### EQUITY RESEARCH - COMPANY REPORT

# R&B FOOD SUPPLY THAILAND / FOOD & BEVERAGE

**RBF TB** 

# รอปัจจัยหนุนการเติบโตใหม่

- กำไรปกติ 1Q24 ลดลง q-q และ y-y ต่ำกว่าที่เราคาด 5%
- เราปรับลดประมาณการกำไรสุทธิปี 2024-26 ของเราลง 7-9% เพื่อสะท้อนค่าใช้จ่ายที่ สูงกว่าคาดและอัตรากำไรขั้นดันที่ฟื้นตัวช้ากว่าคาด
- ปรับลดคำแนะนำเป็นถือหลังลดราคาเป้าหมายลงเหลือ 11 บาท

### กำไรปกติ 1Q24 ลดลง q-q และ y-y

RBF รายงานกำไรสุทธิ 1Q24 อยู่ที่ 172 ลบ. (+23% q-q, +17% y-y) เมื่อหักกำไรจากอัตรา แลกเปลี่ยน 21 ลบ. และผลขาดทุนจากการด้อยค่าของสินทรัพย์ทางการเงินอีก 2 ลบ. กำไร ปกติอยู่ที่ 149 ลบ. (-10% q-q, -6% y-y) ต่ำกว่าที่เราคาด 5% จากค่าใช้จ่ายที่สูงเกินคาด รายได้รวมโต 1.4% q-q และ 11% y-y โดยได้ปัจจัยหนุนจากรายได้ในประเทศ (+5.4% q-q, +14% y-y) ในขณะที่รายได้ต่างประเทศไม่สดใสโดยลดลง 9.5% q-q จากปัจจัยด้านฤดูกาล เมื่อดูเป็นรายกลุ่มผลิตภัณฑ์รายได้จากกลุ่ม Food coating เพิ่ม 3.4% q-q และ 12% y-y ในขณะที่กลุ่ม Flavoring และ Food coloring โต 6.3% q-q และ 8.6% y-y ส่วนรายได้รวมโต เพียงเล็กน้อย q-q เนื่องจากรายได้ในกลุ่มอื่นลดลง

### ้ค่าใช้จ่ายการขายและบริหารสูงขึ้นในขณะที่อัตรากำไรขั้นต้นฟื้นตัวช้าลง

ใน 1Q24 อัตรากำไรขั้นต้นอยู่ที่ 37.3% เพิ่มขึ้นจาก 36.1% ใน 1Q23 จากอัตรากำไรขั้นต้นที่ ปรับตัวดีขึ้นในกลุ่ม Food coating อย่างไรก็ดีตัวเลขดังกล่าวลดลงจาก 37.8% ใน 4Q23 จาก อัตรากำไรขั้นต้นที่ลดลงของรายได้จากต่างประเทศซึ่งลดลงเหลือ 33.3% จาก 36.3% ใน 4Q23 ในขณะที่ค่าใช้จ่ายการขายและบริหารเพิ่มในอัตราที่สูงกว่ารายได้ซึ่งทำให้สัดส่วน ค่าใช้จ่ายการขายและบริหารต่อยอดขายเพิ่มเป็น 21.3% (เทียบกับ 20.2% ใน 4Q23 และ 18.4% ใน 1Q23) จากค่าใช้จ่ายเพื่อส่งเสริมการขายผลิตภัณฑ์เครื่องดื่มใหม่ที่เพิ่มขึ้น นอกจากนี้ส่วนแบ่งกำไรจากบริษัทในอินเดียยังทรงตัวที่ 1.2 ลบ.

### ปรับลดประมาณการกำไรสุทธิปี 2024-26 ลง 7-9%

เราคาดว่ารายได้ 2Q24 จะโตต่อเนื่องจากปัจจัยด้านฤดูกาล อย่างไรก็ดีผลประกอบการใน ภาพรวมอาจไม่น่าตื่นเต้นอย่างมีนัยสำคัญจากการเติบโตของรายได้ต่างประเทศที่ชะลอตัว เรา คาดว่าอัตรากำไรขั้นต้นในช่วง 1H24 จะอยู่ในช่วง 37-38% ต่ำกว่าเป้าทั้งปีของผู้บริหารที่ 40-41% และต่ำกว่าสมมติฐานปี 2024 ของเราที่ 39% เราปรับลดประมาณการกำไรสุทธิปี 2024-26 ลง 7-9% เพื่อสะท้อนค่าใช้จ่ายการขายและบริหารที่สูงเกินคาดและอัตรากำไรขั้นต้นที่ฟื้น ตัวช้ากว่าคาด

### ปรับลดคำแนะนำเป็นถือหลังลดราคาเป้าหมายเป็น 11 บาท

เราปรับลดราคาเป้าหมายของเราเหลือ 11 จาก 13 บาทพร้อมปรับลดค่า P/E target ลงเหลือ 27x (-1 SD) จาก 30x (-0.5 SD) ราคาเป้าหมายใหม่ของเรามี Upside เพียง 6% ดังนั้นเราจึง ปรับลดคำแนะนำเป็นถือ เรายังไม่ได้รวมโอกาสในการได้คำสั่งซื้อจากลูกค้ารายใหม่ซึ่งเป็น เครือร้านอาหาร Fast-food ในรัสเซีย ถ้าลูกค้ารายดังกล่าวตัดสินใจเลือก RBF เราคาดการณ์ ถึงรายได้ในช่วง 2H24 ซึ่งอาจเป็นปัจจัยหนุนในการเติบโตในอนาคต




# 

TARGET PRICE	THB11.00
CLOSE	THB10.40
UP/DOWNSIDE	+5.8%
PRIOR TP	THB13.00
CHANGE IN TP	-15.4%
TP vs CONSENSUS	-17.3%
PRIOR TP CHANGE IN TP	THB13.00 -15.4%

### **KEY STOCK DATA**

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	4,421	5,009	5,621	6,225
Net profit	649	783	898	1,000
EPS (THB)	0.32	0.39	0.45	0.50
vs Consensus (%)	-	(9.1)	(8.0)	(3.3)
EBITDA	1,068	1,250	1,493	1,693
Recurring net profit	666	760	898	1,000
Core EPS (THB)	0.33	0.38	0.45	0.50
Chg. In EPS est. (%)	-	(9.2)	(7.8)	(6.9)
EPS growth (%)	45.0	14.2	18.1	11.4
Core P/E (x)	31.2	27.4	23.2	20.8
Dividend yield (%)	1.7	2.1	2.4	2.6
EV/EBITDA (x)	18.8	16.2	13.6	11.9
Price/book (x)	4.3	4.1	3.8	3.5
Net debt/Equity (%)	(14.8)	(11.3)	(10.7)	(11.7)
ROE (%)	14.5	15.3	16.9	17.4



Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Sureeporn Teewasuwet Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 040694 sureeporn.t@fssia.com, +66 2646 9972

PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

บทวิเคราะห์ฉบับนี้แปลมาจากต้นฉบับภาษาอังกฤษ ที่ออกรายงานเมื่อวันที่ 13 พฤษภาคม 2024


### **Investment thesis**

RBF's customers are in the food and beverage industries. Since both are a necessity, RBF's revenues are constant. RBF has a long history and is accredited with international standards. RBF pays attention to R&D, which helps it to differentiate its products from competitors and create customer satisfaction. Since the company develops unique formulas for each customer, it is hard for customers to switch suppliers once consumers become attached to the flavor.

### **Company profile**

RBF manufactures and distributes food ingredients, which can be divided into several product groups: flavor and food coloring, food coating, and trading products, which are food additives such as preservatives, antifungal agents, citric acid, etc. The company's 2023 revenue contribution from food coating accounted for 47.9% of total revenue, followed by flavoring and food coloring (33.6%), trading products (10%), dried products (5.7%), frozen products (2.2%), and plastic packaging (0.5%).

www.rbfoodsupply.co.th



### Catalysts

Potential catalysts for RBF's earnings growth in 2024 are 1) revenue growth driven by a consumption recovery; 2) declining raw material costs; 3) the success of its new market expansions; and 4) the success of new products launched.

### **Risks to our call**

Downside and upside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a slower or faster-than-expected consumption recovery; 2) high or low volatility in raw material costs; 3) a stronger or weaker THB than expected; and 4) a slower or faster-than-expected India expansion.

### **Event calendar**

 Date
 Event

 August 2024
 2Q24 results announcement

### Key assumptions

	2024E	2025E	2026E
Domestic sales growth (%)	7.0	5.0	5.0
Overseas sales growth (%)	30.0	28.0	21.0
Domestic sales portion (%)	68.6	64.2	60.8
Overseas sales portion (%)	31.4	35.8	39.2
Total sales growth (%)	13.3	12.2	10.7
Gross margin (%)	38.8	39.5	39.8

Source: FSSIA estimates

### Earnings sensitivity

- For every 1% increase in revenue, we estimate 2024 net profit to rise by 0.8%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 0.5% increase in GPM, we estimate 2024 net profit to rise by 3%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 0.2% increase in SG&A to sales, we estimate 2024 net profit to fall by 1.1%, and vice versa, all else being equal.

Source: FSSIA estimates




### Exhibit 1: RBF – 1Q24 earnings results

	1Q23	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	Chai	nge	2024E	Change	% 1Q24
	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	to 2024E				
Sales	1,056	1,056	1,159	1,150	1,167	1.4	10.5	5,009	13.3	23.3
Cost of sales	674	668	702	715	732	2.3	8.5	3,065	11.1	23.9
Gross profit	382	388	457	435	435	(0.1)	14.0	1,943	17.0	22.4
SG&A	194	205	212	232	249	7.4	28.5	1,002	18.9	24.9
Operating profit	189	188	245	205	188	(8.4)	(0.8)	949	14.7	19.8
Interest expense	2.3	2.3	3.5	2.9	2.8	(2.0)	20.7	12	9.3	23.5
Tax expense	29	38	52	38	37	(0.9)	28.7	179	14.2	20.8
Profit (loss) sharing - India	0.96	1.44	3.31	1.34	1.20	(10.5)	24.2	8	6.6	15.9
Other gain	(12)	4	17	(26)	23	nm	nm	23	nm	nm
Reported net profit	147	153	209	140	172	23.0	17.1	783	20.7	21.9
Core profit	159	150	192	165	149	(10.0)	(6.0)	760	14.2	19.6
Key ratios (%)						(ppt)	(ppt)			
Gross margin	36.1	36.7	39.4	37.8	37.3	(0.6)	1.1	38.8	1.2	
SG&A to sales	18.4	19.4	18.3	20.2	21.3	1.2	3.0	20.0	1.0	
Operating margin	17.9	17.8	21.1	17.8	16.1	(1.7)	(1.8)	19.0	0.2	
Net margin	13.9	14.5	18.1	12.1	14.7	2.6	0.8	15.6	1.0	
Core margin	15.0	14.2	16.6	14.4	12.8	(1.6)	(2.2)	15.2	0.1	
Operating statistics (THB m)										
Domestic revenue	780	744	844	843	889	5.4	13.9	3,435	7.0	25.9
Overseas revenue	276	312	316	307	278	(9.5)	0.7	1,573	30.0	17.7
Domestic contribution (%)	73.9	70.4	72.8	73.3	76.2	2.9	2.3	68.6	(4.0)	
Overseas contribution (%)	26.1	29.6	27.2	26.7	23.8	(2.9)	(2.3)	31.4	4.0	
Sales breakdown by product										
Flavoring & food coloring	362	370	386	370	393	6.3	8.6	1,607	8.0	24.5
Food coating	504	491	577	546	564	3.4	12.0	2,542	20.0	22.2
Other	190	195	196	234	209	(10.8)	10.0	860	5.5	24.3


Sources: RBF, FSSIA's compilation

### Exhibit 2: Domestic revenue and growth




Sources: RBF, FSSIA's compilation

### Exhibit 3: Overseas revenue and growth



Sources: RBF, FSSIA's compilation

### Exhibit 4: Revenue breakdown by destination




Sources: RBF, FSSIA's compilation




Sources: RBF, FSSIA's compilation

### Exhibit 8: Net profit and growth




Sources: RBF, FSSIA's compilation

### Exhibit 5: Revenue contribution by destination




Sources: RBF, FSSIA's compilation

### Exhibit 7: Gross margin and net margin



Sources: RBF, FSSIA's compilation

### Exhibit 9: Core profit and growth




Sources: RBF, FSSIA's compilation

### Exhibit 10: Change in key assumptions for RBF

		Current			Previous			Change		
	2024E	2025E	2026E	2024E	2025E	2026E	2024E	2025E	2026E	
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(%)	(%)	(%)					
Total revenue (THB m)	5,009	5,621	6,225	5,009	5,621	6,225	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Costs	3,065	3,401	3,747	3,045	3,362	3,716	0.7	1.2	0.8	
Gross profit	1,943	2,220	2,477	1,964	2,260	2,509	(1.0)	(1.7)	(1.2)	
SG&A expenses	1,002	1,096	1,214	927	1,040	1,152	8.1	5.4	5.4	
Interest expense	12	15	17	12	15	17	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Tax expense	179	226	268	198	245	287	(9.2)	(7.8)	(6.8)	
Reported net profit	783	898	1,000	837	974	1,074	(6.5)	(7.8)	(6.9)	
Core profit	760	898	1,000	837	974	1,074	(9.2)	(7.8)	(6.9)	
Key ratios (%)										
Total revenue growth	13.3	12.2	10.7	13.3	12.2	10.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Net profit growth	20.7	14.6	11.4	29.0	16.4	10.3	(8.3)	(1.7)	1.2	
Core profit growth	14.2	18.1	11.4	25.7	16.4	10.3	(11.6)	1.7	1.2	
Gross margin	38.8	39.5	39.8	39.2	40.2	40.3	(0.4)	(0.7)	(0.5)	
SG&A to sales	20.0	19.5	19.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	
Net margin	15.6	16.0	16.1	16.7	17.3	17.3	(1.1)	(1.4)	(1.2)	
Core margin	15.2	16.0	16.1	16.7	17.3	17.3	(1.5)	(1.4)	(1.2)	
Operating statistics (THB m)										
Domestic revenue	3,435	3,607	3,788	3,435	3,607	3,788	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Overseas revenue	1,573	2,014	2,437	1,573	2,014	2,437	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Domestic revenue proportion (%)	68.6	64.2	60.8	68.6	64.2	60.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Overseas revenue proportion (%)	31.4	35.8	39.2	31.4	35.8	39.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Source: FSSIA estimates

### Exhibit 11: Historical P/E band



### Exhibit 12: Historical P/BV band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

### **Financial Statements**

R&B Food Supply

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	3,961	4,421	5,009	5,621	6,225
Cost of goods sold	(2,615)	(2,760)	(3,065)	(3,401)	(3,747)
Gross profit	1,346	1,661	1,943	2,220	2,477
Other operating income	7	8	8	8	9
Operating costs	(763)	(842)	(1,002)	(1,096)	(1,214)
Operating EBITDA	809	1,068	1,250	1,493	1,693
Depreciation	(219)	(240)	(300)	(360)	(420)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	0
Operating EBIT	590	827	949	1,133	1,273
Net financing costs	(9)	(11)	(12)	(15)	(17)
Associates	0	7	8	11	19
Recurring non-operating income	0	7	8	11	19
Non-recurring items	23	(17)	23	0	0
Profit before tax	604	806	968	1,129	1,274
Гах	(113)	(157)	(179)	(226)	(268)
Profit after tax	491	649	788	903	1,007
Vinority interests	(9)	0	(5)	(6)	(6)
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	(0)
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
Reported net profit	482	649	783	898	1,000
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(23)	17	(23)	0	0
Recurring net profit	459	666	760	898	1,000
	455	000	700	030	1,000
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	0.23	0.33	0.38	0.45	0.50
Reported EPS	0.24	0.32	0.39	0.45	0.50
DPS	0.10	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.28
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
Growth					
Revenue (%)	17.1	11.6	13.3	12.2	10.7
Operating EBITDA (%)	(0.1)	32.0	17.0	19.5	13.4
Operating EBIT (%)	(2.0)	40.2	14.7	19.3	12.4
Recurring EPS (%)	(3.3)	45.0	14.2	18.1	11.4
Reported EPS (%)	14.8	34.6	20.7	14.6	11.4
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	34.0	37.6	38.8	39.5	39.8
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	39.5	43.0	44.8	45.9	46.6
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	20.4	24.1	24.9	26.6	27.2
Operating EBIT margin (%)	14.9	18.7	19.0	20.2	20.5
Net margin (%)	11.6	15.1	15.2	16.0	16.1
Effective tax rate (%)	18.7	19.5	18.5	20.0	21.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	43.5	52.6	56.7	55.0	55.0
Interest cover (X)	64.5	76.0	79.7	77.9	74.3
Inventory days	168.0	174.2	172.7	180.8	182.6
Debtor days	85.2	84.3	83.1	85.1	85.6
Creditor days	77.3	79.6	76.5	74.4	75.2
Operating ROIC (%)	13.7	17.4	18.5	(5.1)	(33.5)
ROIC (%)	13.0	16.4	17.4	(4.7)	(33.3)
ROE (%)	10.7	14.5	15.3	16.9	(29.8) 17.4
ROA (%) * Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted	9.3	12.3	13.1	14.3	14.5
1 ,1 0 ,	- 2022	2022	20245	20255	20205
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Domestic	3,143	3,211	3,435	3,607	3,788
Overseas	818	1,210	1,573	2,014	2,437

Sources: R&B Food Supply; FSSIA estimates

### **Financial Statements**

R&B Food Supply

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring net profit	459	666	760	898	
ecurring net profit	459 219	666 240	760 300	898 360	1,000 420
ssociates & minorities	219	(7)	(8)	(11)	(19)
Other non-cash items	11	(6)	(3)	(11)	(13)
Change in working capital	(354)	(6)	(408)	(241)	(242
Cash flow from operations	335	918	652	1,008	1,162
Capex - maintenance	-	-	-	-	1,101
Capex - new investment	(456)	(459)	(363)	(300)	(300)
let acquisitions & disposals	(100)	(100)	(000)	(000)	(000
Dther investments (net)	(109)	(34)	(30)	(210)	(210
Cash flow from investing	(565)	(493)	(394)	(510)	(510
Dividends paid	(295)	(200)	(431)	(494)	(550
Equity finance	()	0	0	0	(111)
Debt finance	19	24	2	(11)	(11)
Other financing cash flows	(3)	4	12	9	ç
Cash flow from financing	(278)	(171)	(416)	(495)	(552
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	(
let other adjustments	0	0	0	0	(
Novement in cash	(508)	254	(158)	3	100
ree cash flow to firm (FCFF)	(220.64)	435.73	269.96	512.51	669.76
ree cash flow to equity (FCFE)	(213.05)	453.51	272.58	496.50	650.43
er share (THB)					
CFF per share	(0.11)	0.22	0.13	0.26	0.33
CFF per share	(0.11)	0.22	0.13	0.25	0.33
Recurring cash flow per share	0.34	0.23	0.14	0.62	0.33
Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
angible fixed assets (gross)	3,133	3,525	3,825	4,125	4,425
ess: Accumulated depreciation	(1,092)	(1,269)	(1,569)	(1,930)	(2,350
angible fixed assets (net)	2,041	2,256	2,256	2,196	2,075
ntangible fixed assets (net)	6	5	11	11	11
ong-term financial assets	-	-	-	-	
nvest. in associates & subsidiaries	180	214	221	433	651
Cash & equivalents	668	921	763	766	866
VC receivable	998	1,045	1,235	1,386	1,535
nventories	1,217	1,188	1,428	1,584	1,745
Other current assets	44	64	55	62	68
Current assets	2,927	3,219	3,481	3,797	4,214
Other assets	51	55	80	90	100
Fotal assets	5,204	5,750	6,050	6,527	7,052
Common equity	4,373	4,819	5,108	5,512	5,962
Ainorities etc.	23	17	24	26	27
Fotal shareholders' equity	<b>4,397</b> 157	<b>4,836</b> 185	<b>5,132</b> 174	<b>5,538</b> 163	<b>5,990</b> 152
ong term debt					
Dther long-term liabilities .ong-term liabilities	58 <b>215</b>	63 <b>247</b>	75 <b>249</b>	84 <b>247</b>	93 245
VC payable	528	<b>247</b> 571	<b>249</b> 588	652	<b>24:</b> 719
Short term debt	10	21	500 11	11	12
Dither current liabilities	54	74	70	79	12
Current liabilities	54 593	666	669	79	817
Fotal liabilities and shareholders' equity	5,204	5,750	6,050	6,527	7,052
let working capital	1,676	1,652	2,060	2,301	2,543
nvested capital	3,954	4,183	4,629	5,031	5,380
Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is beir		-1,100	1,020	0,001	5,500
· .					
Per share (THB)	0.40	0.44	0.55	0.70	0.00
Book value per share	2.19	2.41	2.55	2.76	2.98
angible book value per share	2.18	2.41	2.55	2.75	2.98
inancial strength					
let debt/equity (%)	(11.4)	(14.8)	(11.3)	(10.7)	(11.7
let debt/total assets (%)	(9.6)	(12.4)	(9.6)	(9.1)	(10.0
Current ratio (x)	4.9	4.8	5.2	5.1	5.2
F interest cover (x)	27.5	84.1	54.0	55.2	55.7
aluation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	20268
ecurring P/E (x) *	45.3	31.2	27.4	23.2	20.8
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	45.5	33.0	28.9	23.2	20.0
Reported P/E (x)	47.9	32.0	26.6	23.2	20.8
ividend yield (%)	1.0	1.7	20.0	23.2	20.0
Price/book (x)	4.8	4.3	4.1	3.8	3.5
Price/tangible book (x)	4.8	4.3	4.1	3.8	3.5
V/EBITDA (x) **	4.8 25.1	4.3 18.8	4.1 16.2	3.8 13.6	3.: 11.9
V/EBITDA (x) ** V/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	25.1 26.6	18.8 20.0	16.2 17.2	13.6	11.9
	20.0				
V/invested capital (x)	5.1	4.8	4.4	4.0	3.7

Sources: R&B Food Supply; FSSIA estimates



### **Disclaimer for ESG scoring**

	Methodology	/			Rating					
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices ( <u>DJSI</u> ) By S&P Global	process base from the annu Only the top-r inclusion.	d on the com ual S&P Glob ranked comp	a transparent, rules-base npanies' Total Sustainab pal Corporate Sustainabi panies within each indust	ility Scores resulting ility Assessment (CSA). try are selected for	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Glo ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the higher scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices a selected from the Eligible Universe.					
Sustainability Investment List ( <u>THSI</u> ) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand ( <u>SET</u> )	managing bus Candidates m 1) no irregula float of >150 s up capital. So 70%; 2) indep wrongdoing re	siness with tr nust pass the r trading of th shareholders ome key disq bendent direct elated to CG	bility in Environmental an ransparency in Governa. e preemptive criteria, with he board members and 6 s, and combined holding qualifying criteria include: ctors and free float violat 6, social & environmental earnings in red for > 3 ye	nce, updated annually. h two crucial conditions: executives; and 2) free must be >15% of paid- 1) CG score of below tion; 3) executives' impacts; 4) equity in	To be eligible for <u>THSI inclusion</u> , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJ: during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against nature of the relevant industry and materiality. <u>SETTHSI Index</u> is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) marke capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weigh maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.					
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	annually by th	ne Thai IOD, T). The resul	th in sustainable develop with support from the St Its are from the perspect is.	tock Exchange of	Good (80-89), and not rated for equitable treatr	3 for Good (70 or scores below nent of shareh (5%); 4) disclo	ories: 5 for Excel 0-79), 2 for Fair (6 w 50. Weightings iolders (weight 2 sure & transpare	60-69), 1 for P s include: 1) th 5% combined	ass (60-69), e rights; 2) and ); 3) the role of	
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	treatment are transparent a out of five the criteria cover date (45%), a <i>circulation of su</i> <i>exercised. The</i> <i>and verifiability;</i>	incorporated nd sufficientl CG compon AGM proced and after the in afficient information second assess and 3) openne	ses 1) the ease of attending	ns and information is portant elements of two nually. The assessment g (45%), at the meeting assesses 1) advance ating how voting rights can be meetings; 2) transparency res the meeting minutes that	wo nent ng <i>can be</i>					
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	establishmen policies. The (Companies de Declaration of li Certification, ind managers and e	t of key contr Certification ciding to becom ntent to kick off cluding risk ass employees, est	Checklist include corrup rols, and the monitoring is good for three years. me a CAC certified member of an 18-month deadline to su sessment, in place of policy a tablishment of whistleblowing all stakeholders.)	passed Checkl	st will move fo se members a	ed by a committe or granting certific re twelve highly r chievements.	cation by the C	CAC Council		
<u>Morningstar</u> <u>Sustainalytics</u>	based on an a risk is unman	assessment of aged. Sources	isk rating provides an ov of how much of a compa to be reviewed include con ther media, NGO reports/wel	any's exposure to ESG	more risk is un	managed, the	score is the sum higher ESG risk	is scored.		
	information, cor reports, and qua		ck, ESG controversies, issue views.	r feedback on draft ESG	<b>NEGL</b> 0-10	Low 10-20	<b>Medium</b> 20-30	High 30-40	Severe 40+	
ESG Book	positioned to the principle of	outperform o of financial m future risk-a	sustainable companies to over the long term. The r nateriality including inforr adjusted performance. Ma	nethodology considers nation that significantly ateriality is applied by	The total ESG scores using m	score is calculateriality-base	ated as a weight d weights. The s dicating better p	ed sum of the core is scaled	features	
	over-weightin weights on a		<i>r</i> ith higher materiality and erly basis.	d rebalancing these						
<u>MSCI</u>	weights on a MSCI ESG ra	rolling quarte	erly basis. measure a company's m	nanagement of financially b their exposure to ESG ri					nethodology to	
MSCI	weights on a MSCI ESG ra	rolling quarte	erly basis. measure a company's n ind laggards according to 00	nanagement of financially o their exposure to ESG ri	sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ive to peers.	nethodology to	
<u>MSCI</u>	Weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indust AAA AA	rolling quarte atings aim to try leaders an 8.571-10.00 7.143-8.570	erly basis. measure a company's n ind laggards according to 00 Leader: 0	nanagement of financially	sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ive to peers.	nethodology to	
<u>MSCI</u>	weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indust AAA AA A	rolling quarte atings aim to try leaders an 8.571-10.00 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142	erly basis. measure a company's r ind laggards according to 0 Leader: 2	nanagement of financially o their exposure to ESG ri leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception	sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ive to peers. es		
MSCI	weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indust AAA AA A BBB	rolling quarte tings aim to try leaders an 8.571-10.00 7.143-8.57( 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713	erly basis. measure a company's m ind laggards according to 0 Leader: 2 3 Average:	nanagement of financially o their exposure to ESG ri leading its industry in m	sks and how well	they manage	those risks relati	ive to peers. es		
<u>MSCI</u>	weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indust AAA AA A	rolling quarte atings aim to try leaders an 8.571-10.00 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142	erly basis. measure a company's n ind laggards according to 0 Leader: 2 3 Average: 5 6	nanagement of financially o their exposure to ESG ri leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception industry peers	sks and how well anaging the most s al track record of m	they manage gnificant ESG ris	those risks relati	ive to peers.		
<u>MSCI</u>	weights on a MSCI ESG ra- identify indust AAA AA A BBB BB BB	rolling quarte tings aim to try leaders an 8.571-10.00 7.143-8.570 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285	erly basis. measure a company's n ind laggards according to 0 Leader: 2 3 Average: 6 Laggard:	nanagement of financially o their exposure to ESG ri leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception	sks and how well anaging the most s al track record of m	they manage gnificant ESG ris	those risks relati	ive to peers.		
<u>MSCI</u> <u>Moody's ESG</u> <u>solutions</u>	weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indust AAA A A BBB BB BB BB BB CCC Moody's asse believes that	rolling quarte tings aim to try leaders ai 8.571-10.00 7.143-8.57( 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.285 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.428 esses the deg a company in	erly basis. measure a company's n ind laggards according to 0 2 3 Average: 5 6 8 gree to which companies	nanagement of financially to their exposure to ESG ri leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base s take into account ESG o nto its business model an	sks and how well anaging the most s al track record of m ed on its high expos bjectives in the d	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the most ure and failure to efinition and ir	those risks relati sks and opportunitie t significant ESG ris o manage significan polementation of	ive to peers. es sks and opportur at ESG risks f their strategy	policies. It	
Moody's ESG	weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indust AAA A A BBB BB BB BB CCC Moody's asse believes that create sustair Designed to t based on pub	rolling quarte tings aim to try leaders al 8.571-10.00 7.143-8.57( 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.286 1.429-2.856 1.429-2.856 1.429-2.856 a.company in able value for ransparently blicly available	erly basis. measure a company's n ind laggards according to 0 Leader: 2 3 Average: 5 6 8 gree to which companies for shareholders over the v and objectively measur- le and auditable data. Th	nanagement of financially to their exposure to ESG ri leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base s take into account ESG o nto its business model an	sks and how well anaging the most si al track record of m ed on its high expos bjectives in the d d relatively outpe G performance, 100 on relative E	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the most ure and failure to efinition and ir rforming its per commitment at SG performan	those risks relati sks and opportunitie t significant ESG ris o manage significan mplementation of years is better pos nd effectiveness ice and insufficie	ive to peers. as sks and opportur it ESG risks f their strategy itioned to mition across 10 ma nt degree of to	policies. It gate risks and	
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG	weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indust AAA A A BBB B B CCC Moody's asse believes that create sustair Designed to t based on pub reporting mat	rolling quarte titings aim to try leaders al 8.571-10.00 7.143-8.57( 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.286 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.426 essess the deg a company in able value for ransparently bilcly available erial ESG da bal ESG Sco	erly basis. measure a company's m ind laggards according to b c c c c c c c c c c c c c	nanagement of financially to their exposure to ESG ri leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base s take into account ESG o nto its business model an- e medium to long term. e a company's relative ES ne score ranges from 0 to	sks and how well anaging the most si al track record of m ed on its high expos bjectives in the d d relatively outpe G performance, 100 on relative E = satisfactory; >50 t formance on and	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the most ure and failure to efinition and ir forming its per commitment at SG performan o 75 = good; and management	those risks relati sks and opportunitie t significant ESG ris o manage significan mplementation of vers is better pos nd effectiveness ice and insufficie d >75 to 100 = exce	ive to peers. es sks and opportur at ESG risks f their strategy itioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of to <i>illent.</i> )	policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in	
Moody's ESG solutions Refinitiv ESG rating	weights on a MSCI ESG ra identify indust AAA A A BBB B B CCC Moody's asse believes that create sustair Designed to t based on pub reporting mat	rolling quarte titings aim to try leaders al 8.571-10.00 7.143-8.57( 5.714-7.142 4.286-5.713 2.857-4.286 1.429-2.856 0.000-1.426 essess the deg a company in able value for ransparently bilcly available erial ESG da bal ESG Sco	erly basis. measure a company's n ind laggards according to 0 2 3 Average: 5 6 Laggard: 8 gree to which companies integrating ESG factors in for shareholders over the 7 and objectively measure te and auditable data. Th ata publicly. <i>(Score ratings</i> ) pre is a relative score me hin the same industry cla Bloomberg score eval score is based on Bloo	nanagement of financially to their exposure to ESG ri leading its industry in m a mixed or unexception industry peers lagging its industry base s take into account ESG o nto its business model an e medium to long term. e a company's relative ES ne score ranges from 0 to are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 easuring a company's perf	sks and how well anaging the most s al track record of m ed on its high expos bjectives in the d d relatively outpe G performance, 100 on relative E = satisfactory; >50 f ormance on and ges from 0 to 100 regated Environn ancial materiality.	they manage gnificant ESG ris anaging the most ure and failure to efinition and ir rforming its pe commitment at SG performan o 75 = good; and management o ). nental, Social a The score is a	those risks relati sks and opportunitie t significant ESG ris o manage significan mplementation of vers is better pos nd effectiveness ice and insufficie d >75 to 100 = exce of ESG risks, opp and Governance a weighted gener	ive to peers. as sks and opportur at ESG risks f their strategy itioned to mitig across 10 ma ant degree of tr <i>tlent.)</i> portunities, an (ESG) perfor ralized mean (	policies. It gate risks and in themes, ansparency in d impacts mance. The power mean)	

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings. Source: FSSIA's compilation

### GENERAL DISCLAIMER

### ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

### Sureeporn Teewasuwet FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

### History of change in investment rating and/or target price



Sureeporn Teewasuwet started covering this stock from 20-Mar-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
R&B Food Supply	RBF TB	THB 10.40	HOLD	Downside and upside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a slower or faster-than- expected consumption recovery; 2) high or low volatility in raw material costs; 3) a stronger or weaker THB than expected; and 4) a slower or faster-than-expected India expansion.

#### Source: FSSIA estimates

#### **Additional Disclosures**

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 10-May-2024 unless otherwise stated.

### **RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE**

### **Stock ratings**

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price\* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

\* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

### **Industry Recommendations**

**Overweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months. **Neutral.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months. **Underweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

### **Country (Strategy) Recommendations**

**Overweight (O).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.