.3)
Income tax expense	161	218	171	273	132	(51.6)	(17.7)	16	824	821	(0.3)
Net profit	617	842	706	1,093	524	(52.1)	(15.1)	16	3,259	3,245	(0.4)
EPS (THB)	2.47	3.37	2.82	4.37	2.10	(52.1)	(15.1)	16	13.04	12.98	(0.4)
Key balance sheet highlights	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)		(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y%)				
Loan - gross	93,721	93,886	93,814	91,231	91,382	0.2	(2.5)		91,231	97,630	7.0
Interest bearing debt	64,701	65,621	65,624	63,204	64,322	1.8	(0.6)		63,204	65,063	2.9
Leverage ratio	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)				(x)	(x)	
IBD/E (x)	2.77	2.78	2.77	2.58	2.55				2.58	2.47	
D/E (x)	3.02	2.99	2.95	2.76	2.73				2.76	2.65	
Asset quality ratio	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)				(%)	(%)	
Gross NPLs (THB m)	5,541	5,810	5,854	4,534	4,931	8.8	(11.0)		4,534	5,080	12.0
NPL ratio	5.91	6.18	6.23	4.97	5.39				4.97	5.20	
Coverage ratio - LLR/ NPLs	184	174	162	183	168				183	163	
Credit cost	8.93	8.31	8.10	5.61	9.15				7.82	7.76	
LLR/TL	10.87	10.74	10.11	9.08	9.07				9.08	8.46	
Profitability ratios	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)				(%)	(%)	
Cost-to-income ratio	37.16	36.46	39.11	40.21	39.70				38.21	38.25	
Yield on loans	20.15	20.34	19.82	19.98	20.40				20.28	19.91	
Cost of funds	3.20	3.52	3.12	3.41	3.50				3.32	3.25	
Spreads	16.95	16.82	16.70	16.57	16.90				16.96	16.66	
Net interest margin (NIM)	17.91	17.89	17.64	17.61	17.95				17.94	17.70	
ROE	10.70	14.34	11.93	18.16	8.44				13.78	12.78	
ROA	2.61	3.56	2.98	4.68	2.24				3.47	3.43	
Loan growth	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)				(%)	(%)	
Loan growth q-q	(0.2)	0.2	(0.1)	(2.8)	0.2						
у-у	1.2	(0.0)	(1.3)	(2.8)	(2.5)				(2.8)	7.0	
YTD	(0.2)	0.0	(0.1)	(2.8)	0.2						

Sources: AEONTS; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 3: AEONTS - Staged loans and ECL

	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	1QFY24	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	1QFY24
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Loans and accrued interest					% Staged Io	ans		
Stage 1	82,899	85,032	83,792	83,726	91.1	90.5	91.8	91.6
Stage 2	3,702	3,565	2,979	2,796	4.1	3.8	3.3	3.1
Stage 3	4,427	5,340	4,534	4,931	4.9	5.7	5.0	5.4
Total	91,028	93,937	91,305	91,453	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Expect credit loss (ECL)					% ECL to st	aged loans		
Stage 1	5,564	4,398	3,748	3,532	6.7	5.2	4.5	4.2
Stage 2	1,917	1,658	1,301	1,248	51.8	46.5	43.7	44.6
Stage 3	3,470	4,069	3,239	3,509	78.4	76.2	71.4	71.2
Total	10,951	10,125	8,288	8,289	12.0	10.8	9.1	9.1
LLR / Loans								
Stage 1	6.71	5.17	4.47	4.22				
Stage 2	51.80	46.51	43.67	44.63				
Stage 3	78.38	76.20	71.43	71.17				
Total	12.03	10.78	9.08	9.06				
NPL / TL	4.86	5.69	4.97	5.39				
LLR / NPL	247	190	183	168				
NPLs + stage 2 loans / total loans	8.93	9.48	8.23	8.45				
LLR / (NPLs + stage 2 loans)	135	114	110	107				

Sources: AEONTS; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 4: GGM-based 2024 TP

Gordon Growth Model	2024E
Sustainable ROE	12.7%
g	3.0%
ROE-g	9.7%
Beta	1.2
Risk free rate	3.0%
Risk premium	6.0%
COE	10.0%
COE-g	7.0%
ROE-g/COE-g	1.38

Exhibit 5: Share price performance of non-banks under coverage, as of 5 July 2024

		Pri	ce performa	nce	
	1M	3M	6M	1Y	YTD
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
TIDLOR TB	(8.0)	(12.5)	(18.9)	(17.1)	(15.7)
MTC TB	(1.1)	(4.4)	(2.8)	17.6	(3.3)
SAWAD TB	(10.1)	(8.0)	(5.2)	(15.4)	(2.9)
AEONTS TB	(17.3)	(22.3)	(24.5)	(28.2)	(23.8)
КТС ТВ	(16.5)	(16.0)	(13.6)	(17.4)	(12.6)
SAK TB	(3.7)	4.0	17.6	4.0	25.0
ASK TB	(7.5)	(20.5)	(34.3)	(45.6)	(31.7)
THANI TB	(0.9)	0.0	(10.7)	(24.0)	(5.2)
JMT TB	(9.5)	(38.4)	(52.1)	(63.3)	(47.8)
BAM TB	(11.4)	(25.4)	(14.0)	(28.6)	(9.8)
CHAYO TB	(1.7)	(21.3)	(37.3)	(42.7)	(35.0)
SETFIN	(8.9)	(15.7)	(19.6)	(23.6)	(17.3)
SET	(1.2)	(4.2)	(7.1)	(11.6)	(7.0)

Source: FSSIA estimates Source: Bloomberg

Aeon Thana Sinsap (Thailand) AEONTS TB Usanee Liurut, CISA

Exhibit 6: AEONTS – one-year prospective P/BV band

AEONTS PBV (x) 3.5 std +2 = 3x3.0 std + 1 = 2.5x2.5 5Y-avg = 2x2.0 1.5 std - 2 = 1.1x1.0 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 7: AEONTS - one-year prospective PER band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 8: Peers comparison as of 5 July 2024

Company name	BBG	Rec	Share	Target	Up	PE	Ē	PB'	V	RO	E	Div y	/ld
	code		price	price	side	24E	25E	24E	25E	24E	25E	24E	25E
			(LCY)	(LCY)	(%)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(%)	(%)	(x)	(x)
Auto title													
Muangthai Capital	МТС ТВ	BUY	43.75	50.00	14.3	14.7	11.8	2.5	2.1	18.1	19.0	0.6	8.0
Srisawad Corp	SAWAD TB	HOLD	34.50	40.00	15.9	9.6	8.4	1.7	1.4	18.4	18.2	1.1	1.2
Ngern Tid Lor	TIDLOR TB	BUY	18.50	27.00	45.9	11.5	9.7	1.7	1.5	15.4	16.2	2.6	3.1
Saksiam Leasing	SAK TB	HOLD	5.25	5.28	0.6	13.2	11.6	1.7	1.6	13.7	14.4	3.2	3.6
Unsecured finance													
AEON Thana Sinsap (Thailand)	AEONTS TB	HOLD	130.00	145.00	11.5	10.0	9.4	1.2	1.1	12.8	12.6	4.2	4.5
Krungthai Card	KTC TB	HOLD	38.50	46.00	19.5	12.9	12.4	2.5	2.2	20.3	19.0	3.5	3.6
Hire-purchase truck													
Asia Sermkij Leasing	ASK TB	HOLD	13.60	18.00	32.4	8.2	7.1	0.7	0.6	8.1	9.1	7.3	7.1
Ratchthani Leasing	THANI TB	HOLD	2.26	2.28	0.9	10.2	9.9	1.0	1.0	10.1	10.0	5.4	5.6
AMCs													
Bangkok Commercial Asset Mgmt.	BAM TB	HOLD	7.40	8.75	18.2	13.4	12.6	0.5	0.5	4.1	4.3	5.1	5.2
JMT Network services	JMT TB	HOLD	13.30	17.00	27.8	11.9	9.8	0.7	0.7	6.1	7.2	5.1	6.1
Chayo Group	CHAYO TB	HOLD	3.50	4.20	20.0	13.7	8.8	1.0	0.9	8.1	11.1	1.9	1.7
Average						11.8	10.1	1.4	1.2	12.3	12.8	4.0	3.9

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Aeon Thana Sinsap (Thailand)

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Feb	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Interest Income	19,055	18,767	18,802	20,382	22,160
nterest expense	(1,904)	(2,162)	(2,084)	(2,192)	(2,369)
Net interest income	17,151	16,605	16,717	18,190	19,791
Net fees & commission	3,015	2,880	2,862	2,893	2,926
Foreign exchange trading income	-	-	-	-	-
Securities trading income	-	-	-	-	-
Dividend income	-	-	-	-	-
Other income	261	318	312	315	318
Non interest income	3,277	3,198	3,174	3,208	3,244
Total income	20,428	19,803	19,891	21,398	23,035
Staff costs	(7,962)	(8,211)	(8,214)	(8,818)	(9,496)
Other operating costs	(253)	(191)	(200)	(210)	(220)
Operating costs	(8,215)	(8,402)	(8,415)	(9,028)	(9,716)
Pre provision operating profit	12,213	11,402	11,476	12,370	13,319
Expected credit loss	(7,263)	(7,240)	(7,327)	(7,973)	(8,566)
Other provisions	-	-	-	-	-
Operating profit	4,950	4,161	4,150	4,398	4,753
Recurring non operating income	0	0	0	0	0
Associates	-	-	-	-	-
Goodwill amortization	-	-	-	-	-
Non recurring items	0	0	0	0	0
Profit before tax	4,950	4,161	4,150	4,398	4,753
Гах	(996)	(824)	(821)	(870)	(941)
Profit after tax	3,954	3,338	3,328	3,527	3,813
Non-controlling interest	(139)	(79)	(83)	(87)	(92)
Preferred dividends			-	-	-
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
Reported net profit	3,815	3,259	3,245	3,440	3,721
Non recurring items & goodwill (net)	-	-	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	3,815	3,259	3,245	3,440	3,721
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	15.26	13.04	12.98	13.76	14.88
Reported EPS	15.26	13.04	12.98	13.76	14.88
DPS	5.50	5.50	5.48	5.81	6.28
Growth					
Net interest income (%)	6.1	(3.2)	0.7	8.8	8.8
Non interest income (%)	13.4	(2.4)	(0.8)	1.1	1.1
Pre provision operating profit (%)	9.0	(6.6)	0.7	7.8	7.7
Operating profit (%)	8.2	(15.9)	(0.3)	6.0	8.1
Reported net profit (%)	7.4	(14.6)	(0.4)	6.0	8.2
Recurring EPS (%)	7.4	(14.6)	(0.4)	6.0	8.2
Reported EPS (%)	7.4			6.0	8.2
Income Breakdown	7.4	(14.6)	(0.4)	6.0	8.2
Net interest income (%)	84.0	83.9	84.0	85.0	85.9
Net fees & commission (%)	14.8	14.5	14.4	13.5	12.7
Foreign exchange trading income (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Securities trading income (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Dividend income (%)	-	- 	-	-	-
Other income (%)	1.3	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.4
Operating performance					
Gross interest yield (%)	22.02	21.38	20.87	21.01	21.14
Cost of funds (%)	2.86	3.32	3.25	3.24	3.23
Net interest spread (%)	19.16	18.06	17.62	17.77	17.91
Net interest margin (%)	19.8	18.9	18.6	18.8	18.9
Cost/income(%)	40.2	42.4	42.3	42.2	42.2
Cost/assets(%)	8.8	9.0	8.9	9.0	9.0
Effective tax rate (%)	20.1	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	36.0	42.2	42.2	42.2	42.2
ROE (%)	17.9	13.8	12.8	12.6	12.7
ROE - COE (%)	7.8	3.8	2.8	2.6	2.6
ROA (%)	4.3	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.5
RORWA (%)	-	-	-	-	-
·					

Sources: Aeon Thana Sinsap (Thailand); FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Aeon Thana Sinsap (Thailand)

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Feb	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Gross customer loans	94,462	92,132	98,567	107,348	117,000
Allowance for expected credit loss	(10,125)	(8,288)	(8,268)	(9,136)	(9,939)
nterest in suspense	(598)	(901)	(937)	(1,107)	(1,308)
Net customer loans	83,739	82,943	89,362	97,105	105,754
Bank loans	218	63	46	55	66
Government securities	0	0	0	0	(
Trading securities	-	-	-	-	
nvestment securities	-	-	-	-	
Cash & equivalents	3,816	2,797	2,847	2,488	2,132
Other interesting assets	73	75	73	73	74
Tangible fixed assets	-	-	-	-	
Associates	0	0	0	0	(
Goodwill	-	-	-	-	
Other intangible assets	1,816	1,482	1,481	1,346	1,238
Other assets	3,054	2,820	2,997	3,199	3,429
Total assets	95,207	92,499	96,806	104,267	112,694
Customer deposits	-	-	-	-	
Bank deposits	_	-	-	_	
Other interest bearing liabilities	67,057	63,204	65,063	70,262	76,246
Non interest bearing liabilities	4,718	4,230	4,761	4,968	5,189
Hybrid Capital	-	-,	-,	-,-20	3,.30
Total liabilities	71,859	67,437	69,823	75,230	81,435
Share capital	- 1,000	-	-		01,700
Reserves	22,093	23,744	25,607	27,595	29.746
Total equity	22,093 22,821	24,472	26,335	28,323	30,474
Non-controlling interest	527	589	648	713	785
Fotal liabilities & equity	95,207	92,499	96,806	104,267	112,694
Supplementary items	33,207	32,433	30,000	104,207	112,034
Risk weighted assets (RWA)	-	-	-	-	404.044
Average interest earning assets	86,535	87,758	90,075	97,001	104,841
Average interest bearing liabilities	66,592	65,131	64,133	67,662	73,254
CET 1 capital	-	-	-	-	
Total capital	0	0	0	0)
Gross non performing loans (NPL)	5,340	4,534	5,080	5,847	6,366
Per share (THB)					
Book value per share	91.29	97.89	105.34	113.29	121.90
Tangible book value per share	-	-	-	-	
Growth					
Gross customer loans	3.2	(2.5)	7.0	8.9	9.0
Average interest earning assets	4.7	1.4	2.6	7.7	8.1
Total asset (%)	5.2	(2.8)	4.7	7.7	8.1
Risk weighted assets (%)	-	-	-	-	
Customer deposits (%)	-	-	-	-	
Leverage & capital measures					
Customer loan/deposits (%)	-	-	-	-	
Equity/assets (%)	24.0	26.5	27.2	27.2	27.0
Fangible equity/assets (%)	22.1	24.9	25.7	25.9	25.9
RWA/assets (%)	-	<u>_</u> -	20.1	20.9	20.8
CET 1 CAR (%)	<u>-</u>	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	·
Fotal CAR (%)	-	-	-	- -	•
Asset Quality (FSSIA's calculation)	<u> </u>		-	-	
	00.0	(45.4)	40.0	45.4	
Change in NPL (%)	20.6	(15.1)	12.0	15.1	8.9
NPL/gross loans (%)	5.7	4.9	5.2	5.4	5.4
Allowance for ECL/gross loans (%)	10.7	9.0	8.4	8.5	8.5
Allowance for ECL/NPL (%)	189.6	182.8	162.8	156.3	156.1
/aluation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Pocurring P/E (v) *	0.5	10.0	10.0	0.4	0 -
Recurring P/E (x) *	8.5	10.0	10.0	9.4	8.7
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	9.5	11.1	11.2	10.5	9.7
Reported P/E (x)	8.5	10.0	10.0	9.4	8.7
Dividend yield (%)	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.8
Price/book (x)	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.1
Price/tangible book (x)	-	-	-	-	
Price/tangible book @ target price (x)	-	-	-	-	

Sources: Aeon Thana Sinsap (Thailand); FSSIA estimates

AEON Thana Sinsap (Thailand) (AEONTS TB)

Exhibit 9: FSSIA ESG score implication

33.86 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
***	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 10: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA	Domestic ratings						Global ratings					Bloomberg		
	ESG score	DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
AEONTS	33.86				4.00	3.00		Medium	40.10	BBB		27.64	17.00		
BAM	55.19		Υ	Υ	5.00	4.00	Certified	Medium	47.11	В		49.29	14.00	2.88	51.77
JMT	35.60				4.00	5.00	Declared	Medium		BBB		12.70	13.00		39.90
KTC	71.80		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	57.22	Α		64.48	59.00	4.96	57.09
SAWAD	46.52		Y	Y	4.00	5.00		Medium	43.97	ВВ		20.18	13.00	1.93	40.04

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 11: ESG disclosure from the company's one report

FY ending Feb 28	FY 2022	FY ending Feb 28	FY 2022
Environmental		Governance	
Climate change policy		Board size / Independent directors (ID) / Female	12 / 4 / 2
Climate change opportunities discussed		No. of board meetings for the year / % attendance	12 / 98.61%
GHG scope 2 location-based policy	Yes	Company conducts board evaluations	Yes
Biodiversity policy		Number of non-executive directors on board	2
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Director share ownership guidelines	No
Electricity used	Yes	Board age limit	No
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel		Age of the youngest / oldest director	61 / 75
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Number of executives / female	11 / 3
Water policy	Yes	Executive share ownership guidelines	No
Water consumption	1,133.13	Size of audit committee / ID	3/3
Social		Audit committee meetings	10
Human rights policy	Yes	Audit committee meeting attendance (%)	100
Policy against child labor		Size of compensation committee	-
Quality assurance and recall policy	Yes	Number of compensation committee meetings	
Consumer data protection policy	Yes	Compensation committee meeting attendance (%)	
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Size of nomination committee / ID	
Gender pay gap breakout		Number of nomination committee meetings	
Pct women in workforce	Yes	Nomination committee meeting attendance (%)	
Business ethics policy	Yes	Board compensation (THB m)	24.21
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Auditor fee (THB m)	7.59
Health and safety policy	Yes	(Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Jaiyos Audit Company	
Lost time incident rate - employees		Limited)	
Training policy	Yes		
Fair remuneration policy	Yes		
Number of employees - CSR			
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	324		
Social supply chain management			

 $Source: FSSIA \hbox{'s compilation}$

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodolog	у			Rating							
The Dow			transparent rules-base	ed component selection	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate							
Jones			panies' Total Sustainal		Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Globa							
Sustainability				pility Assessment (CSA).			of the S&P Glob					
Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	Only the top- inclusion.	ranked compa	anies within each indus	stry are selected for	scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.							
				10 : 1:								
Sustainability Investment			ility in Environmental ar	ance, updated annually.	To be eligible for <u>THSI inclusion</u> , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of D							
List (THSI)			•	th two crucial conditions:	during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted aga							
by The Stock				executives; and 2) free	nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETTHSI Index is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) marke capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity							
Exchange of				must be >15% of paid-								
Thailand (SET)		, ,	ualitying criteria include stors and free float viola	: 1) CG score of below			o150b); 2) free fi it least 9 out of 1:					
(social & environmenta		Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight							
	negative terri	tory; and 5) ea	arnings in red for > 3 y	ears in the last 5 years.	maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.							
CG Score			h in sustainable develo				ories: 5 for Excell					
by Thai Institute of			with support from the S				-79), 2 for Fair (6					
Directors		n of operations		tive of a third party, not			w 50. Weightings olders (weight 2					
Association							sure & transpare					
(Thai IOD)					responsibilities	(35%).						
AGM level			vhich shareholders' righ				four categories:					
By Thai			I into business operatio		Very Good (90-	99), 3 for Fair	(80-89), and not	rated for scor	es below 79.			
Investors Association				portant elements of two nually. The assessment								
(TIA) with				g (45%), at the meeting								
support from			meeting (10%). (The first	t assesses 1) advance tating how voting rights can be								
the SEC				meetings; 2) transparency								
			ess for Q&A. The third invol es, resolutions and voting i	ves the meeting minutes that								
The: CAC				·	Th			6!6	:			
Thai CAC By Thai			Checklist include corrup ols, and the monitoring	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ed by a committe					
Private Sector		•	is good for three years.		passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.							
Collective	(Companies de	ciding to becom	ne a CAC certified member	start by submitting a								
Action Against			an 18-month deadline to s essment, in place of policy	ubmit the CAC Checklist for and control, training of								
Corruption (CAC)	managers and	employees, esta	ablishment of whistleblowin									
		of policies to all	•									
Morningstar Sustainalytics			sk rating provides an o	verall company score any's exposure to ESG			score is the sum		d risk. The			
Sustamarytics			s to be reviewed include co		more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. NEGL Low Medium High Severe							
			ner media, NGO reports/we									
		inpany leedback lality & peer revie	k, ESG controversies, issue iews.	er reeuback on drait ESG	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+			
ESG Book	The ESC and	ro identifica o	uotainabla aamnaniaa	that are better								
LSG BOOK			sustainable companies ver the long term. The	methodology considers	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.							
				mation that significantly								
	helps explain	future risk-ad	djusted performance. M									
	helps explain over-weightir	ı future risk-ad ng features wit	th higher materiality an									
MSCI	helps explain over-weightin weights on a	future risk-ad ng features wit rolling quarter	th higher materiality an rly basis.	d rebalancing these		re and opport	unitios Itures a	rules-based m	nethodology to			
<u>MSCI</u>	helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra	n future risk-ad ng features wit rolling quarter atings aim to n	th higher materiality and rly basis. measure a company's r		relevant ESG ris				nethodology to			
MSCI	helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra	n future risk-ad ng features wit rolling quarter atings aim to n	th higher materiality an irly basis. measure a company's r nd laggards according t	d rebalancing these management of financially to their exposure to ESG ri	relevant ESG ris sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	nethodology to			
MSCI	helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus	n future risk-ading features wit rolling quarter atings aim to n stry leaders an 8.571-10.000	th higher materiality an irly basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to Leader:	d rebalancing these management of financially	relevant ESG ris sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	nethodology to			
MSCI	helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA	a future risk-ad ng features wit rolling quarter atings aim to n stry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570	th higher materiality an rly basis. measure a company's r nd laggards according t 0 Leader:	d rebalancing these management of financially to their exposure to ESG ri	relevant ESG ris sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	nethodology to			
MSCI	helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA AA	a future risk-ad ng features wit rolling quarter atings aim to n stry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142	th higher materiality an irly basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to Leader:	d rebalancing these management of financially to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most s	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	_			
MSCI	helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG reidentify indus AAA AA ABBB	future risk-ad ng features wit rolling quarter atings aim to n stry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713	th higher materiality an irly basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to Leader: Average:	d rebalancing these management of financially to their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in m	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most s	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	-			
MSCI	helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG reidentify indus AAA AA ABBB BB	a future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to not stry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285	th higher materiality an orly basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to Leader: Average:	d rebalancing these management of financially to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most s	they manage	those risks relati	ve to peers.	_			
MSCI	helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indus AAA AA BBB BB BB	future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to not provided the second of the second	th higher materiality an orly basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard:	d rebalancing these management of financially to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most s al track record of m	they manage gnificant ESG rise	those risks relations and opportunities t significant ESG risks	ve to peers.	_			
	helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indus AAA AA BBB BB B CCC	n future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to not place at the second secon	th higher materiality an orly basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard:	d rebalancing these management of financially to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most s al track record of m	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos	those risks relations that the second opportunities the significant ESG rise to manage significant the significant that the significant	ve to peers. es sks and opportur t ESG risks	nities relative to			
Moody's ESG	helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's asset	n future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to notry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degi	th higher materiality an orly basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: Laggard:	management of financially to their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in manifer a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base as take into account ESG o	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most si al track record of me ed on its high expos bjectives in the d	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos ure and failure to	those risks relations and opportunities the significant ESG risks of manage significant mplementation of	ve to peers. ss sks and opportur t ESG risks their strategy	nities relative to			
	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA ABBB BB BCCC	future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to notry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 resees the degral a company in	th higher materiality an rity basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to the company's rad laggards according to the company's rad laggards according to the companies of the companies regrating ESG factors	d rebalancing these management of financially to their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most si al track record of me ed on its high expos bjectives in the d	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos ure and failure to	those risks relations and opportunities to significant ESG rise to manage significant mplementation of	ve to peers. ss sks and opportur t ESG risks their strategy	nities relative to			
Moody's ESG solutions	helps explain over-weightir weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's asso believes that create sustain	n future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to notry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 cesses the degral a company in nable value for	th higher materiality an orly basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to Leader: Average: Laggard: Jree to which companie tegrating ESG factors or shareholders over the	management of financially to their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in meaning a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base as take into account ESG of into its business model and a medium to long term.	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most si al track record of me ed on its high expos bjectives in the d d relatively outpe	they manage gnificant ESG risting anaging the most ture and failure to efinition and informing its performing its performance in the property of the property	those risks relations that the second opportunities to significant ESG rise to manage significant mplementation of the significant is better positive.	ve to peers. ss ks and opportur t ESG risks their strategy tioned to mitig	policies. It gate risks and			
Moody's ESG	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA ABBB BB CCC Moody's assibelieves that create sustail	n future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to not the leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 resees the degral a company in nable value for transparently a	th higher materiality an orly basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to the companies. Leader: Laggard: Laggard: pree to which companies tegrating ESG factors or shareholders over the and objectively measure.	management of financially to their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in meaning a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base as take into account ESG of into its business model and	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most statement and track record of medium and the skyling record of the skyling record	they manage gnificant ESG rist anaging the most ure and failure to efinition and irreforming its percommitment a	those risks relationships the season opportunities to significant ESG risks on manage significant mplementation of the significant positions is better position of the significant mplementation of the significant mplementation of the significant mplementation of the significant management is significant management and significant management	ve to peers. ss t ESG risks t their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma	policies. It gate risks and in themes,			
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG	helps explain over-weightin over-weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's asset believes that create sustain Designed to based on put	future risk-ading features wit rolling quarter atings aim to nutry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the deging a company in nable value fo transparently a colicly available	th higher materiality an orly basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to be laggards. Leader: Laggard: Laggard: Jaggard:	management of financially to their exposure to ESG rilleading its industry in manual a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of into its business model and the medium to long term.	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most stall track record of mode on its high expossiblectives in the did relatively outpets of performance, 100 on relative E	they manage gnificant ESG rist anaging the most ure and failure to efinition and irreforming its percommitment a SG performan	those risks relatively sks and opportunities to significant ESG risks of manage significant manage significa	ve to peers. sks and opportunt t ESG risks t their strategy titioned to mitig across 10 mant degree of tr	policies. It gate risks and in themes,			
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify indus AAA AA ABBB BB BCCC Moody's assibelieves that create sustain Designed to based on put reporting material solutions.	a future risk-ading features with rolling quarter atings aim to not the leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 resess the degral a company in a nable value for transparently a colicly available terial ESG date	th higher materiality an rity basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to the companie of the compan	management of financially to their exposure to ESG rileading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base as take into account ESG of into its business model and e medium to long term. The a company's relative ES he score ranges from 0 to	relevant ESG rissks and how well anaging the most statement and track record of medium and track recor	they manage gnificant ESG rist anaging the most ure and failure to efinition and informing its percommitment a SG performant of 75 = good; and	those risks relations that the second of the	ve to peers. ss t ESG risks their strategy tioned to miti across 10 ma nt degree of ti	policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in			
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's asset believes that create sustain Designed to based on put reporting mar	future risk-ading features wit rolling quarter atings aim to nutry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the deginal company in nable value for transparently a colicly available terial ESG dataset with the color of the color o	th higher materiality an rly basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to the laggards according to the laggards according to the laggards according to the laggards. Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Jupice to which companie the grating ESG factors or shareholders over the land objectively measure and auditable data. The laguality of the laggards are is a relative score merely and some laguality. (Score ratings are is a relative score merely basis.)	management of financially to their exposure to ESG rilleading its industry in manual amixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of into its business model and the medium to long term. The acompany's relative ES he score ranges from 0 to sare 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most statement and track record of most all tracks and track record of most all tracks and tracks all tracks and trac	they manage gnificant ESG rist anaging the most ure and failure to effinition and irreforming its percommitment a SG performant of 75 = good; and management	those risks relations that the second of the	ve to peers. ss t ESG risks their strategy tioned to miti across 10 ma nt degree of ti	policies. It pate risks and in themes, ansparency in			
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's asset believes that create sustain Designed to based on put reporting mar	future risk-ading features wit rolling quarter atings aim to nutry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the deginal company in nable value for transparently a colicly available terial ESG dataset with the color of the color o	th higher materiality an rrly basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to the laggards. Average: Laggard: Laggard: Jupice to which companie the grating ESG factors or shareholders over the land objectively measure and auditable data. The laggards and auditable data. The laggards and auditable data and the laggards are is a relative score main the same industry climbers. Bloomberg score eval	management of financially to their exposure to ESG rileading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base as take into account ESG of into its business model and the medium to long term. The a company's relative ES he score ranges from 0 to sare 0 to 25 = poor, >25 to 50 are suring a company's perfassification. The score ranguating the company's agg	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most statement and track record of most at track record on its high expossible control on relative E satisfactory; >50 to remance on and ges from 0 to 100 regated Environr	they manage gnificant ESG rist anaging the most ure and failure to effinition and irreforming its percommitment a SG performant of 75 = good; and management to the property of the property o	those risks relatively the sks and opportunities to manage significant and effectiveness are and insufficient to 100 = exception of ESG risks, opposed and Governance	ve to peers. sks and opportunite t ESG risks t their strategy titioned to mitig across 10 mant degree of to lilent.) portunities, ar (ESG) perfor	policies. It gate risks and in themes, cansparency in d impacts			
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating S&P Global	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's assibelieves that create sustain Designed to based on put reporting main The S&P Glocompared to	future risk-ading features wit rolling quarter atings aim to nutry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the deginal company in nable value for transparently a colicly available terial ESG dataset with the color of the color o	th higher materiality an rily basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to the laggards. Laggard: Laggard: Jaggard: Jag	management of financially to their exposure to ESG rileading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base as take into account ESG or into its business model and the medium to long term. The acompany's relative ESG he score ranges from 0 to see a company's relative ESG or are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 to 25 = poor; >25	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most si al track record of med on its high exposiblectives in the did relatively outpets of performance, 100 on relative E = satisfactory; >50 to formance on and ges from 0 to 100 regated Environmancial materiality.	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mosure and failure to efinition and irreforming its percommitment a SG performan or 75 = good; and management or m	those risks relatively the sks and opportunities to manage significant and effectiveness in the sks and insufficies to 100 = except of ESG risks, opportunities of the sks and opportunities o	ve to peers. sks and opportun t ESG risks their strategy titioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr llent.) portunities, ar (ESG) perfor alized mean (policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in d impacts			
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating S&P Global Bloomberg	helps explain over-weightin over-weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's associated based on put reporting mather the S&P Glocompared to ESG Score	a future risk-ading features wit rolling quarter atings aim to nutry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the deginal company in nable value for transparently a colicly available terial ESG data abal ESG Scorits peers within	th higher materiality an rly basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to be laggards according to be laggards according to be laggards. Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Laggard: Jaggard:	management of financially to their exposure to ESG riseleading its industry in manual a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry baseles take into account ESG of into its business model and e medium to long term. The a company's relative ES he score ranges from 0 to sare 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 easuring a company's perfassification. The score rangluating the company's aggromberg's view of ESG finale the weights are determined.	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most state at track record of most at track record on its high expossible control on relative E = satisfactory; >50 to the commance on and the satisfactory; >50 to the s	they manage gnificant ESG rist anaging the most ure and failure to effinition and irreforming its percommitment a SG performant of 75 = good; and management of the score is a riority ranking.	those risks relatively sks and opportunities to significant ESG risks of manage significant manage significa	ve to peers. sis sks and opportunit t ESG risks t their strategy titioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr llent.) portunities, ar (ESG) perfor ralized mean (om 0 to 10; 10	policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in d impacts mance. The power mean) is the best.			
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating S&P Global	helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG raidentify industrial AAA AA AA BBB BB BCCC Moody's assibelieves that create sustain Designed to based on put reporting main The S&P Glocompared to	a future risk-ading features wit rolling quarter atings aim to nutry leaders an 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 0.000-1.428 esses the deginal company in nable value for transparently a colicly available terial ESG data abal ESG Scorits peers within	th higher materiality an rily basis. measure a company's rad laggards according to the laggards. Laggard: Laggard: Jaggard: Jag	management of financially to their exposure to ESG rileading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base as take into account ESG or into its business model and the medium to long term. The acompany's relative ESG he score ranges from 0 to see a company's relative ESG or are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 to 25 = poor; >25	relevant ESG ris sks and how well anaging the most si al track record of med on its high exposiblectives in the did relatively outpet of performance, 100 on relative Estatisfactory; >50 to ormance on and ges from 0 to 100 regated Environmancial materiality, led by the pillar perges ESG score.	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mosure and failure to efinition and irreforming its percommitment a SG performan or 75 = good; and management of the score is a riority ranking. The score ranking.	those risks relatives the sks and opportunities the significant ESG risks of manage significant mplementation of the significant mplementation	ve to peers. ss sks and opportun t ESG risks t their strategy titioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr llent.) portunities, ar (ESG) perfor alized mean (om 0 to 10; 10 one to 100 for	policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in d impacts mance. The power mean) is the best. disclosure of			

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Usanee Liurut, CISA FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Aeon Thana Sinsap (Thailand	i) AEONTS TB	THB 130.00	HOLD	Downside risks are: 1) regulatory actions to curb industry growth; 2) a higher-than- expected policy rate; and 3) deteriorating asset quality. Upside risks are: 1) better-than- estimated economic improvement; 2) stronger-than-estimated improvement in the overall asset quality; and 3) less pressure from the market yield and cost of funds.
Ngern Tid Lor	TIDLOR TB	THB 18.50	BUY	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) the expansion into auto-title loans by the Government Savings Bank and Auto X (subsidiary of SCB X); 2) further weakening asset quality could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 3) tighter supervision from related regulators.
Muangthai Capital	МТС ТВ	THB 43.75	BUY	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) a further weakening of asset quality that could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) changes in financial regulations by the Bank of Thailand and the Office of Consumer Protection Board.
Srisawad Corp	SAWAD TB	THB 34.50	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) a further weakening of asset quality that could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) changes in financial regulations by the Bank of Thailand and the Office of Consumer Protection Board. Upside risks include 1) a faster-than-expected decline in losses on sales of repossessed cars for SCAP; and 2) an interest rate downtrend could push its interest spread.
Krungthai Card	КТС ТВ	THB 38.50	HOLD	Downside risks are: 1) regulatory actions to curb industry growth; 2) a higher-than- expected policy rate; and 3) deteriorating asset quality. Upside risks are: 1) better-than- estimated economic improvement; 2) stronger-than-estimated improvement in the overall asset quality; and 3) less pressure from the market yield and cost of funds.
Saksiam Leasing	SAK TB	THB 5.25	HOLD	Upside risks to our GGM-derived TP include 1) loan expansion following the broad-based economic recovery 2) a reduction in cost of funds due mainly to the downward interest rate trend and 3) an accelerated decrease in credit costs owing to the rise in consumers purchasing power. Downside risks include 1) competition from existing and new players 2) regulatory changes by the Bank of Thailand (BoT) and 3) a slower-than-expected reduction in its cost of funds due to a shift toward more long-term loans.
Asia Sermkij Leasing PCL	ASK TB	THB 13.60	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-derived TP include 1) an economic slowdown, especially for logistics activities and private investment; 2) deteriorating asset quality; and 3) changes in financial regulations from the Bank of Thailand. Upside risks include 1) a better-than-expected macroeconomic improvement; 2) downtrend of policy rate could reduce cost of funds and enhance interest spreads; and 3) a faster-than-expected decline in ECL expense.
Ratchthani Leasing	THANI TB	THB 2.26	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-derived TP include 1) an economic slowdown, especially for logistics activities and private investment; 2) deteriorating asset quality; and 3) changes in financial regulations from the Bank of Thailand. Upside risks include 1) a better-than-expected macroeconomic improvement; 2) a downtrend in the policy rate could reduce cost of funds and enhance interest spreads; and 3) a fasterthan-expected decline in ECL expense.
JMT Network Services	JMT TB	THB 13.30	HOLD	Downside risks to our P/BV-based TP include 1) lower cash collection from both debt management and debt tracking collection services; and 2) higher-than-expected funding costs and operating expenses. Upside risks includes 1) better-than-expected purchasing power and cash collection 2) lower-than-expected operating and financing expense
Bangkok Commercial Asset Mngt.	BAM TB	THB 7.40	HOLD	Downside risks to our NAV-based TP include 1) lower cash collection than estimated; 2) lower-than-expected bad debt acquisition; and 3) a slowdown in the property market. Upsides include 1) better-than-expected economic conditions and debt repayment momentum; and 2) an acceleration in the demand for NPAs.
Chayo Group	СНАУО ТВ	THB 3.50	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) lower-than-expected bad debt acquisition; and 2) higher-than-expected operating expenses. Upside risks include 1) better-than-expected cash collection performance and lower pressure from ECL, 2) stronger-than-expected loan growth, and 3) better cost control than expected.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Aeon Thana Sinsap (Thailand) AEONTS TB Usanee Liurut, CISA

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 5-Jul-2024 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.