EQUITY RESEARCH - RESULTS FLASH



THAILAND / TRANSPORT & LOGISTICS

SJWD TB





TARGET PRICE	THB23.00
CLOSE	THB13.60
UP/DOWNSIDE	+69.1%
TP vs CONSENSUS	+22.3%

1Q24 results at first glance

Despite profit miss, 1Q24 should be the lowest quarter

Highlights

- Excluding small forex and derivatives gains, SJWD registered a core profit of THB160m in 1Q24 (-40% q-q, -31% y-y), lower than our estimate by 33% and the Bloomberg consensus by 14%.
- The lower-than-expected profit was largely due to a lower-thanestimated share of profit from associates. The equity income came in at THB41m, compared with our estimate of THB77m. This was mainly due to the THB5m loss contribution from Vietnam-based JV Transimex Corp (TMS VN - SJWD holds 23.47%), which reclassified its profit from asset sales in 2023 in this quarter.
- Stripping out the one-time accounting adjustment, TMS's operation had a core profit of cTHB18m in 1Q24, in line with SJWD's guidance.
- Main operations from SJWD in 1Q24 were largely in line with our expectation. The company's EBITDA decreased 37.3% q-q, mainly from the automotive yard management and cold chain units. Chinese New Year temporarily affected the cold storage demand for poultry products.
- Thailand's passenger car sales decreased 9.9% q-q and 15.3% y-y in 1Q24. Consumers delayed purchase decisions as they waited for promotions at the Motor Show in late March 2024. The revenue from SJWD's automotive unit fell 19.1% q-q from the record sales in 4Q23, but still grew 22.5% y-y.
- The transportation unit, however, improved faster than expected in 1Q24, with revenue increasing 7.3% q-q and 27.9% y-y and its gross margin expanding 200 bps to 8.5%. This was in line with the recovery in cement and construction materials demand.

Outlook

- We believe 1Q24 earnings should be the lowest of 2024. Despite 2Q normally being the low season of the auto and other business due to fewer working days, this year could be different.
- Share of profits should improve from the low base in 1Q24. SJWD's cold storage has seen high demand from frozen tuna and chilled medicine, and ANI and SWIFT will contribute full-quarter shares of profit from 2Q24 onwards. The company also has a potential gain from asset sales to REIT in 3Q24.
- Although the 1Q24 core profit accounts for 14% of our full-year forecast, we maintain our projection and BUY call.

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	23,732	26,583	29,132	31,553
Net profit	761	1,179	1,373	1,529
EPS (THB)	0.42	0.65	0.76	0.84
vs Consensus (%)	-	(1.1)	(1.3)	(2.3)
EBITDA	2,847	3,220	3,465	3,685
Recurring net profit	778	1,179	1,373	1,529
Core EPS (THB)	0.43	0.65	0.76	0.84
EPS growth (%)	(18.1)	51.4	16.5	11.4
Core P/E (x)	31.6	20.9	17.9	16.1
Dividend yield (%)	1.8	1.9	2.2	2.5
EV/EBITDA (x)	12.6	11.2	10.2	9.5
Price/book (x)	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0
Net debt/Equity (%)	43.7	43.8	40.7	37.3
ROE (%)	6.1	5.2	6.0	6.6



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	(13.9)	(8.7)	(28.0)
Relative to country (%)	(11.6)	(7.6)	(17.7)
Mkt cap (USD m)			671
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)			1.5
Free float (%)			46
Major shareholder		SCG Gr	oup (43%)
12m high/low (THB)		1	9.30/10.80
Issued shares (m)			1,811.02

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Jitra Amornthum Fundamental Investment Analyst on Securities: License no. 014530 jitra.a@fssia.com, +66 2646 9966

Exhibit 1: SJWD - 1Q24 results summary

Year to Dec 31	1Q23	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	Cha	nge	2024E	Change	% of
	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(y-y %)	2024E				
Sales	4,885	6,131	6,417	6,300	6,252	(0.8)	28.0	26,583	12.0	23.5
Cost of sales	(4,178)	(5,395)	(5,610)	(5,376)	(5,453)	1.4	30.5	(23,051)	12.1	23.7
Gross profit	707	736	806	924	799	(13.6)	13.0	3,532	11.3	22.6
Operating costs	(479)	(526)	(563)	(581)	(509)	(12.4)	6.3	(2,172)	1.0	23.4
Operating profit	228	210	243	343	290	(15.6)	27.1	1,361	32.9	21.3
Operating EBITDA	569	575	646	1,057	726	(31.4)	27.6	3,220	13.1	22.5
Other income	31	55	57	87	32	(62.6)	3.5	234	1.7	13.8
Interest expense	(99)	(117)	(120)	(134)	(146)	9.0	47.3	(518)	9.9	28.2
Profit before tax	160	147	180	295	176	(40.5)	9.9	1,077	37.5	16.3
Associates	85	42	42	43	41	(4.8)	(51.9)	428	102.4	9.6
Reported net profit	231	129	140	261	164	(37.1)	(29.0)	1,179	54.8	13.9
Core profit	231	125	251	268	160	(40.2)	(30.6)	1,179	27.6	13.6
Reported EPS (THB)	0.16	0.08	0.08	0.14	0.09	(37.1)	(44.2)	0.65	54.8	13.9
Recurring EPS (THB)	0.13	0.07	0.14	0.15	0.09	(40.2)	(30.6)	0.65	27.6	13.6
Key ratios (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)	(%)	(ppt)	
Gross margin	14.5	12.0	12.6	14.7	12.8	(1.9)	(1.7)	13.3	(0.1)	
Operating margin	5.3	4.3	4.7	6.8	5.2	(1.7)	(0.2)	6.0	0.7	
EBITDA margin	11.6	9.4	10.1	16.8	11.6	(5.2)	(0.0)	12.1	0.1	
Recurring net margin	4.7	2.0	3.9	4.3	2.6	(1.7)	(2.2)	4.4	0.5	
SG&A / Sales	9.8	8.6	7.3	9.2	8.1	(1.1)	(1.7)	8.2	(0.9)	
Revenue breakdown	(THB m)	(q-q %)	(y-y %)	(THB m)	(y-y %)					
Warehouse & yard management	818	844	1,025	1,033	925	(10.5)	13.1	4,284	15.2	
Transportation & distribution	2,478	3,221	3,102	2,955	3,170	7.3	27.9	13,485	14.7	
Other logistics	503	633	726	663	558	(15.9)	10.9	2,493	(0.4)	
Overseas	496	747	765	751	807	7.5	62.8	3,117	13.0	
Other business	590	707	799	898	793	(11.8)	34.3	3,204	7.0	
Gross margin by business	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppt)	(ppt)	(%)		
Warehouse & yard management	36.8	27.2	34.7	34.6	31.5	(3.1)	(5.3)	33.1		
Transportation & distribution	8.8	8.2	6.6	6.5	8.5	2.0	(0.3)	7.5		
Other logistics	22.5	24.8	20.3	21.8	18.8	(3.0)	(3.7)	21.5		
Overseas	6.3	7.1	8.4	10.5	13.1	2.7	6.8	8.2		
Other business	5.5	6.4	4.2	6.3	1.9	(4.4)	(3.6)	5.6		

Sources: SJWD, FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 2: Revenue structure





Exhibit 3: Equity income and SJWD's net profit



Sources: SJWD, FSSIA's compilation

Sources: SJWD, FSSIA's compilation

Financial Statements

SCGJWD Logistics

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Revenue	5,902	23,732	26,583	29,132	31,553
Cost of goods sold	(4,538)	(20,559)	(23,051)	(25,192)	(27,220)
Gross profit	1,364	3,173	3,532	3,940	4,333
Other operating income	86	230	234	216	222
Operating costs	(894)	(2,149)	(2,172)	(2,348)	(2,562)
Operating EBITDA	1,354	2,847	3,220	3,465	3,685
Depreciation	(798)	(1,593)	(1,625)	(1,658)	(1,691)
Goodwill amortisation	0	0	0	0	(
Operating EBIT	556	1,254	1,595	1,807	1,994
Net financing costs	(291)	(471)	(518)	(523)	(528)
Associates	332	212	428	460	476
Recurring non-operating income	332	212	428	460	476
Non-recurring items	(31)	(17)	0	0	C
Profit before tax	567	977	1,505	1,744	1,941
-ax	(49)	(150)	(215)	(257)	(293)
Profit after tax	518	827	1,290	1,487	1,648
Minority interests	(14)	(66)	(111)	(114)	(119)
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	-	
Other items	-	-	-	-	
Reported net profit	504	761	1,179	1,373	1,529
Non-recurring items & goodwill (net)	31	17	0	0	C
Recurring net profit	535	778	1,179	1,373	1,529
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	0.52	0.43	0.65	0.76	0.84
Reported EPS	0.49	0.42	0.65	0.76	0.84
DPS	0.78	0.25	0.26	0.30	0.34
Diluted shares (used to calculate per share data)	1,020	1,811	1,811	1,811	1,811
Growth					
Revenue (%)	15.7	302.1	12.0	9.6	8.3
Operating EBITDA (%)	14.1	110.2	13.1	7.6	6.3
Operating EBIT (%)	15.7	125.3	27.2	13.3	10.3
Recurring EPS (%)	17.8	(18.1)	51.4	16.5	11.4
Reported EPS (%)	(11.8)	(15.0)	54.8	16.5	11.4
Operating performance		(/			
Gross margin inc. depreciation (%)	23.1	13.4	13.3	13.5	13.7
Gross margin exc. depreciation (%)	36.6	20.1	19.4	19.2	19.1
Operating EBITDA margin (%)	22.9	12.0	12.1	11.9	11.7
Operating EBIT margin (%)	9.4	5.3	6.0	6.2	6.3
let margin (%)	9.1	3.3	4.4	4.7	4.8
Effective tax rate (%)	8.6	15.4	14.3	14.7	15.1
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	148.7	58.2	40.0	40.0	40.0
nterest cover (X)	3.1	3.1	3.9	4.3	4.7
nventory days	14.2	2.9	2.8	3.1	3.1
Debtor days	67.7	37.7	53.3	52.1	50.9
Creditor days	125.2	46.0	53.2	49.1	46.2
Operating ROIC (%)	9.7	14.6	14.0	15.5	16.4
ROIC (%)	7.0	5.3	4.7	5.3	5.8
OE (%)	15.6	6.1	5.2	6.0	6.6
ROA (%)	6.1	4.7	4.4	4.9	5.3
Pre exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted	0.1	79.9	7.7	4.0	0.0
	2000	2222	20045	20255	22225
Revenue by Division (THB m)	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Varehouse & yard management	2,519	3,720	4,284	4,759	5,185
ransportation & Distribution	1,276	11,756	13,485	14,801	16,100
Other logistics business	653	2,505	2,493	2,652	2,830
Overseas	247	2,758	3,117	3,459	3,736

Sources: SCGJWD Logistics; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

SCGJWD Logistics

Cash Flow (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Recurring net profit	535	778	1,179	1,373	1,529
Depreciation	798	1,593	1,625	1,658	1,69
Associates & minorities	(332)	(212)	(428)	(460)	(476
Other non-cash items	(14)	358	0	43	(3
Change in working capital	76	243	(947)	(482)	(569
cash flow from operations	1,064	2,762	1,428	2,132	2,172
Capex - maintenance	(1,041)	(855)	(100)	(50)	(72
Capex - new investment let acquisitions & disposals	(1,041)	(655)	260	(50)	(12
Other investments (net)	(186)	(485)	(1,296)	(983)	(832
Cash flow from investing	(1,227)	(1,339)	(1,136)	(1,033)	(905
Dividends paid	(262)	(802)	(471)	(549)	(612
Equity finance	105	(56)	0	0	(5.2
Debt finance	(42)	887	(44)	(334)	(941
Other financing cash flows	(197)	(894)	Ó	Ó	(*
Cash flow from financing	(397)	(865)	(515)	(883)	(1,553
lon-recurring cash flows	-	-	-	-	
Other adjustments	163	23	5	0	
let other adjustments	163	23	5	0	
Movement in cash	(397)	581	(218)	216	(280
Free cash flow to firm (FCFF)	127.18	1,893.50	809.62	1,621.85	1,796.03
ree cash flow to equity (FCFE)	(239.55)	1,438.86	253.31	764.88	331.9°
er share (THB)					
CFF per share	0.07	1.05	0.45	0.90	0.99
FCFE per share	(0.13)	0.79	0.14	0.42	0.18
Recurring cash flow per share	0.97	1.39	1.31	1.44	1.5
Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
angible fixed assets (gross)	7,235	11,511	11,270	11,618	11,939
ess: Accumulated depreciation	(2,307)	(3,328)	(3,495)	(3,670)	(3,853
Tangible fixed assets (net)	4,928	8,183	7,776	7,949	8,086
ntangible fixed assets (net)	1,795	19,103	18,837	18,177	17,72
ong-term financial assets	0	1,127	1,116	1,082	1,07
nvest. in associates & subsidiaries	2,830	3,702	3,887	3,926	3,92
Cash & equivalents	1,133	1,714	1,495	1,711	1,43
VC receivable	1,138	3,764	4,006	4,310	4,49
nventories	165	134	189	207	224
Other current assets	99	373	425	466	50
Current assets	2,534	5,984	6,116	6,694	6,65
Other assets	953	1,099	1,283	1,369	1,44
Total assets	13,041	39,198	39,015	39,197	38,90
Common equity	3,117	22,330	22,637	23,061	23,47
Minorities etc.	317	975	1,005	1,035	1,06
Total shareholders' equity	3,434	23,305	23,642	24,096	24,54
ong term debt	4,886	10,360	10,311	9,487	8,51
Other long-term liabilities	326	732	797	830	85
Long-term liabilities	5,213	11,092	11,109	10,317	9,37
A/C payable	1,697	3,083	3,158	3,175	3,28
Short term debt	2,593	1,544	1,550	2,041	2,07
Other current liabilities	104	174	189	138	14
Current liabilities Fotal liabilities and shareholders' equity	4,394 13,041	4,801 39,198	4,897 39,647	5,354 39,766	5,50 39,41
Net working capital	(400)	1,014	1,273	1,670	1,79
nvested capital	10,107	34,228	34,173	34,173	34,04
Includes convertibles and preferred stock which is bein		04,220	04,170	04,170	04,04
<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
er share (THB)	2.00	40.00	40.50	40.70	40.0
look value per share	3.06 1.30	12.33	12.50	12.73 2.70	12.9
angible book value per share inancial strength	1.30	1.78	2.10	2.70	3.1
	104.0	49.7	42.0	40.7	27
let debt/equity (%)	184.8	43.7	43.8	40.7 25.0	37.
let debt/total assets (%) Current ratio (x)	48.7 0.6	26.0 1.2	26.6 1.2	25.0 1.3	23. 1.
CF interest cover (x)	3.8	5.9	1.7	2.6	1.5
aluation	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026
ecurring P/E (x) *	25.9	31.6	20.9	17.9	16.
tecurring P/E @ target price (x) *	43.8	53.5	35.3	30.3	27.
Reported P/E (x)	27.5	32.4	20.9	17.9	16.
vividend yield (%)	5.7	1.8	1.9	2.2	2.
rice/book (x)	4.4	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.
rice/tangible book (x)	10.5	7.6	6.5	5.0	4.
V/EBITDA (x) **	15.2	12.6	11.2	10.2	9.
V/EBITDA @ target price (x) **	22.2	18.6	16.5	15.2	14.
V/invested capital (x)	2.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.

Sources: SCGJWD Logistics; FSSIA estimates

SCGJWD Logistics PCL (SJWD TB)



Exhibit 4: FSSIA ESG score implication

42.41 /100

Rating	Score	Implication
****	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
****	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
***	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
**	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
*	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 5: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA			Domes	stic ratings	;		Global ratings						Bloomberg	
	ESG score	DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
NYT	43.79			Υ	5.00	5.00		-	53.86			57.61		6.57	45.96
PSL	55.45		Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	59.76	BB			51.00		
RCL	27.51				4.00	4.00		High	41.46			20.37	13.00	.94	30.36
SJWD	42.41		Y	Υ	5.00	5.00			56.00			42.01		3.44	41.46
TTA	65.35		Υ	Υ	5.00	5.00	Certified	Medium	56.56	AA		50.79	25.00	3.31	56.20

Sources: <u>SETTRADE.com</u>; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 6: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	_	_	3.52	3.44
BESG environmental pillar score	_	_	1.04	1.04
BESG social pillar score	_	_	6.18	5.76
BESG governance pillar score	_	_	5.52	5.64
ESG disclosure score	33.18	33.81	41.46	41.46
Environmental disclosure score	1.33	1.66	8.64	8.64
Social disclosure score	16.93	18.50	34.52	34.52
Governance disclosure score	81.10	81.10	81.10	81.10
Environmental				
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	No	Yes	Yes
Climate change policy	No	No	No	No
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
GHG scope 1	_	_	9	10
GHG scope 2 location-based	_	_	9	8
GHG Scope 3	_	_	_	_
Carbon per unit of production	_	_	_	_
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	No
Energy efficiency policy	No	No	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	_	_	_	_
Renewable energy use	_	_	_	_
Electricity used	_	_	_	_
Fuel used - natural gas	_	_	_	_

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 7: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 202
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	N
Waste reduction policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Hazardous waste	_	_	_	-
Total waste	_	_	_	-
Waste recycled	_	_	_	-
Waste sent to landfills	_	_	_	-
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	No	N
Water policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Water consumption	_	_	_	-
Social				
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Policy against child labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Quality assurance and recall policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Consumer data protection policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	N
Pct women in workforce	_	30	25	2
Pct disabled in workforce	_	_	_	
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ye
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Y
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Y
Lost time incident rate - employees	_	_	0	
Total recordable incident rate - employees	_	_	_	
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Y
Fair remuneration policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Y
Number of employees – CSR	1,701	1,778	2,215	2,2
Employee turnover pct	_	· —	· —	,
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	_	_	30,877	34,13
Social supply chain management	No	No	No	, N
Governance				
Board size	9	9	9	
No. of independent directors (ID)	3	3	3	
No. of women on board	2	2	2	
No. of non-executive directors on board	4	4	4	
Company conducts board evaluations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Y
No. of board meetings for the year	8	10	8	
Board meeting attendance pct	99	100	100	(
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	1
Age of the youngest director	44	45	46	
Age of the oldest director	67	68	69	
No. of executives / company managers	7	7	6	
No. of female executives	1	1	1	
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	1
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	•
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	
Audit committee meetings	4	5	5	
Audit meeting attendance %	100	100	100	10
Size of compensation committee	3	3	3	
No. of ID on compensation committee	2	2	2	
No. of compensation committee No. of compensation committee meetings	2	2	2	
	100	100	100	
Compensation meeting attendance % Size of nomination committee	100 3	3	3	
	3 2	3 2	3 2	
No. of nomination committee meetings				
Nomination meeting attendance %	100	100	100	
Sustainability governance				

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodolog	у			Rating					
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	process base from the annu	ed on the comunity	transparent, rules-based panies' Total Sustainabi al Corporate Sustainabil anies within each industr	ility Scores resulting ity Assessment (CSA).	Sustainability A ESG Score of le	ssessment (C ess than 45% ny are disquali	he annual S&P (SA) for DJSI. Coof the S&P Globified. The constitutions.	ompanies with oal ESG Score	an S&P Globa of the highest	
Sustainability Investment List (THSI) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	managing bu Candidates n 1) no irregula float of >150 up capital. So 70%; 2) indep wrongdoing r	siness with tr must pass the ar trading of the shareholders ome key disquenced pendent direct related to CG,	ility in Environmental and cansparency in Governar preemptive criteria, with the board members and expanding criteria include: stors and free float violatity, social & environmental earnings in red for > 3 yes	nce, updated annually. It wo crucial conditions: Executives; and 2) free must be >15% of paid- 1) CG score of below on; 3) executives' impacts; 4) equity in	To be eligible for <u>THSI inclusion</u> , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJ during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against nature of the relevant industry and materiality. <u>SETTHSI Index</u> is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.					
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	annually by tl Thailand (SE	he Thai IOD,	h in sustainable develop with support from the St ts are from the perspecti s.	ock Exchange of	Good (80-89), 3 and not rated for equitable treatn	3 for Good (70 or scores below nent of shareh 5%); 4) disclo	ories: 5 for Exce 1-79), 2 for Fair (w 50. Weighting olders (weight 2 sure & transpare	60-69), 1 for F s include: 1) th 25% combined	Pass (60-69), ne rights; 2) and d); 3) the role of	
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	treatment are transparent a out of five the criteria cover date (45%), a circulation of su exercised. The and verifiability,	e incorporated and sufficiently e CG compon AGM proced and after the r ufficient informa second assess g and 3) openne	lures before the meeting meeting (10%). (The first a tion for voting; and 2) facilitates 1) the ease of attending n	Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores be portant elements of two nnually. The assessment g (45%), at the meeting t assesses 1) advance tating how voting rights can be meetings; 2) transparency ves the meeting minutes that						
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	establishmen policies. The (Companies de Declaration of I Certification, inc managers and	nt of key contr Certification is eciding to becon Intent to kick off cluding risk ass employees, est	Checklist include corrupt rols, and the monitoring a is good for three years. ne a CAC certified member s an 18-month deadline to su. essment, in place of policy a ablishment of whistleblowing Il stakeholders.)	and developing of start by submitting a bmit the CAC Checklist for and control, training of	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Counci approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.					
Morningstar Sustainalytics	based on an risk is unman	assessment of aged. Sources	sk rating provides an over of how much of a compa s to be reviewed include corp	ny's exposure to ESG porate publications and			score is the sun higher ESG risk		ed risk. The	
	information, col	and available 0 manufactors						Severe		
					0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+	
ESG Book	positioned to the principle helps explain	outperform o of financial m future risk-ad ng features wi	sustainable companies the long term. The materiality including inform djusted performance. Matith higher materiality and prly basis.	nethodology considers nation that significantly ateriality is applied by	scores using m	ateriality-base	ated as a weigh d weights. The s dicating better p	score is scaled		
<u>MSCI</u>				anagement of financially their exposure to ESG ri					nethodology to	
	AAA	8.571-10.00	0			·r				
	AA	7.143-8.570	Leader:	leading its industry in n	nanaging the most si	gnificant ESG ris	sks and opportuniti	es		
	Α	5.714-7.142	2							
	BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexception industry peers	nai track record of ma	anaging the mos	t significant ESG ri	sks and opportu	inities relative to	
	ВВ	2.857-4.285	5	7.						
	В	1.429-2.856	Laggard:	lagging its industry bas	ed on its high expos	ure and failure to	o manage significa	nt ESG risks		
	ccc	0.000-1.428	3		= 5.1.1.0 .llg/1 0xp03	a.r.a idiidio t	a.iago oigiiiiloai	200 /1010		
Moody's ESG				take into account ESG o						
<u>solutions</u>			ntegrating ESG factors in or shareholders over the	nto its business model an medium to long term.	d relatively outpe	rtorming its pe	ers is better pos	sitioned to miti	gate risks and	
Refinitiv ESG rating	based on pub	blicly available	e and auditable data. The	e a company's relative ES e score ranges from 0 to are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50	100 on relative E	SG performan	ice and insufficie	ent degree of t		
S&P Global							of ESG risks, op	portunities, ar	nd impacts	
		The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100. ESG Score Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean)								
Bloomberg	ESG Score	score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best. SG Disclosure Score Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of								

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Jitra Amornthum FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not quarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

History of change in investment rating and/or target price



08-Mar-2024

Jitra Amornthum started covering this stock from 12-Sep-2023

Price and TP are in local currency

Source: FSSIA estimates

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
SCGJWD Logistics	SJWD TB	THB 13.60	BUY	Downside risks to our DCF-based TP include 1) slower-than-expected regional economic growth; 2) high volatility in energy costs; 3) delayed synergies; and 4) higher cost of funds.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 10-May-2024 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.