

AAPICO HITECH AH TB

THAILAND / AUTOMOTIVES

BUY

UNCHANGED

TARGET PRICE	THB45.00
CLOSE	THB23.90
UP/DOWNSIDE	+88.3%
PRIOR TP	THB45.00
CHANGE IN TP	UNCHANGED
TP vs CONSENSUS	+9.4%

4Q23 results at first glance

Core operations in 4Q23 were weaker than expected

Highlights


- AH's 4Q23 net profit surprisingly came in at THB138m (-73% q-q, -61% y-y). This included several non-recurring items, i.e. the translation adjustment of an associate totaling THB171m, an accounting adjustment for AAPICO Avee amounting to THB27m, an impairment on a loan given to its JV amounting to THB76m, and a forex loss of THB153m.
- Excluding those extra items, AH registered a core profit of THB421m in 4Q23, declining 3% q-q and 22% y-y, but better than our estimate by 8% due to higher-than-expected other income.
- AH's core operations in 4Q23 were weaker than expected. Its operating revenue decreased from both the automotive parts business and car dealership business due to the weak demand for pickup trucks in Thailand and the stricter lending policies from financial institutions.
- The 4Q23 gross margin declined following the weak sales, while SG&A expenses to sales soared, resulting in a material decrease in EBITDA (-19% q-q, -24% y-y).
- For 2023, AH registered a core profit of THB1.8b, +4% y-y. Despite 7% y-y revenue growth and a 29 bps increase in the gross margin, the SG&A expenses remained high, and the rising interest expenses caused the core profit to climb slowly.
- AH announced a dividend of THB0.95 per share for its 2H23 operational period, implying a dividend yield of 4%. The XD will be on 14 March 2024.

Outlook

- In 2024, Thailand's automotive manufacturers continue to face a bumpy road, at least in 1H24, in our view. The Federation of Thai Industries forecasts only a 3% rise in car production in 2024, reaching an expected total of 1.9m units. The demand seems to be weak, further exacerbated by banks' tightened car loans.
- Our projections are under review. The positive for AH shares is that they trade at low multiples but have a high dividend yield.

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	30,034	31,893	32,922	33,680
Net profit	1,610	1,901	2,048	2,152
EPS (THB)	4.54	5.36	5.77	6.06
vs Consensus (%)	-	9.9	10.9	8.4
EBITDA	2,894	2,541	2,618	2,689
Recurring net profit	1,771	1,901	2,048	2,152
Core EPS (THB)	4.99	5.36	5.77	6.06
Chg. In EPS est. (%)	nm	(1.7)	(1.9)	nm
EPS growth (%)	(11.7)	17.4	7.8	5.0
Core P/E (x)	4.8	4.5	4.1	3.9
Dividend yield (%)	6.9	6.7	7.2	7.6
EV/EBITDA (x)	4.3	5.3	4.9	4.4
Price/book (x)	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6
Net debt/Equity (%)	29.7	35.1	27.6	19.9
ROE (%)	15.8	16.6	16.0	15.1



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	(16.1)	(13.1)	(24.7)
Relative to country (%)	(16.1)	(12.3)	(10.8)
Mkt cap (USD m)	236		
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)	1.3		
Free float (%)	50		
Major shareholder	Thai NVDR (15%)		
12m high/low (THB)	39.25/23.10		
Issued shares (m)	354.84		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates


Jitra Amorntum


 Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 014530
 jitra.a@fssia.com, +66 2646 9966

Exhibit 1: AH - 4Q23 results summary

	4Q22	1Q23	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	----- Change -----		2022	2023	Change
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y%)
Auto parts	5,449	5,966	5,166	5,555	5,057	(9.0)	(7.2)	20,333	21,744	6.9
Car dealership and others	2,342	2,160	1,987	2,066	2,077	0.5	(11.3)	7,634	8,290	8.6
Revenue	7,792	8,126	7,153	7,624	7,131	(6.5)	(8.5)	27,967	30,034	7.4
Operating costs	(6,782)	(7,100)	(6,380)	(6,729)	(6,313)	(6.2)	(6.9)	(24,777)	(26,522)	7.0
Gross profit	1,010	1,026	772	895	819	(8.5)	(18.9)	3,191	3,512	10.1
SG&A expenses	(512)	(458)	(429)	(457)	(538)	17.7	5.0	(1,719)	(1,881)	9.4
EBIT	498	568	344	439	281	(35.9)	(43.5)	1,471	1,632	10.9
Depreciation	(309)	(311)	(310)	(312)	(329)	5.6	6.7	1,154	1,262	9.4
EBITDA	807	879	653	751	611	(18.6)	(24.3)	2,625	2,894	10.2
Interest expense	(98)	(91)	(111)	(124)	(138)	11.6	41.1	(342)	(464)	35.6
Other income	86	74	95	103	101	(1.7)	17.3	327	373	14.0
Associates	95	123	32	81	(103)	nm	nm	452	132	(70.8)
FX gain/loss	(237)	(35)	111	69	(153)	nm	nm	63	(9)	nm
Tax	(38)	(74)	(63)	(74)	129	nm	nm	(177)	(82)	(53.8)
Net profit	355	562	410	501	138	(72.5)	(61.2)	1,824	1,610	(11.7)
Core profit	539	597	299	432	421	(2.6)	(22.0)	1,708	1,771	3.7
EPS (THB)	1.00	1.58	1.16	1.41	0.38	(72.9)	(61.2)	5.14	4.54	(11.7)
Core EPS (THB)	1.52	1.68	0.84	1.22	1.22	(2.6)	(22.0)	4.81	4.99	3.7
Key ratios	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)
Gross margin	13.0	12.6	10.8	11.7	11.5	(0.3)	(1.5)	11.4	11.7	0.3
SG&A to sales	6.6	5.6	6.0	6.0	7.5	1.5	1.0	6.1	6.3	0.1
Operating margin	6.4	7.0	4.8	5.8	3.9	(1.8)	(2.4)	5.3	5.4	0.2
EBITDA margin	10.4	10.8	9.1	9.8	8.6	(1.3)	(1.8)	9.4	9.6	0.2
Core profit margin	6.9	7.3	4.2	5.7	6.9	1.2	(0.1)	6.1	5.9	(0.2)


Sources: AH, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 2: Revenue structure and gross margin



Sources: AH, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 3: Margins and core profit



Sources: AH, FSSIA's compilation

Financial Statements

AAPICO Hitech

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	27,967	30,034	31,893	32,922	33,680
Cost of goods sold	(24,777)	(26,522)	(28,130)	(29,037)	(29,672)
Gross profit	3,191	3,512	3,763	3,885	4,008
Other operating income	-	-	-	-	-
Operating costs	(1,719)	(1,881)	(1,796)	(1,827)	(1,886)
Operating EBITDA	2,625	2,894	2,541	2,618	2,689
Depreciation	(1,154)	(1,262)	(574)	(560)	(568)
Goodwill amortisation	-	-	-	-	-
Operating EBIT	1,471	1,632	1,968	2,058	2,122
Net financing costs	(333)	(446)	(304)	(270)	(227)
Associates	452	132	166	210	215
Recurring non-operating income	769	488	479	532	542
Non-recurring items	116	(9)	0	0	0
Profit before tax	2,024	1,664	2,143	2,319	2,437
Tax	(177)	(82)	(272)	(301)	(317)
Profit after tax	1,847	1,583	1,871	2,018	2,120
Minority interests	(23)	28	30	31	31
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	-	-
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
Reported net profit	1,824	1,610	1,901	2,048	2,152
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(116)	(161)	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	1,708	1,771	1,901	2,048	2,152
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	4.81	4.99	5.36	5.77	6.06
Reported EPS	5.14	4.54	5.36	5.77	6.06
DPS	1.05	1.65	1.61	1.73	1.82
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	355	355	355	355	355
Growth					
Revenue (%)	36.9	7.4	6.2	3.2	2.3
Operating EBITDA (%)	54.5	10.2	(12.2)	3.0	2.7
Operating EBIT (%)	120.1	10.9	20.6	4.6	3.1
Recurring EPS (%)	115.6	3.7	7.3	7.8	5.0
Reported EPS (%)	78.1	(11.7)	18.0	7.8	5.0
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	11.4	11.7	11.8	11.8	11.9
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	15.5	15.9	13.6	13.5	13.6
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	9.4	9.6	8.0	8.0	8.0
Operating EBIT margin (%)	5.3	5.4	6.2	6.2	6.3
Net margin (%)	6.1	5.4	6.0	6.2	6.4
Effective tax rate (%)	(8.7)	(4.9)	(12.7)	(13.0)	(13.0)
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	21.8	36.4	30.0	30.0	30.0
Interest cover (X)	6.7	4.7	8.1	9.6	11.7
Inventory days	52.6	53.3	49.8	51.2	51.9
Debtor days	42.9	50.3	47.6	49.2	49.9
Creditor days	91.9	105.8	90.9	76.7	72.6
Operating ROIC (%)	17.2	18.2	19.0	17.1	16.8
ROIC (%)	13.3	13.1	15.0	14.5	14.3
ROE (%)	18.8	15.8	16.6	16.0	15.1
ROA (%)	8.6	8.0	8.6	8.9	8.9
* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted					
Revenue by Division (THB m)					
Manufacture of auto parts	20,333	21,744	22,362	22,967	23,427
Car dealership and others	7,634	8,290	9,531	9,955	10,253

Sources: AAPICO Hitech; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

AAPICO Hitech

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring net profit	1,708	1,619	1,901	2,048	2,152
Depreciation	1,154	1,262	574	560	568
Associates & minorities	23	(28)	(30)	(31)	(31)
Other non-cash items	101	275	363	(93)	(49)
Change in working capital	(939)	(1,022)	(2,440)	(623)	(650)
Cash flow from operations	2,047	2,106	368	1,861	1,989
Capex - maintenance	(671)	(617)	(539)	(399)	(350)
Capex - new investment	(287)	(265)	(231)	(171)	(150)
Net acquisitions & disposals	58	2,535	0	1	2
Other investments (net)	128	85	6	(41)	6
Cash flow from investing	(773)	1,739	(764)	(610)	(492)
Dividends paid	(372)	(589)	(570)	(614)	(645)
Equity finance	0	0	0	0	0
Debt finance	(278)	(1,320)	387	(500)	(547)
Other financing cash flows	(493)	(598)	(32)	(31)	(31)
Cash flow from financing	(1,143)	(2,507)	(215)	(1,145)	(1,224)
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
Net other adjustments	(106)	(38)	(59)	(38)	(39)
Movement in cash	25	1,300	(670)	68	235
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	1,616.44	4,308.41	(83.34)	1,531.35	1,734.41
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	397.59	1,888.56	(99.92)	682.56	880.14

Per share (THB)

FCFF per share	4.56	12.14	(0.23)	4.32	4.89
FCFE per share	1.12	5.32	(0.28)	1.92	2.48
Recurring cash flow per share	8.42	8.82	7.91	7.00	7.44

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Tangible fixed assets (gross)	20,524	21,124	21,724	22,124	22,524
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(12,612)	(13,141)	(13,533)	(13,910)	(14,294)
Tangible fixed assets (net)	7,913	7,983	8,191	8,214	8,230
Intangible fixed assets (net)	3,347	3,434	3,451	3,438	3,355
Long-term financial assets	-	-	-	-	-
Invest. in associates & subsidiaries	4,199	1,309	1,309	1,339	1,339
Cash & equivalents	767	2,067	1,397	1,466	1,700
A/C receivable	4,330	3,950	4,369	4,510	4,706
Inventories	3,784	3,591	3,930	4,057	4,227
Other current assets	302	1,565	1,721	1,893	1,988
Current assets	9,184	11,173	11,418	11,926	12,621
Other assets	826	1,388	1,071	1,212	1,298
Total assets	25,469	25,286	25,440	26,128	26,842
Common equity	9,611	10,832	12,133	13,536	15,011
Minorities etc.	318	484	455	424	393
Total shareholders' equity	9,929	11,317	12,588	13,961	15,404
Long term debt	3,759	1,851	1,950	1,932	1,830
Other long-term liabilities	543	493	508	508	514
Long-term liabilities	4,302	2,344	2,458	2,440	2,344
A/C payable	7,010	7,631	6,088	5,887	5,691
Short term debt	3,771	3,581	3,869	3,387	2,942
Other current liabilities	456	421	437	455	462
Current liabilities	11,237	11,633	10,394	9,728	9,094
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	25,469	25,293	25,440	26,128	26,842
Net working capital	950	1,054	3,495	4,119	4,768
Invested capital	17,235	15,166	17,517	18,321	18,989

* Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being treated as debt

Per share (THB)

Book value per share	27.09	30.53	34.19	38.15	42.30
Tangible book value per share	17.65	20.85	24.47	28.46	32.85

Financial strength

Net debt/equity (%)	68.1	29.7	35.1	27.6	19.9
Net debt/total assets (%)	26.6	13.3	17.4	14.7	11.4
Current ratio (x)	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.4
CF interest cover (x)	3.1	5.8	1.4	4.2	5.5

Valuation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring P/E (x) *	5.0	5.2	4.5	4.1	3.9
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	9.4	9.9	8.4	7.8	7.4
Reported P/E (x)	4.7	5.3	4.5	4.1	3.9
Dividend yield (%)	4.4	6.9	6.7	7.2	7.6
Price/book (x)	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6
Price/tangible book (x)	1.4	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.7
EV/EBITDA (x) **	5.9	4.3	5.3	4.9	4.4
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	8.8	6.8	8.2	7.7	7.2
EV/invested capital (x)	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.6

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted ** EBITDA includes associate income and recurring non-operating income

Sources: AAPICO Hitech; FSSIA estimates

AAPICO Hitech PCL (AH TB)

FSSIA ESG rating

★ ★ ★

44.82 /100

Exhibit 4: FSSIA ESG score implication

Rating	Score	Implication
★★★★★	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
★★★★★	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
★★★	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
★★	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
★	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 5: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA	Domestic ratings						Global ratings						Bloomberg	
	ESG score	DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
AH	44.82	--	Y	Y	5.00	4.00	Certified	--	49.81	--	--	34.05	--	3.56	--
IRC	32.75	--	--	Y	5.00	4.00	--	--	62.24	--	--	47.74	--	--	--
SAT	54.39	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	--	70.44	--	--	53.45	29.00	3.52	52.94
STANLY	9.00	--	--	--	4.00	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 6: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	—	—	3.55	3.56
BESG environmental pillar score	—	—	3.09	—
BESG social pillar score	—	—	2.95	—
BESG governance pillar score	—	—	4.88	—
ESG disclosure score	39.31	40.92	47.84	—
Environmental disclosure score	15.68	16.52	36.27	—
Social disclosure score	21.01	25.00	26.03	—
Governance disclosure score	81.10	81.10	81.10	—
Environmental				
Emissions reduction initiatives	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	No	No	No	No
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	No
GHG scope 1	2	2	2	0
GHG scope 2 location-based	2	2	2	5
GHG Scope 3	—	—	0	31
Carbon per unit of production	—	—	0	0
Biodiversity policy	Yes	Yes	No	No
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	5	3	3	4
Renewable energy use	—	—	—	—
Electricity used	4	3	3	4
Fuel used - natural gas	—	—	—	—

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 7: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	0	0	0	—
Total waste	10	8	8	10
Waste recycled	—	—	—	—
Waste sent to landfills	—	—	—	—
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	Yes	Yes
Water policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	—	—	—	—
Social				
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	No	Yes	No
Consumer data protection policy	No	No	No	No
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No
Pct women in workforce	31	32	27	—
Pct disabled in workforce	1	1	1	—
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	3	1	1	0
Total recordable incident rate - employees	8	1	1	1
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	No	Yes	Yes	No
Number of employees – CSR	4,019	2,795	4,699	5,365
Employee turnover pct	15	8	13	—
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	—	11,206	10,632	21,923
Social supply chain management	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Governance				
Board size	8	7	7	7
No. of independent directors (ID)	6	5	5	4
No. of women on board	2	2	2	2
No. of non-executive directors on board	6	5	5	5
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	4	4	4	7
Board meeting attendance pct	88	93	93	100
Board duration (years)	1	1	1	1
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	50	51	52	50
Age of the oldest director	72	73	74	74
No. of executives / company managers	6	5	6	6
No. of female executives	2	2	2	2
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3
Audit committee meetings	4	4	4	4
Audit meeting attendance %	100	92	100	100
Size of compensation committee	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on compensation committee	3	3	3	3
No. of compensation committee meetings	2	2	2	5
Compensation meeting attendance %	100	83	100	100
Size of nomination committee	3	3	3	3
No. of nomination committee meetings	2	2	2	5
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	83	100	100
Sustainability governance				
Verification type	No	No	No	No

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating																											
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.																											
Sustainability Investment List (THSI) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	THSI quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for THSI inclusion , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETTHSI Index is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.																											
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).																											
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i>	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.																											
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i>	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.																											
Morningstar Sustainalytics	The Sustainalytics' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.</i>	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>NEGL</th> <th>Low</th> <th>Medium</th> <th>High</th> <th>Severe</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0-10</td> <td>10-20</td> <td>20-30</td> <td>30-40</td> <td>40+</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																	
NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe																									
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																									
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.																											
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table border="0" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <tr> <td style="padding-right: 10px;">AAA</td> <td>8.571-10.000</td> <td style="padding-right: 10px;">Leader:</td> <td>leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AA</td> <td>7.143-8.570</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>5.714-7.142</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>BBB</td> <td>4.286-5.713</td> <td>Average:</td> <td>a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BB</td> <td>2.857-4.285</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td>1.429-2.856</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>CCC</td> <td>0.000-1.428</td> <td>Laggard:</td> <td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td> </tr> </table>	AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities	AA	7.143-8.570			A	5.714-7.142			BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers	BB	2.857-4.285			B	1.429-2.856			CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks
AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities																										
AA	7.143-8.570																												
A	5.714-7.142																												
BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers																										
BB	2.857-4.285																												
B	1.429-2.856																												
CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks																										
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.																												
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)</i>																												
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.																												
Bloomberg	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.																											
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.																											

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) **"CG Score"**; 2) **"AGM Level"**; 3) **"Thai CAC"**; and 4) **THSI**. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Jitra Amornthum FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd


The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price

AAPICO Hitech (AH TB)



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
15-Mar-2021	BUY	22.00	02-Feb-2022	HOLD	28.00	28-Oct-2022	BUY	35.50
18-May-2021	BUY	30.80	16-Mar-2022	BUY	28.00	25-Nov-2022	BUY	42.00
27-Oct-2021	BUY	29.00	01-Aug-2022	BUY	29.50	11-Oct-2023	BUY	45.00

Jitra Amornthum started covering this stock from 11-Oct-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
AAPICO Hitech	AH TB	THB 23.90	BUY	Key downside risks to our P/E-derived TP include lower-than-expected car production volumes and lower car sales in both Thailand and Malaysia, higher steel prices, semiconductor shortages, and exchange rate risk.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 01-Mar-2024 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as $(\text{target price}^* - \text{current price}) / \text{current price}$.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.