OSOTSPA

EQUITY RESEARCH – RESULTS FLASH

THAILAND / FOOD & BEVERAGE



1Q24 results at first glance

กำไรสุทธิ 1Q24 แตะระดับสูงสุดในรอบ 9 ไตรมาสใกล้เคียงกับที่เราคาด

OSP TB

Highlights

- OSP รายงานกำไรสุทธิ 1Q24 อยู่ที่ 828 ลบ. (+92% q-q, +7% y-y) ใกล้เคียงกับที่เรา คาดและสูงกว่าที่ตลาดคาด 4% เมื่อหักค่าใช้จ่ายพิเศษใน 4Q23 และเงินปันผลจาก Unicharm ใน 1Q23 กำไรปกติโต 40% q-q และ 76% y-y ไปแตะระดับสูงสุดในรอบ 9 ไตรมาส
- เราประทับใจกับรายได้รวม 1Q24 ที่ 7.26พัน ลบ. (+11% q-q, +11% y-y) นับว่าเป็น ระดับสูงสุดในรอบ 8 ไตรมาสโดยรายได้จากการขายเครื่องดื่มกระโดดเพิ่ม 15% q-q และ 15% y-y จาก 1) รายได้ในประเทศที่โต 7.3% y-y จากส่วนแบ่งตลาดเครื่องดื่มชู กำลังที่โต 50bps q-q จาก 45.9% ใน 4Q23 เป็น 46.4% ใน 1Q24 และ 2) รายได้ ต่างประเทศที่โต 74.6% q-q และ 22.5% y-y เป็น 1.9พัน ลบ. ไปทำสถิติสูงสุดใหม่
- อัตรากำไรขั้นต้นปรับตัวดีขึ้นต่อเนื่องเป็น 36.5% ใน 1Q24 จาก 35.5% ใน 4Q23 และ
 33.4% ใน 1Q23 โดยได้ปัจจัยหนุนจากประโยชน์ของต้นทุนก๊าซที่ลดลงและ
 ประสิทธิภาพการผลิตที่สูงขึ้น
- สัดส่วนค่าใช้จ่ายการขายและบริหารต่อยอดขายลดลงเล็กน้อยเป็น 24.7% (เทียบ กับ 24.9% ใน 4Q23 และ 26% ใน 1Q23) จากรายได้รวมที่สูงขึ้น

Outlook

- กำไรสุทธิ 1Q24 คิดเป็น 32% ของประมาณการทั้งปีของเราซึ่งชี้ให้เห็นว่าประมาณการ กำไรสุทธิปี 2024 ของเราที่ 2.62พัน ลบ. (+21% y-y) อาจมี Upside
- แม้ว่ารายได้ต่างประเทศจะผ่าน High season ไปแล้วใน 1Q24 เรายังคาดว่ากำไรสุทธิ
 2Q24 จะทรงตัวหรือปรับขึ้นเล็กน้อย q-q โดยจะขึ้นอยู่กับความสามารถของ OSP ใน การรักษาหรือเพิ่มส่วนแบ่งตลาดเครื่องดื่มชูกำลัง

TARGET PRICE	THB24.50
CLOSE	THB21.40
UP/DOWNSIDE	+14.5%
TP vs CONSENSUS	-4.4%

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	26,062	27,219	28,680	30,114
Net profit	2,402	2,623	2,771	2,979
EPS (THB)	0.80	0.87	0.92	0.99
vs Consensus (%)	-	(3.9)	(6.2)	(5.0)
EBITDA	4,137	4,725	4,938	5,222
Recurring net profit	2,175	2,623	2,771	2,979
Core EPS (THB)	0.72	0.87	0.92	0.99
EPS growth (%)	13.7	20.6	5.6	7.5
Core P/E (x)	29.6	24.5	23.2	21.6
Dividend yield (%)	7.7	3.7	3.9	4.2
EV/EBITDA (x)	15.9	13.5	12.9	12.1
Price/book (x)	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.6
Net debt/Equity (%)	8.2	(4.6)	(4.9)	(5.7)
ROE (%)	12.5	15.6	15.9	16.8



Absolute (%)	5.9	1.4	(27.9)
Relative to country (%)	7.9	2.7	(18.9)
Mkt cap (USD m)			1,759
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)			7.8
Free float (%)			52
Major shareholder	Osathanu	ugrah Fam	ily (39%)
12m high/low (THB)		30.	75/18.30
Issued shares (m)			3,003.75

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Sureeporn Teewasuwet Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities; License no. 040694

sureeporn.t@fssia.com, +66 2646 9972


PREPARED BY FSS INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY SECURITIES CO LTD (FSSIA). ANALYST CERTIFICATION AND IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

Exhibit 1: OSP - 1Q24 results summary

	1Q23	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	Cha	nge	2024E	Change	% 1Q24
	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	to 2024E				
Sales	6,545	6,709	6,277	6,530	7,260	11.2	10.9	27,219	4.4	26.7
Cost of sales	4,360	4,428	4,058	4,213	4,613	9.5	5.8	17,747	4.0	26.0
Gross profit	2,186	2,281	2,220	2,317	2,647	14.3	21.1	9,472	5.2	27.9
SG&A	1,703	1,661	1,624	1,623	1,797	10.7	5.5	6,669	0.9	26.9
Operating profit	524	678	633	754	918	21.8	75.1	2,994	15.7	30.7
Interest expense	24	24	26	34	29	(13.4)	22.2	102	(5.5)	28.7
Tax expense	54	110	96	171	82	(52.0)	52.1	498	15.6	16.5
Profit (loss) sharing	39	13	51	43	59	38.6	52.5	179	(22.3)	33.0
Reported net profit	778	549	642	433	828	91.5	6.5	2,623	9.2	31.6
Core profit	472	549	562	592	828	40.0	75.5	2,623	20.6	31.6
Key ratios (%)						(ppt)	(ppt)		(ppt)	
Gross margin	33.4	34.0	35.4	35.5	36.5	1.0	3.1	34.8	0.3	
SG&A to sales	26.0	24.8	25.9	24.9	24.7	(0.1)	(1.3)	24.5	(0.9)	
Operating margin	8.0	10.1	10.1	11.5	12.6	1.1	4.6	11.0	1.1	
Net margin	11.9	8.2	10.2	6.6	11.4	4.8	(0.5)	2.5	(1.4)	
Core margin	7.2	8.2	9.0	9.1	11.4	2.4	4.2	9.6	0.4	
Operating statistics (THB m)										
Beverage sales	5,279	5,488	5,071	5,292	6,077	14.8	15.1	22,347	5.8	27.2
Personal care	556	566	647	717	604	(15.7)	8.7	2,598	4.5	23.3
Other	710	656	559	521	579	11.1	(18.5)	2,275	(7.0)	25.4
Domestic	4,992	5,268	5,383	5,440	5,357	(1.5)	7.3	21,992	4.3	24.4
Overseas	1,553	1,441	894	1,090	1,903	74.6	22.5	5,228	5.0	36.4
Energy drink market share (%)	46.6	47.5	46.5	45.9	46.4	0.5	(0.2)	46.5	(0.1)	
Functional drink market share (%)	40.4	42.1	43.7	40.3	42.9	2.6	2.5	42.0	0.4	


Sources: OSP; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 2: Total revenue and growth




Sources: OSP, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 3: Energy drink market share



Sources: OSP, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 4: Domestic revenue and growth




Sources: OSP, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 6: Gross margin and SG&A to sales




Sources: OSP, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 8: Net profit and growth




Sources: OSP, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 5: International revenue and growth




Sources: OSP, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 7: Profit sharing



Sources: OSP, FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 9: Core profit and growth



Sources: OSP, FSSIA's compilation

Financial Statements

Osotspa

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	27,266	26,062	27,219	28,680	30,114
Cost of goods sold	(18,915)	(17,059)	(17,747)	(18,699)	(19,574)
Gross profit	8,350	9,003	9,472	9,981	10,540
Other operating income	196	197	191	186	196
Operating costs	(6,500)	(6,610)	(6,669)	(7,027)	(7,378)
Operating EBITDA	3,644	4,137	4,725	4,938	5,222
Depreciation	(1,598)	(1,548)	(1,731)	(1,798)	(1,864)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	0
Operating EBIT	2,047	2,589	2,994	3,140	3,358
Net financing costs	(89)	(108)	(102)	(99)	(96)
Associates	315	146	218	244	271
Recurring non-operating income	315	146	218	244	271
Non-recurring items	20	227	0	0	0
Profit before tax	2,293	2,854	3,110	3,286	3,532
Tax	(369)	(431)	(498)	(526)	(565)
Profit after tax	1,924	2,423	2,613	2,760	2,967
Minority interests	10	(21)	11	11	12
Preferred dividends	0	0	0	0	0
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
Reported net profit	1,934	2,402	2,623	2,771	2,979
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	(20)	(227)	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	1,914	2,175	2,623	2,771	2,979
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	0.64	0.72	0.87	0.92	0.99
Reported EPS	0.64	0.80	0.87	0.92	0.99
DPS	0.90	1.65	0.79	0.83	0.89
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	3,004	3,004	3,004	3,004	3,004
Growth	4.0	(4.4)		5.4	5.0
Revenue (%)	1.9	(4.4)	4.4	5.4	5.0
Operating EBITDA (%)	(29.9)	13.5	14.2	4.5	5.7
Operating EBIT (%)	(44.4)	26.5	15.7 20.6	4.9	6.9 7.5
Recurring EPS (%) Reported EPS (%)	(41.2) (40.6)	13.7 24.2	9.2	5.6 5.6	7.5
Operating performance	(40.0)	24.2	9.2	5.0	7.5
	30.6	34.5	34.8	34.8	35.0
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%) Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	36.5	40.5	41.2	41.1	41.2
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	13.4	15.9	17.4	17.2	17.3
Operating EBIT margin (%)	7.5	9.9	11.0	11.0	11.2
Net margin (%)	7.0	8.3	9.6	9.7	9.9
Effective tax rate (%)	16.1	15.1	16.0	16.0	16.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	141.3	227.8	90.0	90.0	90.0
Interest cover (X)	26.6	25.4	31.6	34.3	37.7
Inventory days	61.2	79.7	71.8	59.3	59.4
Debtor days	51.6	59.1	55.7	51.1	51.1
Creditor days	50.7	54.0	48.8	48.5	48.6
Operating ROIC (%)	10.6	12.6	14.4	15.4	16.0
ROIC (%)	9.5	11.1	14.0	15.3	16.2
ROE (%)	10.0	12.5	15.6	15.9	16.8
ROA (%)	7.4	8.9	10.9	11.6	12.2
* Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted					
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Beverage	22 020	21 130	22 347	23 570	24 748
Beverage Personal care	22,020 2,452	21,130 2,486	22,347 2,598	23,570 2,676	24,748 2,810

Sources: Osotspa; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements Osotspa

ash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
ecurring net profit	1,914	2,175	2,623	2,771	2,979
epreciation	1,598	1,548	1,731	1,798	1,864
ssociates & minorities	(343)	48	(322)	(232)	(256
ther non-cash items	-	-	0	0	(
hange in working capital	(923)	(1,072)	1,275	(316)	(323)
ash flow from operations	2,245	2,699	5,307	4,021	4,265
apex - maintenance	(1,461)	(3,102)	(1,915)	(2,023)	(2,023)
apex - new investment	-	-	-	-	
et acquisitions & disposals	-	-	-	-	
ther investments (net)	546	2,740	739	518	554
ash flow from investing	(915)	(362)	(1,177)	(1,505)	(1,469)
ividends paid	(3,130)	(2,585)	(2,361)	(2,494)	(2,681)
quity finance	0	0	0	0	(
ebt finance	548	892	(1,294)	(118)	(86
ther financing cash flows	(156)	(392)	378	48	47
ash flow from financing	(2,737)	(2,084)	(3,277)	(2,564)	(2,720)
on-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	
ther adjustments	0	0	0	0	(
et other adjustments	0	0	0	0	(
ovement in cash	(1,407)	253	853	(48)	76
ee cash flow to firm (FCFF) ee cash flow to equity (FCFE)	1,419.55	2,444.79	4,232.57	2,614.63	2,892.52
ee cash now to equity (FCFE)	1,723.44	2,837.30	3,214.39	2,446.24	2,757.58
er share (THB)					
CFF per share	0.47	0.81	1.41	0.87	0.96
CFE per share	0.57	0.94	1.07	0.81	0.92
ecurring cash flow per share	1.05	1.26	1.34	1.44	1.53
alance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
angible fixed assets (gross)	27,369	28,114	30,114	32,114	34,114
ess: Accumulated depreciation	(13,889)	(14,299)	(16,030)	(17,828)	(19,692)
angible fixed assets (net)	13,480	13,815	14,084	14,286	14,422
Itangible fixed assets (net)	359	267	267	267	267
ong-term financial assets	-	201	201	201	201
vest. in associates & subsidiaries	3,805	1,387	1,000	800	600
ash & equivalents	1,087	1,339	2,193	2,145	2,221
/C receivable	4,051	4,390	3,913	4,116	4,316
ventories	3,147	3,627	2,674	2,818	2,950
ther current assets	16	21	27	143	271
urrent assets	8,301	9,376	8,807	9,222	9,757
ther assets	209	352	218	143	60
otal assets	26,154	25,198	24,376	24,718	25,106
ommon equity	18,464	16,326	17,331	17,609	17,907
linorities etc.	(9)	184	80	92	107
otal shareholders' equity	18,455	16,510	17,411	17,701	18,014
ong term debt	723	520	470	420	370
ther long-term liabilities	912	520	898	946	994
ong-term liabilities	1,635	1,040	1,368	1,366	1,364
/C payable	4,787	4,467	4,563	4,681	4,789
hort term debt	1,161	2,168	924	856	820
ther current liabilities	116	216	109	115	120
urrent liabilities	6,064	6,852	5,596	5,651	5,729
otal liabilities and shareholders' equity	26,154	24,402	24,376	24,718	25,106
et working capital	2,311	3,354	1,942	2,282	2,627
vested capital	20,164	19,175	17,511	17,778	17,977
ncludes convertibles and preferred stock which is bein	ng treated as debt				
er share (THB)					
pok value per share	6.15	5.44	5.77	5.86	5.96
angible book value per share	6.03	5.35	5.68	5.77	5.87
inancial strength	0.00	2.00	2.00		0.01
et debt/equity (%)	4.3	8.2	(4.6)	(4.9)	(5.7
et debt/total assets (%)	4.3 3.0	6.2 5.4	(4.8)	(4.9)	(5.7)
urrent ratio (x)	3.0 1.4	5.4 1.4	(3.3)	(3.5)	(4.1
F interest cover (x)	20.4	27.3	32.6	25.8	29.6
aluation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	20265
ecurring P/E (x) *	33.6	29.6	24.5	23.2	21.6
ecurring P/E @ target price (x) *	38.5	33.8	28.1	26.6	24.7
eported P/E (x)	33.2	26.8	24.5	23.2	21.6
ividend yield (%)	4.2	7.7	3.7	3.9	4.2
, , ,	2.5	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.6
rice/book (x)	3.5				
rice/book (x)	3.5	4.0	3.8	3.7	3.6
			3.8 13.5	3.7 12.9	3.6 12.1
rice/book (x) rice/tangible book (x)	3.6	4.0			

Sources: Osotspa; FSSIA estimates



Osotspa PCL (OSP TB)

Exhibit 10: FSSIA ESG score implication

F	SSI/	A ES	iG ra	ating	J
	\star	\star	\star	\star	

61.48 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
****	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 11: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA			Domes	stic ratings	;		Global ratings				Bloomberg			
	ESG score	DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
CBG	51.54		Y	Y	4.00	4.00	Declared	High	59.58	В		33.28	56.00	2.12	
ICHI	43.67		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	High	61.36				18.00		
OSP	61.48		Y	Y	5.00	4.00	Declared	Medium	68.64	BBB		63.08	51.00	3.00	63.65
SAPPE	35.25		Y	Y	4.00	5.00	Certified	Medium							
TACC	15.00				5.00	5.00									

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 12: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	1.58	2.89	2.91	3.18	3.00
BESG environmental pillar score	0.67	2.96	2.83	3.25	3.18
BESG social pillar score	0.84	1.57	1.78	1.76	1.77
BESG governance pillar score	4.15	4.38	4.36	4.77	4.18
ESG disclosure score	40.25	52.25	60.06	64.77	63.65
Environmental disclosure score	13.38	36.18	50.56	51.40	48.02
Social disclosure score	26.69	39.36	48.46	55.47	55.47
Governance disclosure score	80.52	81.10	81.10	87.36	87.36
Environmental					
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	No	No
GHG scope 1	—	125	151	157	141
GHG scope 2 location-based	—	90	91	85	95
GHG Scope 3	_	_	—	—	—
Carbon per unit of production	0	0	0	0	0
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Energy efficiency policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	_	839	913	922	917
Renewable energy use	28	50	32	36	40
Electricity used	—	148	158	170	187
Fuel used - natural gas	—	60,675	67,626	70,172	68,074

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 13: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	—	0	1	1	
Total waste	—	8	13	11	12
Waste recycled	—	—	—	—	-
Waste sent to landfills	—	—	—	—	-
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	3,031	3,109	3,077	3,117	_
Social					
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Policy against child labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Consumer data protection policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	N
Pct women in workforce	_	_	42	43	5
Pct disabled in workforce	—	—	—	_	-
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Lost time incident rate - employees	0	0	0	0	
Total recordable incident rate - employees	_	0	0	1	
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No	N
Number of employees – CSR	3,656	3,590	3,792	3,620	3,54
Employee turnover pct	_	_	9	14	1
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	_	30,731	36,451	40,333	57,88
Social supply chain management	No	No	Yes	Yes	Ye
Governance					
Board size	15	15	15	17	1
No. of independent directors (ID)	5	5	5	6	
No. of women on board	4	4	5	5	
No. of non-executive directors on board	11	11	10	12	1
Company conducts board evaluations	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
No. of board meetings for the year	12	12	13	12	1
Board meeting attendance pct	89	91	89	99	g
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	Ν
Age of the youngest director	45	46	47	48	3
Age of the oldest director	86	87	88	89	g
No. of executives / company managers	6	13	5	5	
No. of female executives	2	5	2	2	
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	Ν
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3	
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	
Audit committee meetings	10	8	9	8	
Audit meeting attendance %	97	100	100	100	ç
Size of compensation committee	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on compensation committee	2	2	2	2	
No. of compensation committee meetings	8	9	11	15	
Compensation meeting attendance %	8 100	9 100	100	100	10
Size of nomination committee	3	3	3	3	П
No. of nomination committee meetings	8	9	11	15	
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	100	100	100	10
Sustainability governance					

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodolog	IY			Rating						
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (<u>DJSI</u>) By S&P Global	process bas from the ann Only the top inclusion.	ed on the con nual S&P Glob -ranked comp	transparent, rules-based npanies' Total Sustainab pal Corporate Sustainabi panies within each indust	ility Scores resulting lity Assessment (CSA). ry are selected for	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Globa ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.						
Sustainability Investment List (<u>THSI</u>) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (<u>SET</u>)	managing bu Candidates (1) no irregula float of >150 up capital. S 70%; 2) inde wrongdoing	usiness with tr must pass the ar trading of th shareholders ome key disq ependent direct related to CG	ility in Environmental and ransparency in Governar a preemptive criteria, with he board members and e s, and combined holding ualifying criteria include: ctors and free float violat i, social & environmental earnings in red for > 3 ye	nce, updated annually. In two crucial conditions: executives; and 2) free must be >15% of paid- 1) CG score of below ion; 3) executives' impacts; 4) equity in	nature of the relevant industry and materiality.						
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	annually by t Thailand (SE	the Thai IOD,	th in sustainable develop with support from the St Its are from the perspecti Is.	ock Exchange of	Good (80-89), 3 and not rated for equitable treatm	8 for Good (70 or scores below nent of shareh 5%); 4) disclos	ories: 5 for Excell -79), 2 for Fair (6 w 50. Weightings olders (weight 2 sure & transpare	0-69), 1 for P include: 1) th 5% combined	ass (60-69), e rights; 2) and ; 3) the role of		
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	treatment an transparent a out of five th criteria cove date (45%), circulation of s exercised. The and verifiability	e incorporated and sufficientl e CG compor r AGM proced and after the sufficient information e second assess y; and 3) openno	which shareholders' right d into business operation y disclosed. All form imp nents to be evaluated an dures before the meeting meeting (10%). (The first ation for voting; and 2) facilite ses 1) the ease of attending i sess for Q&A. The third involv ues, resolutions and voting re	as and information is ortant elements of two nually. The assessment (45%), at the meeting assesses 1) advance titing how voting rights can be meetings; 2) transparency es the meeting minutes that			four categories: (80-89), and not				
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	establishmen policies. The (Companies d Declaration of Certification, ir managers and	nt of key contr eciding to becorr Intent to kick of ncluding risk ass employees, est	Checklist include corrupt rols, and the monitoring a is good for three years. <i>me a CAC certified member st</i> <i>f an 18-month deadline to su</i> sessment, in place of policy a tablishment of whistleblowing all stakeholders.)	and developing of start by submitting a abmit the CAC Checklist for and control, training of	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Counci approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.						
<u>Morningstar</u> Sustainalytics	based on an risk is unmai regulatory filing	assessment naged. Source gs, news and ot	isk rating provides an ov of how much of a compa s to be reviewed include con ther media, NGO reports/web	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and posites, multi-sector			score is the sum higher ESG risk i Medium		d risk. The Severe		
		uality & peer rev	ck, ESG controversies, issue views.	r teedback on draft ESG	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+		
ESG Book	positioned to the principle helps explain over-weighti	o outperform o of financial m n future risk-a	sustainable companies t over the long term. The n ateriality including inform djusted performance. Ma ith higher materiality and erly basis.	nethodology considers nation that significantly ateriality is applied by	scores using ma	ateriality-base	ated as a weighte d weights. The s dicating better pe	core is scaled			
<u>MSCI</u>				anagement of financially their exposure to ESG ris					ethodology to		
	AAA	8.571-10.00	00 Leader:	leading its industry in ma	anaging the most si	unificant ESG ris	ks and opportunitie	s			
	AA	7.143-8.57	0	5 ···· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	A	5.714-7.14		a mixed or unexception	al track record of ma	naging the most	significant ESG ris	ks and opportur	ities relative to		
	BBB	4.286-5.71	3 Average:	industry peers							
		2 257 4 200	5	inductify poord							
	вв	2.857-4.28									
	BB B	2.857-4.28 1.429-2.85 0.000-1.42	6 Laggard:	lagging its industry base	d on its high exposi	ure and failure to	manage significan	t ESG risks			
Moody's ESG solutions	BB B CCC Moody's ass believes that	1.429-2.850 0.000-1.424 esses the dea t a company in	6 Laggard: 8 gree to which companies	lagging its industry base take into account ESG of nto its business model and	ojectives in the de	efinition and in	nplementation of	their strategy			
	BB B CCC Moody's ass believes that create susta Designed to based on pu	1.429-2.856 0.000-1.420 esses the deg t a company in inable value f transparently blicly availabl	6 Laggard: 8 ESG factors in or shareholders over the and objectively measure e and auditable data. Th	lagging its industry base take into account ESG of nto its business model and	ojectives in the de I relatively outper G performance, c 100 on relative E	efinition and in forming its pe commitment ar SG performan	nplementation of ers is better posi nd effectiveness ce and insufficier	their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr	in themes,		
solutions Refinitiv ESG	BB B CCC Moody's ass believes that create susta Designed to based on pu reporting ma The S&P Glo	1.429-2.85 0.000-1.42 esses the dec t a company in inable value fr transparently blicly availabl tterial ESG da obal ESG Scc	6 Laggard: 8 Laggard: ntegrating ESG factors in or shareholders over the and objectively measure e and auditable data. Th ata publicly. (Score ratings ore is a relative score me	lagging its industry base take into account ESG of nto its business model and medium to long term. e a company's relative ES e score ranges from 0 to	ojectives in the do relatively outper G performance, c 100 on relative E satisfactory; >50 to prmance on and	efinition and in forming its pe commitment an SG performan o 75 = good; and management of	nplementation of ers is better posi nd effectiveness ce and insufficier / >75 to 100 = exce	their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr <i>llent.)</i>	in themes, ansparency in		
solutions Refinitiv ESG rating	BB B CCC Moody's ass believes that create susta Designed to based on pu reporting ma The S&P Glo	1.429-2.85 0.000-1.42 esses the dec t a company in inable value fr transparently blicly availabl tterial ESG da obal ESG Scc	6 Laggard: 8 Laggard: gree to which companies for shareholders over the r and objectively measure e and auditable data. Th ata publicly. (Score ratings ore is a relative score me in the same industry cla Bloomberg score evalu score is based on Bloo	lagging its industry base a take into account ESG of nto its business model and medium to long term. a company's relative ES e score ranges from 0 to are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = asuring a company's perfo	bjectives in the de relatively outper G performance, c 100 on relative Es <i>satisfactory; >50 ti</i> prmance on and ges from 0 to 1000 egated Environm ncial materiality.	efinition and in forming its pe commitment ar SG performan- o 75 = good; and management o ental, Social a The score is a	nplementation of ers is better posi and effectiveness ce and insufficien <i>is 75 to 100 = exce</i> of ESG risks, opp and Governance weighted gener	their strategy tioned to mitig across 10 ma nt degree of tr <i>lient.</i>) portunities, an (ESG) perforr alized mean (in themes, ansparency in d impacts mance. The power mean)		

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings. Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Sureeporn Teewasuwet FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price



Sureeporn Teewasuwet started covering this stock from 09-Jun-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Osotspa	OSP TB	THB 21.40	BUY	Downside risks to our P/E-based TP include 1) a slower-than-expected consumption recovery; 2) higher-than-expected competition; 3) high volatility in energy costs; and 4) changing legal policies both in Thailand and Myanmar.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 15-May-2024 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months. **Neutral.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months. **Underweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.