**EQUITY RESEARCH - COMPANY REPORT** 







# THORESEN THAI AGENCIES

THAILAND / TRANSPORT & LOGISTIC

# Profit to surge to 7-quarter high

- Expect 2Q24 earnings to be the best in seven quarters post-Covid.
- Offshore to be the highest profit contributor while shipping enters its high season in 2Q24.
- Maintain BUY and a TP of THB10.

# Expect a jump in 2Q24 core profit

We expect 2Q24 earnings to be the best in seven quarters post-Covid, registering a core profit of THB1.1b (+122% q-q, +134% y-y) as every business unit enters its high season in 2Q. The offshore service business should be the most crucial contributor both in terms of revenue and gross profit as it schedules to deliver more projects, and there would be less dry docking of vessels. The shipping unit should enjoy high rates. Also, since fertilization timing in Vietnam is in 2Q and 4Q, we expect higher fertilizer sales volume in both domestic and export markets.

### Shipping enters its high season in 2Q24

The BSI (Supramax) index, the reference index for TTA's vessel sizes, averaged 1,392 points in 2QTD, an increase of 18% q-q and 42% y-y in line with gradual economic recovery, trade route diversions, and seasonal factors. Since TTA's freight rates usually outperform the industry average, we estimate revenue from the shipping unit to grow 5% q-q but decline 16% y-y, reaching THB1.8b in 2Q24. However, OPEX could slightly increase due to vessel dry docking but remain within a range of 9-10% of revenue as in the previous quarter.

### Offshore to be the highest profit contributor in 2Q24

Offshore service should be the most crucial profit contributor in 2Q24. Given the highest-ever backlog of USD735m at end-1Q24, it would deliver approximately USD179m in 2Q24. The vessel utilization rate should be higher, while expenses should be lower than 1Q24 since it sent three vessels for dry docking in the previous quarter. We expect revenue from offshore service to jump 108% q-q and 205% y-y to THB6.5b. Assuming a gross margin of 15.8%, it should contribute 44% of the group's gross profit, the highest contributor.

#### **Maintain BUY and a TP of THB10**

Our estimated 1H24 core profit stays at THB1.6b, accounting for 72% of our full-year forecast. The bulk market should remain strong in 2H24 as the supply/demand balance strengthens. Moreover, an earnings revision is likely. We maintain our BUY call on TTA with a TP of THB10 (8x 2024E P/E, its three-year historical average).

# BUY

UNCHANGED

TARGET PRICE THB10.00
CLOSE THB7.90
UP/DOWNSIDE +26.6%
PRIOR TP THB10.00
CHANGE IN TP UNCHANGED
TP vs CONSENSUS +0.0%

# KEY STOCK DATA

TTA TB

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	23,976	29,793	27,694	26,606
Net profit	1,217	2,250	2,542	2,475
EPS (THB)	0.67	1.23	1.40	1.36
vs Consensus (%)	-	(5.0)	(6.4)	-
EBITDA	3,584	5,675	6,246	7,447
Recurring net profit	744	2,250	2,542	2,475
Core EPS (THB)	0.41	1.23	1.40	1.36
Chg. In EPS est. (%)	-	-	-	-
EPS growth (%)	(77.3)	202.5	13.0	(2.6)
Core P/E (x)	19.4	6.4	5.7	5.8
Dividend yield (%)	1.0	1.9	2.1	2.1
EV/EBITDA (x)	5.7	3.8	3.5	2.4
Price/book (x)	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5
Net debt/Equity (%)	9.7	12.6	12.5	(0.5)
ROE (%)	3.0	8.7	9.1	8.3



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	17.9	14.5	9.7
Relative to country (%)	22.9	20.1	29.6
Mkt cap (USD m)			392
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)			2.2
Free float (%)			69
Major shareholder	Ma	hagitsiri Fa	mily (24%)
12m high/low (THB)			8.50/4.74
Issued shares (m)			1,822.46

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



**Jitra Amornthum**Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 014530 jitra.a@fssia.com, +66 2646 9966

#### Investment thesis

We expect 2Q24 earnings to be the best in seven quarters post-Covid, registering a core profit of THB1.1b (+122% q-q, +134% y-y) as every business unit enters its high season in 2Q. The offshore service business should be the highest contributor both in terms of revenue and gross profit as it schedules to deliver more projects, and there would be less dry docking of vessels. Shipping should enjoy high rates. Also, since fertilisation timing in Vietnam is in 2Q and 4Q, we expect higher fertilizer sales volume both in domestic and export markets.

We maintain our BUY call on TTA with a TP of THB10.


## Company profile

Thoresen Thai Agencies (TTA) is a strategic investment holding company with an investment policy of achieving growth through a balanced portfolio that is diversified both locally and internationally. Its current business portfolio includes shipping, offshore service, agrochemical, food & beverage, and investment group segments. As of December 2023, Thoresen Shipping owned 22 Supramax and 2 Ultramax vessels, making a total of 24 vessels.

www.thoresen.com

## Principal activities (revenue, 2023)


- Shipping revenue 30.7 %
- Offshore service 40.2 %
- Service & comission 4.0 %
- Sales 25.1 %



Source: Thoresen Thai Agencies

## Major shareholders

- Mahagitsiri Family 24.4 %
- Credit Suisse AG, Singapore Branch - 8.2 %
- Others 67.4 %



Source: Thoresen Thai Agencies

### **Catalysts**

Key catalysts include 1) fewer ships being built, which could reduce global fleet capacity, 2) China's stimulus package to boost the economy, and 3) higher CAPEX by the global energy sector, which creates opportunities for TTA's offshore service segment.

#### Risks to our call

Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a fall in freight rates (TCE); 2) exchange rate volatility; 3) slow economic growth in China; and 4) regulatory risks, including new EEXI and CII requirements, effective on 1 Jan 2023.

## **Event calendar**

Date	Event
August 2024	2Q24 earnings announcement

# Key assumptions

	2023A	2024E	2025E	2026E
TTA's TCE rate (USD/day)	13,612	15,985	17,584	18,463
TCE rate growth	-45.6%	17.4%	10.0%	5.0%
Vessel OPEX (USD/day)	4,258	4,796	5,275	5,697
Gross margin by BU				
Shipping business	39%	26%	36%	30%
Offshore business	8%	12%	12%	12%
Agrochemical business	13%	12%	13%	13%
Food & Beverage	38%	38%	38%	38%

Source: FSSIA estimates

#### Earnings sensitivity

- For every 1% change in its TCE rate, we project TTA's 2024 net profit to change by 0.8%, all else being equal.
- For every 1% change in shipping gross margin, we project TTA's 2024 net profit to change by 3.8%, all else being equal.


Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 1: TTA - 2Q24 earnings preview

Year to Dec 31	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	2Q24E	Cha	nge	1H23	1H24E	Change
	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y %)				
Sales	6,001	6,568	6,641	6,523	10,166	55.9	69.4	10,767	16,690	55.0
Cost of sales	(4,929)	(5,381)	(5,317)	(5,188)	(7,805)	50.4	58.4	(8,965)	(12,993)	44.9
Gross profit	1,072	1,187	1,324	1,335	2,361	76.9	120.3	1,802	3,697	105.1
Operating costs	(649)	(764)	(1,218)	(703)	(1,006)	43.1	55.1	(1,229)	(1,710)	39.1
Operating profit	863	674	55	716	1,444	101.8	67.3	1,142	2,160	89.2
Operating EBITDA	1,402	1,233	636	1,299	2,027	56.1	44.6	2,189	3,326	52.0
Other income	440	251	51	52	53	1.9	(88.0)	569	173	(69.5)
Interest expense	188	178	154	185	188	1.5	(0.2)	(369)	(373)	1.2
FX gain/loss and other extraordinaries	454	139	(520)	730	0	nm	nm	27	730	2,637.7
Net profit	630	375	24	1,116	1,117	0.1	77.4	845	2,233	164.3
Core profit	477	412	(137)	504	1,117	121.8	134.4	469	1,621	245.3
Key Ratios (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)
Gross margin	17.9	18.1	19.9	20.5	23.2	2.8	5.4	16.7	22.1	5.4
Operating margin	14.4	10.3	0.8	11.0	14.2	3.2	(0.2)	10.6	12.9	2.3
EBITDA margin	23.4	18.8	9.6	19.9	19.9	0.0	(3.4)	20.3	19.9	(0.4)
Recurring net margin	7.9	6.3	(2.1)	7.7	11.0	3.3	3.0	4.4	9.7	5.4
SG&A / Sales	10.8	11.6	18.3	10.8	9.9	(0.9)	(0.9)	11.4	10.2	(1.2)
Revenue breakdown	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y %)				
Shipping	2,111	1,590	1,591	1,690	1,776	5.1	(15.9)	4,189	3,466	(17.2)
Offshore business	2,139	2,996	3,015	3,139	6,515	107.6	204.7	3,618	9,654	166.9
Agrochemical	954	1,200	1,213	712	925	30.0	(3.0)	1,366	1,637	19.8
Food and beverage	498	517	557	565	550	(2.7)	10.5	1,000	1,115	11.5
Investment	300	264	266	417	400	(4.1)	33.6	594	817	37.5
Gross margin by business	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)
Shipping	31.0	31.8	42.8	50.6	51.2	0.6	20.1	30.8	50.9	20.1
Offshore business	22.0	21.5	17.9	15.3	15.8	0.5	(6.2)	17.7	15.6	(2.1)
Agrochemical	8.7	12.6	17.1	14.0	12.5	(1.5)	3.8	8.3	13.2	4.9
Food and beverage	35.1	40.9	41.9	40.6	40.0	(0.6)	4.9	36.1	40.3	4.2
Investment	22.8	23.3	22.3	22.7	22.0	(0.7)	(0.8)	23.6	22.4	(1.2)
Operating statistics						(q-q %)	(y-y %)			(y-y %)
No. of vessels	24	24	24	24	24	0.0	0.0	24	24	0.0
BSI Index (Supramax) - average	978	912	1,286	1,178	1,392	18.2	42.3	957	1257	31.2
TTA's TC rate (USD/ship/day)	14,719	12,143	13,914	15,932	16,731	5.0	13.7	28,437	32,663	14.9
TTA's OPEX (USD/ship/day)	4,500	4,420	3,817	4,113	4,200	2.1	(6.7)	8,796	8,313	(5.5)


Sources: TTA, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 2: Revenue structure** 




Sources: TTA, FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 3: Margins by business unit** 




Sources: TTA, FSSIA estimates

### Exhibit 4: Shipping unit – revenue and gross margin



Sources: TTA, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 6: Agrochemical unit - revenue and gross margin




Sources: TTA, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 8: One-year rolling forward P/E




Sources: Bloomberg, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 5: Offshore unit – revenue and gross margin




Sources: TTA, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 7: Fertiliser price index vs TTA's agrochemical GM



Sources: TTA, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 9: One-year rolling forward P/BV



Sources: Bloomberg, FSSIA estimates

# **Financial Statements**

Thoresen Thai Agencies

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	29,321	23,976	29,793	27,694	26,606
Cost of goods sold	(21,887)	(19,663)	(23,908)	(21,880)	(20,825)
Gross profit	7,433	4,313	5,885	5,813	5,781
Other operating income	268	296	328	360	346
Operating costs	(4,076)	(3,211)	(3,456)	(3,296)	(3,273)
Operating EBITDA	5,475	3,584	5,675	6,246	7,447
Depreciation	(1,850)	(2,187)	(2,918)	(3,368)	(4,593)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	C
Operating EBIT	3,626	1,397	2,757	2,878	2,854
Net financing costs	(511)	(700)	(733)	(620)	(603)
Associates	168	179	182	191	210
Recurring non-operating income	168	179	182	191	210
Non-recurring items	1,190	473	0	0	(
Profit before tax	4,473	1,349	2,206	2,449	2,461
Гах	(22)	(19)	(6)	(18)	(90)
Profit after tax	4,451	1,330	2,200	2,432	2,371
Minority interests	8	(113)	50	111	104
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	-	
Other items	-	-	-	-	
Reported net profit	4,459	1,217	2,250	2,542	2,475
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(1,190)	(473)	0	0	C
Recurring net profit	3,269	744	2,250	2,542	2,475
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	1.79	0.41	1.23	1.40	1.36
Reported EPS	2.45	0.67	1.23	1.40	1.36
)PS	0.22	80.0	0.15	0.17	0.16
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	1,822	1,822	1,822	1,822	1,822
Growth					
Revenue (%)	32.5	(18.2)	24.3	(7.0)	(3.9)
Operating EBITDA (%)	3.1	(34.5)	58.3	10.1	19.2
Operating EBIT (%)	(4.1)	(61.5)	97.3	4.4	(0.8)
Recurring EPS (%)	(6.4)	(77.3)	202.5	13.0	(2.6)
Reported EPS (%)	21.7	(72.7)	84.9	13.0	(2.6
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	25.4	18.0	19.8	21.0	21.7
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	31.7	27.1	29.5	33.2	39.0
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	18.7	14.9	19.0	22.6	28.0
Operating EBIT margin (%)	12.4	5.8	9.3	10.4	10.7
Net margin (%)	11.1	3.1	7.6	9.2	9.3
Effective tax rate (%)	0.5	1.4	0.3	0.7	3.7
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	12.3	19.6	12.0	12.0	12.0
nterest cover (X)	7.4	2.3	4.0	5.0	5.1
nventory days	29.5	32.7	33.4	63.1	70.1
Debtor days	53.7	76.3	75.6	91.1	98.4
Creditor days	34.3	46.2	39.5	41.5	43.4
Operating ROIC (%)	18.7	6.9	12.6	11.5	10.8
ROIC (%)	13.4	5.1	8.9	8.6	8.2
ROE (%)	14.3	3.0	8.7	9.1	8.3
ROA (%)	9.4	3.7	6.7	6.7	6.5
Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted					
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Shipping revenue	14,017	7,370	7,837	10,028	10,749
Offshore service	7,906	9,629	16,751	8,234	7,260
		957	1,828		
Service & comission	986	907	1.828	1,865	1,902

Sources: Thoresen Thai Agencies; FSSIA estimates

# **Financial Statements**

Thoresen Thai Agencies

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring net profit	3,269	744	2,250	2,542	2,475
Depreciation	1,850	2,187	2,918	3,368	4,593
Associates & minorities	(8)	113	(50)	(111)	(104)
Other non-cash items	3,093	3	328	249	(48)
Change in working capital	(744)	(480)	(1,005)	(2,367)	1,936
Cash flow from operations	7,460	2,568	4,441	3,682	8,853
Capex - maintenance Capex - new investment	(3,413)	(3,027)	(4,158)	(3,500)	(4,500)
let acquisitions & disposals	(5,413)	183	(823)	(3,300)	(4,500)
Other investments (net)	793	(315)	(303)	(123)	(255)
Cash flow from investing	(3,201)	(3,160)	(5,284)	(3,623)	(4, <b>755</b> )
Dividends paid	(417)	(410)	(270)	(305)	(297)
Equity finance	0	0	0	0	(207)
Debt finance	(2,589)	(314)	91	(1,484)	(1,364)
Other financing cash flows	(475)	(650)	1	2	3
Cash flow from financing	(3,481)	(1,375)	(178)	(1,787)	(1,658)
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-		-	-
Other adjustments	0	605	0	0	0
Net other adjustments	(621)	605	0	0	0
Movement in cash	157	(1,362)	(1,020)	(1,728)	2,440
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	4,769.80	107.52	(109.16)	678.56	4,700.91
ree cash flow to equity (FCFE)	573.61	(951.96)	(750.03)	(1,422.59)	2,736.71
Per share (THB)					
FCFF per share	2.62	0.06	(0.06)	0.37	2.58
FCFE per share	0.31	(0.52)	(0.41)	(0.78)	1.50
Recurring cash flow per share	4.50	1.67	2.99	3.32	3.80
Salance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
, ,					
Tangible fixed assets (gross)	40,518	43,174	47,332	50,832	55,332
_ess: Accumulated depreciation  「angible fixed assets (net)	(25,167) <b>15,351</b>	(27,354) <b>15,820</b>	(30,272) <b>17,059</b>	(33,641)	(38,233) <b>17,098</b>
ntangible fixed assets (net)	4,309	5,566	4,571	17,191 4,220	4,001
Long-term financial assets	1,630	1,177	2,000	2,000	2,000
nvest. in associates & subsidiaries	2,888	2,627	2,627	2,627	2,627
Cash & equivalents	8,430	7,068	6,048	4,320	6,760
VC receivable	4,288	5,733	6,612	7,208	7,144
nventories	1,805	1,327	2,511	3,887	2,349
Other current assets	1,870	1,931	1,988	2,223	1,871
Current assets	16,393	16,059	17,159	17,638	18,123
Other assets	1,022	1,724	1,710	1,697	1,684
Total assets	41,593	42,973	45,127	45,374	45,534
Common equity	24,534	25,007	26,839	28,929	30,959
Minorities etc.	3,192	3,282	3,348	3,415	3,483
Total shareholders' equity	27,726	28,290	30,187	32,344	34,442
Long term debt	5,090	7,439	6,583	4,910	3,817
Other long-term liabilities	324	355	447	415	399
Long-term liabilities	5,413	7,794	7,030	5,325	4,217
A/C payable	2,311	2,409	2,489	2,218	2,111
Short term debt	4,523	2,365	3,259	3,449	2,768
Other current liabilities	1,618	2,114	2,162	2,038	1,997
Current liabilities	8,453	6,889	7,910	7,705	6,876
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	41,593	42,973	45,127	45,374	45,534
Net working capital	4,033	4,467	6,460	9,062 36,707	7,255
nvested capital Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is bei	29,233 ing treated as debt	31,381	34,429	36,797	34,666
·	J 2 40 400t				
Per share (THB)					
Book value per share	13.46	13.72	14.73	15.87	16.99
Γangible book value per share	11.10	10.67	12.22	13.56	14.79
Financial strength			4.5.5		
Net debt/equity (%)	4.3	9.7	12.6	12.5	(0.5)
Net debt/total assets (%)	2.8	6.4	8.4	8.9	(0.4)
Current ratio (x)	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.6
CF interest cover (x)	8.8	4.0	5.6	4.4	13.0
/aluation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring P/E (x) *	4.4	19.4	6.4	5.7	5.8
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	5.6	24.5	8.1	7.2	7.4
Reported P/E (x)	3.2	11.8	6.4	5.7	5.8
Dividend yield (%)	2.8	1.0	1.9	2.1	2.1
Price/book (x)	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5
Price/tangible book (x)	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5
EV/EBITDA (x) **	3.4	5.7	3.8	3.5	2.4
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	4.1	6.8	4.5	4.1	2.9
EV/invested capital (x)	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5

Sources: Thoresen Thai Agencies; FSSIA estimates

# **Thoresen Thai Agencies (TTA TB)**



# Exhibit 10: FSSIA ESG score implication

65.35 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
***	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

# Exhibit 11: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA			Domes	stic ratings					Glo	bal ratings	;		BI	oomberg
	ESG score	DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
NYT	43.79			Υ	5.00	5.00			53.86			57.61		6.57	45.96
PSL	55.45		Y	Υ	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	59.76	BB			51.00		
RCL	27.51				4.00	4.00		High	41.46			20.37	13.00	.94	30.36
SJWD	42.41		Y	Y	5.00	5.00			56.00			42.01		3.44	41.46
TTA	65.35		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	56.56	AA		50.79	25.00	3.31	56.20

Sources: <u>SETTRADE.com</u>; FSSIA's compilation

## Exhibit 12: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	_	_	4.82	3.31
BESG environmental pillar score	_	_	4.86	2.13
BESG social pillar score	_	_	7.33	6.02
BESG governance pillar score	_	_	3.34	3.29
ESG disclosure score	40.92	46.13	53.95	56.20
Environmental disclosure score	16.79	26.31	34.25	37.24
Social disclosure score	24.73	30.86	46.40	50.18
Governance disclosure score	81.10	81.10	81.10	81.10
Environmental				
Emissions reduction initiatives	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
GHG scope 1	_	_	24	35
GHG scope 2 location-based	_	_	1	0
GHG Scope 3	_	_	0	_
Carbon per unit of production	_	_	_	_
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	No
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	_	_	1	1
Renewable energy use	_	_	_	_
Electricity used	_	1	1	1
Fuel used - natural gas	_	_	_	_

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 13: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

Y ending Dec 31	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 202
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	N
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Hazardous waste	_	_	_	
Total waste	_	_	0	
Waste recycled	_	_	_	
Waste sent to landfills	_	_	_	-
Environmental supply chain management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Υe
Water policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Water consumption	_	_	_	22
Social				
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Y
Policy against child labor	No	No	Yes	Y
Quality assurance and recall policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Y
Consumer data protection policy	No	No	No	1
Equal opportunity policy	No	Yes	Yes	Y
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	١
Pct women in workforce	35	64	64	
Pct disabled in workforce	0	0	0	
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Υ
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Υ
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Υ
Lost time incident rate - employees	_	_	0	
Total recordable incident rate - employees	_	_	0	
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Υ
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	1
Number of employees – CSR	104	81	76	
Employee turnover pct	54	30	24	
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	501	296	310	6
Social supply chain management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Υ
Governance				
Board size	11	11	10	
No. of independent directors (ID)	5	5	5	
No. of women on board	1	1	1	
No. of non-executive directors on board	6	7	8	
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Y
No. of board meetings for the year	8	10	8	
Board meeting attendance pct	94	90	94	
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	1
Age of the youngest director	39	40	41	
Age of the oldest director	79	80	81	
No. of executives / company managers	7	7	7	
No. of female executives	0	1	1	
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	ı
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	
Audit committee meetings	7	7	6	
Audit meeting attendance %	100	95	100	1
Size of compensation committee	3	3	3	'
•	<b>3</b> 2	<b>3</b> 2	<b>3</b> 2	
No. of ID on compensation committee	2	2	3	
No. of compensation committee meetings				
Compensation meeting attendance %	83	67	67	1
Size of nomination committee	3	3	3	
No. of nomination committee meetings	2	2	3	
Nomination meeting attendance %	83	67	67	1
Sustainability governance				

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

# **Disclaimer for ESG scoring**

ESG score	Methodolog	V			Rating				
The Dow		-	ransparent, rules-based	component selection		nd invited to t	ne annual S&P G	Slobal Corpora	oto.
Jones			panies' Total Sustainabili				SA) for DJSI. Co		
Sustainability			l Corporate Sustainabilit		,	`	of the S&P Globa	•	
ndices ( <u>DJSI</u> )	, ,	ranked compai	nies within each industry	are selected for			fied. The constitu	uents of the D	JSI indices ar
By S&P Global	inclusion.				selected from the	e Eligible Uni	verse.		
Sustainability			ity in Environmental and				ion, verified data		
nvestment			insparency in Governance	•			icator, unless the		
ist ( <u>THSI</u> ) by The Stock			preemptive criteria, with				The scoring will b		ited against tr
Exchange of			e board members and ex and combined holding m				y and materiality. from the THSI co		so 1) market
hailand			alifying criteria include: 1				0150b); 2) free flo		
SET)		, ,	ors and free float violatio	,			t least 9 out of 1		
			social & environmental ir				n-weighted inde	x, cap 5% qua	arterly weight
	negative terr	itory; and 5) ea	arnings in red for > 3 yea	rs in the last 5 years.	maximum, and	no cap for nur	nber of stocks.		
CG Score			in sustainable developm				ories: 5 for Excell		
by Thai Institute of			vith support from the Sto s are from the perspectiv				-79), 2 for Fair (6 w 50. Weightings		
Directors		n of operations.		c or a tima party, not			olders (weight 25		
Association		•					sure & transpare		
(Thai IOD)					responsibilities	(35%).			
AGM level			hich shareholders' rights				four categories:		
By Thai			into business operations		Very Good (90-	99), 3 for Fair	(80-89), and not	rated for scor	es below 79.
Investors Association			disclosed. All form impo ents to be evaluated annu						
TIA) with			ires before the meeting (	•					
support from		•	neeting (10%). (The first as	,,,					
he SEC			on for voting; and 2) facilitati						
			s 1) the ease of attending mess for Q&A. The third involves						
			s, resolutions and voting res						
Thai CAC			hecklist include corruption	,			ed by a committe		
By Thai			ols, and the monitoring ar	nd developing of			r granting certific		
Private Sector Collective	•		s good for three years. e a CAC certified member sta	art by aubmitting a	approvais whos professionalism		re twelve highly r	espected indi	viduals in
Action Against			an 18-month deadline to sub		professionalism	and ethical a	chievements.		
Corruption	Certification, in	cluding risk asses	ssment, in place of policy an	d control, training of					
(CAC)		employees, estail of policies to all	blishment of whistleblowing of stakeholders.)	cnanneis, and					
Morningstar	The Sustaina	alytics' ESG risl	k rating provides an ove	rall company score	A company's E	SG risk rating	score is the sum	of unmanage	d risk. The
Sustainalytics	based on an	assessment of	f how much of a compan	y's exposure to ESG			higher ESG risk i		
			to be reviewed include corpo						
	regulatory filing information, co	gs, news and othe mpany feedback,	er media, NGO reports/webs , ESG controversies, issuer f	ites, multi-sector	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe
	regulatory filing information, co	gs, news and othe	er media, NGO reports/webs , ESG controversies, issuer f	ites, multi-sector	<b>NEGL</b> 0-10	<b>Low</b> 10-20	Medium 20-30	<b>High</b> 30-40	Severe 40+
ESG Book	regulatory filing information, co reports, and qu	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies su	er media, NGO reports/webs, ESG controversies, issuer tews.  ustainable companies that	ites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better	0-10 The total ESG s	10-20 core is calcula	20-30 ated as a weighte	30-40 ed sum of the	40+ features
ESG Book	regulatory filing information, co reports, and que  The ESG score positioned to	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies su o outperform ove	er media, NGO reports/webs, ESG controversies, issuer fews.  Lustainable companies the rethe long term. The me	ites, multi-sector reedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers	0-10 The total ESG s	10-20 core is calculateriality-base	20-30 ated as a weighted d weights. The s	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	40+ features
ESG Book	regulatory filing information, coreports, and quarter The ESG scopositioned to the principle	gs, news and other impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies su o outperform ove of financial mat	er media, NGO reports/webs, ESG controversies, issuer fews.  Justainable companies the rethe long term. The metertality including information	ites, multi-sector reedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly	0-10 The total ESG s	10-20 core is calculateriality-base	20-30 ated as a weighte	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	40+ features
ESG Book	regulatory filing information, coreports, and quarter The ESG scopositioned to the principle helps explain	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies su o outperform ov- of financial main future risk-adj	er media, NGO reports/webs, ESG controversies, issuer fews.  Lustainable companies the rethe long term. The me	ites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly eriality is applied by	0-10 The total ESG s	10-20 core is calculateriality-base	20-30 ated as a weighted d weights. The s	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	40+ features
ESG Book	regulatory filing information, co reports, and queen the ESG score positioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting.	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies su o outperform ov- of financial main future risk-adj	er media, NGO reports/webs, ESG controversies, issuer f wws.  ustainable companies the er the long term. The me iteriality including informa justed performance. Mat h higher materiality and i	ites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly eriality is applied by	0-10 The total ESG s	10-20 core is calculateriality-base	20-30 ated as a weighted d weights. The s	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled	40+ features
	regulatory filing information, co reports, and queen the principle helps explair over-weightin weights on a	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies su o outperform ove of financial main future risk-adj ing features with rrolling quarterl	er media, NGO reports/webs LESG controversies, issuer f issues. Justainable companies the er the long term. The medicine information ipusted performance. Mat h higher materiality and in ly basis.	ites, multi-sector feedback on draft ESG at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly eriality is applied by	0-10 The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with hi	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in	20-30 ated as a weighted d weights. The s dicating better po	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	40+ features between 0
	regulatory filing information, correports, and queries	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies sure outperform over of financial main future risk-adjing features with a rolling quarterlatings aim to m	er media, NGO reports/webs, ESG controversies, issuer f wws.  ustainable companies there the long term. The me iteriality including informatiusted performance. Mat in higher materiality and it ly basis.	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with his relevant ESG risk	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.	40+ features between 0
	regulatory filing information, correports, and queries	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies sure outperform over of financial main future risk-adjing features with a rolling quarterlatings aim to m	er media, NGO reports/webs, ESG controversies, issuer fews.  ustainable companies there the long term. The meteriality including informate higher materiality and rely basis.  neasure a company's mad laggards according to the second control of	at are better ethodology considers etion that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these inagement of financially is their exposure to ESG rise	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with his relevant ESG rists sks and how well	10-20 accore is calculateriality-base gher scores in as and opportutely manage	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relati	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based m	40+ features between 0
	regulatory filing information, correports, and quarter The ESG scr positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG reidentify industrial industria	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revieure identifies substitution of financial main future risk-adjug features with rolling quarterlatings aim to matery leaders and	er media, NGO reports/webs LESG controversies, issuer f issues.  Justainable companies the rer the long term. The me teriality including information justed performance. Mathality and in higher materiality and in ly basis.  Justainable company's mandal laggards according to the	at are better at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with his relevant ESG rists sks and how well	10-20 accore is calculateriality-base gher scores in as and opportutely manage	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relati	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based m	40+ features between 0
	regulatory filing information, correports, and quarter the ESG sct positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG reidentify industrial managements.	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies sure of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000	er media, NGO reports/webs, ESG controversies, issuer fews.  ustainable companies there the long term. The meteriality including informate higher materiality and rely basis.  neasure a company's mad laggards according to the second control of	at are better ethodology considers etion that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these inagement of financially is their exposure to ESG rise	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with his relevant ESG rists sks and how well	10-20 accore is calculateriality-base gher scores in as and opportutely manage	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relati	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based m	40+ features between 0
	regulatory filing information, correports, and quarter the ESG sct positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG r. identify indus AAA AA	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies su or of financial main future risk-adjing features with incolling quarterlatings aim to matry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570	er media, NGO reports/webs, ESG controversies, issuer fews.  ustainable companies there the long term. The meteriality including informate higher materiality and rely basis.  neasure a company's mad laggards according to the second control of	at are better ethodology considers etion that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially is their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with hi relevant ESG risks and how well	10-20 score is calculateriality-base gher scores in a sand opportute they manage gnificant ESG risk	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relations sks and opportunities	30-40  and sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based move to peers.	features between 0
	regulatory filing information, correports, and quarter The ESG scorpositioned to the principle helps explain over-weights on a MSCI ESG reidentify industrial AAA AA BBBB	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies supported from over of financial main future risk-adjung features with crolling quarter latings aim to mostry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713	er media, NGO reports/webs, ESG controversies, issuer fews.  Justainable companies the ret the long term. The meteriality including informational pusted performance. Mat higher materiality and rely basis.  Justed Performance of the results of the long terms of the	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially in their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with hi relevant ESG risks and how well	10-20 score is calculateriality-base gher scores in a sand opportute they manage gnificant ESG risk	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relations sks and opportunities	30-40  and sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based move to peers.	features between 0
	regulatory filing information, correports, and quarter the ESG score positioned to the principle helps explair over-weights on a MSCI ESG r. identify industrial AAA AA BBB BB	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies substitution of inancial main future risk-adjing features with inclining quarter atings aim to mostry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285	er media, NGO reports/webs, ESG controversies, issuer fews.  Justainable companies the ret the long term. The meteriality including informational pusted performance. Mat higher materiality and rely basis.  Justed Performance of the results of the long terms of the	at are better ethodology considers etion that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially is their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with hi relevant ESG risks and how well	10-20 score is calculateriality-base gher scores in a sand opportute they manage gnificant ESG risk	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relations sks and opportunities	30-40  and sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based move to peers.	features between 0
	regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explair over-weights on a MSCI ESG r. identify industidentify industional management of the m	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies substitution of financial main future risk-adjing features with irolling quarter atings aim to mostry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856	er media, NGO reports/webs, ESG controversies, issuer fews.  Justainable companies the ret the long term. The meteriality including informational pusted performance. Mat higher materiality and rely basis.  Justed Performance of the results of the long terms of the	at are better ethodology considers etion that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially is their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in ma	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with hi relevant ESG risk sand how well anaging the most si	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in as and opportutely manage gnificant ESG ris	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a s those risks relation sks and opportunities t significant ESG ris	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based move to peers.	features between 0
ESG Book  MSCI	regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industional AAA  AA  BBB  BB  B  CCC	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies sure outperform over of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to mistry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428	er media, NGO reports/webs, ESG controversies, issuer fews.  ustainable companies there the long term. The meteriality including informativated performance. Match higher materiality and religious to the long terms of the long terms of the long terms of the long term.  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:	at are better edback on draft ESG  at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these  anagement of financially in their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in many	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with hi relevant ESG risk sand how well anaging the most si al track record of mand on its high expose	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in a sand opportunity of they manage gnificant ESG risenaging the mosure and failure to	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relation tsks and opportunities t significant ESG rise o manage significan	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based move to peers.  ss  ks and opportunities tess risks	features between 0 nethodology to
MSCI Moody's ESG	regulatory filing information, correports, and quarter the ESG sct positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG r. identify industrial AAA  AA  BBB  BB  BCCC  Moody's ass	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies sure of financial main future risk-adjing features with crolling quarterlatings aim to matry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree ore identified in the impact of the control	er media, NGO reports/webs i. ESG controversies, issuer f iws.  Justainable companies th iteriality including informat justed performance. Mat in higher materiality and it ly basis.  Justainable company's materiality and it ly basis.  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Laggard:	at are better ethodology considers attor that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these leading its industry in male a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with his relevant ESG rist sks and how well anaging the most significant and track record of mand on its high exposiojectives in the discordance of the score of the sky of the	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in as and opportuthey manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos ure and failure to	20-30 atted as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relation sks and opportunities t significant ESG ris o manage significan unplementation of	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based may be to peers.  s  t ESG risks t test strategy	features between 0
MSCI Moody's ESG	regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA  AA  BBB  BB  CCC  Moody's ass believes that	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies substitution of financial main future risk-adjing features with colling quarter atings aim to mistry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree are lively features and the second of t	er media, NGO reports/webs i. ESG controversies, issuer f iws.  Justainable companies th iteriality including informat justed performance. Mat in higher materiality and it ly basis.  Justainable company's materiality and it ly basis.  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Laggard:	at are better ethodology considers attion that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these unagement of financially itheir exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of the int	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with his relevant ESG rist sks and how well anaging the most significant and track record of mand on its high exposiojectives in the discordance of the score of the sky of the	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in as and opportuthey manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the mos ure and failure to	20-30 atted as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relation sks and opportunities t significant ESG ris o manage significan unplementation of	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based may be to peers.  s  t ESG risks t test strategy	features between 0 nethodology to hities relative to
MSCI  Moody's ESG solutions	regulatory filing information, correports, and quarter than the ESG sci positioned to the principle helps explair over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG r. identify industrial AAA  AA  BBB  BB  BCCC  Moody's ass believes that create sustai	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revie ore identifies sure of financial main future risk-adjing features with rolling quarterlatings aim to matery leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company intinable value for	er media, NGO reports/webs i. ESG controversies, issuer f	at are better ethodology considers attor better ethodology considers attor that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these sinagement of financially in their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the sinagement of sinagement sinagement of sinagement sinagement of sinagement sin	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with his relevant ESG risks and how well anaging the most significant and track record of mand on its high exposion of the distribution of th	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in as and opport they manage gnificant ESG ris naging the mos ure and failure to efinition and ir forming its pe	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a those risks relation sks and opportunities t significant ESG ris o manage significan unplementation of ers is better posi	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based may be to peers.  ss  ks and opportunities and opportunities the strategy tioned to mitigate the core of the core	features between 0 nethodology to hities relative to policies. It gate risks and
MSCI  Moody's ESG solutions  Refinitiv ESG	regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA  AA  BBB  BB  CCC  Moody's ass believes that create sustain	gs, news and othe impany feedback, uality & peer revier ore identifies substitution of financial main future risk-adjing features with colling quarter atings aim to mistry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company intrinable value for transparently a	er media, NGO reports/webs LESG controversies, issuer f ws.  Justainable companies the rer the long term. The me ter the long term. The me teriality including informa justed performance. Mat h higher materiality and i ly basis.  Justainable company's materiality and i ly basis.  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Laggard:  Leader:  Laggard:  Leader:  Laggard:  Leader:  Laggard:  Leader:  Laggard:  Laggard:  Leader:  Laggard:  La	at are better ethodology considers attion that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these unagement of financially itheir exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of the int	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with hi relevant ESG risks and how well anaging the most si all track record of mand on its high exposiojectives in the direlatively outper G performance, o	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in as and opportute they manage gnificant ESG risure and failure to effinition and irreforming its percommitment as calculated as a second commitment as a second core in a second	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a a those risks relati sks and opportunitie t significant ESG ris o manage significan unplementation of ers is better posi und effectiveness	30-40  ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based may be to peers.  s  t ESG risks  their strategy tioned to mitigators 10 may be a serious serious control of the core of the	features between 0  nethodology to nities relative to policies. It gate risks and in themes,
MSCI  Moody's ESG solutions  Refinitiv ESG	regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA  AA  BBB  BB  CCC  Moody's ass believes that create sustain	gs, news and other mpany feedback, vality & peer revier identifies substitution of financial material from every feedback, and the future risk-adjung features with prolling quarter atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company intrinable value for transparently a blicity available	er media, NGO reports/webs. LSG controversies, issuer f ws.  ustainable companies the rer the long term. The me teriality including informa justed performance. Mat h higher materiality and n ly basis. heasure a company's ma d laggards according to t  Leader:  Average:  Laggard: ree to which companies t regrating ESG factors int r shareholders over the r and objectively measure and auditable data. The	at are better ethodology considers attion that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these enagement of financially in their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the industry peers lagging its industry base enake into account ESG of its business model and medium to long term.	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with hi relevant ESG risk sand how well anaging the most si all track record of mand on its high exposiojectives in the differentiatively outper G performance, (100 on relative E	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in search and opportunity and failure to effinition and irreforming its percommitment a SG performan	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a i those risks relati sks and opportunitie t significant ESG ris o manage significan mplementation of ers is better posi and effectiveness ce and insufficier	30-40  ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based move to peers.  is  t ESG risks  their strategy tioned to mitigate across 10 mant degree of the core is scaled and the core is scaled across 10 mant degree of the core is scaled acr	features between 0 nethodology to nities relative to policies. It gate risks and in themes,
Moody's ESG solutions  Refinitiv ESG rating	regulatory filing information, correports, and quartering for the ESG score positioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG redentify industrial management of the management of the management of the management over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG redentify industrial management over-weighting management over-weighting management over-weighting industrial management over-weighting information, controlled in the management over-weighting information, controlled in the management over-weighting industrial management over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG redentify industrial management over-weighting indust	gs, news and other mpany feedback, vality & peer revier ore identifies substitution of financial main future risk-adjing features with crolling quarter atings aim to material leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degrate a company intrinable value for transparently a blicity available terial ESG data	er media, NGO reports/webs LESG controversies, issuer f ws.  Justainable companies the rer the long term. The me ter the long term. The me teriality including informa justed performance. Mat h higher materiality and i ly basis.  The assure a company's mad d laggards according to to  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Leggard:  The tere to which companies to the grating ESG factors interested to the companies to the stand objectively measure and objectively measure and auditable data. The tand oppositions in the companies to the stand objectively measure and auditable data. The tand objectively (Score ratings and	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these inagement of financially is leading its industry in management of grant a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of its business model and medium to long term.	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with him and	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in as and opportute they manage gnificant ESG risure and failure to effinition and informing its performing its performant as GG performant and in the second	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a a those risks relati sks and opportunitie t significant ESG ris o manage significan mplementation of ers is better posi nd effectiveness ce and insufficier 1-75 to 100 = exce.	30-40  ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based may be to peers.  s  t ESG risks  their strategy tioned to mitigate across 10 man the degree of to the core is selected.	features between 0 nethodology to policies. It just a risks and in themes, ansparency in
	regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA  AA  BBB  BB  CCC  Moody's ass believes that create sustain Designed to based on pureporting ma	gs, news and other mpany feedback, vality & peer revier identifies sure outperform over of financial material feet and future risk-adjung features with prolling quarter atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company intrinable value for transparently a blicity available terial ESG data obtal ESG Score	er media, NGO reports/webs. LSG controversies, issuer frews.  Justainable companies there the long term. The me ter interiality including informations in the performance. Mathematical interiality and religious interiality interial	at are better etchodology considers attion that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these enagement of financially in their exposure to ESG rise leading its industry in management of the industry peers lagging its industry base enake into account ESG of its business model and its business model and medium to long term.	0-10  The total ESG scores using m and 100 with himand 100 wit	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in search and opportunity and failure to effinition and informing its performing its performing its performant of the opportunity and opportun	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a a those risks relati sks and opportunitie t significant ESG ris o manage significan mplementation of ers is better posi nd effectiveness ce and insufficier 1-75 to 100 = exce.	30-40  ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based may be to peers.  s  t ESG risks  their strategy tioned to mitigate across 10 man the degree of to the core is selected.	features between 0  nethodology to nities relative to policies. It gate risks and in themes, ransparency in
Moody's ESG solutions  Refinitiv ESG rating  S&P Global	regulatory filing information, correports, and questioned to the principle helps explain over-weightin weights on a MSCI ESG ridentify industrial AAA  AA  BBB  BB  CCC  Moody's ass believes that create sustain Designed to based on pureporting ma	gs, news and other mpany feedback, vality & peer revier ore identifies substitution of financial main future risk-adjing features with colling quarter atings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the degree a company intrinable value for transparently a blicity available terial ESG data obal ESG Score its peers within	er media, NGO reports/webs. LESG controversies, issuer from the long term. The media the retriality including informations in the properties of the long term. The media in the long term. The media is the long term. The media is the long term and is the long term. Mathematically and in the long term and laggards according to the long term and the long term and the long term and the long term and auditable data. The land objectively measure and auditable data. The land objective score mea in the same industry class.	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these magement of financially is their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in maging a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of the its business model and medium to long term.  a company's relative ESG score ranges from 0 to 25 epoor; >25 to 50 essuring a company's performance at the interval of t	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with him and	10-20 core is calculateriality-base gher scores in a sand opportute they manage gnificant ESG risure and failure to effinition and informing its performing its performant a sG performant and an agent and an agent and an agent and an agent an agent an agent agen	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a a those risks relati sks and opportunities t significant ESG ris o manage significan mplementation of ers is better posi nd effectiveness ce and insufficient 1>75 to 100 = exce. of ESG risks, opp	30-40  ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based may be to peers.  t ESG risks  their strategy tioned to mitigate across 10 mant degree of to the cortunities, and cortunities, and cortunities, and cortunities, and the cortunities, and cortunities, and cortunities.	features between 0  nethodology to nities relative to policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in d impacts
Moody's ESG solutions  Refinitiv ESG ating  S&P Global	regulatory filing information, correports, and quartering for the ESG scopositioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG redentify industrial management of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG redentify industrial management of the management of the ESG redentify industrial management of the ESG redentified in the management of the ESG redentified in t	gs, news and other mpany feedback, vality & peer revier ore identifies sure outperform over of financial main future risk-adjing features with a rolling quarter latings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 1.4	er media, NGO reports/webs. ESG controversies, issuer f issues.  Justainable companies the rer the long term. The me iteriality including informa justed performance. Mat in higher materiality and in ly basis.  Justainable company's ma delaggards according to te  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Leader:  Laggard:  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Laggard:  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Laggard:  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Laggard:  Bloomberd:  Bloomberd: Bloombe	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially is their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG score ranges from 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = suring a company's perfesification. The score ranget ating the company's aggriberg's view of ESG fina	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with his relevant ESG rist siks and how well anaging the most sit all track record of mand on its high exposion of the control	10-20 core is calculateriality-base the sores in a calculateriality-base the sores in a calculateriality-base the sore in a calculateriality-base the sore is a calculaterial the sore is a calculaterial to a calculaterial the sore is a calculaterial to a calculaterial the sore is a calculaterial to a calculaterial the sore is a calculate	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better pro- unities. It uses a those risks relati sks and opportunitie t significant ESG ris manage significan mplementation of ers is better posi and effectiveness ce and insufficie d >75 to 100 = exce. of ESG risks, opp and Governance a weighted gener	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based in ve to peers.  s  ks and opportui  t ESG risks  their strategy tioned to mitig  across 10 ma nt degree of tr  lent.)  portunities, an  (ESG) perfor alized mean (	features between 0  nethodology to nethodology to policies. It gate risks and in themes, ransparency ir d impacts  mance. The power mean)
Moody's ESG solutions  Refinitiv ESG rating	regulatory filing information, correports, and quartering for the ESG scopositioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG redentify industrial management of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG redentify industrial management of the management of the ESG redentify industrial management of the ESG redentified in the management of the ESG redentified in t	gs, news and other mpany feedback, vality & peer revier ore identifies sure outperform over of financial main future risk-adjing features with a rolling quarter latings aim to metry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 1.4	er media, NGO reports/webs. ESG controversies, issuer f issues.  Justainable companies the rer the long term. The me iteriality including informa justed performance. Mat in higher materiality and in ly basis.  Justainable company's ma delaggards according to te  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Leader:  Laggard:  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Laggard:  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Laggard:  Leader:  Average:  Laggard:  Laggard:  Bloomberd:  Bloomberd: Bloombe	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these magement of financially is their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in maging at mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of its business model and medium to long term.  a company's relative ESG score ranges from 0 to 25 epoor; >25 to 50 esuring a company's perfection. The score ranges from the company's aggreating at the company's aggreating at the company's aggreating the company's aggreat	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with his relevant ESG rist siks and how well anaging the most sit all track record of mand on its high exposion of the control	10-20 core is calculateriality-base the sores in a calculateriality-base the sores in a calculateriality-base the sore in a calculateriality-base the sore is a calculaterial the sore is a calculaterial to a calculaterial the sore is a calculaterial to a calculaterial the sore is a calculaterial to a calculaterial the sore is a calculate	20-30 ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better pro- unities. It uses a those risks relati sks and opportunitie t significant ESG ris manage significan mplementation of ers is better posi and effectiveness ce and insufficie d >75 to 100 = exce. of ESG risks, opp and Governance a weighted gener	30-40 ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based in ve to peers.  s  ks and opportui  t ESG risks  their strategy tioned to mitig  across 10 ma nt degree of tr  lent.)  portunities, an  (ESG) perfor alized mean (	features between 0  nethodology to n
Moody's ESG solutions  Refinitiv ESG ating  S&P Global	regulatory filing information, correports, and quartering for the ESG scopositioned to the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG redentify industrial management of the principle helps explain over-weighting weights on a MSCI ESG redentify industrial management of the management of the ESG redentify industrial management of the ESG redentified in the management of the ESG redentified in t	gs, news and other mpany feedback, vality & peer revier ore identifies sure outperform over of financial material feedback, and the future risk-adjung features with a rolling quarter latings aim to mostry leaders and 8.571-10.000 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 resses the degree a company intrinable value for transparently a blicity available terial ESG data obtal ESG Score its peers within	er media, NGO reports/webs. ESG controversies, issuer frews.  Justainable companies there the long term. The media iteriality including informative performance. Mathematically basis.  Justainable companies the media iteriality and religious including informative iteriality and religious including informative iteriality and religious including informative iteriality and religious including incl	at are better ethodology considers ation that significantly eriality is applied by rebalancing these anagement of financially is their exposure to ESG ris leading its industry in maximum a mixed or unexceptional industry peers lagging its industry base take into account ESG of its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ESG score ranges from 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = suring a company's perfesification. The score ranget ating the company's aggriberg's view of ESG fina	0-10  The total ESG s scores using m and 100 with himand 100 w	acore is calculateriality-base gher scores in search activity of the score is a cority ranking.	20-30  ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better po- unities. It uses a a those risks relation sks and opportunities t significant ESG ris o manage significan mplementation of ers is better posi and effectiveness ce and insufficient at >75 to 100 = exce. of ESG risks, opportunities and Governance a weighted gener Values range from	30-40  ed sum of the core is scaled erformance.  rules-based move to peers.  s  t ESG risks  their strategy tioned to mitigate across 10 mant degree of to the cortuinties, and (ESG) perforalized mean (om 0 to 10; 10	features between 0  nethodology to nethodology to policies. It pate risks and in themes, ransparency in d impacts  mance. The power mean) is the best.

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

#### **GENERAL DISCLAIMER**

### ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION


#### Jitra Amornthum FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

#### History of change in investment rating and/or target price



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
10-Jan-2023 10-May-2023	HOLD BUY	9.00 9.00	02-Aug-2023 04-Jan-2024	HOLD BUY	7.00 8.00	21-May-2024	BUY	10.00

Jitra Amornthum started covering this stock from 10-Jan-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Thoresen Thai Agencies	ТТА ТВ	THB 7.90	BUY	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a fall in freight rates (TCE); 2) exchange rate volatility; 3) slow economic growth in China; and 4) regulatory risks, including new EEXI and CII requirements, effective 1 Jan-23.

Source: FSSIA estimates

#### **Additional Disclosures**

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 11-Jun-2024 unless otherwise stated.

#### RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

#### Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price\* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

\* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

#### **Industry Recommendations**

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

#### **Country (Strategy) Recommendations**

**Overweight (O).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

**Neutral (N).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

**Underweight (U).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.