

14 NOVEMBER 2023

EQUITY RESEARCH – RESULTS FLASH

BANGKOK DUSIT MEDICAL SERVICES

THAILAND / HEALTH CARE SERVICES

FINANSIA

FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY

FSSIA ESG rating

**BDMS TB****BUY**

UNCHANGED

3Q23 results at first glance

3Q23 core profit grew 15% y-y and hit a new record high of THB3.9b, beating our estimate

Highlights

- BDMS reported a new record high core profit of THB3.9b in 3Q23 (+15% y-y, +27% q-q), beating our forecast and the Bloomberg consensus estimate by 7-9%, mainly due to higher-than-expected international revenue and an EBITDA margin of 25% (vs our forecast of 24%).
- Hospital revenue grew 11% y-y, beating the overall 6-8% revenue growth target that management had guided.
- International patient revenue grew 19% y-y and exceeded the pre-Covid level by 14%, driven by patient revenue from Qatar (+81% y-y), China (+42% y-y), and Cambodia (+11% y-y).
- Thai patient revenue grew 9% y-y and exceeded the pre-Covid level by 26%. Excluding Covid treatments, non-Covid revenue grew by 23% y-y, driven by seasonal epidemics, i.e. respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), influenza and dengue fever.
- COGS increased by 10% y-y and SG&A increased by 14% y-y in 3Q23. As a result, the EBITDA margin remained relatively flat y-y at 25% and improved from 23% in 2Q23.
- 9M23 core profit of THB10.4b accounted for 77% of our 2023E profit of THB13.5b.


Outlook

- We expect the revenue growth momentum to continue in 4Q23, as the acute respiratory outbreak continued in Oct, leading to strong Thai patient revenue. In addition, international patient revenue in 4Q is typically higher than in 3Q. Thus, we estimate revenue to grow by 8-9% y-y, leading BDMS to achieve its revenue growth target of 6-8%, with the scope of an upside.
- The weak share price provides an opportunity to buy the stock.

TARGET PRICE	THB34.50
CLOSE	THB25.25
UP/DOWNSIDE	+36.6%
TP vs CONSENSUS	+0.5%

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2022	2023E	2024E	2025E
Revenue	92,968	100,315	108,779	116,421
Net profit	12,606	13,469	15,295	16,843
EPS (THB)	0.79	0.85	0.96	1.06
vs Consensus (%)	-	0.7	5.6	6.3
EBITDA	22,933	24,415	26,856	29,091
Recurring net profit	12,606	13,469	15,295	16,843
Core EPS (THB)	0.79	0.85	0.96	1.06
EPS growth (%)	63.0	6.8	13.6	10.1
Core P/E (x)	31.8	29.8	26.2	23.8
Dividend yield (%)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3
EV/EBITDA (x)	18.0	16.7	14.9	13.4
Price/book (x)	4.5	4.2	3.9	3.6
Net debt/Equity (%)	9.2	1.3	(7.0)	(14.4)
ROE (%)	14.5	14.5	15.4	15.8



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	(8.2)	(12.2)	(19.2)
Relative to country (%)	(4.0)	(2.8)	(4.6)
Mkt cap (USD m)	11,140		
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)	37.3		
Free float (%)	70		
Major shareholder	Thai NVDR (14%)		
12m high/low (THB)	32.00/25.00		
Issued shares (m)	15,892.00		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates

**Teerapol Udomvej, CFA**

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 080523
teerapol.udo@fssia.com, +66 2646 9969

Exhibit 1: BDMS – 3Q23 operations summary

	3Q22	4Q22	1Q23	2Q23	3Q23	Change		2023E
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)
Sales	23,985	23,842	24,313	24,372	26,699	10	11	100,315
- Hospital revenue	22,825	22,568	23,084	23,141	25,473	10	12	95,661
- Other revenue	1,160	1,274	1,229	1,232	1,227	(0)	6	4,655
COGS (incl depreciation)	(14,979)	(14,752)	(15,122)	(15,432)	(16,469)	7	10	(62,761)
Gross profit	9,006	9,090	9,191	8,940	10,230	14	14	37,555
SG&A	(4,496)	(4,933)	(4,633)	(4,876)	(5,106)	5	14	(19,351)
Operating profit¹⁾	4,510	4,157	4,558	4,064	5,124	26	14	18,204
Net other income	0	(0)	12	5	7	22	334,900	9
Interest income	19	32	26	48	48	1	154	142
Interest expense	(154)	(169)	(164)	(134)	(122)	(9)	(21)	(700)
Pretax profit	4,374	4,020	4,432	3,983	5,057	27	16	17,654
Income Tax	(824)	(806)	(880)	(812)	(1,025)	26	24	(3,531)
Associates	16	10	34	13	21	65	30	26
Minority interest	(181)	(111)	(116)	(120)	(163)	36	(10)	(680)
Core profit	3,386	3,113	3,470	3,063	3,890	27	15	13,469
Extraordinaries, GW & FX								0
Reported net profit	3,386	3,113	3,470	3,063	3,890	27	15	13,469
Outstanding shares (m)	15,892	15,892	15,892	15,892	15,892	0	0	15,892
Core EPS (THB)	0.21	0.20	0.22	0.19	0.24	27	15	0.85
EPS (THB)	0.21	0.20	0.22	0.19	0.24	27	15	0.85
COGS excl depreciation	13,489	13,268	13,693	13,986	14,999	7	11	56,550
Depreciation	1,490	1,484	1,429	1,446	1,470	2	(1)	6,211
EBITDA ²⁾	5,999	5,641	5,987	5,510	6,594	20	10	24,415
Key ratios	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)	(%)
Gross margin	38	38	38	37	38	2	1	37
SG&A/Revenue	19	21	19	20	19	(1)	0	19
EBITDA margin	25	24	25	23	25	2	(0)	24
Net profit margin	14	13	14	13	15	2	0	13
Operating stats	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)			
OPD revenue growth y-y	42	15	11	10	-			
OPD volume growth y-y	35	13	(7)	0				
OPD revenue per head growth y-y	5	1	20	10				
IPD revenue growth y-y	7	(2)	(2)	10				
IPD volume growth y-y	90	35	33	60				
IPD revenue per head growth y-y	(44)	(27)	(26)	(31)				
Thai revenue growth y-y	10	(4)	(6)	7	9			
International revenue growth y-y	74	61	38	22	19			

Note: 1) Gross profit calculated by revenue – COGS including depreciation; 2) EBITDA calculated by operating profit + operating income – depreciation

Sources: BDMS; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Bangkok Dusit Medical Services

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2021	2022	2023E	2024E	2025E
Revenue	75,514	92,968	100,315	108,779	116,421
Cost of goods sold	(49,462)	(58,329)	(62,761)	(67,590)	(72,059)
Gross profit	26,052	34,638	37,555	41,189	44,362
Other operating income	-	-	-	-	-
Operating costs	(15,029)	(17,655)	(19,351)	(20,712)	(21,934)
Operating EBITDA	17,345	22,933	24,415	26,856	29,091
Depreciation	(6,321)	(5,950)	(6,211)	(6,378)	(6,663)
Goodwill amortisation	-	-	-	-	-
Operating EBIT	11,023	16,984	18,204	20,478	22,428
Net financing costs	(655)	(552)	(558)	(469)	(394)
Associates	21	42	26	28	31
Recurring non-operating income	26	50	34	38	42
Non-recurring items	200	0	0	0	0
Profit before tax	10,594	16,481	17,680	20,047	22,075
Tax	(2,103)	(3,227)	(3,531)	(4,004)	(4,409)
Profit after tax	8,490	13,254	14,149	16,043	17,666
Minority interests	(554)	(648)	(680)	(748)	(823)
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	-	-
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
Reported net profit	7,936	12,606	13,469	15,295	16,843
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(200)	0	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	7,736	12,606	13,469	15,295	16,843
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	0.49	0.79	0.85	0.96	1.06
Reported EPS	0.50	0.79	0.85	0.96	1.06
DPS	0.80	0.50	0.50	0.51	0.58
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	15,892	15,892	15,892	15,892	15,892
Growth					
Revenue (%)	9.3	23.1	7.9	8.4	7.0
Operating EBITDA (%)	16.1	32.2	6.5	10.0	8.3
Operating EBIT (%)	29.3	54.1	7.2	12.5	9.5
Recurring EPS (%)	28.0	63.0	6.8	13.6	10.1
Reported EPS (%)	10.0	58.8	6.8	13.6	10.1
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	34.5	37.3	37.4	37.9	38.1
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	42.9	43.7	43.6	43.7	43.8
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	23.0	24.7	24.3	24.7	25.0
Operating EBIT margin (%)	14.6	18.3	18.1	18.8	19.3
Net margin (%)	10.2	13.6	13.4	14.1	14.5
Effective tax rate (%)	20.3	19.6	20.0	20.0	20.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	164.7	63.0	59.0	52.8	54.5
Interest cover (X)	16.9	30.8	32.7	43.8	57.0
Inventory days	16.3	14.7	14.8	14.8	14.9
Debtor days	39.4	38.5	38.1	35.2	32.9
Creditor days	40.1	39.1	41.4	41.4	41.7
Operating ROIC (%)	10.6	15.8	16.2	18.4	20.4
ROIC (%)	8.5	12.7	13.1	14.8	16.3
ROE (%)	9.0	14.5	14.5	15.4	15.8
ROA (%)	6.7	10.1	10.1	10.9	11.2
* Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted					
Revenue by Division (THB m)					
Thai patient revenue	58,500	67,286	68,038	73,507	79,081
International patient revenue	12,841	21,248	27,623	30,385	32,208
Other revenue	4,173	4,433	4,655	4,887	5,132

Sources: Bangkok Dusit Medical Services; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Bangkok Dusit Medical Services

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2021	2022	2023E	2024E	2025E
Recurring net profit	7,736	12,606	13,469	15,295	16,843
Depreciation	6,321	5,950	6,211	6,378	6,663
Associates & minorities	-	-	-	-	-
Other non-cash items	997	1,275	680	748	823
Change in working capital	(980)	720	940	1,072	966
Cash flow from operations	14,074	20,551	21,300	23,493	25,295
Capex - maintenance	(4,235)	(14,953)	(6,019)	(6,527)	(6,985)
Capex - new investment	-	-	-	-	-
Net acquisitions & disposals	(58)	(386)	0	0	0
Other investments (net)	-	-	-	-	-
Cash flow from investing	(4,293)	(15,340)	(6,019)	(6,527)	(6,985)
Dividends paid	(12,738)	(7,943)	(7,946)	(8,081)	(9,177)
Equity finance	0	0	0	0	0
Debt finance	(5,416)	4,764	(2,000)	(500)	(500)
Other financing cash flows	75	299	0	0	0
Cash flow from financing	(18,079)	(2,880)	(9,946)	(8,581)	(9,677)
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
Other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
Net other adjustments	0	0	0	0	0
Movement in cash	(8,298)	2,330	5,335	8,385	8,633
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	10,509.69	5,842.84	15,981.37	17,627.65	18,977.14
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	4,439.73	10,273.66	13,280.96	16,465.99	17,810.13

Per share (THB)	2021	2022	2023E	2024E	2025E
FCFF per share	0.66	0.37	1.01	1.11	1.19
FCFE per share	0.28	0.65	0.84	1.04	1.12
Recurring cash flow per share	0.95	1.25	1.28	1.41	1.53

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2021	2022	2023E	2024E	2025E
Tangible fixed assets (gross)	142,630	155,412	161,431	167,958	174,943
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(59,725)	(63,503)	(69,714)	(76,092)	(82,755)
Tangible fixed assets (net)	82,905	91,909	91,717	91,866	92,188
Intangible fixed assets (net)	18,828	19,160	19,160	19,160	19,160
Long-term financial assets	-	-	-	-	-
Invest. in associates & subsidiaries	1,600	1,986	1,986	1,986	1,986
Cash & equivalents	12,641	14,972	20,307	28,691	37,325
A/C receivable	9,131	10,484	10,484	10,484	10,484
Inventories	2,005	2,211	2,387	2,584	2,761
Other current assets	624	132	142	154	165
Current assets	24,401	27,799	33,320	41,914	50,735
Other assets	720	689	689	689	689
Total assets	128,454	141,543	146,872	155,615	164,758
Common equity	83,845	89,879	95,402	102,615	110,282
Minorities etc.	3,920	3,834	4,514	5,262	6,086
Total shareholders' equity	87,765	93,713	99,916	107,878	116,368
Long term debt	18,753	23,062	21,062	20,562	20,062
Other long-term liabilities	9,442	10,032	10,032	10,032	10,032
Long-term liabilities	28,196	33,094	31,094	30,594	30,094
A/C payable	5,035	6,176	6,668	7,217	7,711
Short term debt	76	532	532	532	532
Other current liabilities	7,382	8,028	8,663	9,394	10,053
Current liabilities	12,493	14,736	15,862	17,143	18,296
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	128,454	141,543	146,872	155,615	164,758
Net working capital	(657)	(1,377)	(2,316)	(3,388)	(4,354)
Invested capital	103,396	112,367	111,235	110,313	109,669

* Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being treated as debt

Per share (THB)	2021	2022	2023E	2024E	2025E
Book value per share	5.28	5.66	6.00	6.46	6.94
Tangible book value per share	4.09	4.45	4.80	5.25	5.73

Financial strength	2021	2022	2023E	2024E	2025E
Net debt/equity (%)	7.1	9.2	1.3	(7.0)	(14.4)
Net debt/total assets (%)	4.8	6.1	0.9	(4.9)	(10.2)
Current ratio (x)	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.4	2.8
CF interest cover (x)	7.8	19.6	24.8	36.1	46.2

Valuation	2021	2022	2023E	2024E	2025E
Recurring P/E (x) *	51.9	31.8	29.8	26.2	23.8
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	70.9	43.5	40.7	35.8	32.6
Reported P/E (x)	50.6	31.8	29.8	26.2	23.8
Dividend yield (%)	3.2	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3
Price/book (x)	4.8	4.5	4.2	3.9	3.6
Price/tangible book (x)	6.2	5.7	5.3	4.8	4.4
EV/EBITDA (x) **	23.7	18.0	16.7	14.9	13.4
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	32.2	24.5	22.7	20.3	18.5
EV/invested capital (x)	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.6

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted ** EBITDA includes associate income and recurring non-operating income

Sources: Bangkok Dusit Medical Services; FSSIA estimates

Bangkok Dusit Medical Serv (BDMS TB)

FSSIA ESG rating

74.00 /100
Exhibit 2: FSSIA ESG score implication

Rating	Score	Implication
★★★★★	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
★★★★★	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
★★★★	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
★★★	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
★	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 3: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA ESG score	Domestic ratings						Global ratings					Bloomberg		
		DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
BCH	39.71	--	--	--	4.00	5.00	Certified	High	48.21	--	--	27.19	18.00	3.52	47.60
BDMS	74.00	Y	Y	Y	5.00	4.00	--	Medium	61.06	AA	34.00	59.83	72.00	3.45	58.92
BH	51.21	--	--	--	4.00	4.00	--	Medium	64.29	A	29.00	59.03	27.00	5.08	47.79
CHG	38.25	--	--	--	4.00	5.00	--	High	55.35	--	--	59.57	21.00	2.34	50.24
PR9	54.08	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	High	71.12	--	--	62.39	--	2.43	37.90
PRINC	18.00	--	--	--	4.00	4.00	Certified	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
RAM	11.75	--	--	--	3.00	--	--	High	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
THG	18.75	--	--	--	5.00	5.00	--	High	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
VIBHA	20.88	--	--	--	4.00	3.00	Declared	High	--	--	--	--	17.00	--	--

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 4: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	1.08	1.05	2.21	2.76	3.74	3.77	3.76	3.45
BESG environmental pillar score	0.00	0.00	2.04	4.49	4.17	3.84	3.24	2.25
BESG social pillar score	0.14	0.14	1.24	1.48	3.40	3.53	3.54	3.59
BESG governance pillar score	4.56	4.40	4.37	4.19	4.03	4.13	4.51	4.12
ESG disclosure score	32.33	32.33	46.90	47.34	57.35	57.69	58.34	58.92
Environmental disclosure score	0.00	0.00	22.74	24.07	52.31	52.31	54.27	56.00
Social disclosure score	13.21	13.21	34.22	34.22	36.03	37.06	37.06	37.06
Governance disclosure score	83.59	83.59	83.59	83.59	83.59	83.59	83.59	83.59
Environmental								
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Climate change policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
GHG scope 1	—	—	3	4	9	35	9	34
GHG scope 2 location-based	—	—	91	92	98	94	96	209
GHG Scope 3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Carbon per unit of production	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Energy efficiency policy	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	—	—	143	145	152	147	194	497
Renewable energy use	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5
Electricity used	—	—	143	145	152	147	192	417
Fuel used - natural gas	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 5: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	—	—	1	2	2	2	3	5
Total waste	—	—	6	7	7	5	9	13
Waste recycled	—	—	0	1	1	0	1	2
Waste sent to landfills	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	5
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water policy	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	—	—	354	358	388	332	472	754
Social								
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Consumer data protection policy	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Pct women in workforce	—	—	82	82	82	82	83	83
Pct disabled in workforce	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	—	—	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total recordable incident rate - employees	—	—	2	2	2	1	1	1
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Number of employees – CSR	33,456	36,989	37,505	38,803	40,298	36,254	36,344	40,495
Employee turnover pct	—	—	18	19	19	17	16	20
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	568,960	588,890	795,330	547,592	784,625	476,816	437,209	1,417,320
Social supply chain management	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Governance								
Board size	15	14	13	14	14	16	18	17
No. of independent directors (ID)	7	6	6	6	6	7	7	7
No. of women on board	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
No. of non-executive directors on board	9	7	6	8	9	9	9	9
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	13	13	14	12	13	12	12	12
Board meeting attendance pct	95	94	98	97	98	98	97	100
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	45	42	43	44	45	46	47	48
Age of the oldest director	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90
No. of executives / company managers	4	5	5	5	6	7	5	5
No. of female executives	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Audit committee meetings	10	9	10	7	10	6	6	6
Audit meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	97	100	100	100
Size of compensation committee	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3
No. of ID on compensation committee	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2
No. of compensation committee meetings	3	1	4	4	3	4	4	3
Compensation meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Size of nomination committee	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3
No. of nomination committee meetings	3	1	4	4	3	4	4	3
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Sustainability governance								
Verification type	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating																												
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.																												
Sustainability Investment List (THSI) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	THSI quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for THSI inclusion , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETTHSI Index is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.																												
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).																												
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i>	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.																												
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i>	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.																												
Morningstar Sustainalytics	The Sustainalytics' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.</i>	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>NEGL</th> <th>Low</th> <th>Medium</th> <th>High</th> <th>Severe</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0-10</td> <td>10-20</td> <td>20-30</td> <td>30-40</td> <td>40+</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																		
NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe																										
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																										
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.																												
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tbody> <tr> <td>AAA</td> <td>8.571-10.000</td> <td>Leader:</td> <td>leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AA</td> <td>7.143-8.570</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>5.714-7.142</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>BBB</td> <td>4.286-5.713</td> <td>Average:</td> <td>a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BB</td> <td>2.857-4.285</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td>1.429-2.856</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>CCC</td> <td>0.000-1.428</td> <td>Laggard:</td> <td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities	AA	7.143-8.570			A	5.714-7.142			BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers	BB	2.857-4.285			B	1.429-2.856			CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks	
AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities																											
AA	7.143-8.570																													
A	5.714-7.142																													
BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers																											
BB	2.857-4.285																													
B	1.429-2.856																													
CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks																											
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.																													
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)</i>																													
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.																													
Bloomberg	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.																												
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.																												

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Teerapol Udomvej, CFA FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd


The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price

Bangkok Dusit Medical Services (BDMS TB)



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
17-Nov-2020	BUY	28.00	24-Jun-2021	BUY	29.00	08-Jan-2023	BUY	34.50
24-Nov-2020	BUY	27.00	19-Apr-2022	BUY	31.00			
11-May-2021	BUY	28.00	06-Oct-2022	BUY	33.00			

Teerapol Udomvej, CFA started covering this stock from 30-Jul-2020

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Bangkok Dusit Medical Services	BDMS TB	THB 25.25	BUY	Downside risks to our DCF-based target price include 1) a slowdown in international patients due to economic concerns, political protests or floods; 2) regulatory risks from drug prices and medical bill controls; and 3) higher-than-expected capex and opex for CoE projects.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 13-Nov-2023 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as $(\text{target price}^* - \text{current price}) / \text{current price}$.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.