

30 MAY 2024

EQUITY RESEARCH – RESULTS FLASH

EASTERN POLYMER GROUP

THAILAND / CONSTRUCT

FINANSIA
FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY

FSSIA ESG rating



EPG TB

BUY
UNCHANGED

4QFY24 results at first glance

TARGET PRICE THB11.00
CLOSE THB6.55
UP/DOWNSIDE +67.9%
TP vs CONSENSUS +23.1%

4QFY24 earnings as soft as expected

Highlights


- EPG reported a net profit of only THB154m in 4QFY24 (January-March 2024), down 48.3% q-q and 38.5% y-y, ending its full-year net profit at THB1.2b (+10.3% y-y).
- There were major non-recurring items pressuring EPG's bottom line in 4QFY24, namely 1) an FX gain of THB58m; and 2) an expected credit loss provision of THB192m. Excluding the extraordinary, EPG's core profit would be THB303m (-25.6% q-q, +9.6% y-y), as soft as expected due to the higher SG&A expenses related to the five TJM retail stores in Australia acquired in 3QFY24 and the weaker gross margin of the plastic packaging unit EPP.
- Sales revenue in 4QFY24 increased 4.1% q-q and 17.6% y-y, helped by the weaker baht and higher demand in its auto unit Aeroklas and the insulation unit Aeroflex. Aeroklas received new orders from a Japanese automotive firm and started its delivery in 4QFY24. Aeroflex's new products, the ultra-low temperature insulation and air ducting system, have been well-received by clients. These two business units impressively grew their sales and gross margin amid the rising crude oil prices.
- The revenue from EPP slid 3.9% q-q from the peak season in the previous quarter but grew 7.8% y-y from the improvement in selling strategies. However, EPP's gross margin dropped 270 bps q-q and 140 bps y-y. The company was unable to pass through all the elevated raw material costs to customers due to the intense competition.
- In FY24, EPG grew its revenue by 9.1% y-y, mainly from its Aeroklas and Aeroflex units, while EPP slowly recovered. The blended gross margin slightly declined from the previous year but remained at a high level of 32.0%. The SG&A expense remained high from the Australia unit. The interest expense significantly jumped y-y from the increased interest rates. Nevertheless, its core profit increased 17.7% y-y, thanks to higher profit sharing from associates in the insulation and automotive units in Thailand, India, and China.

Outlook

- We maintain our profit projection of THB1.6b (+9.4% y-y) and THB1.7b (+6.4% y-y) in FY25-FY26. Aeroklas should remain a key driver, helped by the recovery of Australian subsidiaries, while Aeroflex should maintain its consistent growth and high gross margin. We maintain our BUY call and TP of THB11.0, based on 15.0x FY25E EV/EBITDA, +1SD of its five-year average.

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Mar (THB m)	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	13,227	13,744	14,503	15,324
Net profit	1,187	1,573	1,674	1,771
EPS (THB)	0.42	0.56	0.60	0.63
vs Consensus (%)	-	(0.5)	(3.7)	11.9
EBITDA	2,202	2,513	2,627	2,726
Recurring net profit	1,438	1,573	1,674	1,771
Core EPS (THB)	0.51	0.56	0.60	0.63
EPS growth (%)	17.7	9.4	6.4	5.7
Core P/E (x)	12.7	11.7	11.0	10.4
Dividend yield (%)	3.4	5.1	5.5	5.8
EV/EBITDA (x)	9.9	8.8	8.3	8.0
Price/book (x)	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.3
Net debt/Equity (%)	27.1	27.06	25.7	25.0
ROE (%)	11.8	12.3	12.6	12.8



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	(4.4)	(7.7)	(7.1)
Relative to country (%)	(3.5)	(6.3)	6.1
Mkt cap (USD m)	499		
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)	0.4		
Free float (%)	26		
Major shareholder	Vitoorapakorn Holding Co., Ltd. (60%)		
12m high/low (THB)	8.50/6.00		
Issued shares (m)	2,800.00		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Jitra Amornthum


Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 014530
jitra.a@fssia.com, +66 2646 9966

Exhibit 1: EPG – 4QFY24 results summary

Year to Mar 31	4QFY23	1QFY24	2QFY24	3QFY24	4QFY24	Change		FY23	FY24	Change
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y %)
Sales	2,998	2,998	3,316	3,387	3,526	4.1	17.6	12,125	13,227	9.1
Cost of sales	(2,013)	(2,049)	(2,227)	(2,258)	(2,465)	9.2	22.4	(8,134)	(8,999)	10.6
Gross profit	984	949	1,089	1,129	1,061	(6.1)	7.7	3,991	4,228	5.9
Operating costs	(746)	(734)	(743)	(795)	(830)	4.4	11.2	(2,872)	(3,102)	8.0
Operating profit	238	215	346	334	230	(31.1)	(3.2)	1,119	1,126	0.6
Operating EBITDA	487	452	581	585	496	(15.1)	1.8	2,036	2,114	3.8
Other income	19	27	23	26	12	(55.0)	33.9	69	88	50.1
Interest expense	(30)	(53)	(54)	(38)	(50)	31.3	65.3	(101)	(194)	91.6
Associates	83	82	170	101	110	8.8	32.2	243	463	90.8
Extraordinary										
FX gain/(loss)	(97)	50	23	(68)	(265)	nm	nm	(156)	(259)	nm
Net profit	250	305	431	297	154	(48.3)	(38.5)	1,076	1,187	10.3
Core profit	286	276	453	407	303	(25.6)	9.6	1,232	1,438	17.7
Reported EPS (THB)	0.09	0.11	0.15	0.11	0.05	(48.3)	(38.4)	0.38	0.42	10.4
Core EPS (THB)	0.10	0.10	0.16	0.15	0.11	(25.6)	9.6	0.44	0.51	17.7
Key ratios (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)
Gross margin	32.8	31.7	32.8	33.3	30.1	(3.3)	(2.8)	32.9	32.0	(1.0)
Operating margin	7.9	7.2	10.4	9.9	6.5	(3.3)	(1.4)	9.2	8.5	(0.7)
EBITDA margin	16.3	15.1	17.5	17.3	14.1	(3.2)	(2.2)	16.8	16.0	(0.8)
Core profit margin	9.5	9.2	13.7	12.0	8.6	(3.4)	(0.6)	10.2	10.9	0.8
SG&A / Sales	24.9	24.5	22.4	23.5	23.5	0.1	(1.4)	23.7	23.5	(0.2)
Revenue breakdown	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y %)
Aeroflex	873	900	970	950	977	2.8	11.8	3,563	3,821	7.3
Aeroklas	1,478	1,447	1,701	1,714	1,853	8.1	25.3	5,936	6,569	10.7
EPP	632	639	629	709	682	(3.9)	7.8	2,585	2,655	2.7
Gross margin by business	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)
Aeroflex	51.6	45.0	48.2	48.3	45.5	(2.8)	(6.1)	49.4	47.6	(1.8)
Aeroklas	30.3	32.5	31.1	32.9	28.6	(4.3)	(1.7)	31.4	32.2	0.8
EPP	12.5	10.5	13.1	13.8	11.1	(2.7)	(1.4)	13.5	12.1	(1.4)


Sources: EPG, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 2: Revenue structure and gross margin



Sources: EPG, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 3: Core profit and core profit margin



Sources: EPG, FSSIA's compilation

Financial Statements

Eastern Polymer Group

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Mar	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenue	12,125	13,227	13,744	14,503	15,324
Cost of goods sold	(8,134)	(8,999)	(9,199)	(9,667)	(10,209)
Gross profit	3,991	4,228	4,545	4,836	5,115
Other operating income	59	88	92	88	86
Operating costs	(2,872)	(3,102)	(3,128)	(3,321)	(3,509)
Operating EBITDA	2,094	2,202	2,513	2,627	2,726
Depreciation	(916)	(988)	(1,004)	(1,024)	(1,034)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	0
Operating EBIT	1,178	1,214	1,509	1,603	1,692
Net financing costs	(101)	(194)	(206)	(194)	(182)
Associates	243	463	423	429	435
Recurring non-operating income	243	463	423	429	435
Non-recurring items	(146)	(251)	0	0	0
Profit before tax	1,173	1,232	1,726	1,838	1,944
Tax	(92)	(21)	(130)	(141)	(151)
Profit after tax	1,082	1,211	1,595	1,697	1,793
Minority interests	(6)	(24)	(22)	(22)	(23)
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	-	-
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
Reported net profit	1,076	1,187	1,573	1,674	1,771
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	146	251	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	1,222	1,438	1,573	1,674	1,771
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	0.44	0.51	0.56	0.60	0.63
Reported EPS	0.38	0.42	0.56	0.60	0.63
DPS	0.28	0.22	0.34	0.36	0.38
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800
Growth					
Revenue (%)	3.0	9.1	3.9	5.5	5.7
Operating EBITDA (%)	(8.6)	5.1	14.1	4.5	3.8
Operating EBIT (%)	(19.2)	3.0	24.3	6.2	5.5
Recurring EPS (%)	(19.8)	17.7	9.4	6.4	5.7
Reported EPS (%)	(32.6)	10.3	32.5	6.4	5.7
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	32.9	32.0	33.1	33.3	33.4
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	40.5	39.4	40.4	40.4	40.1
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	17.3	16.6	18.3	18.1	17.8
Operating EBIT margin (%)	9.7	9.2	11.0	11.1	11.0
Net margin (%)	10.1	10.9	11.4	11.5	11.6
Effective tax rate (%)	7.8	1.7	7.6	7.7	7.8
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	64.1	42.8	60.0	60.0	60.0
Interest cover (X)	14.0	8.6	9.4	10.5	11.7
Inventory days	178.1	174.1	175.9	176.8	173.3
Debtor days	64.6	64.0	65.5	63.8	63.3
Creditor days	66.2	74.4	76.0	66.5	63.3
Operating ROIC (%)	9.3	9.4	11.4	11.4	(2.9)
ROIC (%)	7.6	8.3	9.2	9.3	(2.4)
ROE (%)	10.3	11.8	12.3	12.6	12.8
ROA (%)	7.5	8.4	8.8	9.1	8.4
* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted					
Revenue by Division (THB m)					
Aeroflex	3,563	3,821	4,016	4,242	4,436
Aeroklas	5,936	6,569	7,019	7,511	7,961
EPP	2,585	2,655	2,709	2,751	2,926
Other services	42	182	0	0	0

Sources: Eastern Polymer Group; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Eastern Polymer Group

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Recurring net profit	1,222	1,438	1,573	1,674	1,771
Depreciation	916	988	1,004	1,024	1,034
Associates & minorities	(243)	(463)	(423)	(429)	(435)
Other non-cash items	(53)	59	(1,469)	(944)	(1,071)
Change in working capital	(626)	(227)	(884)	(582)	(697)
Cash flow from operations	1,217	1,795	(199)	743	602
Capex - maintenance	0	0	0	0	0
Capex - new investment	(760)	(602)	(94)	(74)	(44)
Net acquisitions & disposals	104	27	0	0	0
Other investments (net)	(1,059)	(91)	110	(160)	(152)
Cash flow from investing	(1,715)	(666)	16	(234)	(196)
Dividends paid	(840)	(728)	(944)	(1,005)	(1,062)
Equity finance	0	0	0	0	0
Debt finance	1,422	(339)	391	(24)	(16)
Other financing cash flows	0	0	0	0	0
Cash flow from financing	582	(1,067)	(553)	(1,029)	(1,079)
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
Other adjustments	129	229	553	570	586
Net other adjustments	129	229	553	570	586
Movement in cash	213	291	(183)	50	(86)
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	(397.12)	1,323.18	23.47	703.22	588.30
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	1,052.51	1,018.64	761.37	1,054.41	975.98

Per share (THB)

FCFF per share	(0.14)	0.47	0.01	0.25	0.21
FCFE per share	0.38	0.36	0.27	0.38	0.35
Recurring cash flow per share	0.66	0.72	0.24	0.47	0.46

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Mar	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Tangible fixed assets (gross)	12,460	13,007	14,201	15,315	16,419
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(6,636)	(7,243)	(8,247)	(9,271)	(10,305)
Tangible fixed assets (net)	5,824	5,764	5,954	6,044	6,114
Intangible fixed assets (net)	1,235	1,318	1,310	1,303	1,296
Long-term financial assets	236	232	232	232	232
Invest. in associates & subsidiaries	2,782	3,139	3,139	3,281	3,412
Cash & equivalents	1,113	1,404	1,221	1,271	1,184
A/C receivable	2,184	2,451	2,485	2,583	2,729
Inventories	3,851	3,791	4,108	4,264	4,447
Other current assets	105	131	137	145	153
Current assets	7,252	7,778	7,952	8,263	8,514
Other assets	1,215	1,569	1,471	1,501	1,533
Total assets	18,543	19,801	20,059	20,624	21,101
Common equity	11,876	12,436	13,052	13,622	14,130
Minorities etc.	32	53	41	43	44
Total shareholders' equity	11,908	12,489	13,093	13,664	14,174
Long term debt	2,969	2,990	3,160	3,138	2,528
Other long-term liabilities	536	590	591	609	613
Long-term liabilities	3,506	3,580	3,751	3,747	3,141
A/C payable	1,415	1,850	1,563	1,589	1,594
Short term debt	1,658	1,803	1,672	1,640	2,204
Other current liabilities	56	79	76	79	84
Current liabilities	3,130	3,732	3,311	3,308	3,882
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	18,543	19,801	20,155	20,720	21,197
Net working capital	4,667	4,446	5,093	5,323	5,651
Invested capital	15,958	16,468	17,199	17,684	18,238

* Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being treated as debt

Per share (THB)

Book value per share	4.24	4.44	4.66	4.86	5.05
Tangible book value per share	3.80	3.97	4.19	4.40	4.58

Financial strength

Net debt/equity (%)	29.5	27.1	27.6	25.7	25.0
Net debt/total assets (%)	19.0	17.1	18.0	17.0	16.8
Current ratio (x)	2.3	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.2
CF interest cover (x)	18.9	9.3	5.1	6.8	6.6

Valuation	2023	2024	2025E	2026E	2027E
Recurring P/E (x) *	15.0	12.7	11.7	11.0	10.4
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	25.2	21.4	19.6	18.4	17.4
Reported P/E (x)	17.0	15.4	11.7	11.0	10.4
Dividend yield (%)	4.3	3.4	5.1	5.5	5.8
Price/book (x)	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.3
Price/tangible book (x)	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.4
EV/EBITDA (x) **	10.4	9.9	8.8	8.3	8.0
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	16.4	15.6	13.7	13.1	12.6
EV/invested capital (x)	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted ** EBITDA includes associate income and recurring non-operating income

Sources: Eastern Polymer Group; FSSIA estimates

Eastern Polymer Group (EPG TB)

FSSIA ESG rating

★★★★

Exhibit 4: FSSIA ESG score implication

59.63 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
★★★★★	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
★★★★★	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
★★★	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
★★	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
★	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 5: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA ESG score	Domestic ratings						Global ratings					Bloomberg		
		DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
AH	44.82	--	Y	Y	5.00	4.00	Certified	--	49.81	--	--	34.05	--	3.56	--
EPG	59.63	--	Y	Y	5.00	4.00	Certified	Low	54.46	--	--	41.43	34.00	2.93	--
IRC	32.75	--	--	Y	5.00	4.00	--	--	62.24	--	--	47.74	--	--	--
STANLY	9.00	--	--	--	4.00	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 6: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	—	—	—	2.41	2.48
BESG environmental pillar score	—	—	—	1.74	1.74
BESG social pillar score	—	—	—	2.04	2.22
BESG governance pillar score	—	—	—	3.84	3.90
ESG disclosure score	—	31.98	31.85	49.40	49.40
Environmental disclosure score	—	1.24	1.24	45.94	45.94
Social disclosure score	—	18.44	18.05	26.06	26.06
Governance disclosure score	—	76.10	76.10	76.10	76.10
Environmental					
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	No	No
GHG scope 1	—	—	—	1	2
GHG scope 2 location-based	—	—	—	32	50
GHG Scope 3	—	—	—	0	40
Carbon per unit of production	—	—	—	—	—
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	No	No
Energy efficiency policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	—	—	—	112	106
Renewable energy use	—	—	—	1	1
Electricity used	—	—	—	97	104
Fuel used - natural gas	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 7: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	—	—	—	0	0
Total waste	—	—	—	1	2
Waste recycled	—	—	—	1	1
Waste sent to landfills	—	—	—	0	0
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Water policy	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	—	—	—	70	71
Social					
Human rights policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Consumer data protection policy	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	No
Pct women in workforce	—	42	41	44	42
Pct disabled in workforce	—	—	1	1	1
Business ethics policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	—	0	—	0	0
Total recordable incident rate - employees	—	0	—	1	1
Training policy	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Number of employees – CSR	—	3,116	3,162	2,755	2,806
Employee turnover pct	—	—	—	—	—
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	—	—	—	44,436	36,478
Social supply chain management	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Governance					
Board size	—	9	9	9	9
No. of independent directors (ID)	—	4	4	4	4
No. of women on board	—	0	0	0	0
No. of non-executive directors on board	—	8	8	8	8
Company conducts board evaluations	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	—	5	6	6	6
Board meeting attendance pct	—	98	92	98	100
Board duration (years)	—	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	—	—	—	—	—
Age of the oldest director	—	—	—	—	—
No. of executives / company managers	—	9	9	9	11
No. of female executives	—	2	2	2	1
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	—	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on audit committee	—	3	3	3	3
Audit committee meetings	—	4	4	4	4
Audit meeting attendance %	—	100	83	92	100
Size of compensation committee	—	5	5	5	5
No. of ID on compensation committee	—	3	3	3	3
No. of compensation committee meetings	—	2	3	3	3
Compensation meeting attendance %	—	90	93	100	93
Size of nomination committee	—	5	5	5	5
No. of nomination committee meetings	—	2	3	3	3
Nomination meeting attendance %	—	90	93	100	93
Sustainability governance					
Verification type	No	No	No	No	No

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating																				
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.																				
Sustainability Investment List (THSI) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	THSI quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for THSI inclusion , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETTHSI Index is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.																				
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).																				
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i>	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.																				
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i>	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.																				
Morningstar Sustainabilitys	The Sustainabilitys' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.</i>	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table><tr><th>NEGL</th><th>Low</th><th>Medium</th><th>High</th><th>Severe</th></tr><tr><td>0-10</td><td>10-20</td><td>20-30</td><td>30-40</td><td>40+</td></tr></table>	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+										
NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe																		
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																		
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.																				
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table><tr><td>AAA</td><td>8.571-10.000</td><td rowspan="3">Leader:</td><td rowspan="3">leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td></tr><tr><td>AA</td><td>7.143-8.570</td></tr><tr><td>A</td><td>5.714-7.142</td></tr><tr><td>BBB</td><td>4.286-5.713</td><td rowspan="3">Average:</td><td rowspan="3">a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td></tr><tr><td>BB</td><td>2.857-4.285</td></tr><tr><td>B</td><td>1.429-2.856</td></tr><tr><td>CCC</td><td>0.000-1.428</td><td>Laggard:</td><td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td></tr></table>		AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities	AA	7.143-8.570	A	5.714-7.142	BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers	BB	2.857-4.285	B	1.429-2.856	CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks
AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities																			
AA	7.143-8.570																					
A	5.714-7.142																					
BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers																			
BB	2.857-4.285																					
B	1.429-2.856																					
CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks																			
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.																					
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)</i>																					
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.																					
Bloomberg	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.																				
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.																				

[Rating](#) regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "**CG Score**"; 2) "**AGM Level**"; 3) "**Thai CAC**"; and 4) **THSI**. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Jitra Amornthum FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd


The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price

Eastern Polymer Group (EPG TB)



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
14-Jun-2021	BUY	15.50	04-Feb-2022	BUY	16.00	21-Dec-2023	BUY	11.00

Jitra Amornthum started covering this stock from 21-Dec-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Eastern Polymer Group	EPG TB	THB 6.55	BUY	Downside risks to our EV/EBITDA-based TP include 1) the slow demand for pickup trucks and plastic packaging; 2) the rising cost of oil-linked raw materials; 3) the rising cost of funds; and 4) the fluctuation in foreign exchange currencies.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 29-May-2024 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.