

OSOTSPA OSP TB

THAILAND / FOOD & BEVERAGE

BUY

UNCHANGED

3Q23 results at first glance

TARGET PRICE	THB28.50
CLOSE	THB24.40
UP/DOWNSIDE	+16.8%
TP vs CONSENSUS	-9.8%

In line 3Q23, even though market share has not recovered

Highlights


- OSP reported a 3Q23 net profit of THB642m (16.9+% q-q, +163% y-y). Excluding an extra gain of THB80m from restructuring, the normalized earnings were in line at THB562m (+2.3% q-q, +56.6% y-y).
- 3Q23 revenue slightly recovered. It edged up 1.6% y-y (but was down by 6.4% q-q due to lower international sales in line with seasonality), supported by domestic sales growth (+2.2% q-q, +1.5% y-y) from a recovery in tandem with the energy drink market and the low base last year when its rivals had not raised their prices. However, the market share decreased to 46.5% from 47.5% in 2Q23 and 48.2% in 3Q22. Also, international sales weakened due to seasonal factors and the high base last year.
- The gross margin increased more than expected to 35.4% from 34% in 2Q23 and 29.3% in 3Q23 due mainly to 1) lower raw material and gas costs and 2) higher production efficiency.
- Costs remained under control, and OSP did not do aggressive promotion. As a result, the SG&A-to-sales ratio decreased to 25.9% from 26.4% in 3Q22 but increased from 24.8% in 2Q23 due to q-q lower revenue.
- The profit sharing from associates recovered better than expected to THB51m from THB13m in 2Q23. However, it dropped 35% y-y from the high base last year when Covid was rampant.

Outlook

- OSP's reported 9M23 net profit equaled THB1,969m (+23% y-y). Excluding extra items, the core profit was THB1,584m (-7.5% y-y), securing 73% of our full-year estimate. In 4Q23, it should rise to this year's peak, in line with seasonal factors. Also, OSP would do more aggressive promotion in the country. However, the net profit should significantly decrease due to one-time expenses of cTHB100m from the closure of its factory in Samut Prakan from December 1, 2023, onwards.
- We maintain our 2023-24E normalized earnings of THB2,183m (+14.1% y-y) and THB2,636m (+20.7% y-y), respectively.
- We retain our 2024 TP of THB28.5 (PE 35x, maintained). Since OSP has a 16.8% upside, we reaffirm our BUY rating.

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2022	2023E	2024E	2025E
Revenue	27,266	26,425	28,621	30,429
Net profit	1,934	2,449	2,636	2,840
EPS (THB)	0.64	0.82	0.88	0.95
vs Consensus (%)	-	(3.9)	(9.4)	(9.8)
EBITDA	3,644	4,188	4,779	5,069
Recurring net profit	1,914	2,183	2,636	2,840
Core EPS (THB)	0.64	0.73	0.88	0.95
EPS growth (%)	(41.2)	14.1	20.7	7.7
Core P/E (x)	38.3	33.6	27.8	25.8
Dividend yield (%)	3.7	4.9	3.2	3.5
EV/EBITDA (x)	20.3	17.6	15.4	14.5
Price/book (x)	4.0	4.6	4.5	4.4
Net debt/Equity (%)	4.3	2.8	1.6	1.2
ROE (%)	10.0	12.6	16.2	17.2



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	1.2	(13.6)	(8.0)
Relative to country (%)	3.2	(7.1)	6.4
Mkt cap (USD m)	2,059		
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)	7.0		
Free float (%)	52		
Major shareholder	Osathanugrah Family (39%)		
12m high/low (THB)	32.11/22.80		
Issued shares (m)	3,003.75		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Sureeporn Teewasuwet

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 040694
sureeporn.t@fssia.com, +66 2646 9972

Exhibit 1: 3Q23 results summary


	3Q22	4Q22	1Q23	2Q23	3Q23	----- Change -----		9M22	9M23	Change
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(y-y%)
Sales	6,178	6,432	6,545	6,709	6,277	(6.4)	1.6	20,833	19,532	(6.2)
Cost of sales	4,367	4,509	4,360	4,428	4,058	(8.4)	(7.1)	14,407	12,846	(10.8)
Gross profit	1,811	1,924	2,186	2,281	2,220	(2.7)	22.6	6,427	6,686	4.0
SG&A	1,631	1,638	1,703	1,661	1,624	(2.2)	(0.4)	4,862	4,988	2.6
Operating profit	233	304	524	678	633	(6.7)	171.1	1,743	1,835	5.3
Interest expense	23	24	24	24	26	10.9	14.3	65	74	14.1
Tax expense	70	15	54	110	96	(12.6)	37.2	354	259	(26.7)
Profit (loss) sharing	78	62	39	13	51	279.2	(34.6)	252	103	(59.2)
Reported net profit	244	337	778	549	642	16.9	163.3	1,597	1,969	23.3
Core profit	359	317	472	549	562	2.3	56.6	1,712	1,584	(7.5)

Key Ratios (%)						(ppt)	(ppt)				(ppt)
Gross margin	29.3	29.9	33.4	34.0	35.4	1.4	6.0	30.8	34.2	3.4	
SG&A to sales	26.4	25.5	26.0	24.8	25.9	1.1	(0.5)	23.3	25.5	2.2	
Operating margin	3.8	4.7	8.0	10.1	10.1	(0.0)	6.3	8.4	9.4	1.0	
Net margin	3.9	5.2	11.9	8.2	10.2	2.0	6.3	6.5	5.2	(1.3)	
Core margin	5.8	4.9	7.2	8.2	9.0	0.8	3.1	7.7	10.1	2.4	

Operating statistics (THB m)										
Beverage sales	4,854	4,953	5,279	5,488	5,071	(7.6)	4.5	17,067	15,837	(7.2)
Personal care	605	706	556	566	647	14.4	7.0	1,746	1,769	1.3
Other	719	773	710	656	559	(14.7)	(22.2)	2,021	1,925	(4.7)
Domestic	5,303	5,448	4,992	5,268	5,383	2.2	1.5	17,186	15,643	(9.0)
Overseas	875	984	1,553	1,441	894	(37.9)	2.2	3,648	3,889	6.6
Energy drink's market share (%)	48.2	47.3	46.6	47.5	46.5	(1.0)	(1.7)			
Functional drink's market share (%)	43.6	42.7	40.4	42.1	43.7	1.6	0.1			


Sources: OSP; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 2: Quarterly total revenue and growth




Sources: OSP; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 3: OSP's energy drink market share by value




Sources: OSP; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 4: Quarterly gross margin



Sources: OSP; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 5: Quarterly core profit and growth



Sources: OSP; FSSIA's compilation

Financial Statements

Osotspa

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2021	2022	2023E	2024E	2025E
Revenue	26,762	27,266	26,425	28,621	30,429
Cost of goods sold	(17,619)	(18,915)	(17,388)	(18,775)	(19,931)
Gross profit	9,143	8,350	9,037	9,846	10,498
Other operating income	516	196	198	215	228
Operating costs	(5,978)	(6,500)	(6,712)	(7,012)	(7,455)
Operating EBITDA	5,199	3,644	4,188	4,779	5,069
Depreciation	(1,518)	(1,598)	(1,664)	(1,731)	(1,798)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	0
Operating EBIT	3,681	2,047	2,524	3,048	3,271
Net financing costs	(96)	(89)	(96)	(96)	(88)
Associates	263	315	143	172	183
Recurring non-operating income	263	315	143	172	183
Non-recurring items	0	20	266	0	0
Profit before tax	3,849	2,293	2,837	3,124	3,366
Tax	(664)	(369)	(347)	(500)	(539)
Profit after tax	3,185	1,924	2,489	2,624	2,827
Minority interests	70	10	(41)	11	12
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	0
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
Reported net profit	3,255	1,934	2,449	2,636	2,840
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	0	(20)	(266)	0	0
Recurring net profit	3,255	1,914	2,183	2,636	2,840
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	1.08	0.64	0.73	0.88	0.95
Reported EPS	1.08	0.64	0.82	0.88	0.95
DPS	1.10	0.90	1.20	0.79	0.85
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	3,004	3,004	3,004	3,004	3,004
Growth					
Revenue (%)	4.6	1.9	(3.1)	8.3	6.3
Operating EBITDA (%)	1.0	(29.9)	14.9	14.1	6.1
Operating EBIT (%)	(4.8)	(44.4)	23.3	20.8	7.3
Recurring EPS (%)	(7.1)	(41.2)	14.1	20.7	7.7
Reported EPS (%)	(7.1)	(40.6)	26.6	7.6	7.7
Operating performance					
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	34.2	30.6	34.2	34.4	34.5
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	39.8	36.5	40.5	40.4	40.4
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	19.4	13.4	15.8	16.7	16.7
Operating EBIT margin (%)	13.8	7.5	9.6	10.7	10.8
Net margin (%)	12.2	7.0	8.3	9.2	9.3
Effective tax rate (%)	17.3	16.1	12.2	16.0	16.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	101.5	141.3	165.1	90.0	90.0
Interest cover (X)	41.3	26.6	27.9	33.6	39.4
Inventory days	53.7	61.2	64.2	53.0	53.4
Debtor days	48.9	51.6	53.6	49.7	50.5
Creditor days	46.7	50.7	53.9	47.7	48.0
Operating ROIC (%)	20.2	10.6	12.9	15.5	16.0
ROIC (%)	16.8	9.5	11.3	14.7	15.5
ROE (%)	16.6	10.0	12.6	16.2	17.2
ROA (%)	12.3	7.4	9.3	11.5	12.2
* Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted					
Revenue by Division (THB m)					
	2021	2022	2023E	2024E	2025E
Beverage	22,709	22,020	21,318	23,259	24,799
Personal care	2,102	2,452	2,423	2,544	2,672
Other (OEM)	1,952	2,794	2,684	2,818	2,959

Sources: Osotspa; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Osotspa

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2021	2022	2023E	2024E	2025E
Recurring net profit	3,255	1,914	2,183	2,636	2,840
Depreciation	1,518	1,598	1,664	1,731	1,798
Associates & minorities	(349)	(343)	(84)	(142)	(163)
Other non-cash items	0	-	-	0	0
Change in working capital	(65)	(923)	546	(283)	(355)
Cash flow from operations	4,359	2,245	4,310	3,942	4,120
Capex - maintenance	(1,855)	(1,461)	(3,001)	(2,132)	(2,023)
Capex - new investment	-	-	-	-	-
Net acquisitions & disposals	-	-	-	-	-
Other investments (net)	(489)	546	2,712	654	459
Cash flow from investing	(2,344)	(915)	(290)	(1,478)	(1,564)
Dividends paid	(3,304)	(3,130)	(3,605)	(2,372)	(2,556)
Equity finance	0	0	0	0	0
Debt finance	11	548	(161)	(176)	(328)
Other financing cash flows	241	(156)	(40)	72	60
Cash flow from financing	(3,052)	(2,737)	(3,805)	(2,476)	(2,824)
Non-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	-
Other adjustments	0	0	0	25	0
Net other adjustments	0	0	(25)	25	0
Movement in cash	(1,037)	(1,407)	190	13	(268)
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	2,110.59	1,419.55	4,115.81	2,559.82	2,643.85
Free cash flow to equity (FCFE)	2,266.57	1,723.44	3,794.21	2,385.42	2,287.85

Per share (THB)

FCFF per share	0.70	0.47	1.37	0.85	0.88
FCFE per share	0.75	0.57	1.26	0.79	0.76
Recurring cash flow per share	1.47	1.05	1.25	1.41	1.49

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2021	2022	2023E	2024E	2025E
Tangible fixed assets (gross)	26,379	27,369	29,369	31,369	33,369
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(12,648)	(13,889)	(15,554)	(17,285)	(19,082)
Tangible fixed assets (net)	13,730	13,480	13,815	14,084	14,286
Intangible fixed assets (net)	396	359	359	359	359
Long-term financial assets	-	-	-	-	-
Invest. in associates & subsidiaries	4,001	3,805	1,500	1,000	800
Cash & equivalents	2,493	1,087	1,276	1,290	1,022
A/C receivable	3,660	4,051	3,708	4,086	4,332
Inventories	2,658	3,147	2,382	2,572	2,730
Other current assets	16	16	26	29	152
Current assets	8,827	8,301	7,392	7,976	8,236
Other assets	188	209	211	229	152
Total assets	27,142	26,154	23,278	23,648	23,833
Common equity	19,884	18,464	16,096	16,359	16,643
Minorities etc.	19	(9)	50	80	100
Total shareholders' equity	19,904	18,455	16,146	16,439	16,743
Long term debt	641	723	673	623	573
Other long-term liabilities	1,068	912	872	944	1,004
Long-term liabilities	1,708	1,635	1,545	1,567	1,577
A/C payable	4,822	4,787	4,432	4,603	4,746
Short term debt	469	1,161	1,050	924	646
Other current liabilities	238	116	106	114	122
Current liabilities	5,530	6,064	5,588	5,642	5,513
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	27,142	26,154	23,278	23,648	23,833
Net working capital	1,274	2,311	1,579	1,969	2,347
Invested capital	19,588	20,164	17,464	17,641	17,944

* Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is being treated as debt

Per share (THB)

Book value per share	6.62	6.15	5.36	5.45	5.54
Tangible book value per share	6.49	6.03	5.24	5.33	5.42

Financial strength

Net debt/equity (%)	(6.9)	4.3	2.8	1.6	1.2
Net debt/total assets (%)	(5.1)	3.0	1.9	1.1	0.8
Current ratio (x)	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.5
CF interest cover (x)	24.7	20.4	40.7	25.9	27.1

Valuation	2021	2022	2023E	2024E	2025E
Recurring P/E (x) *	22.5	38.3	33.6	27.8	25.8
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	26.3	44.7	39.2	32.5	30.1
Reported P/E (x)	22.5	37.9	29.9	27.8	25.8
Dividend yield (%)	4.5	3.7	4.9	3.2	3.5
Price/book (x)	3.7	4.0	4.6	4.5	4.4
Price/tangible book (x)	3.8	4.0	4.7	4.6	4.5
EV/EBITDA (x) **	13.8	20.3	17.6	15.4	14.5
EV/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	16.2	23.7	20.6	18.0	16.9
EV/invested capital (x)	3.7	3.7	4.2	4.2	4.1

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted ** EBITDA includes associate income and recurring non-operating income

Sources: Osotspa; FSSIA estimates

Osotspa PCL (OSP TB)

FSSIA ESG rating



61.48 /100

Exhibit 6: FSSIA ESG score implication

Rating	Score	Implication
★★★★★	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
★★★★★	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
★★★	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
★★	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
★	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 7: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA ESG score	Domestic ratings						Global ratings						Bloomberg	
		DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
CBG	51.54	--	Y	Y	4.00	4.00	Declared	High	59.58	B	--	33.28	56.00	2.12	--
ICHI	43.67	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	High	61.36	--	--	--	18.00	--	--
OSP	61.48	--	Y	Y	5.00	4.00	Declared	Medium	68.64	BBB	--	63.08	51.00	3.00	63.65
SAPPE	35.25	--	Y	Y	4.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
TACC	15.00	--	--	--	5.00	5.00	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Sources: [SETTRADE.com](https://www.settrade.com); FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 8: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	1.58	2.89	2.91	3.18	3.00
BESG environmental pillar score	0.67	2.96	2.83	3.25	3.18
BESG social pillar score	0.84	1.57	1.78	1.76	1.77
BESG governance pillar score	4.15	4.38	4.36	4.77	4.18
ESG disclosure score	40.25	52.25	60.06	64.77	63.65
Environmental disclosure score	13.38	36.18	50.56	51.40	48.02
Social disclosure score	26.69	39.36	48.46	55.47	55.47
Governance disclosure score	80.52	81.10	81.10	87.36	87.36
Environmental					
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	No	No
GHG scope 1	—	125	151	157	141
GHG scope 2 location-based	—	90	91	85	95
GHG Scope 3	—	—	—	—	—
Carbon per unit of production	0	0	0	0	0
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	—	839	913	922	917
Renewable energy use	28	50	32	36	40
Electricity used	—	148	158	170	187
Fuel used - natural gas	—	60,675	67,626	70,172	68,074

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 9: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	—	0	1	1	1
Total waste	—	8	13	11	12
Waste recycled	—	—	—	—	—
Waste sent to landfills	—	—	—	—	—
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	3,031	3,109	3,077	3,117	—
Social					
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Consumer data protection policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	No
Pct women in workforce	—	—	42	43	57
Pct disabled in workforce	—	—	—	—	—
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	0	0	0	0	0
Total recordable incident rate - employees	—	0	0	1	0
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No	No
Number of employees – CSR	3,656	3,590	3,792	3,620	3,542
Employee turnover pct	—	—	9	14	19
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	—	30,731	36,451	40,333	57,881
Social supply chain management	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Governance					
Board size	15	15	15	17	17
No. of independent directors (ID)	5	5	5	6	6
No. of women on board	4	4	5	5	5
No. of non-executive directors on board	11	11	10	12	12
Company conducts board evaluations	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	12	12	13	12	13
Board meeting attendance pct	89	91	89	99	94
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	45	46	47	48	34
Age of the oldest director	86	87	88	89	90
No. of executives / company managers	6	13	5	5	5
No. of female executives	2	5	2	2	2
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	3
Audit committee meetings	10	8	9	8	8
Audit meeting attendance %	97	100	100	100	96
Size of compensation committee	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on compensation committee	2	2	2	2	2
No. of compensation committee meetings	8	9	11	15	6
Compensation meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100
Size of nomination committee	3	3	3	3	3
No. of nomination committee meetings	8	9	11	15	6
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	100
Sustainability governance					
Verification type	No	No	No	No	No

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating																												
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.																												
Sustainability Investment List (THSI) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	THSI quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for THSI inclusion , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETTHSI Index is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.																												
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).																												
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i>	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.																												
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i>	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.																												
Morningstar Sustainability	The Sustainability's ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.</i>	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>NEGL</th> <th>Low</th> <th>Medium</th> <th>High</th> <th>Severe</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0-10</td> <td>10-20</td> <td>20-30</td> <td>30-40</td> <td>40+</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																		
NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe																										
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																										
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.																												
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tbody> <tr> <td>AAA</td> <td>8.571-10.000</td> <td>Leader:</td> <td>leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AA</td> <td>7.143-8.570</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>5.714-7.142</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>BBB</td> <td>4.286-5.713</td> <td>Average:</td> <td>a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BB</td> <td>2.857-4.285</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td>1.429-2.856</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>CCC</td> <td>0.000-1.428</td> <td>Laggard:</td> <td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities	AA	7.143-8.570			A	5.714-7.142			BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers	BB	2.857-4.285			B	1.429-2.856			CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks	
AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities																											
AA	7.143-8.570																													
A	5.714-7.142																													
BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers																											
BB	2.857-4.285																													
B	1.429-2.856																													
CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks																											
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.																													
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)</i>																													
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.																													
Bloomberg	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.																												
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.																												

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Sureeporn Teewasuwet FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price

Osotspa (OSP TB)



Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price	Date	Rating	Target price
25-Mar-2021	BUY	43.00	09-Jun-2023	BUY	33.00	-	-	-
16-Aug-2021	BUY	42.00	20-Oct-2023	BUY	28.50			

Sureeporn Teewasuwet started covering this stock from 09-Jun-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Osotspa	OSP TB	THB 24.40	BUY	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a slower-than-expected consumption recovery; 2) higher-than-expected competition; 3) high volatility in energy costs; and 4) changing legal policies both in Thailand and Myanmar.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 08-Nov-2023 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.