

ORIGIN PROPERTY ORI TB

THAILAND / PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT

HOLD

UNCHANGED

Dull 1Q24E profit due to lower transfers

- We expect a 1Q24 net profit of THB484m, jumping 932% q-q due to an abnormally low base in 4Q23 but plummeting 39% y-y due to a decrease in condo and low-rise transfers.
- ORI has pressure from a delay in condo construction and backlog delivery.
- We retain a HOLD call at our TP of THB7.60, pressured by lackluster earnings results and construction delay.

TARGET PRICE	THB7.60
CLOSE	THB7.10
UP/DOWNSIDE	+7.0%
PRIOR TP	THB7.60
CHANGE IN TP	UNCHANGED
TP vs CONSENSUS	-9.1%

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	13,866	14,647	17,371	19,107
Net profit	2,718	2,105	2,341	2,513
EPS (THB)	1.11	0.86	0.95	1.02
vs Consensus (%)	-	(27.5)	(23.6)	(22.9)
EBITDA	3,857	3,601	3,904	4,198
Recurring net profit	1,657	2,105	2,341	2,513
Core EPS (THB)	0.68	0.86	0.95	1.02
Chg. In EPS est. (%)	-	-	-	-
EPS growth (%)	(36.6)	27.1	11.2	7.4
Core P/E (x)	10.5	8.3	7.4	6.9
Dividend yield (%)	6.5	5.1	5.6	6.1
EV/EBITDA (x)	13.9	14.3	12.8	11.7
Price/book (x)	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8
Net debt/Equity (%)	161.9	143.8	128.3	118.7
ROE (%)	8.9	10.7	11.2	11.2

1Q24 presales dropped due to both condo and low-rises

1Q24 presales came in at THB8.1b (-21% q-q, -32% y-y), securing 17% of the target of THB49b (+4% y-y), THB6.3b (+1% q-q, -33% y-y) from condos and THB1.8b (-56% q-q, -30% y-y) from low-rises since ORI only introduced two new projects, i.e., So Origin Bangtao Phuket condo (THB2.5b, 70% take-up rate) and Dusit Suites Residences KhaoYai (THB350b) during the period. Also, inventory sales should remain slow due to high rejection and loan rates.

1Q24 profit should recover q-q but plunge y-y


We anticipate a 1Q24 net profit of THB484m, jumping from a low base of THB47m in 4Q23 but dropping 39% y-y due to an extra gain from signing seven new JV projects. Excluding extra items, we expect a core profit of THB204m, improving from a THB102m loss in 4Q23 but plummeting 70% y-y. The q-q recovery should come mainly from increased management fees from JVs, up from 4Q23 when ORI reversed accounting transactions, and lower SG&A from a high base in 4Q23. The y-y weakness should be in line with weak transfers. Specifically, we project ORI transfers at THB1.5b, down 13% q-q and 30% y-y due to both condos and low-rises in line with presales. During the quarter, ORI only started transfers of one small condo, Brixton Kaset Sriracha Campus. Also, JVs should contribute higher losses due to a delay in the backlog delivery of five condos in 2023, which remain under remedy of defects, such as Park Origin Chula Samyan and The Origin Plug&Play Ramintra.

Construction delay to hurt transfers of new condo and backlogs

In 2Q24, ORI plans to commence transfers of three new condos and open more low-rise projects. Although they should help 2Q24 profit to recover q-q, the earnings would decrease y-y. However, ORI postponed the transfers of two new ORI condos (from 2Q24), i.e., Origin Play Phahol 50 Station to 3Q24 and the Hampton Suites Rayong (THB1.3b, 72% sold) to 1Q25 due to construction delay after changing contractor. Primarily, it implies a 5% downside to our 2024E core profit of THB2.1b.

Maintain a HOLD call at our TP of THB7.60 (SOTP)

We retain a HOLD call at our TP of THB7.60 since we expect it to see pressure from a weak 1Q24 profit. Also, it has additional negative factors from construction delay. It could affect buyer confidence and trigger cancellation risk, which serve as downsides to our estimate. Strategy-wise, we recommend investors wait for an earnings recovery and construction problems to ease.



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	0.7	(12.9)	(39.8)
Relative to country (%)	1.4	(13.1)	(32.7)
Mkt cap (USD m)	477		
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)	1.4		
Free float (%)	38		
Major shareholder	Peerapong and Arada Jaroong-Ek (36%)		
12m high/low (THB)	12.00/6.55		
Issued shares (m)	2,454.12		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates


Thanyatorn Songwutti

 Fundamental Investment Analyst at Securities; License no. 101203
 thanyatorn.s@fssia.com, +66 2646 9963

Investment thesis

ORI aims to be a residential developer and a lifetime well-being company. It expands to business with recurring income through One Origin to invest in commercial properties and PRI in property-related services. Also, its growth strategy entails diversification to other industries in the megatrends through joint ventures with its alliances, such as health and beauty, logistics and warehouses, energy, and financial services. Currently, ORI has two listed subsidiaries, i.e., Britania (BRI TB, HOLD, TP THB7.2) and Primo Service Solutions (PRI TB, NR). Also, it plans to sell warehouses and cold storage under Alpha (in which ORI and SJWD each own a 50% stake) to REIT in the middle of this year.


Company profile

Established in 2009, Origin Property is a real estate development company. It started developing condominiums along train lines in Bangkok and vicinity and close to expressways. ORI later expanded to developing low-rise projects under Britania Pcl. (BRI). Also, it diversified to recurring businesses under One Origin Pcl. (One Origin) and services under Primo Service Solution Pcl. (PRI).

www.origin.co.th

Principal activities (revenue, 2023)


- Project sales - 63.8 %
- Project management - 23.5 %
- Hotel operations and rental - 3.4 %
- Service income - 9.4 %



Source: Origin Property

Major shareholders

- Peerapong and Arada Jaroon-Ek - 36.0 %
- Peerada Capital Co Ltd - 25.2 %
- Others - 38.8 %



Source: Origin Property

Catalysts

Key potential growth drivers include 1) the success of its new launches and the progress of its inventory sales, 2) a condominium market recovery, 3) new business expansion, 4) the spin-off of its subsidiary and asset sales to REIT, 5) new JV projects, generating management fees and potential share premium (if involving a joint investment with partners).

Risks to our call

Downside risks to our SoTP-based TP include 1) lower new launches and presales than expected and slower-than-expected realization from the backlog, 2) lower-than-expected JVs, 3) economic slowdown, weak purchasing power, and fierce competition, 4) higher rejection and cancellation rates, 5) construction delays and labor shortages, and 6) weaker-than-expected performance of its subsidiaries.

Event calendar

Date	Event
15 May 2024	1Q24 results announcement

Key assumptions

	2024E	2025E	2026E
Total revenue (THB m)	14,647	17,371	19,107
Transfers (THB m)	10,703	13,213	14,807
Project management (THB m)	1,800	1,800	1,800
Equity income from JV (THB m)	595	632	601
Property GPM (%)	30.6	30.7	30.8
GPM (%)	39.3	36.9	36.4
SG&A to sales (%)	20.4	19.6	19.3

Source: FSSIA estimates

Earnings sensitivity

- For every 5% increase in transfers, we estimate 2024 earnings to rise 3%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 0.5% increase in property GPM, we estimate 2024 earnings to rise 2%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 0.5% increase in SG&A to revenue, we estimate 2024 earnings to fall by 3%, and vice versa, all else being equal.
- For every 10% increase in management fee from JV project, we estimate 2024 earnings to rise by 2%, and vice versa, all else being equal.


Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 1: ORI - 1Q24 results preview

	1Q23	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24E	Change	
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)
Total revenue	3,478	3,363	4,785	2,240	2,450	9.4	(29.6)
Cost of sales	1,643	1,546	2,809	1,453	1,255	(13.6)	(23.6)
Gross profit	1,835	1,817	1,976	787	1,195	51.9	(34.9)
SG&A	708	768	782	1,050	612	(41.7)	(13.5)
Operating profit	1,127	1,048	1,194	(263)	583	n/a	(48.3)
Interest expense	101	131	131	96	100	4.2	(1.4)
Tax expense	261	278	230	38	205	432.2	(21.5)
Equity income from JV	(32)	(64)	(138)	263	(180)	n/a	n/a
Reported net profit	798	873	1,000	47	484	932.0	(39.4)
Normalised profit	675	492	591	(102)	204	n/a	(69.8)
Key ratios (%)						(ppt)	(ppt)
Property gross margin	33.9	31.8	23.6	33.7	33.7	0.0	(0.2)
Gross margin	52.8	54.0	41.3	35.1	48.8	13.6	(4.0)
SG&A / Sales	20.3	22.8	16.3	46.9	25.0	(21.9)	4.6
Operating margin	32.4	31.2	25.0	(11.8)	23.8	35.5	(8.6)
Net margin	22.9	26.0	20.9	2.1	19.8	17.7	(3.2)
Normalised margin	19.4	14.6	12.4	(4.5)	8.3	12.9	(11.1)
Revenue breakdown							
Residential	2,150	1,812	3,162	1,715	1,500	(12.6)	(30.2)
Project management	950	1,187	1,074	44	550	1,160.7	(42.1)


Sources: ORI; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 2: ORI's transfers breakdown




Sources: ORI; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 3: Quarterly ORI transfers and property GPM




Sources: ORI; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 4: Quarterly core profit and normalised profit margin



Sources: ORI; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 5: Quarterly net profit and net profit margin




Sources: ORI; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 6: New condo values to be transferred in 2024

Transfer	Project	Value (THB m)	% Sold (%)
1Q24	Brixton Kaset Sriracha Campus	1,060	64
2Q24	Origin Plug & Play Nonthaburi Station	2,800	81
	Origin Play Sri Udom Station (JV)	2,180	44
	SO ORIGIN KASET INTERCHANGE (JV)	1,680	99
3Q24	The Origin Bangna - Bangpakong	1,300	20
	Knightsbridge Space Rama 4 (JV)	2,400	98
	Origin Play Phahol 50 Station	680	54
4Q24	SO ORIGIN PHAHOL 69 STATION (JV)	1,870	63
	Origin Plug & Play Sirindhorn Station (JV)	3,160	92


Sources: ORI; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 7: Historical P/E band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 8: Historical P/BV band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Origin Property

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	14,397	13,866	14,647	17,371	19,107
Cost of goods sold	(8,177)	(7,452)	(8,896)	(10,958)	(12,156)
Gross profit	6,220	6,414	5,751	6,413	6,951
Other operating income	182	230	270	290	300
Operating costs	(2,431)	(3,308)	(2,993)	(3,401)	(3,684)
Operating EBITDA	4,271	3,857	3,601	3,904	4,198
Depreciation	(300)	(521)	(573)	(602)	(632)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	0
Operating EBIT	3,971	3,336	3,028	3,302	3,567
Net financing costs	(390)	(460)	(575)	(556)	(523)
Associates	416	30	595	632	601
Recurring non-operating income	416	30	595	632	601
Non-recurring items	1,162	1,062	0	0	0
Profit before tax	5,159	3,968	3,049	3,378	3,645
Tax	(947)	(808)	(589)	(659)	(731)
Profit after tax	4,212	3,160	2,460	2,719	2,914
Minority interests	(438)	(442)	(355)	(378)	(402)
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	0
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
Reported net profit	3,775	2,718	2,105	2,341	2,513
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(1,162)	(1,062)	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	2,613	1,657	2,105	2,341	2,513
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	1.07	0.68	0.86	0.95	1.02
Reported EPS	1.54	1.11	0.86	0.95	1.02
DPS	0.72	0.46	0.36	0.40	0.43
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	2,453	2,453	2,453	2,453	2,453
Growth					
Revenue (%)	(3.5)	(3.7)	5.6	18.6	10.0
Operating EBITDA (%)	4.5	(9.7)	(6.6)	8.4	7.6
Operating EBIT (%)	1.7	(16.0)	(9.2)	9.0	8.0
Recurring EPS (%)	3.8	(36.6)	27.1	11.2	7.4
Reported EPS (%)	18.2	(28.0)	(22.6)	11.2	7.4
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	43.2	46.3	39.3	36.9	36.4
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	45.3	50.0	43.2	40.4	39.7
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	29.7	27.8	24.6	22.5	22.0
Operating EBIT margin (%)	27.6	24.1	20.7	19.0	18.7
Net margin (%)	18.1	11.9	14.4	13.5	13.2
Effective tax rate (%)	18.4	20.4	19.3	19.5	20.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	67.6	68.1	42.0	42.0	42.0
Interest cover (X)	11.3	7.3	6.3	7.1	8.0
Inventory days	1,139.6	1,638.7	1,520.9	1,159.1	1,019.5
Debtor days	59.1	112.5	119.4	100.9	104.5
Creditor days	121.3	176.3	158.6	139.9	146.4
Operating ROIC (%)	11.3	7.2	5.8	6.6	7.2
ROIC (%)	10.0	5.6	5.2	5.7	6.0
ROE (%)	15.8	8.9	10.7	11.2	11.2
ROA (%)	8.1	4.4	4.5	5.0	5.1
* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted					
Revenue by Division (THB m)					
Project sales	11,676	8,840	10,703	13,213	14,807
Project management	1,665	3,254	1,800	1,800	1,800
Hotel operations and rental	394	468	515	567	601
Service income	661	1,303	1,629	1,792	1,899

Sources: Origin Property; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Origin Property

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring net profit	2,613	1,657	2,105	2,341	2,513
Depreciation	300	521	573	602	632
Associates & minorities	-	-	-	-	-
Other non-cash items	-	-	-	-	-
Change in working capital	(5,183)	(9,789)	1,926	1,611	348
Cash flow from operations	(2,270)	(7,612)	4,604	4,553	3,492
Capex - maintenance	(5,166)	-	(1,669)	(1,852)	(1,894)
Capex - new investment	-	-	-	-	-
Net acquisitions & disposals	-	(6,383)	-	-	-
Other investments (net)	-	-	-	-	-
Cash flow from investing	(5,166)	(6,383)	(1,669)	(1,852)	(1,894)
Dividends paid	(237)	(737)	(884)	(983)	(1,055)
Equity finance	1,618	245	0	0	0
Debt finance	7,272	12,797	(2,120)	(1,622)	(418)
Other financing cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
Cash flow from financing	8,653	12,305	(3,004)	(2,605)	(1,473)
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
Net other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
Movement in cash	1,217	(1,689)	(69)	96	124
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	(7,046.40)	(13,534.50)	3,510.70	3,257.52	2,120.40
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	(163.71)	(1,197.33)	815.29	1,079.36	1,179.33

Per share (THB)

FCFF per share	(2.87)	(5.52)	1.43	1.33	0.86
FCFE per share	(0.07)	(0.49)	0.33	0.44	0.48
Recurring cash flow per share	1.19	0.89	1.09	1.20	1.28

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Tangible fixed assets (gross)	3,125	5,339	5,563	5,811	6,072
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(427)	(593)	(593)	(593)	(593)
Tangible fixed assets (net)	2,698	4,746	4,970	5,219	5,479
Intangible fixed assets (net)	783	1,534	1,536	1,538	1,540
Long-term financial assets	-	-	-	-	-
Invest. in associates & subsidiaries	7,427	10,296	11,166	12,166	13,166
Cash & equivalents	3,183	1,493	1,424	1,521	1,645
A/C receivable	3,360	5,186	4,394	5,211	5,732
Inventories	26,339	35,896	33,468	32,312	32,065
Other current assets	3,435	4,575	4,737	4,906	5,084
Current assets	36,317	47,151	44,023	43,950	44,526
Other assets	865	1,503	1,581	1,659	1,741
Total assets	48,091	65,230	63,277	64,532	66,452
Common equity	18,132	19,051	20,272	21,630	23,087
Minorities etc.	1,801	2,047	2,047	2,047	2,047
Total shareholders' equity	19,933	21,098	22,319	23,676	25,134
Long term debt	14,204	21,025	19,854	18,986	18,739
Other long-term liabilities	595	782	803	925	962
Long-term liabilities	14,799	21,806	20,657	19,911	19,701
A/C payable	3,018	3,676	3,559	4,383	4,862
Short term debt	8,641	14,617	13,667	12,913	12,742
Other current liabilities	1,701	4,034	3,076	3,648	4,012
Current liabilities	13,359	22,326	20,302	20,945	21,617
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	48,091	65,230	63,277	64,532	66,452
Net working capital	28,416	37,948	35,964	34,398	34,006
Invested capital	40,190	56,028	55,218	54,980	55,932

* Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being treated as debt

Per share (THB)

Book value per share	7.39	7.77	8.26	8.82	9.41
Tangible book value per share	7.07	7.14	7.64	8.19	8.78

Financial strength

Net debt/equity (%)	98.6	161.9	143.8	128.3	118.7
Net debt/total assets (%)	40.9	52.3	50.7	47.1	44.9
Current ratio (x)	2.7	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.1
CF interest cover (x)	0.6	(1.6)	2.4	2.9	3.3

Valuation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring P/E (x) *	6.7	10.5	8.3	7.4	6.9
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	7.1	11.3	8.9	8.0	7.4
Reported P/E (x)	4.6	6.4	8.3	7.4	6.9
Dividend yield (%)	10.1	6.5	5.1	5.6	6.1
Price/book (x)	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8
Price/tangible book (x)	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.8
EV/EBITDA (x) **	9.1	13.9	14.3	12.8	11.7
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	9.4	14.2	14.7	13.1	12.0
EV/invested capital (x)	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted ** EBITDA includes associate income and recurring non-operating income

Sources: Origin Property; FSSIA estimates

Origin Property PCL (ORI TB)

FSSIA ESG rating

53.22 /100
Exhibit 9: FSSIA ESG score implication

Rating	Score	Implication
★★★★★	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
★★★★☆	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
★★★☆☆	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
★★☆☆☆	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
★☆☆☆☆	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 10: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA ESG score	Domestic ratings						Global ratings					Bloomberg		
		DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
AP	53.36	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	43.30	--	--	16.91	24.00	1.89	34.26
ASW	27.00	--	Y	Y	5.00	4.00	Declared	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
BRI	16.00	--	--	--	4.00	4.00	Declared	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
NOBLE	30.88	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	--	--	--	--	--	7.00	--	--
ORI	53.22	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	41.33	--	--	48.60	--	3.82	52.01
SC	60.14	--	Y	Y	5.00	4.00	Certified	Low	45.20	--	--	70.85	25.00	2.34	42.60
SIRI	66.14	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	43.82	A	--	57.85	23.00	3.00	61.14
SPALI	59.80	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	52.93	BB	--	36.30	24.00	2.19	42.36

Sources: [SETTRADE.com](https://www.settrade.com); FSSIA's compilation
Exhibit 11: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	—	—	—	3.07	3.82
BESG environmental pillar score	—	—	—	2.18	2.46
BESG social pillar score	—	—	—	3.67	7.82
BESG governance pillar score	—	—	—	4.20	4.69
ESG disclosure score	32.89	32.89	40.42	46.46	52.01
Environmental disclosure score	0.91	0.91	21.93	37.48	37.48
Social disclosure score	14.00	14.00	15.57	18.17	28.54
Governance disclosure score	83.59	83.59	83.59	83.59	89.86
Environmental					
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	No	No	No	No	No
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	No	No
GHG scope 1	—	—	0	0	0
GHG scope 2 location-based	—	—	1	2	4
GHG Scope 3	—	—	0	0	1
Carbon per unit of production	—	—	—	—	—
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	—	—	2	3	8
Renewable energy use	—	—	—	—	—
Electricity used	—	—	2	3	8
Fuel used - natural gas	—	—	0	0	0

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 12: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	—	—	0	0	0
Total waste	—	—	0	0	0
Waste recycled	—	—	—	—	—
Waste sent to landfills	—	—	—	—	—
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Water policy	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	—	—	—	—	—
Social					
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	No	No	No	No
Consumer data protection policy	No	No	No	No	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	No
Pct women in workforce	—	—	59	62	59
Pct disabled in workforce	—	—	—	3	0
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	—	—	—	—	—
Total recordable incident rate - employees	—	—	—	—	0
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No	No
Number of employees – CSR	949	1,243	1,345	1,441	2,069
Employee turnover pct	—	—	—	—	—
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	12,480	6,901	772	99,150	21,315
Social supply chain management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Governance					
Board size	11	9	9	9	9
No. of independent directors (ID)	5	4	4	4	4
No. of women on board	2	2	2	1	1
No. of non-executive directors on board	9	7	7	4	6
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	13	11	8	13	9
Board meeting attendance pct	92	87	93	100	100
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	33	44	38	45	46
Age of the oldest director	72	73	74	74	75
No. of executives / company managers	8	7	8	8	7
No. of female executives	3	3	3	3	2
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	3
Audit committee meetings	12	9	7	8	5
Audit meeting attendance %	94	100	95	100	93
Size of compensation committee	4	4	4	4	4
No. of ID on compensation committee	3	3	3	3	3
No. of compensation committee meetings	2	1	1	5	2
Compensation meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100
Size of nomination committee	4	4	4	4	4
No. of nomination committee meetings	2	1	1	5	2
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100
Sustainability governance					
Verification type	No	No	No	No	Yes

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating																			
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.																			
Sustainability Investment List (THSI) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	THSI quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for THSI inclusion , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETTHSI Index is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.																			
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).																			
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). (<i>The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.</i>)	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.																			
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. (<i>Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.</i>)	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.																			
Morningstar Sustainability	The Sustainability's ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.</i>	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>NEGL</th> <th>Low</th> <th>Medium</th> <th>High</th> <th>Severe</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0-10</td> <td>10-20</td> <td>20-30</td> <td>30-40</td> <td>40+</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+									
NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe																	
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																	
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.																			
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tbody> <tr> <td>AAA</td> <td>8.571-10.000</td> <td rowspan="3">Leader:</td> <td rowspan="3">leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AA</td> <td>7.143-8.570</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>5.714-7.142</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BBB</td> <td>4.286-5.713</td> <td rowspan="3">Average:</td> <td rowspan="3">a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BB</td> <td>2.857-4.285</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td>1.429-2.856</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CCC</td> <td>0.000-1.428</td> <td>Laggard:</td> <td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities	AA	7.143-8.570	A	5.714-7.142	BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers	BB	2.857-4.285	B	1.429-2.856	CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks
AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities																		
AA	7.143-8.570																				
A	5.714-7.142																				
BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers																		
BB	2.857-4.285																				
B	1.429-2.856																				
CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks																		
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.																				
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. (<i>Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.</i>)																				
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.																				
Bloomberg	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.																			
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.																			

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "**CG Score**"; 2) "**AGM Level**"; 3) "**Thai CAC**"; and 4) **THSI**. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Thanyatorn Songwutti FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd


The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price

Origin Property (ORI TB)



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
27-Mar-2023	BUY	13.90	08-Nov-2023	BUY	11.20	12-Mar-2024	HOLD	7.60

Thanyatorn Songwutti started covering this stock from 27-Mar-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Origin Property	ORI TB	THB 7.10	HOLD	Downside risks to our SoTP-based TP include 1) a slower-than-expected economic recovery; 2) weaker-than-expected presales; 3) a high cancellation rate of presales and rejections from banks; 4) delays in construction and/or a labour shortage; 5) intense competition and an increase in raw material costs; and 6) lower-than-expected performance for its subsidiaries.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 30-Apr-2024 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as $(\text{target price}^* - \text{current price}) / \text{current price}$.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.