

## ความกังวลในด้านต้นทุนความเสี่ยงในการปล่อยสินเชื่อลดลง

- MTC รายงานกำไรสุทธิ 1Q24 ที่น่าประทับใจแม้ว่าจะอยู่ในช่วง Low season ส่วนมากจากต้นทุนความเสี่ยงในการปล่อยสินเชื่อ (Credit cost) ที่ต่ำกว่าคาด
- คุณภาพสินทรัพย์ปรับตัวดีขึ้นทั้งในด้านหนี้ต่อคุณภาพ (NPL) Credit costs และสัดส่วนสำรองต่อหนี้ต่อคุณภาพ (Coverage ratio) ซึ่งทำให้เราปรับประมาณการปี 2024-26 ของเราขึ้น
- เราปรับเพิ่มคำแนะนำเป็นซื้อที่ราคาเป้าหมายปี 2024 ใหม่ที่ 50 บาท

## ผลประกอบการ 1Q24 ออกมา่าประทับใจแม้ว่าจะเป็นช่วง Low season

กำไรสุทธิ 1Q24 สูงกว่าที่เราและตลาดคาด 3-4% กำไรดังกล่าวโต 2.8% q-q และ 29.8% y-y เป็น 1.39 พัน ลบ. คิดเป็น 22% ของประมาณการกำไรสุทธิทั้งปีที่ปรับใหม่ของเรา ในทางตรงกันข้ามกำไรก่อนหักสำรอง (PPOP) ลดลง 3.1% q-q แต่เพิ่มขึ้น 18.1% y-y ส่วนมากจาก Low season สำหรับความต้องการสินเชื่อและค่าใช้จ่ายในการดำเนินงานที่สูงขึ้น สินเชื่อยังโตต่อเนื่องและดีกว่าที่คาดโดยปรับขึ้น 3.0% q-q และ 17.4% y-y แม้ว่าจะเป็นช่วง Low season อย่างไรก็ดี Loan spread ออกมาต่ำกว่าคาดโดยลดลง 41bp เป็น 13.78% ส่วนมากจาก Loan yield ที่ลดลงในขณะที่ต้นทุนในการกู้ยืมเพิ่มเล็กน้อยโดย Loan yield ได้รับผลกระทบจากวันทำงานที่น้อยลงและกลยุทธ์ของบริษัท ที่มุ่งเน้นไปที่สินเชื่อประเภทมีหลักทรัพย์ค้ำประกัน โดยปกติค่าใช้จ่ายในการดำเนินงานจะขึ้นสูงสุดใน 1Q จากค่าใช้จ่ายในด้านบุคลากรที่เพิ่มขึ้น หลังการปรับเงินเดือนประจำปีและเงินรางวัลซึ่งทำให้สัดส่วนค่าใช้จ่ายในการดำเนินงานต่อรายได้ (Cost-to-income ratio) มาอยู่ที่ 47.8% ตามคาด

## Credit costs ลดลงแรงแม้ว่าจะมีการบริหาร NPL เชิงรุก

MTC ยังคงใช้มาตรการเชิงรุกอย่างต่อเนื่องในการจัดการคุณภาพสินทรัพย์ ตัดจำหน่ายและขายหนี้ซึ่งเป็นกลยุทธ์ที่สอดคล้องกับเป้าหมายประมาณการปี 2024 ของบริษัท ใน 1Q24 สัดส่วน NPL ยังคงลดลงอย่างต่อเนื่องเป็น 3.06% จาก 3.15% ณ สิ้นปี 2023 ซึ่งสอดคล้องกับเป้าหมายการของบริษัท ที่ไม่เกิน 3.30% นอกจากนี้ Credit cost ยังลดลงอย่างรุนแรงเหลือ 3.16% ซึ่งดีกว่าคาดมากอีกด้วย เมื่อพิจารณาจากสัดส่วน NPL และ Credit cost ที่ลดลง Coverage ratio จึงจบที่ 120.9% สูงที่สุดนับตั้งแต่ 1Q22

## ปรับเพิ่มประมาณการปี 2024-26

เราปรับเพิ่มประมาณการกำไรปี 2024-26 ของเราขึ้น 5.8-8.6% จากก่อนหน้าส่วนมากจากการปรับลดสมมติฐาน Credit cost ตามตัวเลขใน 1Q24 ซึ่งออกมาดีกว่าที่คาดมากอันเป็นผลให้สมมติฐานการเติบโตของกำไรสุทธิเพิ่มเป็น 23.1% CAGR (จาก 19.3%) โดยได้ปัจจัยผลักดันจากการเติบโตของสินเชื่อเฉลี่ยที่ 14.7-19.5% และ Credit cost ที่คาดว่าจะลดลงอย่างต่อเนื่องเป็น 2.67% ในปี 2026 ซึ่งอาจช่วยชดเชย Loan spread ที่คาดว่าจะทรงตัวอยู่ที่ค่าเฉลี่ยที่ 13.84-13.85%


## ปรับเพิ่มคำแนะนำเป็นซื้อที่ราคาเป้าหมายปี 2024 ใหม่ที่ 50 บาท

เราปรับเพิ่มคำแนะนำเป็นซื้อหลังปรับเพิ่มราคาเป้าหมายปี 2024 ของเราขึ้นเป็น 50 (จาก 43) บาทซึ่งคิดเป็นค่า P/BV ที่ 2.82x (จาก 2.43x) ภายใต้สมมติฐานค่า L-T ROE ที่ 18.6% (จาก 17.0%) และ COE ที่ 10.0% (จาก 10.1%)

TARGET PRICE	THB50.00
CLOSE	THB45.25
UP/DOWNSIDE	+10.5%
PRIOR TP	THB43.00
CHANGE IN TP	+16.3%
TP vs CONSENSUS	+4.0%

## KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Operating profit	6,126	7,866	9,822	11,448
Net profit	4,906	6,292	7,858	9,159
EPS (THB)	2.31	2.97	3.71	4.32
vs Consensus (%)	-	9.9	12.8	8.4
Recurring net profit	4,906	6,292	7,858	9,159
Core EPS (THB)	2.31	2.97	3.71	4.32
Chg. In EPS est. (%)	-	8.6	5.9	5.8
EPS growth (%)	(3.7)	28.2	24.9	16.6
Core P/E (x)	19.6	15.2	12.2	10.5
Dividend yield (%)	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.9
Price/book (x)	3.0	2.5	2.1	1.8
ROE (%)	16.1	18.1	19.0	18.7
ROA (%)	3.5	3.9	4.1	4.2



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	(0.5)	1.7	27.5
Relative to country (%)	(0.6)	3.2	42.0
Mkt cap (USD m)	2,601		
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)	9.0		
Free float (%)	21		
Major shareholder	Petaumpai Family (67%)		
12m high/low (THB)	48.25/33.75		
Issued shares (m)	2,120		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Usanee Liurut, CISA

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Capital Market; License no. 017928  
usanee.l@fssia.com, +66 2646 9967

Maeta Cherdasatirakul

Research Assistant  
maeta.c@fssia.com, +66 2646 9971

### Investment thesis

We have upgraded MTC to a BUY rating with a more positive view on its asset quality, summarized as follows:

- The declining trend of the NPL ratio and credit costs could prove its proactive debt management efforts to tackle the vintage bad debts and its prudent credit quality policy of granting new loans in 2023.
- We view the rising cost of funds as contained, which could create an upside risk to our forecast in the case of an expected reduction in the market interest rate.
- We have raised our 2024-26 earnings forecasts by 5.8-8.6% from the previous assumptions due mainly to the downward revision of credit costs based on the 1Q24 reported figure, which was much better than expected.


### Company profile

MTC has provided lending services since 1992, focusing on motorcycle title loans, and commands the highest market share in Thailand. The company has also expanded its business to personal and other title loans such as car, agricultural and land title loans.

[www.muangthaicap.com](http://www.muangthaicap.com)

### Principal activities (revenue, 1Q24)


- Net interest income - 94.9 %
- Non-interest income - 5.1 %



Source: Muangthai Capital

### Major shareholders

- Petaumpai Family - 67.5 %
- Thai NVDR Co., Ltd. - 7.7 %
- Others - 24.9 %



Source: Muangthai Capital

### Catalysts

- 1) A faster-than-expected economic recovery in Thailand;
- 2) Government stimulus measures;
- 3) A stronger-than-expected improvement in asset quality;
- 4) Better-than-expected control over operating costs.

### Risks to our call

Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) a further weakening of asset quality that could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) changes in financial regulations by the Bank of Thailand and the Office of Consumer Protection Board.

### Event calendar

Date	Event
9 May 2024	Analyst meeting

### Key assumptions

Key assumptions	2024E	2025E	2026E
Loan growth	19.55	16.58	14.68
Interest spread	13.84	13.85	13.85
NPL ratio	3.10	3.00	3.00
Credit cost	3.08	2.75	2.67
Cost to income	46.94	46.94	46.94

Source: FSSIA estimates

### Earnings sensitivity

			----- 2024E -----	
<b>Loan growth</b>	<b>±2ppt</b>	<b>17.55</b>	<b>19.55</b>	<b>21.55</b>
<i>% change in net profit</i>		<i>(1.3)</i>		<i>1.3</i>
<b>Interest spread (%)</b>	<b>±5bp</b>	<b>13.79</b>	<b>13.84</b>	<b>13.89</b>
<i>% change in net profit</i>		<i>(0.4)</i>		<i>0.4</i>
<b>Credit cost (bp)</b>	<b>±10bp</b>	<b>2.98</b>	<b>3.08</b>	<b>3.18</b>
<i>% change in net profit</i>		<i>2.0</i>		<i>(2.0)</i>

Source: FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 1: MTC – 1Q24 operations summary**

	1Q23	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	----- Change -----		% of	2024E	Change
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	2024E	(THB m)	(y-y%)
Interest income	5,397	5,754	6,063	6,287	6,353	1.1	17.7	23	27,968	19.0
Interest expense	(848)	(909)	(1,004)	(1,096)	(1,130)	3.1	33.3	22	(5,240)	35.9
Net interest income	4,549	4,845	5,059	5,191	5,223	0.6	14.8	23	22,727	15.7
Fee and other income	233	287	236	269	278	3.3	18.9	25	1,096	6.8
Total income	4,783	5,132	5,295	5,459	5,500	0.7	15.0	23	23,823	15.3
Operating expenses	(2,352)	(2,463)	(2,391)	(2,496)	(2,630)	5.4	11.8	24	(11,182)	15.3
PPOP before taxes	2,431	2,669	2,904	2,963	2,870	(3.1)	18.1	23	12,641	15.3
Expected credit losses	(954)	(1,017)	(965)	(998)	(858)	(14.0)	(10.0)	22	(3,875)	(1.5)
Profit after ECL	1,477	1,653	1,939	1,965	2,012	2.4	36.3	23	8,766	24.6
Gain (loss) from repossessed cars	(112)	(183)	(334)	(278)	(276)	(0.8)	147.3	31	(900)	(0.7)
Operating profit	1,365	1,469	1,605	1,687	1,736	2.9	27.2	22	7,866	28.4
Income tax	(295)	(269)	(320)	(336)	(347)	3.2	17.5	22	(1,573)	29.0
<b>Net profit</b>	<b>1,070</b>	<b>1,200</b>	<b>1,285</b>	<b>1,351</b>	<b>1,389</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>29.8</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>6,292</b>	<b>28.2</b>
EPS (THB)	0.50	0.57	0.61	0.64	0.66	2.8	29.8	22	2.97	28.2
Loans	124,201	131,257	137,081	141,559	145,859	3.0	17.4		165,408	19.5
Interest bearing debt	98,536	106,327	112,329	114,770	116,820	1.8	18.6		134,775	17.4
<b>Key ratios</b>	<b>1Q23</b>	<b>2Q23</b>	<b>3Q23</b>	<b>4Q23</b>	<b>1Q24</b>				<b>2024E</b>	
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)				(%)	
Yield on loan	17.75	18.02	18.08	18.05	17.68				18.04	
Cost of funds	3.48	3.55	3.67	3.86	3.90				4.20	
Spread	14.26	14.47	14.40	14.19	13.78				13.84	
Cost to Income ratio	49.18	47.99	45.15	45.72	47.82				46.94	
Credit cost (incl. losses from repossessed cars)	3.50	3.76	3.87	3.66	3.16				3.08	
NPL / Loan	3.21	3.41	3.22	3.15	3.06				3.10	
LLR / Loan	3.37	3.58	3.55	3.65	3.70				2.99	
Coverage ratio	105.0	105.1	110.3	115.9	120.9				115.4	
D/E (x)	3.4	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6				3.7	
IDBE (x)	3.3	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.5				3.6	
S/T debt/total debt (x)	4.9	5.3	7.7	11.1	11.6				5.3	
Loan growth q-q	4.3	5.7	4.4	3.3	3.0					
Loan growth y-y	27.5	23.6	21.1	18.9	17.4				19.5	
Loan growth YTD	4.3	10.2	15.1	18.9	3.0					

Sources: MTC; FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 2: MTC – Staged loans and ECL**

MTC	2021	2022	2023	1Q24	2021	2022	2023	1Q24
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)
<b>Loans and accrued interest</b>	<b>Staged loans % of total loans</b>							
Stage 1	85,614	105,097	124,874	129,909	93.2	87.1	87.1	88.0
Stage 2	4,923	12,009	13,983	13,211	5.4	10.0	9.8	9.0
Stage 3	1,276	3,507	4,461	4,467	1.4	2.9	3.1	3.0
Total	91,812	120,613	143,318	147,587	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<b>Expected credit loss (ECL)</b>	<b>ECL % of staged loans</b>							
Stage 1	729	767	1,225	1,490	0.9	0.7	1.0	1.1
Stage 2	360	682	1,115	1,078	7.3	5.7	8.0	8.2
Stage 3	743	2,237	2,829	2,831	58.2	63.8	63.4	63.4
Total	1,832	3,686	5,169	5,399	2.0	3.1	3.6	3.7
<b>LLR / Loans</b>	<b>(%)</b>	<b>(%)</b>	<b>(%)</b>	<b>(%)</b>				
Stage 1	0.9	0.7	1.0	1.1				
Stage 2	7.3	5.7	8.0	8.2				
Stage 3	58.2	63.8	63.4	63.4				
Total	2.0	3.1	3.6	3.7				
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)				
NPL/ TL	1.4	2.9	3.1	3.0				
NPL vs. Stage 2 loans/ TL	6.8	12.9	12.9	12.0				
LLR / NPL	143.6	105.1	115.9	1.2				
LLR / (NPL vs. Stage 2 loans)	29.6	23.8	28.0	0.3				

Sources: MTC; FSSIA's compilation

**Exhibit 3: 2024-26E earnings revisions**

Key assumptions	New			Previous			Change		
	2024E	2025E	2026E	2024E	2025E	2026E	2024E	2025E	2026E
<b>Net profit (THB m)</b>	<b>6,292</b>	<b>7,858</b>	<b>9,159</b>	<b>5,796</b>	<b>7,420</b>	<b>8,653</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>
EPS (THB)	2.97	3.71	4.32	2.73	3.50	4.08	8.6%	5.9%	5.8%
Target price (THB)	50.00			43.00			16.3%		
PBV (x)	2.82			2.43					
Long-term ROE	18.6%			17.0%					
Cost of equity	10.0%			10.1%					
Net profit growth (%)	28.2	24.9	16.6	18.1	28.0	16.6			
Average yield	18.04%	18.05%	18.05%	18.04%	18.05%	18.05%			
Cost of funds	4.20%	4.20%	4.20%	4.20%	4.20%	4.20%			
Spread (%)	13.84	13.85	13.85	13.84	13.85	13.85			
Loan growth (%)	19.5	16.6	14.7	19.5	16.6	14.7			
Net interest income growth (%)	15.7	17.9	15.7	15.7	17.9	15.7			
Fee growth (%)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0			
Credit cost (including losses from repossessed cars) (%)	3.08	2.75	2.67	3.48	3.05	2.97			
Cost to income (%)	46.9	46.9	46.9	46.9	46.9	46.9			

Source: FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 4: GGM-based 2024 TP**

Gordon Growth Model	New	Previous
Sustainable ROE	18.6%	17.0%
g	5.3%	5.3%
ROE-g	13.3%	11.7%
Beta	1.17	1.16
Risk free rate	3.0%	3.0%
Risk premium	6.0%	6.0%
COE	10.0%	10.10%
COE-g	4.7%	4.8%
<b>ROE-g/COE-g (x)</b>	<b>2.82</b>	<b>2.43</b>


Source: FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 5: Share price performance of non-banks under coverage, as of 7 May 2024**

	Price performance				
	1M (%)	3M (%)	6M (%)	1Y (%)	YTD (%)
TIDLOR TB	5.1	(0.4)	5.6	(1.2)	1.4
<b>MTC TB</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>15.2</b>	<b>29.3</b>	<b>5.6</b>
SAWAD TB	(1.6)	5.8	(8.5)	(28.1)	3.9
AEONTS TB	4.1	5.5	5.1	(14.6)	2.2
KTC TB	(5.0)	(1.7)	(7.5)	(20.4)	(1.1)
SAK TB	1.0	13.7	17.4	(7.3)	21.4
ASK TB	(3.5)	(17.9)	(17.1)	(43.6)	(17.1)
JMT TB	(2.8)	(7.5)	(39.1)	(48.1)	(17.6)
BAM TB	(7.6)	9.6	6.4	(24.2)	11.7
CHAYO TB	(3.6)	(15.7)	(27.7)	(43.2)	(20.4)
<b>SETFIN</b>	<b>(0.5)</b>	<b>(0.9)</b>	<b>(5.7)</b>	<b>(20.5)</b>	<b>(2.4)</b>
<b>SET</b>	<b>(0.0)</b>	<b>(1.0)</b>	<b>(2.6)</b>	<b>(12.0)</b>	<b>(2.9)</b>


Source: Bloomberg

**Exhibit 6: MTC – one-year prospective P/BV band**



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 7: MTC – one-year prospective PER band**



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

**Exhibit 8: Peer comparisons, as of 7 May 2024**

Company name	code	Rec	Share price (LCY)	Target price (LCY)	Up side (%)	PE		PBV		ROE		Div yld	
						24E (x)	25E (x)	24E (x)	25E (x)	24E (%)	25E (%)	24E (x)	25E (x)
AEON Thana Sinsap (Thailand)	AEONTS TB	HOLD	164.00	170.00	3.7	12.6	11.9	1.6	1.4	12.8	12.6	3.3	3.5
<b>Muangthai Capital</b>	<b>MTC TB</b>	<b>BUY</b>	<b>45.25</b>	<b>50.00</b>	<b>10.5</b>	<b>15.2</b>	<b>12.2</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>18.1</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>0.7</b>
Krungthai Card	KTC TB	HOLD	43.50	46.00	5.7	14.6	14.0	2.8	2.5	20.3	19.0	3.1	3.2
Srisawad Corp	SAWAD TB	HOLD	40.50	40.00	(1.2)	11.2	9.9	2.0	1.7	18.4	18.2	4.4	1.0
Ngern Tid Lor	TIDLOR TB	BUY	21.70	27.00	24.4	13.5	11.4	2.0	1.7	15.4	16.2	2.2	2.6
JMT Network services	JMT TB	HOLD	21.00	25.70	22.4	12.8	10.9	1.1	1.1	9.0	10.5	6.3	7.4
Asia Sermkij Leasing	ASK TB	HOLD	16.50	18.00	9.1	10.0	8.6	0.8	0.8	8.1	9.1	6.0	5.8
Bangkok Commercial Asset Mgmt.	BAM TB	HOLD	9.10	8.75	(3.8)	16.5	15.5	0.7	0.7	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.2
Saksiam Leasing	SAK TB	HOLD	5.05	5.28	4.5	12.7	11.2	1.7	1.5	13.7	14.4	3.0	1.5
Chayo Group	CHAYO TB	BUY	4.32	6.00	38.9	12.3	10.6	1.3	1.1	11.1	11.3	1.2	1.4
<b>Average</b>						<b>13.2</b>	<b>11.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>13.1</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.1</b>

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

## Financial Statements

### Muangthai Capital

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Interest Income	19,199	23,500	27,968	32,908	38,011
Interest expense	(2,813)	(3,857)	(5,240)	(6,102)	(6,990)
Net interest income	16,386	19,644	22,727	26,805	31,021
Net fees & commission	792	921	958	996	1,036
Foreign exchange trading income	-	-	-	-	-
Securities trading income	-	-	-	-	-
Dividend income	0	0	0	0	0
Other income	78	105	138	154	131
Non interest income	869	1,026	1,096	1,150	1,167
Total income	17,255	20,669	23,823	27,955	32,188
Staff costs	(8,069)	(9,702)	(11,182)	(13,122)	(15,109)
Other operating costs	-	-	-	-	-
Operating costs	(8,069)	(9,702)	(11,182)	(13,122)	(15,109)
Pre provision operating profit	9,187	10,967	12,641	14,833	17,079
Expected credit loss	(2,433)	(3,934)	(3,875)	(4,011)	(4,632)
Other provisions	(394)	(907)	(900)	(1,000)	(999)
Operating profit	6,360	6,126	7,866	9,822	11,448
Recurring non operating income	0	0	0	0	0
Associates	0	0	0	0	0
Goodwill amortization	-	-	-	-	-
Non recurring items	0	0	0	0	0
Profit before tax	6,360	6,126	7,866	9,822	11,448
Tax	(1,267)	(1,220)	(1,573)	(1,964)	(2,290)
Profit after tax	5,093	4,906	6,292	7,858	9,159
Non-controlling interest	-	-	-	-	-
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	-	-
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
Reported net profit	5,093	4,906	6,292	7,858	9,159
Non recurring items & goodwill (net)	-	-	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	5,093	4,906	6,292	7,858	9,159
<b>Per share (THB)</b>					
Recurring EPS *	2.40	2.31	2.97	3.71	4.32
Reported EPS	2.40	2.31	2.97	3.71	4.32
DPS	0.95	0.21	0.27	0.34	0.39
<b>Growth</b>					
Net interest income (%)	25.4	19.9	15.7	17.9	15.7
Non interest income (%)	3.1	18.0	6.8	5.0	1.5
Pre provision operating profit (%)	32.2	19.4	15.3	17.3	15.1
Operating profit (%)	2.6	(3.7)	28.4	24.9	16.6
Reported net profit (%)	3.0	(3.7)	28.2	24.9	16.6
Recurring EPS (%)	3.0	(3.7)	28.2	24.9	16.6
Reported EPS (%)	3.0	(3.7)	28.2	24.9	16.6
<b>Income Breakdown</b>					
Net interest income (%)	95.0	95.0	95.4	95.9	96.4
Net fees & commission (%)	4.6	4.5	4.0	3.6	3.2
Foreign exchange trading income (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Securities trading income (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Dividend income (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Other income (%)	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.4
<b>Operating performance</b>					
Gross interest yield (%)	18.30	18.03	18.04	18.05	18.05
Cost of funds (%)	3.36	3.66	4.20	4.20	4.20
Net interest spread (%)	14.94	14.37	13.84	13.85	13.85
Net interest margin (%)	15.6	15.1	14.7	14.7	14.7
Cost/income(%)	46.8	46.9	46.9	46.9	46.9
Cost/assets(%)	7.1	7.0	6.8	6.9	6.8
Effective tax rate (%)	19.9	19.9	20.0	20.0	20.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	39.5	9.1	9.1	9.1	9.1
ROE (%)	18.9	16.1	18.1	19.0	18.7
ROE - COE (%)	8.1	5.3	7.3	8.2	7.9
ROA (%)	4.5	3.5	3.9	4.1	4.2
RORWA (%)	-	-	-	-	-

\* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted

Sources: Muangthai Capital; FSSIA estimates

## Financial Statements

### Muangthai Capital

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Gross customer loans	119,084	141,559	168,453	196,190	224,908
Allowance for expected credit loss	(3,686)	(5,169)	(4,948)	(5,693)	(6,547)
interest in suspense	1,675	1,969	2,363	2,765	3,180
<b>Net customer loans</b>	<b>117,073</b>	<b>138,359</b>	<b>165,868</b>	<b>193,262</b>	<b>221,541</b>
Bank loans	-	-	-	-	-
Government securities	-	-	-	-	-
Trading securities	-	-	-	-	-
Investment securities	0	0	0	0	0
Cash & equivalents	3,213	2,822	1,347	1,540	2,122
Other interesting assets	-	-	-	-	-
Tangible fixed assets	2,037	2,134	2,348	2,582	2,840
Associates	-	-	-	-	-
Goodwill	-	-	-	-	-
Other intangible assets	-	-	-	-	-
Other assets	5,743	6,841	7,411	8,473	9,699
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>128,066</b>	<b>150,156</b>	<b>176,514</b>	<b>205,428</b>	<b>235,801</b>
Customer deposits	-	-	-	-	-
Bank deposits	-	-	-	-	-
Other interest bearing liabilities	96,143	114,770	134,775	155,806	177,032
Non interest bearing liabilities	2,833	3,469	4,046	4,740	5,581
Hybrid Capital	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>98,976</b>	<b>118,239</b>	<b>138,821</b>	<b>160,547</b>	<b>182,613</b>
Share capital	2,120	2,120	2,120	2,120	2,120
Reserves	26,971	29,797	35,573	42,761	51,068
<b>Total equity</b>	<b>29,091</b>	<b>31,917</b>	<b>37,693</b>	<b>44,881</b>	<b>53,188</b>
Non-controlling interest	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total liabilities &amp; equity</b>	<b>128,066</b>	<b>150,156</b>	<b>176,514</b>	<b>205,428</b>	<b>235,801</b>
<b>Supplementary items</b>					
Risk weighted assets (RWA)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average interest earning assets	104,892	130,321	155,006	182,321	210,549
Average interest bearing liabilities	83,734	105,456	124,772	145,291	166,419
CET 1 capital	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Total capital	0	0	0	0	0
Gross non performing loans (NPL)	3,507	4,461	4,289	4,962	5,785
<b>Per share (THB)</b>					
Book value per share	13.72	15.06	17.78	21.17	25.09
Tangible book value per share	13.72	15.06	17.78	21.17	25.09
<b>Growth</b>					
Gross customer loans	31.3	18.9	19.0	16.5	14.6
Average interest earning assets	30.5	24.2	18.9	17.6	15.5
Total asset (%)	30.2	17.2	17.6	16.4	14.8
Risk weighted assets (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Customer deposits (%)	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Leverage &amp; capital measures</b>					
Customer loan/deposits (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Equity/assets (%)	22.7	21.3	21.4	21.8	22.6
Tangible equity/assets (%)	22.7	21.3	21.4	21.8	22.6
RWA/assets (%)	-	-	-	-	-
CET 1 CAR (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Total CAR (%)	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Asset Quality (FSSIA's calculation)</b>					
Change in NPL (%)	174.8	27.2	(3.9)	15.7	16.6
NPL/gross loans (%)	2.9	3.2	2.5	2.5	2.6
Allowance for ECL/gross loans (%)	3.1	3.7	2.9	2.9	2.9
Allowance for ECL/NPL (%)	105.1	115.9	115.4	114.7	113.2
<b>Valuation</b>					
Recurring P/E (x) *	18.8	19.6	15.2	12.2	10.5
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	20.8	21.6	16.8	13.5	11.6
Reported P/E (x)	18.8	19.6	15.2	12.2	10.5
Dividend yield (%)	2.1	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.9
Price/book (x)	3.3	3.0	2.5	2.1	1.8
Price/tangible book (x)	3.3	3.0	2.5	2.1	1.8
Price/tangible book @ target price (x)	3.6	3.3	2.8	2.4	2.0

\* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted

Sources: Muangthai Capital; FSSIA estimates

# Muangthai Capital PCL (MTC TB)

**FSSIA ESG rating**

★★★★

## Exhibit 9: FSSIA ESG score implication

**68.21 /100**

Rating	Score	Implication
★★★★★	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
★★★★	<b>&gt;59-79</b>	<b>A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.</b>
★★★	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
★★	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
★	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

## Exhibit 10: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA ESG score	Domestic ratings						Global ratings						Bloomberg	
		DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
MTC	68.21	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	42.19	AA	--	58.09	42.00	3.31	--
SAWAD	46.52	--	Y	Y	4.00	5.00	--	Medium	43.97	BB	--	20.18	13.00	1.93	40.04
TIDLOR	36.71	--	--	--	4.00	4.00	Certified	Medium	37.03	--	--	23.69	19.00	1.66	--
SAK	45.28	--	Y	Y	4.00	4.00	Certified	High	40.10	--	--	43.87	--	2.02	36.23
TK	15.00	--	--	--	5.00	5.00	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
HENG	20.00	--	--	--	5.00	5.00	Certified	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
S11	13.00	--	--	--	4.00	4.00	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
NCAP	18.00	--	--	--	4.00	4.00	Certified	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

## Exhibit 11: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
<b>ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score</b>	<b>1.36</b>	<b>1.51</b>	<b>2.07</b>	<b>2.16</b>	<b>2.39</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>3.27</b>	<b>3.31</b>
BESG environmental pillar score	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.02	0.03	—
BESG social pillar score	0.37	0.58	1.37	1.37	1.69	4.57	3.27	—
BESG governance pillar score	4.42	4.41	4.57	4.91	4.95	4.95	4.82	—
<b>ESG disclosure score</b>	<b>26.85</b>	<b>32.18</b>	<b>32.89</b>	<b>35.76</b>	<b>39.62</b>	<b>44.88</b>	<b>50.20</b>	<b>52.08</b>
Environmental disclosure score	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	7.28	15.22	32.74	34.40
Social disclosure score	11.67	15.84	17.41	25.70	30.35	31.92	30.35	34.34
Governance disclosure score	68.72	80.52	81.10	81.10	81.10	87.36	87.36	87.36
<b>Environmental</b>								
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Climate change policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
GHG scope 1	—	—	—	—	2	7	6	8
GHG scope 2 location-based	—	—	—	—	—	16	18	21
GHG Scope 3	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	1
Carbon per unit of production	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Energy efficiency policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	—	—	—	—	9	55	36	69
Renewable energy use	—	—	—	—	—	—	0	—
Electricity used	—	—	—	—	—	32	36	42
Fuel used - natural gas	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation



**Exhibit 12: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)**

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Waste recycled	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Waste sent to landfills	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Water policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	—	—	—	—	—	987	—	—
<b>Social</b>								
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Consumer data protection policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Pct women in workforce	—	—	—	—	—	11	11	10
Pct disabled in workforce	—	—	0	0	0	0	—	—
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0
Total recordable incident rate - employees	—	—	—	—	1	1	0	0
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Number of employees – CSR	2,617	4,185	5,893	7,660	9,576	10,328	11,460	13,005
Employee turnover pct	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	29
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	—	—	—	70,395	60,328	34,082	34,036	78,030
Social supply chain management	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
<b>Governance</b>								
<b>Board size</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>No. of independent directors (ID)</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
No. of women on board	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of non-executive directors on board	5	5	5	5	6	6	5	5
Company conducts board evaluations	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	6	5	7	7	6	9	8	8
Board meeting attendance pct	100	100	96	100	94	96	100	100
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	56	57	58	59	36	39	40	41
Age of the oldest director	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74
<b>No. of executives / company managers</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>
No. of female executives	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
<b>Size of audit committee</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Audit committee meetings	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	7
Audit meeting attendance %	100	100	92	100	92	100	100	100
<b>Size of compensation committee</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
No. of ID on compensation committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2
No. of compensation committee meetings	—	1	2	2	2	2	3	2
Compensation meeting attendance %	—	100	83	100	83	83	100	100
<b>Size of nomination committee</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
No. of nomination committee meetings	—	1	2	2	2	2	1	2
Nomination meeting attendance %	—	100	83	100	83	83	100	100
<b>Sustainability governance</b>								
Verification type	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

## Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating																			
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.																			
Sustainability Investment List (THSI) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	THSI quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for <b>THSI inclusion</b> , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. <b>SETTHSI Index</b> is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.																			
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).																			
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&amp;A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i>	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.																			
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i>	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.																			
Morningstar Sustainalytics	The Sustainalytics' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality &amp; peer reviews.</i>	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>NEGL</th> <th>Low</th> <th>Medium</th> <th>High</th> <th>Severe</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0-10</td> <td>10-20</td> <td>20-30</td> <td>30-40</td> <td>40+</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+									
NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe																	
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																	
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.																			
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>AAA</b></td> <td>8.571-10.000</td> <td rowspan="3"><b>Leader:</b></td> <td rowspan="3">leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>AA</b></td> <td>7.143-8.570</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>A</b></td> <td>5.714-7.142</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>BBB</b></td> <td>4.286-5.713</td> <td rowspan="3"><b>Average:</b></td> <td rowspan="3">a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>BB</b></td> <td>2.857-4.285</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>B</b></td> <td>1.429-2.856</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>CCC</b></td> <td>0.000-1.428</td> <td><b>Laggard:</b></td> <td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>AAA</b>	8.571-10.000	<b>Leader:</b>	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities	<b>AA</b>	7.143-8.570	<b>A</b>	5.714-7.142	<b>BBB</b>	4.286-5.713	<b>Average:</b>	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers	<b>BB</b>	2.857-4.285	<b>B</b>	1.429-2.856	<b>CCC</b>	0.000-1.428	<b>Laggard:</b>	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks
<b>AAA</b>	8.571-10.000	<b>Leader:</b>	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities																		
<b>AA</b>	7.143-8.570																				
<b>A</b>	5.714-7.142																				
<b>BBB</b>	4.286-5.713	<b>Average:</b>	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers																		
<b>BB</b>	2.857-4.285																				
<b>B</b>	1.429-2.856																				
<b>CCC</b>	0.000-1.428	<b>Laggard:</b>	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks																		
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.																				
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; &gt;25 to 50 = satisfactory; &gt;50 to 75 = good; and &gt;75 to 100 = excellent.)</i>																				
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.																				
Bloomberg	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.																			
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.																			

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

## GENERAL DISCLAIMER

**ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION**

**Usanee Liurut, CISA FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd**

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Muangthai Capital	MTC TB	THB 45.25	BUY	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) a further weakening of asset quality that could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) changes in financial regulations by the Bank of Thailand and the Office of Consumer Protection Board.
Ngern Tid Lor	TIDLOR TB	THB 21.70	BUY	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) the expansion into auto-title loans by the Government Savings Bank and Auto X (subsidiary of SCB X); 2) further weakening asset quality could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 3) tighter supervision from related regulators.
Asia Sermkij Leasing PCL	ASK TB	THB 16.50	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-derived TP include 1) an economic slowdown, especially for logistics activities and private investment; 2) deteriorating asset quality; and 3) changes in financial regulations from the Bank of Thailand. Upside risks include 1) a better-than-expected macroeconomic improvement; 2) a downtrend in the policy rate could reduce cost of funds and enhance interest spreads; and 3) a faster-than-expected decline in ECL expense.
Srisawad Corp	SAWAD TB	THB 40.50	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) a further weakening of asset quality that could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) changes in financial regulations by the Bank of Thailand and the Office of Consumer Protection Board. Upside risks include 1) a faster-than-expected decline in losses on sales of repossessed cars for SCAP; and 2) an interest rate downtrend could push its interest spread.
Aeon Thana Sinsap (Thailand)	AEONTS TB	THB 164.00	HOLD	Downside risks are: 1) regulatory actions to curb industry growth; 2) a higher-than-expected policy rate; and 3) deteriorating asset quality. Upside risks are: 1) better-than-estimated economic improvement; 2) stronger-than-estimated improvement in the overall asset quality; and 3) less pressure from the market yield and cost of funds.
Krungthai Card	KTC TB	THB 43.50	HOLD	Downside risks are: 1) regulatory actions to curb industry growth; 2) a higher-than-expected policy rate; and 3) deteriorating asset quality. Upside risks are: 1) better-than-estimated economic improvement; 2) stronger-than-estimated improvement in the overall asset quality; and 3) less pressure from the market yield and cost of funds.
JMT Network Services	JMT TB	THB 21.00	HOLD	Downside risks to our P/BV-based TP include 1) lower cash collection from both debt management and debt tracking collection services; and 2) higher-than-expected funding costs and operating expenses. Upside risks includes 1) better-than-expected purchasing power and cash collection 2) lower-than-expected operating and financing expense.
Bangkok Commercial Asset Mngt.	BAM TB	THB 9.10	HOLD	Downside risks to our NAV-based TP include 1) lower cash collection than estimated; 2) lower-than-expected bad debt acquisition; and 3) a slowdown in the property market. Upsides include 1) better-than-expected economic conditions and debt repayment momentum; and 2) an acceleration in the demand for NPAs.
Chayo Group	CHAYO TB	THB 4.32	BUY	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) lower-than-expected bad debt acquisition; and 2) higher-than-expected operating expenses.
Saksiam Leasing	SAK TB	THB 5.05	HOLD	Upside risks to our GGM-derived TP include 1) loan expansion following the broad-based economic recovery 2) a reduction in cost of funds due mainly to the downward interest rate trend and 3) an accelerated decrease in credit costs owing to the rise in consumers purchasing power. Downside risks include 1) competition from existing and new players 2) regulatory changes by the Bank of Thailand (BoT) and 3) a slower-than-expected reduction in its cost of funds due to a shift toward more long-term loans.

Source: FSSIA estimates

**Additional Disclosures**

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 07-May-2024 unless otherwise stated.

## RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

### Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as  $(\text{target price}^* - \text{current price}) / \text{current price}$ .

**BUY (B).** The upside is 10% or more.

**HOLD (H).** The upside or downside is less than 10%.

**REDUCE (R).** The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

\* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

### Industry Recommendations

**Overweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

**Neutral.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

**Underweight.** The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

### Country (Strategy) Recommendations

**Overweight (O).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

**Neutral (N).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

**Underweight (U).** Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.